

Full Life-cycle Deployment of Distributed Control in Large-scale Infrastructures

September 25, 2019

Sen Huang, Jianming (Jamie) Lian, Srinivas Katipamula, Robert Lutes

Optimization and Control Group, EED







- Background
- Distributed Control
- Distributed Control Deployment

2



Our world is more complex and growing faster than our control methods can handle

Complex Systems

- Highly interconnected
- Heterogeneous device-human participation
- Extreme data
- Pervasive intelligence
- Increasing autonomy





Global energy goals cannot be met without changes in how we control complex systems

Energy System

- Potential for substantial efficiencies in end-user systems with new controls
- More data and devices available
- New assets difficult to coordinate
- Existing controls antiquated

Cyber-physical System

- Growing "edge" computing resources
- Cloud computing becoming paradigm
- Existing security models challenged

Traditional centralized control approaches are generally unable to resolve those issues















From Big Data to Distributed Control

The move from Big Data to Distributed Control involves addressing:

- Large numbers of sensing and/or control end points
- High complexity
- Node heterogeneity
- Multiple scales of operation
- Pervasive computing/ autonomous nodes
- Wide geographical scope



The solutions must be:

Deployable, scalable, robust, resilient, and adaptable





Distributed Control Hypothesis



Time to deployment



Electric power system as an example





Building-to-Grid (B2G) Integration

- Two fundamental questions for **B2G** integration
 - Characterize the capacity flexibility of commercial buildings
 - Control the power consumption to follow dispatched signals



Key: Respect distinct preferences of building occupants



Demand Side Response

- Manage power grid by actively engaging both customer-owned and third-party distributed energy resources (DERs) into system operation through
 - Direct control
 - \checkmark Utility companies remotely control operations of residential loads based on prior agreements
 - ✓ Traditionally concerned with peak load reduction
 - ✓ Recent efforts focus on modeling and control of different types of loads to provide various grid services
 - Price control
 - ✓ Price signals directly sent to individual loads to affect local demand
 - \checkmark Example: time-of-use (TOU) pricing, critical peak pricing (CPP), real-time pricing (RTP)
 - Transactive control
 - ✓ Automated loads engaged in market interaction
 - \checkmark Information exchange includes quantity and price



Transactive

Control



Market-based Coordination

Value-driven Control

- Key features
- Value proposition
 - Promote voluntary participation by value-based incentives
 - Respect local objectives and choice domains
 - Ensure stability and predictability of system response
 - Simplify coordination through decomposition and localization
 - Engage multiple stakeholders with different preferences

Open, flexible and interoperable



Fundamental Concepts



- Agent types
 - Coordinator (market)
 - Supplier (seller)
 - Customer (buyer)
- Power systems
 - Distributed generator
 - Photovoltaic system
 - Energy storage
 - Residential appliances

 - Residential building
 - Commercial building
 - Community
 - Microgrid
 - Distribution system

Building loads (AHU, chiller, etc.)



Transactive Building (BTO Transactive Campus)

- One commercial building with responsive building loads
- Objective: reduce peak demand during real-time operations



Customer – RTU, VAV, Lighting

max power_i s.t. *i*-th load dynamics

Coordinator – BMS



utility_i(power_i) - payment_i



RTU System













Transactive Control – VAV/RTU System

Control response curve for RTU or VAV Systems (Cooling for illustration)







Occupant's preference



Demand Curve – VAV/RTU System

Coupling control response curve with load dynamics leads to demand curve



17



Hierarchical Market Clearing inside Building

• Market coordinator clears the market in one time through demand bidding







A typical Distributed Control System **Requirement for large-scale deployment**

A scalable deployment of the transactive control should be

- Automated in terms of the control setup process
 - Standardizing the control process Ο
- **Extensible and adaptable to different applications with the minimized modifications**
 - Modular programming for realized different functionalities Ο
- **Capable to handle large-scale communication at various time-resolutions with different protocols**
 - Data management auxiliary functions combined with databases Ο
 - Generic communication interfaces \bigcirc



