PNWD-3045
BNFL-RPT-038 Rev. 0

Characterization, Washing, Leaching, and Filtration of AZ-102 Sludge

K. P. Brooks
P. R. Bredt
S. K. Cooley
G. R. Golcar
L. K. Jagoda
K. G. Rappe
M. W. Urie

August 2000

Prepared for BNFL, Inc.
under Contract W375-LC-98-4168

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared by Battelle Memorial Institute (Battelle) as an account of sponsored research activities. Neither Client nor Battelle nor any person acting on behalf of either:

MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, process, or composition disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, process, or composition disclosed in this report.

References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by Battelle. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of Battelle.

PNWD-3045
BNFL-RPT-038 Rev. 0

Characterization, Washing, Leaching, and Filtration of AZ-102 Sludge

K. P. Brooks
P. R. Bredt
S. K. Cooley
G. R. Golcar
L. K. Jagoda
K. G. Rappe
M. W. Urie

August 2000

Prepared for
BNFL, Inc.
under Contract W375-LC-98-4168

Battelle, Richland, Washington 99352

Summary

BNFL, Inc. developed flowsheets for the River Protection Project Waste Treatment Plant that use caustic leaching and/or water washing to pretreat Envelope D Hanford sludge before vitrifying the high-level waste (HLW). The pretreatment steps would remove a number of components to reduce the quantity of HLW generated. The flowsheets also use crossflow filtration to separate the leach and wash solutions from the solids between each step. The work reported here tested crossflow filtration and evaluated the washing and leaching characteristics of sludge from Tank AZ-102.

Battelle staff evaluated approximately 400 g of wet Hanford Tank AZ-102 Sludge by the pretreatment processes of ultrafiltration, dilute caustic washing, and elevated-temperature caustic leaching. The filterability of diluted AZ-102 sludge was measured with a 0.1-µm sintered metal Mott filter using a 24-in.-long, single-element, crossflow filtration system (cells unit filter [CUF]). Before washing and leaching, a 5.1-wt% solids[(a)] slurry was filtered using a matrix of six 1-h conditions of varying transmembrane pressure (30 to 70 psid) and axial velocity (7 to 13 ft/s), with the filtrate being recirculated. The filtrate flux and backpulse efficiency were determined for each condition. The slurry was then concentrated to 13.7-wt% solids, a second matrix of six 1-h conditions performed at axial velocities ranging from 6 to 11.5 ft/s, and data analogous to that recorded in the first matrix obtained.

The low-solids-concentration matrix produced filtrate flux rates that ranged from 0.046 to 0.126 gpm/ft^2. The high-solids-concentration matrix produced filtrate flux rates that ranged from 0.020 to 0.051 gpm/ft^2. In the low solids matrix, the highest filtrate flux was at the first condition (50 psid, 9.5 ft/s). The initial filtrate flux declined significantly over the next four conditions, indicating filter fouling or more likely, particle deagglomeration. In the subsequent conditions, the initial filtrate flux remained steadier, and the highest filtrate flux was measured at the highest axial velocity. In the high solids matrix, the optimum condition was clearer and was found at the highest axial velocity.

For each matrix, the last test condition was a repeat of the first test condition. In both matrices, there was a significant decrease in filtrate flux between these two tests. This indicates some filter fouling occurred during each test matrix that could not be removed by backpulsing alone, although the backpulse number and duration were not optimized. The nitric acid cleaning performed at the end of the testing could partially recover the initial fluxes caused by this filter fouling.

Following testing of these two matrices, the material was washed in the CUF by continuously adding approximately 2.7 L of 0.01 M NaOH and then removing it as permeate by filtration. The purpose of these washing steps with 0.01 M NaOH was to remove water-soluble components. After washing the sludge with dilute caustic, it was combined with a concentrated caustic solution to produce a slurry containing ~3 M NaOH, which was then leached in a stirred, stainless steel vessel at 85°C for 8 h. This leaching was followed by two 0.01 M caustic washes, each conducted in a stainless steel vessel to dilute remaining soluble analytes from the interstitial liquids. Each rinse was performed at 85°C for 8 h. Permeate from each of these process steps was removed using the crossflow filter system. Samples of the permeate from each slurry-washing activity and all intermediate process steps were taken and analyzed

(a) Solids concentrations are generally reported on an insoluble solids basis. This is done by mathematically subtracting out the dissolved solids from the total solids.

for chemical and radiochemical constituents. The fraction of each component removed at each step was calculated. Key results are presented in Table S.1.

The primary components in the initial tank sludge were aluminum, iron, and sodium in roughly equal proportions. Following washing and caustic leaching, these three components remained the highest concentrations, but iron became the primary constituent, more than twice the concentration of sodium and aluminum. Based on the aluminum + iron + zirconium concentration limits in the HLW glass, the potential glass volume was reduced by ~23-30% as a result of washing and caustic leaching.

The rheological properties of the AZ-102 slurries were determined with a Haake viscometer. All three samples analyzed exhibited yield pseudoplastic and thixotropic behavior. This rheological behavior was expected, as the slurry initially added to the CUF was difficult to begin pumping, yet improved over time. The initial 5.1-wt% material had a viscosity between 4 and 16 cP. The concentrated slurry used in the second matrix had a measured solids concentration of 13.7 wt% and a viscosity between 82 and 100 cP (@ 33 s^{-1}) during the initial increase in shear[a]. The viscosity steadily declined for both the decreasing shear curves and with subsequent runs. During the decreasing shear curve, the 13.7 wt% slurry had a measured viscosity at 33 s^{-1} of between 25 and 47 cP. The final slurry samples with solids concentration of 9.2-wt % solids had viscosities at 33 s^{-1}, ranging from 167 to 209 cP. Foaming was observed in the final pretreated slurry sample (9.2 wt% solids), which may have contributed to the higher observed viscosities for this sludge.

Table S.1. Solubility of AZ-102 Sludge Key Components in 0.01 M NaOH and 3 M NaOH

Component	Fraction Removed in Water Washes (%)	Fraction Removed in Caustic Leaches (%)	Fraction in Solids Residue (%)
Al	2.5	61.2	36.3
Cr	44.1	14.2	41.7
Fe	0.006	0.02	99.97
Na	80.2	-nd-	11.2
P	6.7	45.6	47.7
^{90}Sr	0.003	0.007	99.99
^{137}Cs	61.2	32.7	6.1

-nd- : Not determined due to difficulty in distinguishing leached sodium from added sodium. The fraction Na in the solids residue may be that added during the caustic leaching rather than that initially present in the sludge.

Particle-size distribution was measured on the initial, as-received sludge, on the 13.7 wt% concentrated slurry, and on the final caustic leached slurry. The initial sludge had a significantly larger particle-size distribution than subsequent material. Approximately 50% of the particles (on a volume weighted distribution) had a peak mode of 24 μm as compared to subsequent steps having >60% of the particles with a peak mode of approximately 1 μm. Sonication had a larger effect on the particles of the final caustic leached slurry when compared to the earlier steps. The significant reduction in particle size due to sonication may indicate that the washing and leaching steps weakened the agglomerate strength.

(a) BNFL selected 33 s^{-1} as the reference point to compare all viscosity measurements.

Terms and Abbreviations

AEA	alpha energy analysis
BNFL	BNFL, Inc; subsidiary of British Nuclear Fuels, Ltd.
CUF	cells unit filter
DF	decontamination factor
DI	deionized water
DOE-RL	U.S. Department of Energy-Richland Operations
GEA	gamma energy analysis
HLRF	High Level Radiochemistry Facility
HLW	high-level waste
IC	ion chromatography
ICP-AES	inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy
ICP-MS	inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry
LRB	laboratory record book
MSE	mean squared error
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
PID	proportional-integral-derivative controller
PMG	precious metals group
PSD	particle size distribution
RPL	Radiochemical Processing Laboratory
RPP-WTP	River Protection Project Waste Treatment Plant
TMP	transmembrane pressure
TIC	total inorganic carbon
TOC	total organic carbon
TRU	transuranic
UPA	Ultrafine Particle Analyzer (Microtac)

Units

°C	degrees Celsius
g	gram
g/mL	gram per milliliter
µg/g - µg/mL	microgram per gram/microgram per milliliter
µCi/g - µCi/mL	microcurie per gram/microcurie per milliliter
mL	milliliter
nCi/g	nanocurie per gram
pCi/g	picocurie per gram
Vol%	volume percent
Wt%	weight percent
M	molarity

Contents

1.0 Introduction 1.1

2.0 Test Conditions 2.1

2.1 Test Material Preparation 2.1

2.1.1 Observations 2.3

2.2 Overview of Testing 2.4

2.3 Testing Apparatus 2.5

2.4 CUF System Verification Testing 2.8

2.5 Experimental Approach 2.8

2.6 Sample Analyses 2.13

3.0 Ultrafiltration and Washing Results 3.1

3.1 Crossflow Filtration Results 3.1

3.1.1 Low Solids Loading Matrix Results 3.1

3.1.2 De-Watering from Low to High Solids Loading 3.3

3.1.3 High-Solids-Loading Matrix Results 3.4

3.1.4 Filtration Results During the Washing and Caustic Leaching Steps 3.7

3.1.5 Statistical Analysis 3.9

3.2 Sludge-Washing and Caustic-Leaching Results 3.11

4.0 Physical-Properties and Rheological-Properties Testing 4.1

4.1 Physical-Properties Analysis 4.1

4.2 Rheological and Flow Properties 4.4

5.0 Particle Size Distribution 5.1

5.1 Experimental 5.1

5.2 Particle Size Distribution Results 5.2

6.0 Conclusions 6.1

7.0 References 7.1

Appendix A: CUF Filtration, Washing and Leaching Test Instructions and Laboratory Record Book ... A.1

Appendix B: Testing Mass Balance B.1

Appendix C: Analytical Results C.1

Appendix D: Crossflow Filtration Raw Data D.1

Appendix E: Physical Properties Test Instruction E.1

Appendix F: Rheograms for AZ-102 and Standards F.1

Appendix G: Key Personnel Affiliated with AZ-102 Testing G.1

Appendix H: Particle Size Distribution Simulant Recipes and Experimental Raw Data H.1

Figures

Figure 2.1. Flowsheet of Initial Compositing 2.2
Figure 2.2. Crossflow Filtration Process Flow Diagram 2.7
Figure 2.3. AZ-102 Crossflow Filtration Test Experimental Steps 2.9
Figure 3.1. Filtrate Flux as a Function of Time for the Low Solids Matrix 3.2
Figure 3.2. Initial, Average, and Final Filtrate Flux for Each Condition in the Low Solids Matrix 3.3
Figure 3.3. Filtrate Flux as a Function of Time for the High Solids Matrix 3.5
Figure 3.4. Filtrate Flux as a Function of Axial Velocity for the High Solids Matrix at ~30 psid TMP .. 3.6
Figure 3.5. Initial, Average, and Final Filtrate Flux for Each Condition in the High-Solids Matrix 3.7
Figure 3.6. Filtrate Flux Comparison between High and Low Solids Loading Matrices 3.8
Figure 5.1. Cumulative Under-Size Percentage Distribution for AZ-102 Slurries Using the Microtrac X-100 ..... 5.3
Figure 5.2. Volume-Weighted Distribution for AZ-102 Slurries Using the Microtrac X-100 ..... 5.4
Figure 5.3. Cumulative Under-Size Percentage Distribution for AZ-102 Slurries Using the Microtrac UPA 5.6
Figure 5.4. Histogram of the Volume- Weighted AZ-102 Slurries Using the Microtrac X-100 at 40 and 60 mL/s Circulation Flow Rate 5.9
Figure 5.5. Histogram of the Number-Weighted AZ-102 Slurries Using the Microtrac X-100 at 40 and 60 mL/s Circulation Flow Rate 5.10
Figure 5.6. Histogram of the Volume Weighted AZ-102 Slurries before and after Sonication Using the Microtrac X-100 ..... 5.11
Figure 5.7. Histogram of the Number Weighted AZ-102 Slurries before and after Sonication Using the Microtrac X-100 ..... 5.12

Tables

Table 2.1. AZ-102 Composite Initial Physical Properties Data 2.1
Table 2.2. Test Conditions for Low-Solids-Loading Matrix [(a)] 2.10
Table 2.3. Test Conditions for High-Solids-Loading Matrix[(a)] 2.11
Table 2.4. Samples and Analyses Performed 2.13
Table 3.1. Average Filtrate Flux for Low Solids Matrix (~5.1 wt%) 3.1
Table 3.2. Average Filtrate Flux for High-Solids Matrix (~13.7 wt%) 3.4
Table 3.3. Average Filtrate Flux for Dewatering During Washing and Caustic Leach 3.9
Table 3.4. Non-Radioactive Component Concentrations 3.12
Table 3.5. Radioactive Component Concentrations 3.15
Table 3.6. Distribution of Non-Radioactive Analytes in the Wash Steps 3.17
Table 3.7. Distribution of Radioactive Analytes in the Wash Steps 3.19
Table 3.8. Mass Recovery 3.20
Table 4.1. Density Measurements for Samples of AZ-102 ..... 4.2
Table 4.2. Wt% and Vol% Solids Measurements for Samples of AZ-102 ..... 4.2
Table 4.3. Results of Wt% Residual Solids and Undissolved Solids Calculation Following Drying at 105°C for 24 H for Samples of AZ-102 ..... 4.4
Table 4.4. Results for Yield Stress and Viscosity at 33 sec^{-1} for Samples of AZ-102 Slurry Along with Observed Onset of Taylor Vortices 4.6
Table 5.1. Surrogate Supernatant Composition 5.2
Table 5.2. Peak Mode Location for Volume-Weighted Particle Distribution of AZ-102 Samples 5.5
Table 5.3. Peak Mode Location for Number-Weighted Particle Distribution of AZ-102 Samples 5.7

1.0 Introduction

British Nuclear Fuels Limited (BNFL) Inc. developed the River Protection Project Waste Treatment Plant (RPP-WTP) flowsheets, which plan to use caustic leaching and/or water washing to pretreat the Envelope D[(a)] Hanford sludge before high-level waste (HLW) vitrification (DOE-RL 1996). These pretreatment steps reduce the quantity of HLW generated by removing components such as Al, Cr, Na, and P that are soluble either in water or high-temperature caustic and often limit the waste loading in the glass.

The RPP-WTP flowsheets also use crossflow filtration to separate the leach and wash solutions from the solids between each step. Unlike traditional dead-end filtration, which has a declining filtration rate caused by the growth of a filter cake on the surface of the filter medium, in crossflow filtration, the majority of the filter cake is swept away by the fluid flowing across it. This filtration method is especially beneficial when there are very fine particles and when system simplicity is required.

The first objective of this work was to test crossflow filtration using actual Envelope D Hanford tank waste (AZ-102) in a modified cells unit filter (CUF) filtration rig fabricated at Battelle. Similar to the studies done with AW-101, AN-107, and C-104, the permeability of the diluted AZ-102 sludge was evaluated for both high and low solids concentrations as a function of transmembrane pressure (TMP), axial velocity, and time through a single-element 0.1-μm Mott filter (Brooks et al. 1999; Hallen et al. 2000; Brooks et al. 2000). The radioactive tests with the single-element CUF unit will provide information for equipment-performance evaluation and a design basis for scaling up of the process.

The second objective of this work was to evaluate washing and leaching characteristics of the AZ-102 sludge. The slurried feed was de-watered and then washed multiple times with 0.01 M NaOH to determine the concentration of water-soluble components. It was subsequently leached with 3 M NaOH at elevated temperatures to determine the concentration of caustic-soluble components. The chemical and radiochemical composition of the filtrate and the final leached solids were measured to determine the efficiency of the filtration, leaching, and washing processes.

This report describes the test apparatus, the experimental approach, the results of the tests, and the chemical and radiochemical analysis of the sludge from Tank AZ-102 and filtrates generated during the washing and caustic-leaching steps.[(b)] This report also provides a means of transmitting to BNFL the completed test instruction and raw filtration and analytical data.

(a) Envelope issues are explained in Specification 7 of Contract No. DE-RP06-96RL13308.

(b) The results presented in this report are based on work conducted under Test Plans TP-29953-069 and TP-29953-075, test instruction TP-29953-076, and Procedure TP-29953-020, Rev 1. Some data are recorded in Laboratory Record Book (LRB) #13745. Conditions for conducting these tests were given in the "AZ-102 Dewatering and Caustic Leach Test Specification," TSP-W375-99-014, Rev 0.

2.0 Test Conditions

Small-scale radioactive crossflow filtration, water-washing, and caustic-leaching tests using slurry samples from Tank AZ-102 were conducted from 1/10/2000 through 1/14/2000. The work was performed in the High Level Radiochemistry Facility (HLRF) hot cells located in the Radiochemical Processing Laboratory (RPL) facilities. Before testing, the material was homogenized and sub samples were pulled for analytical work with the as-received sample. A description of the material preparation and testing are described in the following sections.

2.1 Test Material Preparation

Battelle received samples from Tank AZ-102 from Hanford's 222-S laboratory on September 13, 1999. This material was received in four ~125-mL glass jars. Figure 2.1 lists the sample numbers along with the mass of material recovered from each jar. A total of 538.9 g of material in the jars was transferred to a 1-L glass bottle. This bottle was placed on a standard laboratory rolling mill, and mixed for 29 h and 25 min. The normal temperature in the hot-cell where the mixing process occurred is ~30–35°C.

