FWP 73235

# Water Quality and **Regional Considerations** for Class VI Permitting

Stephanie DiRaddo, Quin Miller, Matt Villante, Ruoshi Cao, Emily Nienhuis, Nabajit Lahiri, H. Todd Schaef





#### Background

Geologic storage projects traditionally target deep (>800 m), sedimentary, saline (>10,000 mg/L total dissolved solids (TDS)) aquifers per EPA Class VI regulatory guidelines.

## **Case Study: Columbia River Basalt Aquifers**

Basalt flows typically contain predictable, continuous,

In geologic settings where sedimentary basins are not available, transport of CO<sub>2</sub> offsite is the primary option for point source emissions.

- Transport expands the project footprint and increases overall project timeline, environmental risk, social impact, and financing requirements.
- Geologic basins in the western U.S. (for example, Washington, Oregon, California, and Wyoming) can experience deep freshwater zones, stranding point source emissions and limiting commercial scale development of  $CO_2$  sequestration.
- Characterizing overlying underground sources of drinking water (USDWs) and injection formation water quality introduces significant uncertainty without stratigraphic test well data.
- Projects risk cancelation if the injection formation fluids are found to be considered a USDW (<10,000 mg/L TDS).

#### **Zonal Isolation: The Key to Aquifer Protection**

- Safe and permanent geologic sequestration depends on injection zone hydrologic isolation i.e. prospective CO<sub>2</sub> injection formations be free of faults and fracture and underlie a thick continuous impermeable formation (the confining zone).
- Zonal isolation ensures that injected CO<sub>2</sub> stays within the proposed storage formation and is not in communication with overlying USDWs.



- low-permeability zones uniquely suited to act as a caprock for geological carbon storage.
- The Grande Ronde formation of the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG) is zonally isolated from overlying formations and is a hydraulicly separate and distinct flow.
- Low groundwater flow rates suggest very little aquifer recharge or flow.
- Depth specific hydrochemical data, compiled from deep wells across the CRBG Grande Ronde, suggest water quality is likely unsuitable for irrigation or domestic use (e.g., high fluoride content).
- New technology enables pumping, treatment, and artificial aquifer recharge strategies which could work synergistically with dedicated CO<sub>2</sub> storage and brine extraction to bring water to communities who need it today and into the future.



In your community, there may be industrial waste disposal wells, storm water drainage wells, large-capacity septic systems, and other Class V wells. They are regulated and are not allowed to endanger drinking water resources. Source: EPA, Class V Wells for Injection of Non-Hazardous Fluids into or Above Underground Sources of Drinking Water, https://www.epa.gov/uic/class-v-wells-injection-non-hazardous-fluids-or-above-underground-sources-drinking-water

### **Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program & Aquifers**

- The EPA's UIC program outlines operational requirements for various types of injection wells spanning Class I through Class VI well types.
- In some states, certain well types can be permitted via state regulatory authorities. Classes I, II, III, and V project developers can apply for an aquifer exemption if the proposed injection interval is not a current or future drinking water source under criteria outlined in CFR146.4.
- Class I, II, III and V injection activities include municipal and industrial wastewater disposal (Class I), saltwater disposal, gas storage, enhanced oil recovery (EOR) (Class II), solution mining (Class III), and non-hazardous fluid disposal (Class V).
- Aquifer exemptions are not available for new Class VI wells or projects that are re-permitted from well classes other than Class II EOR.

**Dissolved Component** 

### **Conclusions & Outlook**

- Zonal isolation is key factor to USDW protection.
- Aquifer related impacts on site selection, characterization, and Class VI permit development: aquifer recharge, community water use, hydraulic communication, hydraulic head, and water quality factors apart from salinity.
- Consideration for CO<sub>2</sub> mineralization water requirements and pressure management strategies.
- Treatment options for aquifers which contain constituents that impact public health but are less than <10,000 TDS salinity and therefore considered USDWs.
- Evaluate water recharge strategies to facilitate public access to water resources while storing  $CO_2$  in deeper formations.
- Future work needed to better understand water quality evolution in a  $CO_2$  mineralization system.













NATIONAL LABORATORY





www.pnnl.gov