PNNL-SA-179041 **Radioxenon Uncertainty Model SnT**2023 Matthew Cooper, Brittany Abromeit, Daniel Keller, Michael Pacific Northwest CTBT: SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE Mayer, Ryan Wilson HOFBURG PALACE - Vienna and Online Pacific Northwest National Laboratory **19** TO **23** JUNE **METHODS/DATA** INTRODUCTION RESULTS CONCLUSION Numerical calculation of the Inclusion of all radioxenon uncertainty for each The latest round of parameter involves varying the parameter to determine the impact to the final result. A matrix formulation of interference terms into a radioxenon systems are radioxenon concentration matrix formulation provides more sensitive than ever calculation provides a accurate ratios. before and are likely to **START** This variation provides an uncertainty budget for every variable/parameter used in the concentration calculation. framework to include all the False positives in the frequently detect multiple interference terms and presence of high activity xenon isotopes. Accurate samples is reduced. through matrix inversion, measurement of multiple an elegant method to solve The combined uncertainty Excellent agreement between automated matrix analysis and calculations performed xenon needs a complete the systems of equations. matches an expected uncertainty model. normal distribution. through Mathematica. Place your **QR** Code here after removing this text box! P3.6-211



### Introduction

- New systems with better sensitivity mean radioxenon is detected more frequently
- > Accurate measurements are becoming even more important
- Requires excellent uncertainty models
- Several methods to determine uncertainty, but it is important to account for sources of uncertainty
  - > Empirically
  - > Theoretically
  - > Hybrid
- Traditionally statistical uncertainty is determined empirically; however, there are some components that cannot not readily be measured, such as some sources of systematic uncertainty.





- Development of concentration calculations that accurately estimates the four radioxenon isotopes.
- Reduce false positive results when high activity radioxenon are observed
- Develop a complete uncertainty model that more accurately represents the physics and includes all interference terms between the radioxenon nuclides of interest.
- Validation of matrix analysis against known results and maintain a consistent uncertainty estimate conforming to expected false positive/negative.



P3.6-211

#### SnT2028 CTBT: SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE HOFBURG PALACE - Vienna and Online 19 TO 23 JUNE

### Matrix Formulation

# **Matrix Analysis (MITER)**

In matrix analysis of 4 radioxenon, Pb-214, backgrounds, and interferences, the uncertainty is numerically calculated.

	[ 1	r2142	r2143	r2144	r2145	r2146	r2147 ]	
	r1351	1	r1353	r1354	r1355	r1356	r1357	
Matrix =	r1331	r1332	1	r1334	r1335	r1336	r1337	
	r131m1	r131m2	r131m3	r131m4	1	r131m6	r131m7	
	Lr133m1	r133m2	r133m3	r2144 r1354 r1334 r131m4 r133m4	r133m5	1	r133m7	

- Numerical calculation of the uncertainty for each parameter involves varying the parameter to determine the impact to the final result. This variation provides an uncertainty budget for every variable/parameter used in the concentration calculation.
- Excellent agreement between automated matrix analysis and calculations performed through Mathematica.

	Math	ematica	BGViewer		
Xenon Isotope	Activity (mBq)	Uncertainty (2σ)	Activity (mBq)	Uncertainty (2σ)	
131m	96.9	13.6	96.9	14.4	
133	4929.5	93.3	4929.5	93.5	
133m	643.2	25.2	643.2	25.4	
135	-1.5	1.6	-1.5	1.5	



No	rthwest	
NATIO	NAL LABORATORY	
	$\wedge$	
	NTRODUCTION	
		5
	OBJECTIVES	J
M	IETHODS/DATA	כ
	RESULTS	ר
	SIS SISS	
	CONCLUSION	
755		
15151		
		1
	Place your	
	QR Code here after removing	1
t l	removing his text box!	
	P3.6-211	
	F 3.0-2 FI	
and the second s		



## **Uncertainty Model Validation**

Pacific Northwest

INTRODUCTION

**OBJECTIVES** 

METHODS/DATA

RESULTS

CONCLUSION

Place your QR Code

here after removing this text box!

P3.6-211

 $\left( \right)$ 

 $\left|\right\rangle$ 

There are 530 datasets in which either the sample isotopes were known (i.e., test spikes) or there were no expected isotopes present

$$Zeta_{i} = \frac{X_{i} - Xref_{i}}{\sqrt{uX_{i}^{2} - uXref_{i}^{2}}}$$

- The expected mean for the z-score distributions is 0, with a standard deviation of 1.
- Results match well with a normal distribution,
- A few variations that are likely related one of two issues
  - Calibration inaccuracy
  - Inaccurate expected value





### Conclusion

- Matrix analysis includes all interference terms
- Uses a numerical calculation to determine uncertainty of parameters and overall combined uncertainty
- The combined uncertainty matches well with an expected normal distribution
- Software (Beta-Gamma Viewer) now has a complete combined uncertainty model using the matrix formulation