Following mixing, the material was allowed to settle for slightly less than 4 days. After settling, the total amount of material was ~350-mL, consisting of 300 mL of settled solids and 50 mL of supernate. Approximately 58.32 g of supernate was decanted from the top of the slurry to a container labeled AZ-102 SUP. Three density measurements were made of the supernate and the average density was determined to be 1.14 g/mL. Other properties measured during this phase of testing and their values are shown in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1. AZ-102 Composite Initial Physical Properties Data

Property	Value
Settled Solids	85.7 vol%
Centrifuged Solids	Avg 75.5 vol%
Supernate Dissolved Solids	28 wt%
Supernate Density	Avg 1.14 g/mL
Approximate Undissolved Solids wt%	25 wt%
Approximate Composite wt% Solids	46 wt%




Figure 2.1. Flowsheet of Initial Compositing

The slurry remaining in AZ-102 COMP was stirred, and two ~8 mL samples were pulled with a wide-mouth pipet to verify physical homogeneity by comparing solid/liquid ratios. The two samples were put directly into centrifuge tubes and centrifuged for an hour at 1000X-G. The two subsamples were found to be 76.5 and 74.6 vol% centrifuged solids. Given that these results varied by less than 2 vol%, the sampling technique was determined to provide representative subsamples. The solids from the centrifuge tubes were recovered into a jar labeled AZ-102 Recovered Solids.

In the original test plan, two composite subsamples were needed for other tasks. The procedure for making composite subsamples involves transferring a target amount of solids material into sample jars and then adding a proportional amount of supernate back in based on the ratio of settled solids to supernatant in the original container. This procedure has been determined through previous testing to be the most consistent way to acquire repeatable representative slurry samples. The two composite subsamples were named AZ-102 MU and AZ-102 GL. Each had a target wt. of 50.0 g settled solids. The final compositions were 50.05 g of solids and 6.21 g supernate in AZ-102 MU and 49.66 g of solids and 6.05 g of supernate in AZ-102 GL. Additionally, 28.23 g of supernate was transferred from AZ-102 SUP to a bottle labeled AZ-102 SUP MU for supernatant analysis. After the supernate sample and two composites were prepared, the remaining supernate was added back to AZ-102 COMP, which was slated for CUF testing.

It was later determined that there were not enough solids to make the required amount of glass following CUF testing. Therefore, part of the solids from the composite subsamples would have to be used as CUF testing material. The work for which AZ-102 GL had been prepared was canceled and this material was used for CUF testing. AZ-102 MU was the sample intended for analytical testing. It was determined that the analytical work could be completed with less than 50.0 g of solids if extra supernate was provided. So a new bottle was labeled AZ-102 SUP-B, and 23.09 g of supernate from AZ-102 COMP and 7.18 g of supernate from AZ-102 SUP were transferred into it. Then AZ-102 MU was mixed with a steel scoop, and 27.3 g was transferred into a centrifuge tube labeled AZ-102 MU. From this point on in the report, these will be referred to as AZ-102 MU (CUF) and AZ-102 MU (analytical), respectively. AZ-102 MU (analytical) was analyzed to determine the starting composition. AZ-102 MU (CUF) was added to the CUF.

The original bottles and all tools were rinsed with 0.01 M NaOH into a bottle labeled AZ-102 Recovered Solids. AZ-102 Recovered Solids was added to the CUF during testing before the second testing matrix.

2.1.1 Observations

The AZ-102 material that was used for these tests is very cohesive/adhesive in nature. It was hard to transfer it into separate containers because the material would form a thick clinging layer on all the tools and sides of the vessels.

The material also forms strong agglomerations when it is allowed to sit for a few days. The first part of the composite work was finished toward the end of 1 week, and it was decided to pull some samples at the beginning of the next week. After the weekend when the material was being re-mixed, a couple of large lumps were observed. They broke apart without too much effort, and it was assumed that somehow they had been missed in the original mixing, even though the initial mixing had appeared quite thorough.

However, when AZ-102 MU was split after having sat for a couple of weeks, it was filled with hard grainy agglomerates that had not been present when the sample was pulled. These agglomerates varied in size, some as large as ~1 mm in diameter. These agglomerates were concentrated primarily in the bottom of the container. Attempts were made to break them apart during the re-mixing of the material, including vigorous stirring and compressing them between the jar wall and the steel scoop, with only moderate success.

2.2 Overview of Testing

For the AZ-102 crossflow filtration experiments, measurements of filtrate flux as a function of TMP and crossflow velocity were recorded for both a dilute and a concentrated slurry. The dilute feed was 5.1 wt% solids, and the concentrated feed was 13.7 wt% solids[a]. Due to minimum volume constraints in the CUF and the small quantity of AZ-102 sludge available, the high solids concentration target of 20 wt% solids could not be achieved. The filtrate was recycled back into the feed tank to maintain the steady-state solids concentration for testing. Each condition was run for 60 min with data taken at 10-minute intervals. The system was back-pulsed at least twice between each condition, and not backpulsed at any time during the condition. The slurry temperature was maintained at 25 ± 5°C for all filtrate testing.

The filtration test target conditions presented later in Tables 2.2 and 2.3 were based on an empirically derived matrix to determine the optimum de-watering conditions for the feed slurry. A 5-point matrix around the center-point at 50 psid and 12.2 ft/s tested the conditions of TMP (30 psid, 50 psid, 70 psid) and axial velocity (9.1 ft/s, 12.2 ft/s, 15.2 ft/s). However, the air pressure available and pump capability, even with the air booster, was insufficient to achieve the target velocities and pressures with the AZ-102 material. Therefore, some of the matrix points are at lower velocities.

It was hypothesized that the subsurface filter fouling (that cannot be removed by simple backpulsing) may have influenced the selection of optimum de-watering conditions as each test was conducted. To incorporate error introduced by the subsurface filter fouling, and to account for such errors in selecting an optimum de-watering condition, the center-point was used for the initial testing conditions and repeated for the final testing conditions.

The initial AZ-102 material exhibited some unexpected physical properties that affected the testing at several stages. The AZ-102 sludge composite was very cohesive and tended to form agglomerates when it settled. This may have caused problems with material transfer and with plugging and flow fluctuations in the CUF. It also could have affected the rheology measurements. After all CUF processing was complete, it was noted that the material entrained air in quantities large enough to increase its volume by almost a third. This entrained air may have been the result of pumping in the CUF. It is possible that part of the reason the target flowrates could not be reached during testing was due to these two unexpected physical properties, although entrained air was only observed at the conclusion of testing.

Following the filtration tests, the slurry was washed with 0.01 M NaOH at 25°C in the CUF system. This was accomplished though consecutive additions of the washing solution to the CUF slurry feed tank,

(a) Solids concentrations presented in this report are reported on an insoluble solids basis unless specifically delineated. Insoluble solids concentration is calculated by subtracting out the dissolved solids from the total solids.

followed by the removal of an equal amount of liquid as permeate using the crossflow filter. The filtrate from these washes was collected in three separate containers, and each was sampled for analysis.

The slurry was then put though a caustic leaching process. The slurry was transferred from the CUF to a separate leaching container, combined with NaOH to produce a slurry consisting of ~3 M OH^-, heated to 85°C, and held with steady mixing for 8 h. The leached slurry was then transferred back into the CUF, and de-watered. Following leaching, two 8-h, 0.01 M NaOH rinse cycles were performed at 85°C to reduce the dissolved solids contained in the interstitial liquid.

The available solids and the minimum operating volume of the CUF (800 to 900 mL) limited the maximum solids concentration that could be attained in the CUF for the second matrix and the final slurry.

All wash solutions and slurry samples from selected process points were analyzed for chemical and radiochemical constituents. Additional samples were obtained to determine rheological properties and to verify the approximate solids loading at specified points. These samples were added back to the system after completion of the procedure when possible.

The final washed sludge was transferred into a storage container for melter feed rheological studies and HLW vitrification tests.

2.3 Testing Apparatus

Crossflow filtration testing of the feed was conducted on a Battelle-constructed CUF, with the following specifications:

- single tube filter module, 24-in. tube; 3/8-in. ID
- 0.1-µm Mott liquid-service stainless steel filter
- re-circulation flow such that 5 m/s (15 ft/s) maximum linear crossflow velocity could be achieved with water; however, with the AZ-102 slurry, the target velocities (9.1–15.2 ft/s) could not be achieved.
- maximum TMP 80 psid with water.

A process flow diagram of the CUF is shown in Figure 2.2. The slurry feed is introduced into the CUF through the slurry reservoir. An Oberdorfer progressive cavity pump (powered by an air motor) pumped the slurry from the slurry reservoir through the magnetic flow meter and the filter element. Three baffles were installed in the slurry reservoir to prevent vortex formations. The axial velocity and TMP were controlled by the pump speed (which was controlled by the pressure of the air supplied to the air motor) and the throttle valve position. An air booster was added outside of the hot cell to increase the building pressure to the air motor. This was done in an attempt to achieve higher axial velocities during actual slurry testing. During operation, the air booster created a pulsation at an approximate rate of 1 per second and a TMP of 5–10 psig. These pressure fluctuations may have impacted the filtration characteristics, although a test performed during the C-104 filtration compared this effect and indicated that it did not (Brooks et al. 2000).

Deionized (DI) water and dilute caustic (0.01 M NaOH) additions into the CUF were made by adding pre-weighed volumes in through a chemical addition tank located outside the hot cell. The chemical addition tank was hard piped into the cell where a long piece of flexible tubing was attached that could be gravity drained into the slurry reservoir. Concentrated caustic or acid solutions were added to the CUF using pre-filled polyethylene bottles transferred manually into the cell.

Filtrate that passes through the filter can be either sent to the back-pulse chamber, reconstituted with the slurry in the slurry reservoir, or removed. The filtrate flow rate is measured by means of a graduated glass-flow monitor that is fill-and-drain operated. Higher filtrate flow rates can be monitored with an in-line rotometer. Filtrate samples are taken at the three-way valve upstream from the slurry reservoir. This is also the point at which filtrate is removed for the de-watering step. Filter back-pulsing was conducted by partially filling the back-pulse chamber with filtrate, pressurizing the back-pulse chamber with air, and forcing the filtrate in the back-pulse chamber back through the filter.

During the majority of the testing with the CUF, the slurry temperature was maintained at 25 ± 5°C by flowing cooling water in jackets around the slurry reservoir and through a jacket surrounding the tube between the magnetic flow meter and the filter. The slurry temperature was measured by a thermocouple installed in the slurry reservoir and controlled by a 1000-watt chiller. When filtering wash solutions at elevated temperatures (85°C), the chiller was turned off and heat tape surrounding the slurry reservoir and pump inlet tubing heated. The temperature was then maintained using a proportional-integral-derivative controller (PID) temperature controller.

The elevated-temperature caustic leaches/washes were performed in a large 2-L stainless steel beaker. The slurry in the stainless steel container was heated on a hotplate while being continuously stirred with a mixing blade. A thermocouple, immersed in the slurry, measured temperature and fed the data into the temperature controller. This allowed for automatic temperature control for the 8-h wash cycles. To minimize evaporation loss, a stainless steel lid with a small hole for the mixer shaft was used.




Figure 2.2. Crossflow Filtration Process Flow Diagram

The critical test measuring equipment included

- a magnetic flow meter to measure slurry re-circulation rate
- two flow meters to measure the filtrate flow rate at high and low levels
- three pressure gauges to measure the filter module inlet, filter module outlet, and filtrate pressures
- two thermocouples to measure the slurry temperature in the CUF and in the leaching beaker.

All measuring equipment was calibrated, and the calibration information was recorded in the test-instruction document.

2.4 CUF System Verification Testing

Testing to establish a baseline filtrate flux was conducted with de-mineralized filtered water in the CUF at 20, 10, and 30 psid. The filtrate fluxes for these tests averaged 1.25, 0.55, and 1.54 gpm/ft^2, respectively. These fluxes were lower than the fluxes obtained with water before the start of previous testing with a C-104 sample, which averaged 1.59, 0.95, and 2.38 gpm/ft^2, respectively. This may indicate that a certain amount of irreversible fouling was occurring in the filter. The water testing showed very little filtrate flux reduction over the 60-min test time for the 20-psid test and 30-min test time for the 10-psid test. However, over the 30-min test at 30 psid, the flux dropped from 1.70 to 1.34. The majority of this drop was seen over the last 10-minute increment. It was decided that the CUF was sufficiently clean to begin testing with the AZ-102 sample since attempting to achieve better fluxes would be costly in both time and budget, and the water fluxes were above the required start values.

2.5 Experimental Approach

A flow sheet of the testing is shown in Figure 2.3. The test instruction for this work is found in Appendix A, and a mass-balance spreadsheet is provided in Appendix B.

The homogenized **AZ-102 samples** were added to the CUF along with 0.01 M NaOH to create a slurry at 5.1-wt% insoluble solids concentration.

The AZ-102 material plugged the CUF for the first 20 minutes of operation and could not be pumped smoothly. For several hours after the start of testing, the system continued to show large variations in flow and pressure, indicating continued plugging. These problems could be either due to agglomerates in the slurry or just its high solids loading and cohesive nature. Once the flow and pressure readings had stabilized, it was noted that the target flowrate of 12.2 ft/s at 50 psid for the first condition could not be reached even with the help of an air booster. After several attempts to increase the flowrate, testing of the first matrix began with the highest flowrate achievable. During the first three hours of testing, occasional plugging of the CUF throttle valve occurred, resulting in pressure spikes. After that time, steady-state pressures and velocities were more easily achievable.

The first testing matrix to determine the optimum de-watering conditions for dilute slurry conditions was run at five combinations of TMP and crossflow velocity with two conditions being repeated to assess the effect of filter fouling over the course of testing (see Table 2.2). The system was back-pulsed two to four times between each condition. It was not backpulsed during the 1-hour test condition itself. The cognizant engineer determined the required amount of back-pulses required based on the drop in flux during the previous condition and on the amount of recovery achieved with the first two back-pulses. The filtrate flux results are found in Section 3.1.




Figure 2.3. AZ-102 Crossflow Filtration Test Experimental Steps

Table 2.2. Test Conditions for Low-Solids-Loading Matrix [(a)]

Condition #	Target Flowrate (ft/s)	Average Velocity (ft/s)	Target Pressure (psid)	Average Pressure (psid)
1	12.2	9.47	50	49.11
2	9.1	7.58	30	30.00
3	15.2	7.11	70	70.29
4	15.2	7.96	30	30.43
5	9.1	NA	70	NA
6	12.2	8.57	50	51.43
7	13.1[(b)]	13.13	30[(b)]	29.50

(a) Air Booster used to attain required pressure and flow.
(b) Determined to be the optimum condition.

An external air-booster was used originally for the test conditions, requiring both a high TMP and a high flow rate. However, since none of the targets could be reached with the AZ-102 even with the air-booster, it was used for all the conditions. Furthermore, Condition 5 was not performed during this testing matrix because it would have only been a replicate of Condition 3, since higher than 7.1 ft/s axial velocity was not possible at 70 psid transmembrane pressure. The test was moved directly to Condition 6.

After completion of the first test matrix, the slurry was de-watered, and the filtrate was collected in a bottle labeled **AZ-102 Filtrate #1**. Samples **AZ-102 GL, AZ-102 MU** and the Recovered Solids were then added to the CUF. The recovered solids material was approximately 10 vol% settled solids. The slurry was again dewatered, and the filtrate from the second de-watering processes was collected in **AZ-102 Filtrate #2**. Representative samples of these filtrates and the final combined slurry were taken for analysis. The combined slurry filtered during this second dewatering step contained agglomerates that plugged the system. The system had to be manually pulsed by repeated stop and starts of the pump for almost 45 min before steady consistent operation of the CUF was possible. The slurry was allowed to mix for an additional 15 min before proceeding with this second de-watering step.

This concentrated slurry had an insoluble solids concentration calculated at 13.7 wt%. It was run through a second test matrix to determine optimum de-watering conditions at these higher solids loading. Testing procedures for Matrix 2 were identical to the testing for Matrix 1. Since the target conditions could not be reached for Matrix 1, new achievable targets were decided upon for Matrix 2. The targeted and actual conditions are shown in Table 2.3. Once again, Condition 5 was not performed due to the difficulty in attaining high axial velocities at 70-psid transmembrane pressure.

Twenty minutes into Condition 6, the transmembrane pressure suddenly increased to >100 psid. Upon adjusting back to the original pressure setpoint, it was discovered that the maximum flowrate was up by about 20%. While this increase did not bring the flowrate up to the target, it was higher than had been achievable for any of the previous conditions. Therefore, after the completion of Condition 6, another condition was added with the pressure at 30 psid and the flowrate as high as possible. The sudden spike in pressure and corresponding jump in maximum attainable flowrate may indicate that a partial plug may have existed in the system, which was restricting flowrate throughout the previous testing. This plug may have broken loose 20 min into Condition 6, increasing the flow rate and the quantity of small particles to foul the filter. It is also possible that during Condition 6, air was suddenly entrained into the

sample, thus increasing the flowrate and blinding the filter with air bubbles. These hypotheses cannot be tested, but would be consistent with the previously noted nature of this material and observation of its performance in the CUF during the course of the test.

Table 2.3. Test Conditions for High-Solids-Loading Matrix[a]

Condition #	Original Target Flowrate (ft/s)	Altered – Target Flowrate (ft/s)	Average Flowrate (ft/s)	Target Pressure (psid)	Average Pressure
1	12.2	7.55	7.87	50	51.07
2	9.1	6.39	6.59	30	29.00
3	15.2	5.81	5.96	70	67.74
4	15.2	As High As Possible	8.45	30	30.29
5	9.1	NA	NA	70	NA
6	12.2	As High As Possible	8.98	50	47.83
7	NA – Added Test	As High As Possible	11.53	30[b]	29.36

(a) Air Booster used to attain required pressure and flow.
(b) Determined to be the optimum condition.

The slurry was washed three times with dilute caustic following the second test matrix. The total wash volume was divided into three parts and added batch-wise to the CUF. Each wash volume was approximately 900 mL. After each addition, the system was de-watered into a labeled bottle until a similar volume was removed. The wash water was added directly into the mixing tank.

After completion of the water-washing steps, the slurry was removed from the CUF and leached at 3 M NaOH in a separate vessel at 85°C for 8 h. To reach the 3 M NaOH target, 609.16 g of 7.37 M NaOH was added the slurry.