Streaming workflow



Modular implementation







20

Deployment of transactive control - Standardizing the control process

Pacific

Northwest







{name'':''vav1'', "config ":"/aggregaor1/vav1"},



Interface for control actuation

Interface for market activities



Deployment of transactive control - Separating functionality



Control



Instantiate

First order VAV model

Fan model

Instantiate



Deployment of transactive control - First order VAV model

First-order zone model

$$C_i \frac{T_i^{k+1} - T_i^k}{\Delta t} = \frac{T_a^k - T_i^k}{R_i} + Q_{i,hvac}^k + Q_{i,dis}^k$$

Assuming Q_{dis}^k is constant:

$$Q_{i,hvac}^{k} = \frac{C_i}{\Delta t} T_i^{k+1} + \frac{\Delta t - C_i R_i}{R_i \Delta t} T_i^{k} - \frac{1}{R_i} T_a^{k} - Q_{i,dis}$$

Assuming
$$T_i^{k+1} = T_{set,i}^{k+1}$$
:

$$Q_{i,hvac}^k = \frac{C_i}{\Delta t} T_{set,i}^{k+1} + \frac{\Delta t - C_i R_i}{R_i \Delta t} T_i^k - \frac{1}{R_i} T_a^k - Q_{i,dis}$$

Short-term prediction

Long-term prediction

$$Q_{i,hvac}^{k} = a_{i}^{0}T_{set,i}^{k+1} + a_{i}^{1}T_{i}^{k} + a_{i}^{2}T_{a}^{k} + a_{i}^{3}$$
$$Q_{i,hvac}^{k} = a_{i}^{0}T_{set,i}^{k+1} + a_{i}^{1}T_{set,i}^{k} + a_{i}^{2}T_{a}^{k} + a_{i}^{3}$$

T: Temperature

- *C*: Thermal capacitance
- *R*: Thermal resistance
- *c*: Specific heat for air
- *Q*: Heat flux
- *m*: Mass flow rate
- Δt : Discrete time interval
- a: Regression coefficient

sub/superscript

- *k*: Discrete time index
- d: Discharge
- *i*: Zone index
- dis: Disturbance
- a: Ambient



Deployment of transactive control - AHU model

AHU Fan power

$$P_m^k = b_m^1 \left(m_m^k \right) + b_m^2 \left(m_m^k \right)^2 + b_m^3 \left(m_m^k \right)^3$$

Chiller Power:

$$P_m^k = \begin{cases} 0 \quad if \ unoccupied \ or \ T_a^k \leq T_{m,dis}^k \\ \frac{m_m^k C_{air} \ (T_{m,mix}^k - T_{m,dis}^k)}{\xi COP} & else \end{cases}$$

where
$$T_{m,mix}^{k} = \begin{cases} T_{a}^{k} & if T_{a}^{k} \leq T_{eco} \\ \varphi T_{a}^{k} + (1 - \varphi)T_{m,ret} & else \end{cases}$$

P: Power

0:

for VAV, $T_{m,dis}^k = T_{m,dis}$

b: Regression coefficient *n*: Number of Zones ξ : Sensible heat ratio *COP*: Coefficient of performance

sub/superscript

j: AHU index *l*: Chiller index eco: Air-side economizer *mix*: Mixed air ret: Return air Outdoor air ratio

Deployment of transactive control - Communication support from VOLTTRON

Pacific

Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY



Data management auxiliary functions

BACnet/Modbus based communication



n

+

Configuration

Deployment of transactive control - VOLTTRON-based Implementation



Pacific

Northwest

VOLTTRON Multiple-platform communication

Docker P

Configs P



Deployment of transactive control - Vision for future integration



simulation tests

* BOPTEST: Building Operations Testing Framework : https://www.energy.gov/eere/buildings/boptest-building-operations-testing-framework

real building tests





Distributed control is promising for operating large scale infrastructures

Distributed control may pose new challenges in the real world deployment -

- VOLTTRON can be used to facilitate the deployment of distributed control such as transactive control for building systems



Thank you