To rinse residual solids from the CUF, the slurry was pumped into the leaching beaker, and then the NaOH was added to the CUF and allowed to mix. This solution was then pumped into the leaching beaker to mix with the slurry. This resulted in a slurry with a calculated value of 5.9 wt% insoluble solids in the leaching beaker. The temperature of the slurry was raised to 85 ± 5°C and maintained there for 8 h. The slurry was continuously stirred with a mixer blade throughout the caustic leaching. Approximately 15 min before the end of the leaching period, the heat tape on the CUF was activated to pre-warm the system so that slurry could be maintained at 85 ± 5°C for the de-watering process. After 8 h, the slurry was weighed, and the amount of liquid lost through evaporation was determined. The slurry was then transferred back into the CUF for de-watering back to original solids concentration (~10 wt% solids). The amount of liquid lost through evaporation was used to rinse remaining solids out of the leach container into the CUF.

The slurry was pumped around in the CUF and heated to return its temperature to 85 ± 5°C before the de-watering process was started. Filtrate flux, TMP, temperature, and flow rate data were taken every 10 min during all de-watering steps when possible.

Following the caustic leaching steps, the solids were washed twice with 0.01 M NaOH. Each dilute caustic wash was conducted in the same manner as the caustic leach. Dilute Caustic Wash #1 consisted of 1390 g of 0.01 M NaOH added to the slurry. This produced a calculated solids concentration of ~4 wt% in the leaching beaker. The solution was heated to ~85°C and stirred for a period of 8 h, then de-watered to the pre-wash concentration. Dilute Caustic Wash #2 consisted of 1400.00 g of 0.01 M NaOH added to the slurry. The solution was heated to 85 ± 5°C and held for a period of 8 h, then de-watered at 85 ± 5°C to the pre-wash concentration. For both washes, the amount of liquid lost through evaporation was used to rinse remaining solids out of the leach container into the CUF. After the final wash, an additional ~130 mL of water was added to the system in an attempt to remove all the solids from the leaching vessel. Duplicate sub-samples of each permeate were taken and analyzed for soluble components removed.

Approximately 577 g of final washed and leached AZ-102 sludge was drained from the CUF and collected into a 1-L bottle. The CUF was rinsed once with 500 mL of DI water, and the solids were collected to be settled and recovered. The CUF was then rinsed four more times with 1000 mL of DI water, and the solids from these rinse steps were recovered to the extent possible.

It was noted in both the rheology testing and in the final collection of the material that this sludge has the capacity to retain large amounts of trapped gases (assumed to be air) when mixed in the CUF. The bottle that contained the "Final Washed Sludge" was visually full after transfer from the CUF. After settling overnight, the bottle was a little less than 2/3 full. This indicates that at the time of transfer, the material consisted of approximately 33-vol% entrained air, which was not easily removed in a short period of time.

The CUF was rinsed multiple times with water to remove all of the remaining solids and to recover the initial clean water fluxes. To assist in cleaning the filter, the water was added to the back-pulse chamber and forced backward through the filter. The wash water continued to come out of the CUF brown in color, indicating the presence of fine particles. An external cartridge filter with a 0.05-µm rating was attached to the system. Roughly 2/3 of the flow continued through the CUF, and the remaining 1/3 of the flow was circulated through the cartridge filter in an attempt to remove the remaining solids. This recirculation continued for several hours while intermittently back-pulsing. At the conclusion of this cleaning step, the filtrate flux was measured with clean water. The filtrate flux was significantly below that measured before the AZ-102 test, and it was determined that acid cleaning would be required.

One liter of 1-M HNO_3 was added to the CUF (about 600 mL of that was back-pulsed in) and pumped through the system. The nitric acid was then drained from the CUF and found to be very dark and full of solids. A second batch of ~600 mL of 1 M HNO_3/.2 M Citric acid was added to the CUF and allowed to re-circulate through the system. This second batch of nitric acid was lighter, but still brown. The system was then rinsed until a neutral pH was obtained. The external cartridge filter with a 0.05-µm rating was reattached and run before attempting to get clean water fluxes.

The clean water flux was measured again at 20, 10, and 30 psid. The resultant fluxes over 20-min tests showed a decrease in flux with time, indicating that the system was not yet free of fines. The fluxes averaged 0.3517, 0.2259, and 0.7352 gpm/ft^2, respectively. Comparing these values to the pre-AZ-102 water-test values of 1.25, 0.55, and 1.54 gpm/ft^2, respectively, it is obvious that pre-test conditions were

not achieved. Since this was the last test planned for the CUF, it was decided not to attempting further cleaning. However, it is expected that further cleaning would have eventually been able to achieve about an 80% recovery of pre-AZ-102 test flux capacity, based on the C-104 testing. Further cleaning in the full-scale plant may be required to maintain a high clean-water flux. In the CUF system, the acid can damage the pump stator, so less extensive cleaning is possible.

2.6 Sample Analyses

The samples and associated analyses are shown in Table 2.4. For both permeates and slurry samples, analyses included

- total organic carbon (TOC) and total inorganic carbon (TIC)
- ion chromatography (IC) (for soluble anions)
- inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) (for metals)
- gamma energy analysis (GEA) (^{137}Cs, ^{241}Am)
- strontium chemical separation followed by beta counting (^{90}Sr)
- alpha emission analysis (AEA) (for ^{241}Am, ^{239}Pu)
- total cyanide (CN^-).

The slurry samples were prepared by both acid digestion and KOH fusion to obtain complete dissolution as well as measure the K and Ni concentration.

Table 2.4. Samples and Analyses Performed

Sampling Step	Sampling Number	Sample Type	Analysis
Initial Sludge Composite	AZ-102 MU	Sludge	PSD
	AZ-102 MU		Physical Properties
	AZ-102 MU		Chemical and Radiochemical
Initial Decanted Supernatant	AZ-102 MU SUP	Supernatant	Chemical and Radiochemical
First De-Watering After Matrix 1	CUF-AZ-102-001	Permeate	Chemical and Radiochemical
Second De-Watering After Matrix 1	CUF-AZ-102-003	Permeate	Chemical and Radiochemical
Dewatered Slurry (Before Matrix 2)	CUF-AZ-102-005	Slurry	Physical Properties
	CUF-AZ-102-006		PSD
Dewatered Slurry (After Matrix 2)	NA	Slurry	Rheology
Water Wash 1	CUF- AZ-102-015	Permeate	Chemical and Radiochemical
Water Wash 2	CUF- AZ-102-017	Permeate	Chemical and Radiochemical
Water Wash 3	CUF- AZ-102-019	Permeate	Chemical and Radiochemical
Washed Slurry	CUF- AZ-102-007	Slurry	Chemical and Radiochemical

Sampling Step	Sampling Number	Sample Type	Analysis
Caustic Leach	CUF- AZ-102-009	Permeate	Chemical and Radiochemical
After Caustic Leach	CUF- AZ-102-010 CUF- AZ-102-011	Slurry	Chemical and Radiochemical
	CUF- AZ-102-012		Physical Properties
Caustic Wash 1	CUF- AZ-102-013	Permeate	Chemical and Radiochemical
Caustic Wash 2	CUF- AZ-102-022	Permeate	Chemical and Radiochemical
Final Slurry	CUF- AZ-102-024	Slurry	PSD
	CUF- AZ-102-023 CUF- AZ-102-026		Chemical and Radiochemical
	CUF- AZ-102-025 and Final Rheology		Rheology & Physical Properties
Final Decanted Supernatant	CUF- AZ-102-027	Permeate	Acid Digest/ICP-AES (for Na)

In addition to the above analysis, several additional analyses were performed on the composited initial and final slurry. For these two slurries, a precious metals group (PMG) fusion was also performed to obtain the Pt concentration in the slurry. The additional analysis for these slurry samples included

- inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (for ^{237}Np, ^{126}Sn, ^{129}I, ^{99}Tc, Pr, Rb, Ta, Pt)
- extraction and Beta Count for ^{3}H
- combustion release and beta count (for ^{14}C)
- cold vapor atomic adsorption spectroscopy (for Hg)
- ammonia concentration.

In addition to the analysis performed with the permeates, the original sample decanted supernatant analysis included the following:

- ICP-MS (for ^{237}Np, ^{129}I, ^{99}Tc)
- cold vapor atomic adsorption spectroscopy (for Hg)
- separations and beta counting for ^{99}Tc
- ammonia concentration.

The physical analyses of the slurries included density, weight percent dissolved and total solids, and volume percent settled and centrifuged solids. The results of these analyses, along with a further description of the experimental steps, are provided in Section 4.0. The rheological work measured shear stress as a function of shear rate. The particle size distribution (PSD) measurements were performed for selected samples using Microtrac X-100 and Microtrac UPA particle analyzers. The results of this work along with further description of the experimental steps are provided in Section 5.0.

3.0 Ultrafiltration and Washing Results

This section is divided into two subsections: crossflow filtration results, and dilute caustic-washing and caustic-leaching results. The physical property and rheological results, and the particle size distribution results are presented in Sections 4.0 and 5.0, respectively

3.1 Crossflow Filtration Results

Two crossflow filtration matrices were conducted at low and high solids loading. During these matricies, filtrate fluxes were measured. Filtrate fluxes were also measured during the washing and leaching steps. These results are presented in the sections below.

3.1.1 Low Solids Loading Matrix Results

The low solids loading matrix consisted of six conditions. All were performed at 5.1-wt% insoluble solids concentration, and each was 1 hour in duration. The average filtrate fluxes from these conditions are shown in Table 3.1. As mentioned in Section 2.5, Condition 5 was not performed for this matrix. A graph of the filtrate flux as a function of time for all seven conditions is shown in Figure 3.1. The high initial flux rates drop within a few minutes to a lower, more consistent flux rate that slowly decreases over time. For comparison of test conditions, the flux rate was averaged over the 1-h run time, except for the first 10 min of operation. All the flux data presented in this section have been corrected to 25°C using the following formula provided by BNFL to correct for viscosity and surface tension changes:

$$Flux_{25C} = Flux_T e^{2500\left(\frac{1}{273+T}-\frac{1}{298}\right)} \tag{3.1}$$

where $Flux_{25C}$ is the corrected filtrate flux, and T is the temperature (in °C) at the flux measurement ($Flux_T$). All of the raw data for the filtrate flux measurements are included in Appendix D.

Table 3.1. Average Filtrate Flux for Low Solids Matrix (~5.1 wt%)

Condition #	Average Velocity (ft/s)	Average Pressure (psid)	Average Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft^2)
1	9.5	49.1	0.126
2	7.6	30.0	0.082
3	7.1	70.3	0.046
4	8.0	30.4	0.055
5	NA	NA	NA
6	8.6	51.4	0.071
7	13.1	29.5	0.078

NA = Not performed




Figure 3.1. Filtrate Flux as a Function of Time for the Low Solids Matrix

The initial condition (49.1 psid, 9.5 ft/s) had the highest average filtrate flux. As with previous crossflow filtration studies (Brooks et al. 1999; Brooks et al. 2000; Geeting and Reynolds 1997) on Hanford tank wastes, during each condition and between conditions, the filtrate flux steadily decreases over time. This was evidenced by the decrease in filtrate flux that was observed in Conditions #1 and #6 and Conditions #2 and #4. With similar pressure and slightly lower velocity, Condition #6 (51.4 psid, 8.6 ft/s) had a filtrate flux 44% lower than Condition #1. Condition #2 (30.0 psid, 7.6 ft/s) and Condition #4 (30.4 psid, 8.0 ft/s) were also nearly identical in pressure and velocity. In this case, there was a 32% decrease in filtrate flux from Condition #2 to Condition #4.

The initial, final, and average filtrate flux results are shown in Figure 3.2. The first four conditions show a linear decrease in initial filtrate flux in spite of backpulsing and varied TMPs. A similar linear decrease in average filtrate flux was seen with the first three conditions. This decrease was probably related more to filter fouling and the influence of particle break-up than from changes in TMP. Thus, no trend in TMP can be seen from the first three data points over the range of 30 to 70 psid. This result may indicate the need for higher backpulsing pressure and frequency to prevent this irreversible fouling.

In comparing the initial, average, and final flux values for all conditions, it can be concluded that after approximately 2-3 hours of slurry circulation in the CUF, the solids are eroded to a stable finer particle size distribution (See Section 5.1). The evidence for this assumption is determined by the rate at which equilibrium is established during one hour of circulation. During Conditions 1 & 2, the flux declines slowly over time. For Conditions 3-7, the equilibrium flux is reached more quickly. Thus in the later cases, the resistance of the deposited layer on the membrane surface is relatively unchanged during the one hour of circulation (Tarleton and Wakeman 1994).




Figure 3.2. Initial, Average, and Final Filtrate Flux for Each Condition in the Low Solids Matrix

Conditions #4, #6, and #7 better illustrate the influence of pressure and velocity. The lower pressure and velocity of Condition #4 (30.4 psid, 8.0 ft/s) yielded a lower filtrate flux than Conditions #6 and #7, with their higher pressure or axial velocity, respectively. The high pressure and low velocity of Condition #6 (51.4 psid, 8.6 ft/s) appeared to offset the high velocity and lower pressure of Condition #7 (29.5 psid, 13.1 ft/s) for nearly identical average filtrate fluxes. The increase seen between Condition #4 and #6 with higher transmembrane pressure would indicate a possible small, but measurable, influence of pressure on filtrate flux.

3.1.2 De-Watering from Low to High Solids Loading

The slurry was de-watered in two steps, once on the initial slurry containing AZ-102 Comp (the initial feed), and again on the slurry after it contained all AZ-102 sludge material to be used, including AZ-102 MU, AZ-102 GL, and the recovery jar. The initial de-watering removed approximately 950 mL of supernatant at a filtrate flux of 0.094 gpm/ft^2. These filtrate flux measurements were performed at 48 psid and 10.2 ft/s. The results were approximately 30% higher than those seen for similar material during

Condition #6. The solids concentration varied from 5.1 wt% to approximately 9.2 wt% solids during the dewatering step. This increase in filtrate flux in spite of the higher solids loading may be the result of the higher axial velocity (10.2 ft/s vs. 8.6 ft/s). The second de-watering step removed an additional 730 mL of supernatant. The TMP was very similar to the first dewatering step, but the average axial velocity was much lower (5.7 vs. 10.2 ft/s) and decreased over time (from 7.3 to 4.3 ft/s). The filtrate flux for this step decreased from 0.067 to 0.024 gpm/ft^2. During this de-watering, the solids concentration increased from an estimated 8.7- to 13.7-wt% solids.

3.1.3 High-Solids-Loading Matrix Results

The second filtration matrix was performed after the slurry had been de-watered to a calculated solids concentration of 13.7 wt% solids. This matrix consisted of six conditions. As mentioned in Section 2.5, Condition 5 was not performed for this matrix. The first and sixth conditions were repeated to evaluate filter fouling during the course of the testing. The average filtrate fluxes for this matrix are shown in Table 3.2. The filtrate fluxes as a function of time are shown in Figure 3.3. As done previously, each condition was performed over the course of 1 h with 3 to 4 backpulses between each condition.

Table 3.2. Average Filtrate Flux for High-Solids Matrix (~13.7 wt%)

Condition #	Average Velocity (ft/s)	Average Pressure (psid)	Average Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft^2)
1	7.9	51.1	0.032
2	6.6	29.0	0.028
3	6.0	67.7	0.030
4	8.4	30.3	0.038
6	9.0	47.8	0.020
7	11.5	29.4	0.050

Unlike the previous matrix, the highest average flux did not occur at the first condition. Instead, it occurred at the condition of highest axial velocity (Condition 7). Once again, the average filtrate flux appeared to decrease over the entire matrix as evidenced in the decrease in filtrate flux that was observed in Conditions #1 and #6. With nearly identical pressure and increased velocity in Condition #6, there was a 38% decrease between the two conditions. Some of this decrease could be associated with a sudden change that occurred to the testing apparatus 23 min into the Condition. There was a spike in the pressure and then immediately the flow increased from 7.8 ft/s to 10.2 ft/s. The filtrate flux decreased by approximately 50%. It is postulated that build-up of particles somewhere in the system suddenly broke free, allowing an increase in flow and further coating the filter. This in turn resulted in a decrease in filtrate flux. A second postulate is that a sudden increase in entrained air in the slurry blinded the filter, resulting in a decrease in filtrate flux, although entrained air or its effects were noted only at the conclusion of testing.




Figure 3.3. Filtrate Flux as a Function of Time for the High Solids Matrix

The first three data points provide some indication as to the effect of TMP at constant axial velocity. The nearly constant filtrate flux seems to indicate that the filtrate flux was not impacted by TMP over the range from 30 to 70 psid.

A comparison between Conditions #2, #4, and #7 provides an indication of the effect of axial velocity at nearly constant TMP. With increased axial velocity, there was a significant increase in filtrate flux. This trend can best be seen in Figure 3.4 where filtrate flux was plotted as a function of axial velocity. The resulting graph is nearly linear. Overall, for the high solids matrix, the optimum condition was achieved at low pressures and high axial velocities.




Figure 3.4. Filtrate Flux as a Function of Axial Velocity for the High Solids Matrix at ~30 psid TMP

The initial, final, and average filtrate-flux results are shown in Figure 3.5. The initial filtrate flux for the first condition was significantly higher than all subsequent conditions. Condition 7 also has a slightly higher initial filtrate flux, possibly due to the significantly higher axial velocity, which removes more material during backpulsing. The remaining conditions were relatively constant in initial filtrate flux.




Figure 3.5. Initial, Average, and Final Filtrate Flux for Each Condition in the High-Solids Matrix

The filtrate fluxes for this high solids loading test matrix were lower than those seen in the previous matrix. With the 2.7-fold increase in solids concentration, the filtration flux decreased by an average of 2.3-fold (see Figure 3.6). In the case of Conditions #1, #2, and #6, the differences were larger.

3.1.4 Filtration Results During the Washing and Caustic Leaching Steps

Washing with dilute caustic was performed in three steps. In each step, approximately 900 mL was added to the CUF, and then an equal quantity of filtrate was removed. During each of the steps, the solids concentration should increase from approximately 7% up to 11%, assuming minimal solids dissolution during washing. The average filtrate fluxes for these washing steps are presented in Table 3.3.




Figure 3.6. Average Filtrate Flux Comparison between High and Low Solids Loading Matrices

Table 3.3. Average Filtrate Flux for Dewatering During Washing and Caustic Leach

Step	Average Velocity (ft/s)	Average Pressure (psid)	Average Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft^2)	High T Filtrate Flux Corrected for Temperature
Wash 1	7.4	53.2	0.038	(b)
Wash 2	7.3	49.8	0.028	(b)
Wash 3	4.0	48.2	0.014	(b)
Caustic Leach	8.8	31.0	0.17[(a)]	0.042
Caustic Wash 1	8.4	29.5	0.17[(a)]	0.042

(a) Performed at 85°C. Filtrate flux was not corrected for temperature using Equation 3.1.
(b) Performed near 25°C and corrected for temperature using Equation 3.1.

Each washing step required 20 to 40 min to remove the 900 mL of filtrate. With each washing step, the average filtrate flux decreased. In the case of Wash 3, this was the highest the pump would operate. The larger reduction in filtrate flux for this case was probably due to the significant reduction in average velocity.

Filtrate flux data were also taken during the caustic leach and first caustic wash de-watering steps. During these tests, the filtration was performed at elevated temperatures. The filtrate flux rates at 85°C, not corrected for the elevated temperature, are shown in Table 3.3. Overall, the insoluble solids concentration was increased from ~5.9 to ~8.3 wt% solids in the case of the caustic leach and from ~4.0 to ~8.8 wt% in the case of the first caustic wash. In both cases, the filtrate flux was much higher than matrices and de-watering done previously, indicating a possible significant increase in plant throughput as a result of higher operational temperatures. Elevated temperatures may, however, dissolve some solids that would reprecipitate in the filtrate after it is cooled. Using the correction in Equation 3.1, the filtrate flux was normalized to adjust the flux value on the same temperature basis. The resultant flux was reduced by approximately 75% and became more in line with the filtrate flux of Condition #1 in the low-solids test matrix.

3.1.5 Statistical Analysis

The goal of the statistical analysis is to estimate the error associated with these tests and to develop a model that adequately predicts the average flux for AZ-102 over the range of conditions studied.

The standard deviation estimate for the overall test was calculated by assuming that the change in flux with respect to time was constant after the first 10 min of each condition and calculating the standard deviation for each run. While this is not entirely true since the filtrate flux continues to decline slowly over time, it does provide a means of estimating the error associated with testing while the process is at steady-state operation. These individual condition standard deviations were then pooled over all 12 conditions using the formulas:

$$s_p = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{i=1}^{n_i}(y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{k}(n_j - 1)}} \qquad \text{or} \qquad s_p = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k}(n_j - 1)s_j^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{k}(n_j - 1)}} \tag{3.1}$$

where the *y*'s are the filtrate flux values, s's are the standard deviations for an individual condition, the n's are the number of observations in each run condition, and in our case, $k = 12$ since there were 12 sludge/run conditions. Using the above formula with all 77 data points taken, the pooled standard deviation was calculated to be 0.00786 gpm/ft^2.

There were 10 filtrate-flux determinations made for Condition #7 at the 5.1% sludge concentration level. Of these, 2 were taken at the 41-min mark, and 4 were taken at the 60-min mark. In contrast to the previous data, these data points should provide a reasonable estimate of the standard deviation with a reduced effect of decline due to time. A pooled estimate for standard deviation was calculated using these points and was found to be 0.00681 gpm/ft^2.

A statistical model can be used to understand the important factors, predict filtrate-flux performance, and control for the effects particular to the CUF test and equipment that would not be seen in actual operation (i.e., run number). Four possible factors were evaluated: linear velocity in ft/s (Velocity), pressure in psid (Pressure), time or run order in hours (Run), solids concentration given as fraction of insoluble solids (Solids), or any combination of those variables. Since the Conditions for Matrix #1 and #2 were run in series, the value of "Run" ranges from 1 (Condition 1, Matrix 1) to 12 (Condition 7, Matrix 2).

A model was developed that incorporated both the low and high solids loading matrix. The regression analysis was conducted using the average flux of the 12 conditions studied in the high and low solids loading matricies. Multicollinearities were found among the regressor terms, so model selection followed an effort to eliminate redundant terms from the model and ultimately establish a model that fit the data well and provided reliable indicators of the effect of each term remaining in the model. The model with the best fit with only statistically significant terms took on the following form:

$$\text{Average Flux} = 0.0326 + 0.00734 \cdot \text{Velocity (ft/s)} - 0.00645 \cdot \text{Run} \tag{3.3}$$

For this model, the root mean square error was 0.01364 gpm/ft^2 with an R-square of 0.8079.

The model shows that axial velocity has a positive relationship, and run number has a negative relationship with filtrate flux. These results were not unexpected since higher velocities remove more solids from the surface of the filter and improve filtrate fluxes while continued operation tends to foul the filter and reduce the filtrate flux.

Transmembrane pressure was not included in the model because it was found to be collinear with velocity and did not contribute to the model. Transmembrane pressure may in fact be significant to the filtrate flux. Part of the reason for this may also be that no data were taken at high pressures and high velocities (due to equipment limitations); most of the data were taken either at high pressure and low velocity or low pressure and high velocity. Thus the two parameters were related in this data set.

Similarly, solids concentration was not included in the model because solids concentration and run number were also collinear. Since all low solids load data were taken during Runs 1–6 while all high solids loading data were taken during Runs 7–12, these two effects cannot be statistically separated from each other.

One of the purposes of these models was to determine the optimum conditions without the effect of run order for both solids concentrations. In the case of both the low and high solids loading matrix, the optimum filtration condition was the highest axial velocity. Higher solids loading, of course, reduces filtrate flux, although it was not included in the model.

3.2 Sludge-Washing and Caustic-Leaching Results

The chemical and radiochemical analyses obtained from the slurry-washing and caustic-leaching tests are presented in this section. Slurry samples were taken on the initial feed before the material was added to the CUF, following the three water washes, following the caustic leach, and at the conclusion of the tests following the two caustic washes. As discussed in Section 2, a sample of decanted supernatant was taken for analysis before the material was added to the CUF. Liquid samples were taken on all permeates removed throughout the course of the wash/leach steps. The results of these analyses for non-radioactive species are shown in Table 3.4. Slurry samples are presented here on a dry basis and permeate samples on a wet basis. When a sample is dried, it is done so by holding the sample at 105°C for 24 hours.

Table 3.4 shows that the primary metals in the initial slurry were, from highest to lowest concentration, iron, aluminum, sodium, uranium, cadmium, zirconium, nickel, and calcium. Significant soluble anions present in the slurry were nitrite, sulfate, and nitrate. Comparing the initial sample and intermediate Sample #1, the initial dewatering steps as well as dilute caustic washing appear to have removed a majority of the sodium, potassium, and the anions.

During the caustic leaching, aluminum, phosphorus, silicon and chromium were removed, as well as additional potassium. The concentration of metals in the final slurry remained roughly in the same order as in the initial slurry (for the top eight components). During the course of washing and leaching, most of the soluble anions measured by IC were washed from the solids, with small amounts of chloride, phosphate, sulfate, and fluoride remaining.

The radioactive component concentrations are shown in Table 3.5. Of the major radioactive isotopes, only ^{137}Cs was significantly removed during leaching and washing. As would be expected, the ^{90}Sr and transuranic (TRU) isotopes remained with the slurry.

Table 3.4. Non-Radioactive Component Concentrations

Analyte	Initial Sample[(a)]	Initial Sample Supernate	Water Wash Permeate No. 1	Water Wash Permeate No. 2	Water Wash Permeate No. 3	Intermediate Sample No. 1[(a)]	Caustic Leach Permeate	Intermediate Sample No. 2[(a)]	Caustic Wash Permeate No. 1	Caustic Wash Permeate No. 2	Final Sample[(a)]
	µg/g	µg/mL	µg/mL	µg/mL	µg/mL	µg/g	µg/mL	µg/g	µg/mL	µg/mL	µg/g
Ag	352	<0.61	<0.25	<0.12	<0.13	390	<0.62	235	<0.25	<0.25	470
Al	1.25E+5	217	146	108	93.8	1.85E+5	1.04E+4	1.01E+5	4.91E+3	2.18E+3	1.07E+5
As	<1E+3	<6.1	<2.5	<1.2	<1.3	<1E+3	9.60	<2E+3	5.80	2.60	<1E+3
B	125	44.6	14.9	15.0	11.3	230.0	23.9	250.0	10.00	10.5	225.0
Ba	550	0.260	<0.098	<0.05	<0.05	808	<0.25	521	<0.10	<0.10	958
Be	13.5	<0.24	<0.098	<0.05	<0.05	20.00	0.250	12.00	<0.10	<0.10	22.50
Bi	<596	<2.4	<0.98	<0.48	<0.50	<574	<2.5	<606	<0.99	<0.99	<592
Ca	7.20E+3	9.30	5.00	4.60	4.20	1.00E+4	14.0	8.15E+3	3.90	4.20	1.10E+4
Cd	2.07E+4	<0.37	<0.15	<0.07	<0.08	2.84E+4	15.0	1.79E+4	0.870	<0.15	3.30E+4
Ce	<1E+3	<4.9	<2.0	<0.96	<1.0	1.30E+3	<4.9	<1E+3	<2.0	<2.0	1.55E+3
Co	69.0	<1.2	<0.49	<0.24	<0.25	100.0	<1.2	63.0	<0.50	<0.50	120.0
Cr	2.14E+3	826.5	36.3	20.6	11.8	1.58E+3	43.8	969	17.4	8.82	1.64E+3
Cu	306	0.840	<0.25	<0.12	<0.13	488	<0.62	301	<0.25	<0.25	585
Dy	<298	<1.2	<0.49	<0.24	<0.25	<287	<1.2	<303	<0.50	<0.50	<296
Eu	<596	<2.4	<0.98	<0.48	<0.50	<574	<2.5	<606	<0.99	<0.99	<592
Fe	1.40E+5	0.685	0.320	0.200	0.350	1.87E+5	3.70	1.20E+5	1.000	0.810	2.21E+5
Hg	19.1	0.212	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	26.6
K	6.40E+3	3.74E+3	190	115	69.0	<1E+4	190	<1E+4	61.0	27.0	<1E+4
La	4.19E+3	<1.2	<0.49	<0.24	<0.25	6.33E+3	<1.2	4.04E+3	<0.50	<0.50	7.41E+3
Li	<179	<0.73	<0.29	<0.14	<0.15	<172	<0.74	<182	<0.30	<0.30	<177
Mg	805	<2.4	<0.98	<0.48	<0.50	1.60E+3	<2.5	1.05E+3	<0.99	<0.99	1.95E+3
Mn	3.37E+3	<1.2	<0.49	<0.24	<0.25	4.50E+3	<1.2	2.90E+3	<0.50	<0.50	5.38E+3

Analyte	Initial Sample[(a)] µg/g	Initial Sample Supernate µg/mL	Water Wash Permeate No. 1 µg/mL	Water Wash Permeate No. 2 µg/mL	Water Wash Permeate No. 3 µg/mL	Intermediate Sample No. 1[(a)] µg/g	Caustic Leach Permeate µg/mL	Intermediate Sample No. 2[(a)] µg/g	Caustic Wash Permeate No. 1 µg/mL	Caustic Wash Permeate No. 2 µg/mL	Final Sample[(a)] µg/g
Mo	90.0	61.4	3.00	1.70	0.970	<287	2.30	<303	1.30	2.50	<296
Na	1.17E+5	7.02E+4	3.71E+3	2.22E+3	1.43E+3	2.52E+4	5.41E+4	2.53E+5	2.06E+4	8.70E+3	8.33E+4
Nd	2.94E+3	<2.4	<0.98	<0.48	<0.50	4.46E+3	<2.5	2.83E+3	<0.99	<0.99	5.22E+3
Ni	9.31E+3	<0.73	<0.29	<0.14	<0.15	1.37E+4	<0.74	8.71E+3	<0.30	<0.30	1.62E+4
P	1.25E+3	144	6.60	6.52	6.63	2.50E+3	163	1.65E+3	69.9	28.4	2.80E+3
Pb	1.35E+3	<2.4	<0.98	<<0.48	<0.50	1.87E+3	6.10	1,155	2.40	<0.99	2.25E+3
Pd	<4E+3	<18	<7.4	<3.6	<3.8	<4E+3	<19	<5E+3	<7.4	<7.4	<4E+3
Rh	<2E+3	<7.3	<2.9	<1.4	<1.5	<2E+3	<7.4	<2E+3	<3.0	<3.0	<2E+3
Ru	<7E+3	<27	<11	<5.3	<5.5	<6E+3	<27	<7E+3	<11	<11	<7E+3
Sb	<3E+3	<12	<4.9	<2.4	<2.5	<3E+3	<12	<3E+3	<5.0	<5.0	<3E+3
Se	<1E+3	<6.1	<2.5	<1.2	<1.3	<1E+3	<6.2	<2E+3	<2.5	<2.5	<1E+3
Si	4.95E+3	302	33.0	33.7	28.7	5.50E+3	120	1.63E+4	56.9	45.0	7.10E+3
Sn	<9E+3	<37	<15	<7.2	<7.5	<9E+3	<37	<9E+3	<15	<15	<9E+3
Sr	265	<0.37	<0.15	<0.07	<0.08	393	<0.37	255	<0.15	<0.15	473
Te	<9E+3	<37	<15	<7.2	<7.5	<9E+3	<37	<9E+3	<15	<15	<9E+3
Th	720	<24	<9.8	<4.8	<5.0	<6E+3	<25	<6E+3	<9.9	<9.9	<6E+3
Ti	58.5	<0.61	<0.25	<0.12	<0.13	84.00	<0.62	54.50	<0.25	<0.25	185
Tl	<3E+3	<12	<4.9	<2.4	<2.5	<3E+3	<12	<3E+3	<5.0	<5.0	<3E+3
U	2.70E+4	<48.8	38.0	18.0	<10.00	3.68E+4	<49.4	2.32E+4	24.0	<19.8	4.24E+4
V	<298	<1.2	<0.49	<0.24	<0.25	<287	1.40	<303	0.700	<0.50	<296
W	<1E+4	<49	<20	<9.6	<10	<1E+4	<49	<1E+4	<20	<20	<1E+4
Y	<298	<1.2	<0.49	<0.24	<0.25	<287	<1.2	<303	0.50	<0.50	310
Zn	340	<1.2	<0.49	<0.24	0.330	500	3.40	475	3.70	0.980	970
Zr	1.59E+4	<1.2	<0.49	<0.24	<0.25	2.18E+4	<1.2	1.42E+4	0.50	<0.50	3.27E+4

Analyte	Initial Sample[(a)]	Initial Sample Supernate	Water Wash Permeate No. 1	Water Wash Permeate No. 2	Water Wash Permeate No. 3	Intermediate Sample No. 1[(a)]	Caustic Leach Permeate	Intermediate Sample No. 2[(a)]	Caustic Wash Permeate No. 1	Caustic Wash Permeate No. 2	Final Sample[(a)]
	µg/g	µg/mL	µg/mL	µg/mL	µg/mL	µg/g	µg/mL	µg/g	µg/mL	µg/mL	µg/g
F	1.70E+3	750	50	30	20	230	<10	590	<25	<25	300
Cl	<250	<100	<10	<10	<10	400	890	4.15E+3	370	370	1.35E+3
NO_2	6.61E+4	4.17E+4	1.93E+3	1.12E+3	660	5.50E+3	420	1.75E+3	180	180	<530
Br	<250	<100	<10	<10	<10	<27	<10	<250	<25	<25	<260
NO_3	2.14E+4	1.38E+4	740	380	220	1.60E+3	160	<490	100	100	<530
PO_4	569	435	<20	<20	30	960	210	2.05E+3	160	160	885
SO_4	2.93E+4	2.11E+4	1.13E+3	600	350	2.80E+3	220	995	110	110	690
C_2O_4	3.90E+3	3.29E+3	160	130	80	420	30	<490	<50	<50	<530
CN	13.5	9.60	3.10	2.40	2.30	8.80	1.70	5.00	1.80	1.00	8.30
TIC	7.99E+3	8.62E+3	790	430	425	560	900	1.12E+4	3.13E+3	645	2.87E+3
TOC	760	1.07E+3	50	40	<40	610	<40	1.79E+3	580	60	2.75E+3
TC	8.75E+3	9.69E+3	840	470	425	1.17E+3	900	1.20E+4	3.71E+3	705	5.62E+3

Note: na = No analysis performed.
(a) Dry weight basis.

Table 3.5. Radioactive Component Concentrations

Analyte	Initial Sample[(a)] µCi/g	Initial Sample Supernate µCi/mL	Water Wash Permeate No. 1 µCi/mL	Water Wash Permeate No. 2 µCi/mL	Water Wash Permeate No. 3 µCi/mL	Intermediate Sample No. 1[(a)] µCi/g	Caustic Leach Permeate µCi/mL	Intermediate Sample No. 2[(a)] µCi/g	Caustic Wash Permeate No. 1 µCi/mL	Caustic Wash Permeate No. 2 µCi/mL	Final Sample[(a)] µCi/g
^{90}Sr	1.64E+4	2.04	3.26E-2	1.30E-2	1.85E-2	2.17E+4	0.136	1.27E+4	3.42E-2	2.56E-2	2.49E+4
$^{239, 240}$Pu	6.33	3.02E-2	3.53E-5	1.75E-5	2.10E-5	7.63	9.77E-5	4.69	1.67E-5	1.69E-5	9.83
^{238}Pu	0.767	3.36E-3	5.15E-5	4.08E-5	5.61E-5	1.21	5.05E-5	0.712	4.45E-5	4.25E-5	1.57
^{236}Pu	<0.05	3.32E-5	<3E-7	<1E-6	<2E-7	<0.06	<1E-7	<0.05	<3E-7	<2E-7	<0.3
^{241}Am (AEA)	113	2.09E-4	1.53E-4	3.41E-5	4.99E-5	135	3.24E-5	90.0	3.36E-5	1.32E-4	175
$^{243, 244}$Cm	0.300	2.82E-5	4.90E-5	4.81E-5	6.54E-5	0.25	4.33E-5	<0.3	5.30E-5	4.91E-5	0.281
^{242}Cm	9.67E-2	<1.5E-5	<2E-7	<2E-7	<2E-7	7.95E-2	<1E-7	<0.07	<2E-7	<3E-7	<0.06
Total Alpha	143.3	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	
^{60}Co	4.71	<6E-3	<4E-4	<5E-4	<4E-4	5.55	<5E-4	2.03	<5E-4	<6E-4	7.40
^{106}RuRh	na	na	<1E-1	<7E-2	<6E-2	5.71	<9E-2	1.18	<6E-2	<4E-2	11.8
^{125}Sb	24.1	<7E-1	<6E-2	<5E-2	<4E-2	19.6	<6E-2	11.0	<4E-2	<3E-2	40.3
^{134}Cs	1.98	1.20	5.29E-2	3.05E-2	1.85E-2	<0.6	4.36E-2	<0.1	2.18E-2	9.95E-3	<7E-1
^{137}Cs	1.80E+3	1.12E+3	48.0	29.1	17.8	546	42.6	160	20.8	9.09	169
^{154}Eu	44.7	<3E-2	<3E-3	<2E-3	<2E-3	57.1	<2E-3	19.2	<2E-3	<2E-3	72.8
^{155}Eu	83.4	<5E-1	<4E-2	<3E-2	<3E-2	105	<4E-2	35.6	<3E-2	<2E-2	134
^{241}Am (GEA)	126	<5E-1	<4E-2	<3E-2	<3E-2	156	<4E-2	57.1	<3E-2	<2E-2	203
^{14}C	1.51E-3	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	2.36E-3

	Initial Sample[(a)]	Initial Sample Supernate	Water Wash Permeate No. 1	Water Wash Permeate No. 2	Water Wash Permeate No. 3	Intermediate Sample No. 1[(a)]	Caustic Leach Permeate	Intermediate Sample No. 2[(a)]	Caustic Wash Permeate No. 1	Caustic Wash Permeate No. 2	Final Sample[(a)]
	µg/g	ng/mL	ng/mL	ng/mL	ng/mL	ng/mL	ng/mL	ng/mL	ng/mL	ng/mL	µg/g
Cs	23.6	20.1	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	2.37
Pr	481	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	796
Ta	2.21	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	20.3
^{237}Np	103	0.140	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	175
Rb	232	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	228
^{99}Tc	22.7	13.8	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	1.55
^{126}Sn	<0.7	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	<0.9
^{127}I	20.5	6.34E-2	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	19.4
^{129}I	<3.1	1.53E-2	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	<2.5
^{135,137}Cs	0.443	0.505	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	0.423
Tritium	4.09E-2[(b)]	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	1.61E-2[(b)]

Note: na = No analysis performed.
(a) Dry weight basis. (b) Tritium is measured in µCi/g wet sample

Table 3.6. Distribution of Non-Radioactive Analytes in the Wash Steps

Analyte	Water Wash Efficiency[(b)] (%)[(b)]	Caustic Leach Efficiency (%)	Caustic Wash No. 1 Efficiency (%)	Caustic Wash No. 2 Efficiency (%)	Residue (%)
Ag	<0	<1	<1	<1	>96.9
Al	2.5	59.3	1.9	<0.003	36.3
As	<12	81.1	18.9	<19	0
B	62.5	20.8	<1	16.7	0
Ba	<0.1	<0.3	<0.1	<0.1	>99.4
Be	<3	13.9	<5	<5	86.0
Ca	60.4	39.6	<8	<8	0
Cd	<0.00	0.66	<0.01	<0.01	99.3
Ce	<1	<3	<2	<2	>92.4
Co	<3	<10	<5	<5	100.0
Cr	44.1	13.6	<0.1	0.54	41.7
Cu	<0.4	<1	<0.5	<0.6	>97.5
Fe	0.006	0.02	<0.001	<0.001	99.97
K	80.9	19.1	<2	<2	0
La	<0.1	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1	>99.6
Mg	<0	<1	<1	<1	>97.0
Mn	<0.1	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1	>99.5
Mo	65.9	11.6	2.9	19.6	0
Na	80.2	nd	nd	nd	11.2
Nd	<0.2	<0.5	<0.2	<0.3	>98.9
Ni	<0.02	<0.0	<0.02	<0.02	>99.9
P	6.7	44.5	<0.2	0.7	47.7
Pb	<0.4	3.8	<0.5	<0.6	<96.2, >94.7
Si	16.1	14.0	<1	4.5	<65.3, >64.7
Sr	<0.3	<1	<0.4	<0.4	>98.1
Ti	<1	<3	<2	<2	>92.0
U	1.8	<1	1.22	<1	<96.5, >95.8
V	<19	93.1	6.9	<30	0
Y	<1	<4	<2	<2	>90.5
Zn	0.6	4.3	4.5	<1	<90.6, >90.0
Zr	<0.01	<0.04	<0.02	<0.02	>99.9
F	77.5	<12	<44	<43	<22.5
Cl	<2	73.9	0	26.1	0
NO_2	94.1	0	0	5.9	0
NO_3	87.2	0	4.3	8.5	0
PO_4	8.5	40.6	21.5	29.4	0
SO_4	92.5	0	1.11	6.4	0
C_2O_4	100	0	<30	<29	0
CN	75.5	0.19	20.3	4.2	0

Analyte	Water Wash Efficiency[(b)] (%)[(b)]	Caustic Leach Efficiency (%)	Caustic Wash No. 1 Efficiency (%)	Caustic Wash No. 2 Efficiency (%)	Residue (%)
TIC	20.0	9.9	70.1	0	0
TOC	4.3	<4	81.5	0	<14.2, >10.4
TC	18.0	8.5	73.4	0	0

(a) Accounts for carry-over of interstitial liquid.
(b) Accounts for material removed in the initial dewatering steps.
Note: nd = Not determined due to large amount of sodium added as wash steps.

The removal efficiencies both for the initial dilute washing and the caustic leaching of the non-radioactive and radioactive components are shown in Table 3.6 and Table 3.7. The results indicate that 80.2% of the sodium was removed from the slurry during the water-washing steps. Nearly all of the soluble fluoride, nitrite, nitrate, sulfate, oxalate, and cyanide were removed during the first water washes. Chloride and phosphate were the only exceptions, with phosphate having only 8.5% removal, and no measurable chloride was removed during the water washes. Other non-radioactive components with significant removal efficiencies during the water wash were boron with 63% removal, calcium with 60% removal, chromium with 44% removal, potassium with 81% removal, and molybdenum with 66% removal.

The TIC and TOC concentrations and removal efficiencies are somewhat suspect. The highest concentrations of TIC and TOC were found in the first caustic-wash solution, where 70 and 82% of the total was removed. It would seem unlikely that both TIC and TOC would be removed in this particular step. Analytical error was possibly the culprit.

In terms of radioactive components, 61% of the ^{137}Cs was removed during the initial water-wash steps.

The caustic-leach and subsequent caustic-washing steps were performed at estimated 2.4, 0.9, and 0.38 M NaOH concentrations. The leaching efficiencies of these steps are also shown in Tables 3.6 and 3.7. While only 1.9% of the aluminum was removed during the dilute caustic washing, 59.3% was removed during the caustic-leaching step.

Table 3.7. Distribution of Radioactive Analytes in the Wash Steps

Analyte	Water Wash Efficiency (%)[(b)]	Caustic Leach Efficiency (%)	Caustic Wash No. 1 Efficiency (%)	Caustic Wash No. 2 Efficiency (%)	Residue (%)
^{90}Sr	0.003	0.007	0	0.001	99.99
$^{239, 240}$Pu	0.008	0.01	0	0.002	99.98
^{238}Pu	0.11	0.01	0.03	0.033	99.81
^{241}Am (AEA)	0.001	0	0.0002	0.001	99.997
$^{243, 244}$Cm	0.69	0	0.27	0.20	98.8
^{60}Co	<0.2	<0.1	<0.2	<0.2	>99.4
^{106}RuRh	<20	<11	<11	<7	>49.7
^{125}Sb	<4	<2	<2	<2	>90.1
^{134}Cs	65.2	30.1	3.8	0.81	0
^{137}Cs	61.2	29.6	3.0	0.17	6.1
^{154}Eu	<0.1	<0.04	<0.06	<0.06	>99.7
^{155}Eu	<0.8	<0.4	<0.5	<0.3	>97.9
^{241}Am (GEA)	<0.5	<0.3	<0.3	<0.2	>98.6

(a) Accounts for carry-over of interstitial liquid.
(b) Accounts for material removed in the initial dewatering steps.

The quantity of HLW glass produced from the as-received AZ-102 waste would have been limited by the high aluminum oxide concentration. With a DOE limit of 21% for the $Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3 + ZrO_2$ and a mass of 207 g of dried initial sample going into the CUF, 450 g of HLW glass would be produced with the initial sludge. Even with almost 64% removal of Al from the sample after washing and leaching, $Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3 + ZrO_2$ are still the limiting constituents in the glass. However, with the aluminum reduction, approximately 100-130 g of dried material would remain after caustic leaching, producing 315-345 g of HLW glass. Assuming no material was lost or removed during the process, this would be a glass mass reduction of 23-30%.

Other non-radioactive constituents significantly removed during the caustic-leaching process were arsenic, boron, calcium, potassium, and vanadium. Additionally, greater than 50% of the aluminum, chromium, phosphorus, and greater than 75% of the sodium, IC measured anions, TOC, and TIC were removed during the combined washing and leaching steps. Of the radioactive components, only ^{134}Cs, ^{137}Cs, and possibly tritium were removed in significant quantities during the caustic-leaching process.

Table 3.8 shows the percentage recovery. The mass recovery is the comparison of the total mass of analyte recovered throughout the test (what is removed in each process step plus what remains in the sludge residue) to the mass of analyte present in the initial sludge. The mass recovery can be represented as

$$Recovery = \frac{\sum Analyte_{leach/wash} + Analyte_{residue}}{Analyte_{initial\ sludge}} \bullet 100 \qquad (3.2)$$

Table 3.8. Mass Recovery

Analyte	Recovery
Ag	81%
Al	120%
As	-
B	532%
Ba	106%
Be	106%
Ca	97%
Cd	97%
Ce	-
Co	105%
Cr	102%
Cu	116%
Fe	96%
Hg	81%
K	88%
La	107%
Mg	146%
Mn	97%
Mo	115%
Na	114%
Nd	108%
Ni	105%

Analyte	Recovery
P	238%
Pb	103%
Si	134%
Sr	108%
Th	0%
Ti	186%
U	98%
V	-
Y	-
Zn	183%
Zr	123%
F	81%
Cl	-
NO_2	78%
NO_3	78%
PO_4	631%
SO_4	86%
C_2O_4	102%
CN	674%
TIC	269%
TOC	455%
TC	285%

Radionuclide	Recovery
^{90}Sr	90%
$^{239, 240}Pu$	92%
^{238}Pu	121%
^{241}Am (AEA)	92%
$^{243, 244}Cm$	54%
^{242}Cm	1%
^{60}Co	91%
^{125}Sb	97%
^{134}Cs	79%
^{137}Cs	88%
^{154}Eu	95%
^{155}Eu	93%
^{241}Am (GEA)	94%
^{14}C	88%
Tritium	95%
Cs	6%
Pr	93%
Ta	517%
^{237}Np	95%
Rb	55%
^{99}Tc	4%
^{127}I	53%
$^{135,137}Cs$	54%

This value provides a means of evaluating the closure of the mass balance (i.e., how much of each component was not accounted for). In general, the recoveries were reasonably close to 100%. There were some cases where the recoveries were very much larger or much smaller than 100%. These generally occur for analytes at very low concentrations throughout the process. These deviations also occur when there is significant error in one or more of the analyses (e.g., TOC, TIC). The major constituent recoveries indicate that very little of the total slurry mass was unaccounted for during the process.

Recoveries for the radionuclides also appear reasonably close to 100%, especially with the major radionuclides. In some cases, analysis was performed on the starting and final material, but not on the permeate samples removed throughout the washing and leaching process. In this case, the recovery provides an estimate of the removal efficiency during the washing and leaching. For example, the recovery indicates that >96% of the ^{99}Tc, 47% of the ^{127}I, and only 5% of the tritium were removed during processing. Without the full data set, it is not possible, however, to distinguish poor mass recoveries from high removal efficiencies.

The insoluble radioactive-component concentrations provide a means of measuring the capability of the filter to separate the insoluble solids from the liquids. The isotope ^{241}Am is basically insoluble in caustic solutions and its concentration was measured for all permeates and slurries so it was used to measured filter removal efficiency. This can be done in terms of a decontamination factor (DF) for each step of the process using the following equation:

$$DF = \frac{C_{Am,solids}\, wt\%_{solids}}{100 C_{Am,permeate}} \tag{3.3}$$

where $C_{Am,permeate}$ is the ^{241}Am concentration in a given permeate sample, $C_{Am,solids}$ is the ^{241}Am concentration in the dried slurry taken during that time, and wt% slurry is the weight percent solids in the dried slurry. The ^{241}Am water-wash DFs were between 100,000 and 450,000, and the caustic-leach DFs were 400,000. These high DFs indicate good solid/liquid separations using the Mott 0.1-μm sintered metal filter.

4.0 Physical-Properties and Rheological-Properties Testing

This section describes physical and rheological analyses conducted on samples of AZ-102 slurry. The physical and rheological analyses included density, weight percent (wt%) and volume percent (vol%) solids, and shear stress as a function of shear rate.

Physical measurement samples were taken three times during the course of testing: 1) before the second filtration test matrix but after the material had been dewatered to approximately 13.7 wt% solids (concentrated slurry—CUF-AZ102-006); 2) after the caustic leaching step and the caustic permeate had been removed from the slurry to approximately 9 wt% solids (caustic leached slurry—CUF-AZ102-012); and 3) at the end of testing, after washing and caustic leaching the slurry at approximately 9.2 wt% solids (final slurry--CUF-AZ102-025).

Rheological measurements were taken three times during the course of testing: 1) after the first filtration test matrix at approximately 5.1-wt% solids (initial slurry); 2) after the second filtration test matrix at approximately 13.7 wt% solids (concentrated slurry); and 3) at the end of testing, after washing and caustic leaching the slurry at approximately 9.2 wt% solids (final slurry). Each of these subsamples were taken directly from the ultrafiltration loop and immediately characterized by shear stress versus shear rate. Following rheological measurements, the material was returned to the CUF for continued testing, thus no sample number applies for these measurements.

4.1 Physical-Properties Analysis

The above-described AZ-102 physical property samples were analyzed for density of the bulk slurries, settled solids, centrifuged solids, and centrifuged supernatant. The density results are listed in Table 4.1. The weight percent (wt%) and volume percent (vol%) settled solids (on a wet basis), wt% and vol% centrifuged solids (on a wet basis), and wt% total solids (on a dry basis) were measured for these samples as well. The wt% and vol% solids results are listed in Table 4.2.

For this testing, a known mass of each slurry was placed in duplicate in volume-graduated centrifuge cones. The duplicates were then allowed to settle for 3 days. The total mass (M_B) and volume (V_B) of the slurry after it was allowed to settle were recorded and the density of the bulk slurry was calculated ($D_B=M_B/V_B$). These results appeared to be biased low and had a high relative percent difference, probably because of entrained gas as well as an inability to clearly measure the total sample volume due to material smeared on the sides of the centrifuge tubes. Therefore, the bulk slurry densities were recalculated later in the work using volumes recorded following centrifugation. Following settling, the volume of the settled solids (V_{ss}) and volume of clarified supernatant (V_{sl}) were recorded. The vol% settled solids were then calculated ($Vol\%_{ss}=V_{ss}/V_B$ x 100%). For previous samples in the BNFL project, a portion of the clarified supernatant was then transferred to a graduated cylinder to determine supernatant density. For these samples of AZ-102, there was insufficient liquid to make this determination.

Table 4.1. Density Measurements for Samples of AZ-102

Average Values	Bulk Density, g/mL			
	Centrifuged Slurry	Settled Solids	Centrifuged Solids	Centrifuged Supernatant
Concentrated Slurry CUF-AZ102-006	1.09	1.07	1.36	1.016
Relative % Difference	2%	6%	2%	0.1%
Caustic Leached Slurry CUF-AZ102-012	1.16	1.15	1.35	1.108
Relative % Difference	1%	2%	1%	4%
Final Slurry CUF-AZ102-025	1.05	1.07	1.20	1.040
Relative % Difference	0%	NA	6%	0%

(a) The relative % difference is between the sample and its duplicate and provides a means for showing sample variability.

Table 4.2. Wt% and Vol% Solids Measurements for Samples of AZ-102

Average Values	Wt% Settled (wet basis)	Wt% Centrifuged (wet basis)	Vol% Settled (wet basis)	Vol% Centrifuged (wet basis)	Wt% Total (dry basis)
Concentrated Slurry CUF-AZ102-006	95	33	96	26	14.8
Relative % Difference	2%	0%	5%	4%	0%
Caustic Leached Slurry CUF-AZ102-012	96	32	96	28	16.0
Relative % Difference	1%	3%	3%	4%	39%
Final Slurry CUF-AZ102-025	94	30	93	26	9.8
Relative % Difference	1%	3%	NA	8%	5%

The samples were then centrifuged at approximately 1000 times the force of gravity for 1 h. All of the centrifuged supernatant was then transferred to a graduated cylinder, its mass (M_{cl}) and volume were (V_{cl}) recorded, and the density was calculated ($D_{cl}=M_{cl}/V_{cl}$). The mass (M_{cs}) and volume (V_{cs}) of the centrifuged solids were then recorded, and the density was calculated ($D_{cs}=M_{cs}/V_{cs}$). In addition, the wt% centrifuged solids ($Wt\%_{cs}=M_{cs}/M_B$ x 100%), and vol% centrifuged solids ($Vol\%_{cl}=V_{cl}/V_B$ x 100%) were also calculated.

Before centrifugation, the supernatant following solids settling could not be removed from the centrifuge cone without disturbing the settled solids; therefore, the mass of the settled solids (M_{ss}) could not be measured directly. The mass of the settled solids was calculated. This was done by first calculating the mass of the settled supernatant in the centrifuge cone using the measured centrifuged supernatant following solids settling density and volume ($M_{sl} = D_{cl}$ x V_{sl}), then subtracting this mass for the mass of the bulk slurry to get the mass of the settled solids ($M_{ss}=M_B-M_{sl}$). The density of the settled solids was then calculated ($D_{ss}=M_{ss}/V_{ss}$) as well as the wt% settled solids ($Wt\%_{ss}=M_{ss}/M_B$ x 100%).

The centrifuged solids and supernatants were then each dried at 105°C for 24 h. The mass of the dried centrifuged supernatant (M_{dcl}) and dried centrifuged solids (M_{dcs}) were then measured. Assuming that all mass lost during the drying process was water and not another volatile component, the weight percent total solids in the bulk slurry was calculated (Wt% total solids = $[M_{dcs}+M_{dcl}]/[M_{cs}+M_{cl}] \times 100\%$).

The density of the centrifuged supernatant for the concentrated slurry was 1.016 g/mL. As would be expected, this value increased to 1.108 g/mL following addition of the 3 M NaOH leach solution. Following the final water wash, the supernatant density was down to 1.040 g/mL.

The wt% settled solids were between 94 and 96% and the vol% settled solids were between 93 and 96% for all three samples analyzed. Similarly, the wt% and vol% centrifuged solids for all three samples were between 30 and 33% and 26 and 28%, respectively. Based on the similarity between the wt% and vol% settled and centrifuged solids over the course of testing, it would seem that the CUF test matrix and leaching did not significantly effect the solids packing characteristics of this sludge.

An additional calculation was performed to determine the wt% solids in the samples, excluding all interstitial liquid (wt% undissolved solids). This can also be thought of as the solids left if all the supernatant could be removed from the bulk slurry. The following equation was used:

$$Wt\%\ undissolved\ solids = \left(\frac{1-\frac{M_{dsc}}{M_{cs}}}{1-\frac{M_{dcl}}{M_{cl}}} \right) \times \frac{M_{cs}}{M_B} \times 100\% \qquad (4.1)$$

This calculation assumes 1) that the supernatant and the interstitial liquid have the same composition, and 2) that all mass loss during the drying of the centrifuged solids was water loss from interstitial liquid. The results of this calculation are listed in Table 4.3 along with the wt% dried residue from the centrifuged solids (Solids Residue=M_{dcs}/M_{cs} x 100%), and dried centrifuged supernatant (Supernatant Residue= M_{dcl}/M_{cl} x 100%).

Table 4.3. Results of Wt% Residual Solids and Undissolved Solids Calculation Following Drying at 105°C for 24h for Samples of AZ-102

Sample	Wt% Residual Centrifuged Solids	Wt% Residual Centrifuged Supernatant	Wt% Undissolved Solids
Concentrated Slurry CUF-AZ102-006 Average	41.65	1.55	13.6
Relative % Difference	0.2	6	1
Caustic Leached Slurry CUF-AZ102-012 Average	37.4	10.45	9.5
Relative % Difference	1	10	2
Final Slurry CUF-AZ102-025 Average	32.6	1.7	9.2
Relative % Difference	NA	NA	NA

NA – Not applicable, the centrifuged liquid from CUF-AZ102-025 was spilled so no duplicate was available. A relative percent difference requires two values.

4.2 Rheological and Flow Properties

The AZ-102 initial diluted feed, dewatered slurry (similar to CUF-AZ102-006), and final slurry (same as CUF-AZ102-025) were analyzed for shear stress as a function of shear rate from approximately 0.1 to 500 s^{-1} or 1000 s^{-1}. The slurries were analyzed at 25°C using a Haake M5 measuring head modified for hot cell operations. An MVI measuring geometry was used on the Haake. A 49.9 cP standard, Brookfield lot 102298, was used to check the calibration of the instrument before samples were analyzed.

Samples of the initial diluted slurry and the dewatered slurry were collected directly from the ultrafiltration test loop and immediately analyzed. The final slurry sample was collected in a 125-mL wide-mouth jar and analyzed approximately 1 day later. Before analyzing the final slurry sample, the sample in the jar was shaken to combine the separated liquid and solid layers. Shear stress as a function of shear rate data was obtained by measuring the shear stress produced at a specific shear rate.

As requested by the client, samples were allowed to sit in the system for 5 minutes before analysis. The shear rate was then gradually increased from 0 to either 500 s^{-1} or 1000 s^{-1}, generating the increasing shear rate curve, and then back down to 0 s^{-1} generating the decreasing curve. For analysis conducted to 1000 s^{-1}, the shear rate was increased to 1000 s^{-1} over 5 min. The shear rate was then held at 1000 s^{-1} for 5 min, and then decreased to 0 s^{-1} over 5 min. In general, analyses of this duration can exhibit solids settling problems. Shorter duration runs from 0 to 500 s^{-1} over 2 min followed by a decreasing curve from 500 to 0 s^{-1} over 2 min were used to look for settling behavior. As will be discussed, no evidence of settling in the slurries was observed during these analyses.

Rheograms for the standards, samples, and duplicates are presented in Figures 1 through 15 in Appendix F. The measured viscosity at 33 s^{-1} for both the increasing and decreasing curve are presented in Table 4.4. The first sample of the initial diluted feed was analyzed from 0 to 1000 s^{-1} two times without removing the sample from the instrument. The viscosity of the sample was near the lower limit for the instrument, roughly 2 cP. This sample displayed a low viscosity, between 12 and 2.5 cP, between 33 and 430 s^{-1}. A yield point was detected, but below the quantification limit of 1 Pa. A decrease in viscosity with increasing shear rate above a yield point is referred to as yield pseudoplastic behavior. All AZ-102 samples displayed yield pseudoplastic behavior. The initial slurry samples showed a decrease in viscosity between the increasing shear rate curve and the decreasing shear-rate curve as well as between runs. This decrease in viscosity with increasing shear history is referred to as thixotropic behavior.

Above approximately 430 s^{-1}, a sharp rise in shear stress with shear rate was observed for the initial slurry. This represents the onset of Taylor Vortices. Taylor Vortices are the result of a secondary flow that occurs as the inner cylinder of the concentric-cylinder instrument rotates. Taylor Vortices result from analyzing a material at too high a shear rate. All data collected above the onset of Taylor vortices is invalid. Taylor vortices are expected when the following two equations are satisfied:

$$Re > 41.3[R_2/(R_2-R_1)]^{1/2}$$

$$Re = \gamma(R_2-R_1)^2\rho/\mu$$

Where R_1 = Radius of Inner Cylinder (0.02004 m for MVI)
R_2 = Radius of Outer Cylinder (0.02100 m for MVI)
γ = Shear Rate (s^{-1})
ρ = density (g/mL)
μ = Apparent viscosity (Pa•s)

For the initial slurry, the density was 1.09 g/mL and the apparent viscosity of the slurry at the onset of Taylor Vortices was approximately 2.5 cP (0.0025 Pa•s). Applying the above equations, Taylor Vortices would be expected at 480 s^{-1}. This value of 480 s^{-1} is within 12% of the observed value of 430 s^{-1}. Several analyses of the initial slurry were conducted only to 500 s^{-1} to provide better resolution of the onset. These analyses show an onset of 460 s^{-1}, which is within 4% of the predicted value.

Table 4.4. Results for Yield Stress and Viscosity at 33 sec^{-1} for Samples of AZ-102 Slurry Along with Observed Onset of Taylor Vortices

				Viscosity (cP)		
Sample	**Analysis**	**Yield Stress (Pa)**	**Upper Shear Rate (s^{-1})**	**Increasing Curve At 33 s^{-1}**	**Decreasing Curve At 33 s^{-1}**	**Taylor Vortices Onset (s^{-1})**
Initial Slurry	Sample 1 Run 1	<1	1000	12	BD	430
	Sample 1 Run 2	<1	1000	5	BD	430
	Sample 2 Run 1	<1	500	16	6	460
	Sample 2 Run 2	<1	500	13	4	460
	Sample 2 Run 3	<1	1000	11	5	430
Concentrated Slurry	Sample 1 Run 1	3	1000	100	29	ND
	Sample 1 Run 2	1.2	1000	39	25	940
	Sample 2 Run 1	2.6	500	82	47	ND
	Sample 2 Run 2	1.8	500	58	40	ND
	Sample 2 Run 3	1.5	1000	49	35	940
	Sample 2 Run 4	1.3	1000	43	32	890
Final Slurry	Sample 1 Run 1	8	1000	172	171	NA
	Sample 2 Run 1	6.5	1000	167	189	NA
	Sample 2 Run 2	8	1000	205	209	NA

ND – Not detected, analysis only conducted to $500s^{-1}$.

NA – Not applicable, onset of Taylor Vortices above limit of viscometer.

BD – Below detection, detection limit for Haake with MVI is approximately 2cP.

The concentrated slurry displayed yield pseudoplastic and thixotropic behavior. This sample displayed a yield stress of approximately 2.5-3.5 Pa. The initial sample displayed high viscosity on the increasing shear-rate curve along with a bump between 700 and 900 s^{-1}. This behavior was not seen during the decreasing shear rate curve of this sample or any of the duplicate analyses. This behavior was probably the result of large solids or agglomerates that were broken up at the higher shear rates. The onset of Taylor vortices occurred at 940 s^{-1}, and 890 s^{-1} for this sample. Using a density of 1.16 g/mL and a viscosity of approximately 0.045 Pa•s, the expected onset was 815 s^{-1}. The expected value of 815 s^{-1} was within approximately 15% of the observed values. As with the initial slurry sample, all data collected above the onset of Taylor vortices is invalid.

The final slurry displayed yield pseudoplastic behavior, but no thixotropy was observed. This sample displayed a yield stress of approximately 6 – 8 Pa. This sample was removed from the ultrafiltration unit and stored in a jar for approximately 1 day before the shear-stress versus shear-rate analysis was conducted. This sample was gently agitated in the jar and loaded into the rheometer. The level of material in the rheometer was monitored during the analysis. The level of material was observed to drop during the course of each analysis, ~20 min in duration. This level drop appeared to be the result of gas entrained in the slurry. As the material was sheared, these entrained bubbles were released, decreasing the level of material in the instrument. The level did not drop sufficiently to cause analytical errors in the analyses.

The apparent viscosity of the final slurry decreased from approximately 200 to 12 cP over the range of 33 to 1000 s^{-1}. This appears unexpectedly high compared to the viscosity of the dewatered slurry which decreased from approximately 50 to 6 cP over the range of 33 to 940 s^{-1}. The concentrated slurry had slightly a higher solids content than the final slurry, 9.5 versus 9.2 wt% undissolved solids, and 32 versus 30 wt% centrifuged solids, respectively. Given the slightly higher solids content, one may anticipate that the viscosity of the two slurries would be similar or that the concentrated slurry would be higher. The higher viscosity of the final slurry must be the result of a change in the solids properties beyond just solids content and could be the result of entrained gas bubbles mentioned previously. Entrained gas bubbles generally increase the viscosity of a slurry. As discussed in Section 5.0, the final slurry also contained significantly more fine particles. These finer particles could also be responsible for the increase in viscosity of this sample over the concentrated slurry sample.

5.0 Particle Size Distribution

The PSD of the initial sludge composite (Sample CUF-AZ-102 MU), the de-watered slurry before Matrix 2 (Sample CUF-AZ-102-005), and the final slurry (Sample CUF-AZ-102-024) is described below. The first sample was the initial composite AZ-102 sludge before it was diluted and fed into the CUF (initial sludge). The second sample was the slurry that was taken after Matrix 1 testing was completed and the slurry was de-watered (concentrated slurry). The third sample was the final slurry, which was caustic leached and was caustic washed twice (final slurry). The sample number associated with the process flowsheet step of crossflow filtration testing is presented in Table 2.3, and the experimental process is described in detail in Section 2.6.

5.1 Experimental

A Microtrac X-100 Particle Analyzer and a Microtrac Ultrafine Particle Analyzer (UPA) were both used to measure the PSD of these samples. The operation of the Mircotrac X-100 and Microtrac UPA analyzers was checked against National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)-traceable standards from Duke Scientific Corporation. The PSD results of NIST-traceable standards are documented in Appendix H.

The Microtrac X-100 Particle Analyzer measures particle diameter by scattered light from a laser beam projected through a stream of the sample particles diluted in a suspending medium. The amount and direction of light scattered by the particles is measured by an optical detector array and then analyzed to determine the size distribution of the particles. This measurement is limited to particles with diameters between 0.12 and 700 μm. The Microtrac UPA measures particle diameter by Doppler-shifted scattered light. This method is limited to particles with diameters between 3 nm and 6.5 μm.

The PSD of these three samples and their duplicates was measured on the Microtrac X-100 after applying a variety of circulation time, circulation flow rate, and sonication treatments. The treatments in successive order included 1) circulation at 40 mL/s, 2) circulation at 60 mL/s, 3) circulation at 60 mL/s with 40 W sonication for 90 sec, and 4) circulation at 60 mL/s with 40 W sonication for 90 sec for the second time. For each sample replicate, the PSD was measured three times and averaged. The PSD of the averaged data on a volume-weighted basis and on a number-weighted basis is reported. The PSD of these three samples and their duplicates was then repeated using the Microtrac UPA under conditions of Brownian motion. The results on a volume-weighted basis and on a number-weighted basis are reported.

The suspending medium for these analyses was surrogate supernatants based on the ICP-AES and IC data obtained for the applicable AZ-102 supernatant. The composition of these three supernatants is reported in Table 5.1.


Table 5.1. Surrogate Supernatant Composition

	Initial Sludge	Concentrated Slurry	Final Slurry
Component	**Concentration (M)**	**Concentration (M)**	**Concentration (M)**
SiO_2	1.08 E-02	1.32 E-03	1.61 E-03
$NaNO_3$	1.27 E-01	8.18 E-03	1.61 E-03
NaOH	3.00 E-01	2.60 E-02	1.78 E-01
KNO_3	9.59 E-02	8.44 E-03	6.92 E-03
$Al(OH)_3$	8.00 E-03	6.04 E-03	8.07 E-02
$Na_2C_2O_4$	3.74 E-02	3.41 E-03	5.68 E-04
Na_2SO_4	2.19 E-01	1.65 E-02	1.15 E-03
Na_2HPO_4 - 7 H_2O	4.58 E-03	5.26 E-04	1.68 E-03
NaF	3.95 E-02	4.74 E-03	1.32 E-03
$NaNO_2$	9.05 E-02	8.07 E-02	5.43 E-04
Na_2CO_3	7.18 E-01	9.25 E-02	5.38 E-02


In Appendix H, the PSD plots for the samples and their duplicates under all conditions measured are presented in volume-weighted distribution and number-weighted distribution form. The number-weighted PSD is computed by counting each particle and by weighting all the particle diameters equally. The volume-weighted PSD, however, is weighted by the volume of each particle measured, which is proportional to the cube of the particle diameter. In this case, larger particles are treated as more important in the distribution than the smaller particles. In general, the PSD plots show that under all conditions the samples were polydispersed, and as a result the mean size of the volume distribution is much larger than the mean size of the number.

5.2 Particle Size Distribution Results

In Figure 5.1, the averaged PSDs for the initial sludge, concentrated slurry, and final slurry in cumulative under-size-percentage form are presented for the Microtrac X-100 system. In Figure 5.2, these results are illustrated in a histogram on the volume-weighted PSD. The reproducibility of the two replicate PSD plots for the initial sludge and concentrated slurry samples suggest that the slurry was thoroughly homogenized, and each extracted sample was a representative specimen. A close examination of the two final slurry replicate plots (Figures 5.1 and 5.2) shows a well-defined peak at 6.5 μm in the final slurry duplicate sample occupying 23% of the volume or mass particles. The final slurry sample, on the other hand, exhibits a less-defined peak at 6.5 μm that occupied 9% of the volume-weighted distribution. Despite this slight difference, the overall distribution shape and the location of the peaks for both final slurry replicates compare very well and suggest that the replicates are reasonably reproducible.



Volume-Weighted Cumulative Under-Size Percentage Distribution of AZ-102 Samples at 40 mL/s.



Number-Weighted Cumulative Under-Size-Percentage Distribution of AZ-102 Samples at 40 mL/s.

Figure 5.1. Cumulative Under-Size Percentage Distribution for AZ-102 Slurries Using the Microtrac X-100





Figure 5.2. Volume-Weighted Distribution for AZ-102 Slurries Using the Microtrac X-100

The cumulative under-sized-percentage plots using the UPA system (see Figure 5.3) show the samples and their duplicates. Once again the replicates are reasonably reproducible within experimental error. The PSD analysis (Figures 5.1 and 5.3 combined) of the concentrated slurry and the final slurry indicate that the large majority (>90%) of the volume and number of the particles have diameters greater than 0.03 and less than 10 μm. The PSD of the initial slurry, on the other hand, indicates that 45 % of the volume of particles are greater than 0.1 and less than 10 μm, and 55% of the volume of particles have diameters greater that 10 and less that 75 μm.


The volume-weighted distribution plots (see Figure 5.2) of the initial sludge show a bimodal distribution formed from overlapping two Gaussian distribution peaks while the concentrated slurry and the final slurry distribution plots show a trimodal distribution. The major particle-size peaks along with the relative volume or number percentage that each peak represents are summarized in Tables 5.2 and 5.3.

Table 5.2. Peak Mode Location for Volume-Weighted Particle Distribution of AZ-102 Samples

Sample	X-100 (40 mL/sec)		UPA (Brownian Motion)	
	Mode Diameter (μm)	Vol %	Mode Diameter (μm)	Vol %
Initial Sludge (CUF-AZ102 MU)	24.280	51	1.174	100
	4.647	49		
Initial Sludge Duplicate (CUF-AZ102 MU DUP)	24.590	49	5.537	2
	4.604	51	1.412	98
Concentrated Slurry (CUF-AZ-102-005)	1.561	92	1.794	100
	0.287	8		
Concentrated Slurry Duplicate (CUF-AZ-102-005 DUP)	6.236	27	1.683	96
	1.271	66	0.346	4
	0.286	7		
Final Slurry (CUF-C104-024)	6.525	9	2.826	83
	1.112	69	0.411	17
	0.319	22		
Final Slurry Duplicate (CUF-C104-024 DUP)	6.505	23	1.614	89
	1.103	58	0.163	3
	0.319	19	0.078	4
			0.034	4



Volume-Weighted Cumulative Under-Size Percentage Distribution of AZ-102 Samples at 40 mL/s.



Number-Weighted Cumulative Under-Size-Percentage Distribution of AZ-102 Samples at 40 mL/s.

Figure 5.3. Cumulative Under-Size Percentage Distribution for AZ-102 Slurries Using the Microtrac UPA

Table 5.3. Peak Mode Location for Number-Weighted Particle Distribution of AZ-102 Samples

Sample	X-100 (40 mL/sec)		UPA (Brownian Motion)	
	Mode Diameter (μm)	Num %	Mode Diameter (μm)	Num %
Initial Sludge (CUF-AZ102 MU)	0.798	100	1.035	100
Initial Sludge Duplicate (CUF-AZ102 MU DUP)	0.793	100	1.245	100
Concentrated Slurry (CUF-AZ-102-005)	0.979	7	1.598	100
	0.241	93		
Concentrated Slurry Duplicate (CUF-AZ-102-005 DUP)	0.284	100	1.310	20
			0.311	77
Final Slurry (CUF-C104-024)	0.261	100	0.169	100
Final Slurry Duplicate (CUF-C104-024 DUP)	0.260	100	0.029	100

The significant decrease in the volume-weighted distribution histogram from the initial sludge to the concentrated slurry shown in Figure 5.2 represents the extent of solids de-agglomeration after the solids were re-circulated in the CUF line for 6 hours at various crossflow-velocity and TMP conditions described in Table 3.1. The production of finer particles exhibited in the concentrated slurry PSD confirms that particle break-up is a significant factor in reducing filtration flux from Conditions 1 to 7 (see Figure 3.1). A possible explanation for reduction in flux can be described in terms of forming higher resistance "cakes" (deposited layer on the membrane surface) as the solids deposited at or near the membrane surface are enriched progressively with finer particles. In crossflow filtration, a slight decrease in the filtrate flux is caused by the formation of a porous filter cake as the particles are deposited on the membrane surface. However, as small fine particles begin to plug the filter cake, the filtrate flux could decrease very rapidly and necessitate back flushing to regenerate the membrane. Upon each backpulsing and progressive de-agglomeration, the dispersed fine particles are capable of penetrating the pores of the membrane and reducing the filtration flux further.

Figures 5.4 and 5.5 compare the volume- and number-weighted histograms of the three samples for the Microtrac X-100 at 40 and 60 mL/s circulation flow rate. Figure 5.6 and 5.7 compare the volume-weighted and number-weighted distribution of these samples before and after sonication. It can be seen from these plots (Figures 5.4, 5.5, and 5.6) that after the slurry was caustic leached and caustic washed, the nature of agglomerate compaction was changed. The final slurry solids are more shear sensitive and are easier to de-agglomerated compared to the concentrated slurry (same agglomeration compaction nature as initial slurry) under identical variation in the circulation flowrate and sonication treatments as the concentrated slurry.

Furthermore, the comparison of the volume-weighted distribution plots (see Figure 5.6 and 5.7) of the concentrated slurry and the final slurry before sonication show that the overall distribution shape and the location of the peaks in both samples (concentrated slurry and final slurry) are almost the same and similar, respectively.




Figure 5.4. Histogram of the Volume- Weighted AZ-102 Slurries Using the Microtrac X-100 at 40 and 60 mL/s Circulation Flow Rate




Figure 5.5. Histogram of the Number-Weighted AZ-102 Slurries Using the Microtrac X-100 at 40 and 60 mL/s Circulation Flow Rate




Figure 5.6. Histogram of the Volume Weighted AZ-102 Slurries before and after Sonication Using the Microtrac X-100




Figure 5.7. Histogram of the Number Weighted AZ-102 Slurries before and after Sonication Using the Microtrac X-100

6.0 Conclusions

Based on the testing and analysis performed on AZ-102 sludge described in this document, the following conclusions have been obtained. They have been divided into categories for clarity.

AZ-102 Crossflow Filtration

- For a slurry at 5.1 wt% insoluble solids, the average filtrate flux over 50 min of operation ranged from 0.046 to 0.126 gpm/ft^2. The dominant variable affecting the filtrate flux data during the first three conditions appears to be operating time. During these conditions, there was a steady decline in filtrate flux. Changes in the TMP appeared to have little effect. For the three subsequent runs, the axial velocity and TMP may have slightly increased the flux rate.
- For a slurry at 13.7 wt% insoluble solids, the average filtrate fluxes ranged from 0.020 to 0.051 gpm/ft^2 over 50 min of operation. This filtrate flux is ~2.3 times less than the low solids matrix, and ~2.7 times higher in solids concentration. Over the range of conditions studied, higher axial velocities improve filtrate flux. Once again, TMPs appear to have little effect.
- The filtrate DFs for ^{241}Am (ratio of concentrations in the slurry to the concentration in the filtrate) were between 100,000 and 450,000 for the filtrates collected, indicating excellent solid-liquid separations.
- A statistical model of filtrate flux was developed and found to be a function of axial velocity and run order. Based on this modeling effort, the optimum condition for both low and high solids loading is to maximize the axial velocity. Due to collinearities between pressure and velocity, and run order and solids concentration in the current data set, from a statistical standpoint, it is not possible to determine the effect of TMP and solids concentration on filtrate flux.
- Even after two cleanings with (1 M) nitric acid, the CUF was not capable of recovering the clean water filtrate flux. In full-scale operation, higher temperatures and longer cleaning times may be required. Acid cleaning at temperatures greater than ambient was not possible in the CUF due to the potential for corrosion of the CUF material.

AZ-102 Wash and Caustic Leach Testing

- Dilute caustic washing removed 80.4% of the sodium and >90% of the soluble anions, including nitrate, nitrite, and sulfate. Significant quantities of fluoride and cyanide were also removed. The only radioactive isotopes significantly removed by washing were ^{137}Cs and possibly ^{99}Tc, and ^{127}I.
- The first caustic leach removed 60% of the aluminum, but only 1.2% was removed during the subsequent caustic wash steps. Caustic leaching also increased the removal of P as well as the water-soluble components, such as K and ^{137}Cs.
- Fe, Al, and Na were the analytes of highest concentration, respectively, in the final composition of the final leached/washed sludge.
- The total mass of solids was reduced by approximately 44% during the course of water washing and caustic leaching, and the mass of HLW glass (assuming Al + Fe + Zr limiting the glass) was reduced by potentially ~27%.

- The initial slurry exhibited significant plugging in the CUF during the start of operations. After several hours of operations, the plugging effects were reduced. The final slurry was found to entrain significant quantities of air that remained in the material for hours. This entrained air may have impacted the pumpability and filterability of the material, although entrained air and its effects were only observed on the final slurry.
- The initial dilute slurry, concentrated slurry, and final slurry were found to be yield pseudoplastic and thixotropic.
- There was a significant decrease in particle size from the initial as-received sludge to the concentrated slurry. This decrease is attributed to particle deagglomeration during pumping in the CUF. In contrast, there is less difference in particle size between the concentrated slurry and the final washed and leached material.
- The slurry exhibited increased shear sensitivity after washing and leaching as evidenced by its large reduction in number distribution after sonication.

7.0 References

Brooks, K. P., P. R. Bredt, G. R. Golcar, S. A. Hartley, M. W. Urie, J. M. Tingey, K. G. Rappe, and L. K. Jagoda. 1999. *Ultrafiltration and Characterization of AW-101 Supernatant and Entrained Solids*, BNFL-RPT-002 Rev. 0, PNWD-3000, Battelle Northwest, Richland, Washington.

Brooks, K. P., P. R. Bredt, G. R. Golcar, S. A. Hartley, M. W. Urie, K. G. Rappe, L. K. Jagoda, and M. W. Urie. 2000. *Characterization, Washing, Leaching and Filtration of C-104 Sludge*, BNFL-RPT-030 Rev. 0, PNWD-3024, Battelle Northwest, Richland, Washington.

Geeting, J.G.H., and B. A. Reynolds. 1997. *Bench-Scale Crossflow Filtration of Hanford Tank C-106, C-107, B-110, and U-110 Sludge Slurries,* PNNL-11652. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Hallen, R. T., P. R. Bredt, K. P. Brooks, and L. K. Jagoda. 2000. *Combined Entrained Solids and Sr/TRU Removal from AN-107 Diluted Feed*, PNWD-3035, Battelle Northwest, Richland, Washington.

Tarleton, E. S. and R. J. Wakeman. 1994. *Understanding Flux Decline in Crossflow Microfiltration: Part II—Effects of Process Parameters.* Trans IchemE, Vol 72, Part A, May 1994. pp. 431-439.

U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations (DOE-RL). 1996. *River Protection Project Waste Treatment Plan* (RPP-WTP) (formerly TWRS) Privatization Contract, DE-RP06-96RL13308, Richland, Washington.

Appendix A: CUF Filtration, Washing and Leaching Test Instructions and Laboratory Record Book

Appendix A: CUF Filtration, Washing and Leaching Test Instructions and Laboratory Record Book

Appendix B: Testing Mass Balance

Appendix B: Testing Mass Balance

Appendix C: Analytical Results

Appendix C: Analytical Results

Appendix D: Crossflow Filtration Raw Data

Appendix D: Crossflow Filtration Raw Data

Appendix E: Physical Properties Test Instruction

Appendix E: Physical Properties Test Instruction

Appendix F: Rheograms for AZ-102 and Standards

Appendix F: Rheograms for AZ-102 and Standards

Appendix G: Key Personnel Affiliated with AZ-102 Testing

Appendix G: Key Personnel Affiliated with AZ-102 Testing

Name	Responsibility	Telephone/email
Eugene Morrey	Battelle Project Manager	(509) 376-1982 eugene.morrey@pnl.gov
Dean Kurath	Battelle Project Engineer	(509) 376-6752 dean.kurath@pnl.gov
Kriston Brooks	Ultrafiltration Task Manager, Filtration and CUF Testing	(509) 376-2233 kriston.brooks@pnl.gov
Paul Bredt	Rheology and Physical Properties Measurement	(509) 376-3777 paul.bredt@pnl.gov
Scott Cooley	Statistical Analysis	(509) 375-3604 scott.cooley@pnl.gov
Mike Urie	Chemical and Radiochemical Analysis	(509) 376-9454 mike.urie@pnl.gov
Ken Rappe	CUF Design and Testing	(509) 372-3918 ken.rappe@pnl.gov
Gita Golcar	Particle Size Distribution Measurement	(509) 372-1967 gr.golcar@pnl.gov
Lynette Jagoda	CUF Testing	(509) 376-9951 lynette.jagoda@pnl.gov
Rick Steele	Hot Cell Operations	(509) 372-0038 rick.steele@pnl.gov

Appendix H: Particle Size Distribution Experimental Raw Data

Appendix H: Particle Size Distribution Simulant Recipes and Experimental Raw Data

Distribution

No. of Copies

OFFSITE

2 DOE/Office of Scientific and Technical Information

No. of Copies

ONSITE

5 British Nuclear Fuels, Limited

M. E. Johnson (4)	BN-FL
E. Higginbotham	BN-FL

1 CHG

D. J. Washenfelder	H6-15

20 Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

P. R. Bredt	P7-25
K. P. Brooks (5)	K6-24
G. R. Golcar	K6-24
R. T. Hallen	K2-12
S. K. Cooley	K5-12
L. K. Jagoda	K6-24
D. E. Kurath (2)	P7-28
E. V. Morrey	P7-28
K. G. Rappe	K6-28
M. W. Urie	P7-22
Technical Report Files (5)	K1-06

Appendix A: CUF Filtration, Washing and Leaching Test Instructions and Laboratory Record Book

Date/Time	Description		Volume (mL)	Mass (g)	Density (g/mL)	Caustic Molarity (M)	Undissolved Solids (g)	Weight Percent Undissolved Solids
10-Jan-00	Sample A		197	315.64	1.60		131.8	41.8%
	5 wt% dilution #1		1850	1849.86	1.00		0.0	0.0%
	Test Sample #1		2047	2165.5	1.06		110.4	5.1%
	First Test Matrix							
	& Dewatering							
	Rheology equipment rinse		30	30.0	1.00	0	0	0.0%
	Dewatering #1		951	957.97	1.008		0	0.0%
	Filtrate Sample -001		17	17.18	1.008	0	0	0.0%
	Filtrate Sample -002		17	16.90	1.008	0	0	0.0%
	Test Sample #1		1092.3	1203.4	1.102		110.4	9.2%
	Sample MU		25	40.835	1.60		17.1	41.8%
	Sample GL		29	46.664	1.60		19.5	41.8%
	Recovery Jar		384	383.82	1.00		38.4	10.0%
	5 wt% dilution #2		453	453.19	1.00	0.010	0	0%
	Test Sample #2		1983.9	2128.0	1.073		185.4	8.7%
	Dewatering #2		723	727.82	1.006		0	0%
	Filtrate Sample -003		23	22.89	1.006	0	0	0%
	Filtrate Sample -004		19	19.03	1.006	0	0	0%
	Test Sample #2		1246	1358.2	1.090		185.4	13.7%
	Slurry Sample -005		13	14.133	1.090	0	1.9	13.7%
	Slurry Sample -006		17	18.806	1.090	0	2.6	13.7%
	Water for mixing assistance		102	102.3	1.00	0	0	0%
11-Jan-00	**Second Test Matrix**							
	& Water Washes							
	Water Wash #1 Solution		887	886.53	1.00	0.01	0	0%
	Water Wash #2 Solution		905	905.42	1.00	0.01	0	0%
	Water Wash #3 Solution		896	895.80	1.00	0.01	0	0%
	Water Wash #1 Permeate		871	875.71	1.006		0	0%
	Water Wash #2 Permeate		877	878.19	1.001		0	0%
	Water Wash #3 Permeate		956	951.73	0.995		0	0%
	Test Sample		1287	1409.71	1.10		159.77	11.3%
	Centrifuge Sample		0.3	0.327	1.10	0.00	0.04	11.3%
	Slurry Sample -007		18	19.378	1.10	0.00	2.2	11.3%
	Sample Port Rinse		10	10	1.00	0	0	0%
11-Jan-00	**Caustic Leach**							
	Caustic Leach Solution		483	609.16	1.26	7.37	0	0%
12-Jan-00	DI impeller rinse		26	25.54	1.00	0.00	0	0%
	Evaporation		105	104.66	1.00	0.00	0	0.0%
	DI impeller rinse		81	81.46	1.00	0.00	0	0%
	Caustic Leach Permeate		485	529.58	1.092		0	0%
	Filtrate Sample -008		17	18.708	1.092	0	0	0%
	Filtrate Sample -009		20	21.285	1.092	0	0	0%
	Test Sample		1238	1441.9	1.165		136.98	9.5%
	Slurry Sample -010		15	17.011	1.165	0	1.62	9.5%
	Slurry Sample -011		13	14.846	1.165	0	1.41	9.5%

Date/Time	Description		Volume (mL)	Mass (g)	Density (g/mL)	Caustic Molarity (M)	Undissolved Solids (g)	Weight Percent Undissolved Solids
	Slurry Sample -012		13	15.377	1.165	0	1.46	9.5%
	Drain container rinse		10	9.50	1.00	0.00	0	0%
12-Jan-00	**Caustic Washes**							
	Caustic Wash #1 Solution		1392	1392.23	1.00	0.01	0	0%
	Test Sample		2598.89	2796.43	1.08		132.5	4.7%
	Evaporation		105	104.80	1.00	0.00	0	4.7%
	Water added		105	105.25	1.00	0.00	0	0%
13-Jan-00	Caustic Wash #1 Permeate		1435	1492.06	1.040		0	0%
	Filtrate Sample -013		19	19.898	1.040	0	0	0%
	Filtrate Sample -014		15	15.208	1.040	0	0	0%
	Test Sample		1130.6	1269.7	1.123		116.8	9.2%
	Caustic Wash #2 Solution		1400	1400.00	1.00	0.01	0	0%
	Evaporation		122	122.22	1.00	0.00	0	0.0%
	Drain container rinse		100	100.07	1.00	0.00	0	0%
	Water added		150	150.09	1.00	0.00	0	0%
	Caustic Wash #2 Permeate		1508	1539.34	1.021		0	0%
	Filtrate Sample -021		18	18.521	1.021	0	0	0%
	Filtrate Sample -022		21	21.203	1.021	0	0	0%
	Water added		201	200.84	1.00	0.00	0	0%
	Test Sample		1048	1100.0	1.050		101.2	9.2%
	Slurry Sample -023		15	16.274	1.050	0	1.50	9.2%
	Slurry Sample -024		10	10.823	1.050	0	1.00	9.2%
	Slurry Sample -025		15	15.609	1.050	0	1.44	9.2%
	Slurry Sample -026		14	14.472	1.050	0	1.33	9.2%
13-Jan-00	**Test Finale**							
	Test Sample		1352	1419.4	1.050		1259.2	88.7%

Appendix C: Analytical Results

Appendix C: Analytical Results

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ...
ICPAES Data Report

Project: 29953
Client: K. Brooks

ACL Number(s): 00-0902 through 00-0917

Client ID: "AZ-102 MU" through "CUF-AZ-102-027"

ASR Number: 5667, 5668

Total Samples: 16

Procedure: PNL-ALO-211, "Determination of Elements by Inductively Coupled Argon Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry" (ICP-AES).

Analyst: D.R. Sanders

Analysis Date (Filename): 02-02-00 (A0579) [ALO-115 KOH/Ni fusion]
02-08-00 (A0582) [ALO-128 Acid Digestion - liquids]
02-24-00 (A0586) [ALO-129 Acid Leach - solids]

See Chemical Measurement Center 98620: ICP-325-405-1 File for Calibration and Maintenance Records.

M&TE Number: ICPAES instrument -- WB73520
Mettler AT400 Balance -- Ser.No. 360-06-01-029

Jerry Wagner 4-7-00
Reviewed by

M W Thiri 4-7-00
Concur

4/7/00

ASR-5667

Sample **AZ-102 MU** (ACL# 00-0902) was prepared in duplicate by the Shielded Analytic Lab (SAL) using ALO-115 KOH/Ni fusion procedure. About 0.19 grams dried slurry sample was fused and diluted to a final volume of 100ml. Samples dissolved leaving a slightly cloudy mixture prior to adding 2% hydrochloric acid during dilution. An additional dilution (11.24-fold) was prepared by SAL prior to ICPAES analysis because of ALARA concerns. Measurement results are reported in µg/g dry weight and corrected for all preparation and analytical dilution.

Sample **AZ-102 MU** (ACL# 00-0902) was also prepared in duplicate by SAL using ALO-129 Acid Leach procedure. About 0.48g of dried slurry sample was processed and diluted to a final volume of about 25ml. The prepared samples did not completely dissolve leaving a 'light-gray' residue in the bottom of the digestion vessel. The samples were also diluted 11.20-fold because of ALARA concerns. ICPAES measurement results are reported as µg/g dry weight. Comparing results of the fusion prepared samples with the acid leached samples, it appears that aluminum, iron and zirconium were incompletely dissolve by acid leach procedure. Analysis results should be based upon the fusion prepared sample data.

Sample **AZ-102 M SUP** (ACL#00-0903) was prepared in duplicate, plus matrix-spike and blank-spike by SAL using ALO-128 Acid Digestion procedures for liquids. About 5.1 ml of supernatant was processed and diluted to a final volume of about 25ml. No ALARA dilution was performed prior to ICPAES analysis. Measurement results are reported in µg/ml.

Silver recovery was low in the spiked blank (about 19%) and sample ACL# 00-0903 (about 13%) because hydrochloric acid was used during sample preparation causing silver to precipitate.

Barium recovery was acceptable in the spiked blank (about 105%) but very low in the matrix spiked sample (about 5%). The low recovery of barium in the matrix-spiked sample is mainly due to high concentration of sulfate in the sample (ACL# 00-0903).

Silver recovery is expected to be low for all samples since hydrochloric acid was used to prepare all samples. Barium concentration will be low in all samples that have high sulfate concentration.

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ...
ICPAES Data Report

ASR-5668

Four dry-slurry samples plus one duplicate and one wet-slurry sample, **CUF-AZ-102-007** to **CUF-AZ-102-026** (ACL# 00-0909 to 00-0916) were prepared by SAL using ALO-115 KOH/Ni fusion procedure. About 0.19g of dried slurry sample (except sample ACL# 00-0912) was fused and diluted to a final volume of 100ml. Samples dissolved leaving only a slightly cloudy mixture prior to adding 2% hydrochloric acid during dilution. Sample ACL# 00-0912 was prepared using about 0.95g of wet slurry (18.5% solids) and processed similarly using ALO-115 fusion procedure. Sample weight for ACL# 00-0912 was adjusted for percent solids. All samples were diluted 11.24-fold by SAL prior to ICPAES analysis because of ALARA concerns. Measurement results are reported in µg/g dry weight corrected for all preparation and analytical dilution.

Five wet-slurry samples (same ACL#'s as above) were prepared by SAL using ALO-129 Acid Leach procedure. About 1.1 to 1.5g of wet-slurry material (0.48g dry-slurry) was processed and diluted to a final volume of about 25ml. The prepared samples did not completely dissolve leaving a 'dark-brown' residue in the bottom of the digestion vessel. One ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid added to the leached samples did not appear to dissolve any of the residues. Samples were further diluted 11.20-fold because of ALARA concerns. ICPAES measurement results are reported as µg/g dry weight (wet-slurry sample weights adjusted for percent solids). Comparing results of the fusion prepared samples with the acid leached samples, it appears that aluminum, iron and zirconium were incompletely dissolve by the acid leach procedure. Also, sodium concentration appears higher (up to about 25% higher) in the wet-slurry leached samples prepared using ALO-129 procedure than for the same dry-slurry fusion prepared samples except for sample ACL# 00-0912. This sample was prepared by both ALO-129 and ALO-115 procedure using wet-slurry material. Except for potassium and nickel, all results should be based upon the fusion prepared sample data. Potassium and nickel concentration may need to be adjusted based upon sodium concentration between the acid leach and fusion results.

Nine supernatant samples, **CUF-AZ-102-001** to **CUF-AZ-102-027** (ACL# 00-0904 to 00-0917) were prepared by SAL using ALO-128 Acid Digestion procedure for liquids. About 5.1ml of supernatant sample was processed and diluted to a final volume of about 25ml. No ALARA dilution was performed prior to ICPAES analysis. ICPAES measurement results are reported as µg/ml. Supernatant aliquot weights were recorded during sample preparation and later used to convert to volume based upon original sample density information. Measurement results are reported in µg/ml corrected for all preparation and analytical dilution.

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ...
ICPAES Data Report

Volumes and weights have been recorded on bench sheets and included with this report. Values reported earlier have been changed from µg/g to µg/ml in this report for supernatant samples only. Values reported earlier for acid leached slurries have been changed to reflect percent solids content of the samples.

For ICPAES analytes of interest (22 analytes) see attached table 3A in ASR-5667/5668.

Quality control check-standard results met tolerance requirements for all analytes except as noted below. Following is a list of quality control measurement results relative to ICPAES analysis tolerance requirements

Five fold serial dilution:

(Solid & liquid samples) Results were within tolerance limit of ≤ 10% for all analytes tested after correcting for dilution except as follows. Potassium was slightly low in 5-fold serial dilution in sample ACL# 00-0903. Chromium was very high in the 5-fold dilution of sample ACL# 00-0910. Two other analytes (not analytes of interest) were similarly high. The discrepancy is most likely due to pipetting error during preparation of the 5-fold dilution. Measurements reported for these analytes did not involve the serial dilution used for this test.

Duplicate RPD (Relative Percent Difference):

(Solid & liquid samples) All analytes tested were recovered within tolerance limit of ≤ 20% relative percent difference (RPD) except as follows. RPD for aluminum and, although not an analyte of interest, zirconium were very different in sample ACL# 00-0902 and 00-0902-D. Aluminum RPD for the duplicate samples measured 56% (Zr RPD was 26%). The original sample had higher concentration for both analytes than the duplicate sample. The duplicate samples were prepared using ALO-129 acid leach procedure. Both aluminum and zirconium are more resistive to acid attack. Aluminum and zirconium in the fusion prepared sample ACL# 00-0902 was about twice as high in concentration as the acid leach prepared sample. Less resistant analytes were similar in concentration for both fusion and acid leach prepared samples.

Post-Spiked Samples (Group A):

(Solid & liquid samples) All analytes of interest were recovered within tolerance of 75% to 125% except potassium in the acid digestion (ALO-128) prepared sample ACL# 00-0903. Potassium recovery (134%) was above the tolerance limit. All other analytes above EQL were within tolerance. The reason for the discrepancy is not known.

Post-Spiked Samples (Group B):

(Solid & liquid samples) All analytes of interest were recovered within tolerance of 75% to 125%.

Blank Spike:

(Solid & liquid samples) A blank spike is not require for fusion prepared samples. All analytes of interest in solid and liquid prepared samples tested were recovered within tolerance limit of 80% to 120% recovery except as follows. Silver in the blank spike prepared using ALO-129 and ALO-128 procedure produced low recovery (27% and 19% respectively) because hydrochloric acid was used during sample preparation, causing silver to precipitate from solution.

Matrix Spiked Sample:

(Solid & liquid samples) A matrix spike is not require for fusion prepared samples. All analytes of interest in solid and liquid prepared samples tested were recovered within tolerance limit of 75% to 125% recovery, except as follows. Arsenic in the matrix-spiked sample ACL# 00-0909, prepared using ALO-129 procedure, produced low recovery of 22%. The reason for the low arsenic recovery is unclear but may be related to a high sulfate concentration in the sample. Silver and barium in the matrix-spiked sample ACL# 00-0903 prepared using ALO-128 procedure were both low (13% and 5% respectively). Silver recovery is low because hydrochloric acid was used to prepare the sample. Barium recovery is low most likely because of high concentration of sulfate in the sample, which will precipitate barium from solution.

Quality Control Check Standards (solid and liquid samples):

Concentration of all analytes is within tolerance limit of ± 10% accuracy in standards: QC_MCVA, QC_MCVB, and QC_SSTMCV. Calibration Blank (ICP98.0) concentration is acceptable, less than two times IDL.

High Calibration Standard Check (solid and liquid samples):

Verification of the high-end calibration for all analytes is within tolerance limits of ± 5% accuracy except for potassium. Potassium was slightly high (6% to 8%) during analysis of solids prepared using ALO-129 acid leach procedure.

Process Blank:

(solid and liquid samples) All analytes of interest were within tolerance limit of ≤ EQL or < 5% of sample concentration in the prepared samples.

Laboratory Control Standard (LCS):

(Fusion prepared samples) All analytes measured at a concentration equal to or greater than EQL were recovered within tolerance limit of 75% to 125%. SRM-2710 Montana Soil was used for the LCS in PNL-ALO-115 fusion preparations. Calcium was the only analyte that exceeded tolerance limits. Without blank correction calcium recovery was about 140%. With blank correction calcium recovery was too low, about 28%. Typically a blank correction is applied for calcium determination in the SRM-2710 using ALO-115 KOH/Ni fusion procedure since there calcium is known to be present in the KOH reagent. If a sample contaminated the fusion blank it would lead to low recovery, which may have occurred in this case.

Please note bracketed values listed in the data report are within ten times instrument detection limit and have a potential uncertainty much greater than 15%.

Comments:

1) "Final Results" have been corrected for all laboratory dilution performed on the sample during processing and analysis unless specifically noted.

2) Detection limits (DL) shown are for acidified water. Detection limits for other matrices may be determined if requested.

3) Routine precision and bias is typically ± 15% or better for samples in dilute, acidified water (e.g. 2% v/v HNO_3 or less) at analyte concentrations greater than ten times detection limit up to the upper calibration level. This also presumes that the total dissolved solids concentration in the sample is less than 5000 µg/mL (0.5 per cent by weight).

4) Absolute precision, bias and detection limits may be determined on each sample if required by the client.

5) The maximum number of significant figures for all ICP measurements is 2.

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ... ICPAES Data Report

	Multiplier=	5871.0	6039.8	5887.9	5737.6	5755.2
	ALO#=	00-0902-PB-Ni	00-0902-Ni	00-0902-DUP-Ni	00-00909-Ni	00-00911-Ni
	Client ID=	Process Blank	AZ102 MU	AZ102 MU	CUF-AZ102-007	CUF-AZ102-010
Det. Limit (ug/mL)	Run Date= (Analyte)	2/2/00 ug/g dry	2/2/00 ug/g dry	2/2/00 ug/g dry	2/2/00 ug/g dry	2/2/00 ug/g dry
0.025	Ag	--	[340]	[350]	[350]	[210]
0.060	Al	[510]	130,000	119,000	185,000	94,200
0.250	As	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	B	--	--	--	--	[2,800]
0.010	Ba	--	[560]	[540]	724	[430]
0.010	Be	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Bi	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Ca	[14,000]	[7,300]	[7,100]	[10,000]	[7,600]
0.015	Cd	--	21,100	20,300	25,300	14,600
0.200	Ce	--	--	--	[1,300]	--
0.050	Co	--	--	--	--	--
0.020	Cr	--	2,200	2,070	1,520	[910]
0.025	Cu	--	[270]	[280]	[440]	[250]
0.050	Dy	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Eu	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Fe	[350]	142,000	137,000	170,000	103,000
0.050	La	--	4,180	4,080	5,250	3,080
0.030	Li	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Mg	[1,600]	[950]	[660]	[1,600]	[1,000]
0.050	Mn	--	3,400	3,330	4,090	[2,600]
0.050	Mo	--	--	--	--	--
0.150	Na	[2,400]	105,000	96,100	21,300	228,000
0.100	Nd	--	[2,900]	[2,900]	[3,700]	[2,200]
0.100	P	--	[1,200]	[1,300]	[2,500]	[1,900]
0.100	Pb	--	[1,400]	[1,300]	[1,800]	[640]
0.750	Pd	--	--	--	--	--
0.300	Rh	--	--	--	--	--
1.100	Ru	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Sb	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Se	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Si	--	[5,000]	[4,900]	[5,500]	[28,000]
1.500	Sn	--	--	--	--	--
0.015	Sr	--	[270]	[260]	[360]	[220]
1.500	Te	--	--	--	--	--
1.000	Th	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Ti	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Tl	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	U	--	[27,000]	[27,000]	[32,000]	[19,000]
0.050	V	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	W	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Y	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Zn	[450]	[370]	[310]	[500]	[440]
0.050	Zr	--	15,900	15,800	21,800	14,300

Note: 1) Overall error greater than 10-times detection limit is estimated to be within +/- 15%.

2) Values in brackets [] are within 10-times detection limit with errors likely to exceed 15%.

3) "--" indicate measurement is below detection. Sample detection limit may be found by multiplying "det. limit" (far left column) by "multiplier" (top of each column).

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ... ICPAES Data Report

Det. Limit (ug/mL)	Multiplier= / Client ID= / Run Date= / (Analyte)	6372.2 00-00912-Ni CUF-AZ102-011 (wet slurry) 2/2/00 ug/g dry	5711.4 00-00915-Ni CUF-AZ102-023 2/2/00 ug/g dry	6118.7 00-00916-Ni CUF-AZ102-026 2/2/00 ug/g dry		
0.025	Ag	[230]	[460]	[450]	--	--
0.060	Al	108,000	108,000	106,000	--	--
0.250	As	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	B	--	--	--	--	--
0.010	Ba	[490]	936	920	--	--
0.010	Be	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Bi	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Ca	[8,700]	[11,000]	[11,000]	--	--
0.015	Cd	16,600	31,600	31,000	--	--
0.200	Ce	--	[1,600]	[1,500]	--	--
0.050	Co	--	--	--	--	--
0.020	Cr	[1,000]	1,650	1,620	--	--
0.025	Cu	[300]	[620]	[550]	--	--
0.050	Dy	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Eu	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Fe	117,000	223,000	219,000	--	--
0.050	La	3,440	6,870	6,670	--	--
0.030	Li	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Mg	[1,100]	[2,000]	[1,900]	--	--
050	Mn	[3,000]	5,410	5,350	--	--
050	Mo	--	--	--	--	--
0.150	Na	240,000	67,500	66,400	--	--
0.100	Nd	[2,400]	[4,800]	[4,700]	--	--
0.100	P	[1,400]	[3,500]	[2,100]	--	--
0.100	Pb	[1,300]	[2,300]	[2,200]	--	--
0.750	Pd	--	--	--	--	--
0.300	Rh	--	--	--	--	--
1.100	Ru	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Sb	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Se	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Si	[4,500]	[7,000]	[7,200]	--	--
1.500	Sn	--	--	--	--	--
0.015	Sr	[240]	[470]	[460]	--	--
1.500	Te	--	--	--	--	--
1.000	Th	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Ti	--	[180]	[190]	--	--
0.500	Tl	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	U	[21,000]	[39,000]	[38,000]	--	--
0.050	V	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	W	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Y	--	[310]	--	--	--
0.050	Zn	[510]	[980]	[960]	--	--
0.050	Zr	14,000	34,800	30,500	--	--

Note: 1) Overall error greater than 10-times detection limit is estimated to be within +/- 15%.

2) Values in brackets [] are within 10-times detection limit with errors likely to exceed 15%.

3) "--" indicate measurement is below detection. Sample detection limit may be found by multiplying "det. limit" (far left column) by "multiplier" (top of each column).

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ... ICPAES Data Report

	Multiplier=	585.6	579.8	576.9	583.9
	ALO#=	00-0902-PB1	00-0902-PB2	00-0902	00-0902-DUP
	Client ID=	Process Blank #1 (dry solids equiv.)	Process Blank #2 (dry solids equiv.)	AZ102 MU (dry solids)	AZ102 MU (dry solids)
Det. Limit	Run Date=	2/8/00	2/8/00	2/8/00	2/8/00
(ug/mL)	(Analyte)	ug/g dry	ug/g dry	ug/g dry	ug/g dry
0.025	Ag	--	--	376	327
0.060	Al	[44]	[39]	68,200	38,600
0.250	As	--	--	--	--
0.050	B	[53]	[70]	[120]	[130]
0.010	Ba	--	--	540	514
0.010	Be	--	--	[14]	[13]
0.100	Bi	--	--	--	--
0.250	Ca	[710]	[450]	4,600	4,350
0.015	Cd	--	--	20,200	19,900
1.500	Ce	--	--	--	--
0.050	Co	--	--	[71]	[67]
0.020	Cr	--	--	2,170	2,110
0.025	Cu	[19]	--	318	293
0.050	Dy	--	--	--	--
0.100	Eu	--	--	--	--
0.025	Fe	[18]	--	135,000	127,000
2.000	K	--	--	[6,400]	[6,400]
0.050	La	--	--	4,330	4,040
0.030	Li	--	--	--	--
1.500	Mg	--	--	--	--
0.050	Mn	--	--	2,970	2,750
0.150	Mo	--	--	[90]	--
0.150	Na	[120]	[120]	109,000	124,000
0.100	Nd	--	--	3,050	2,820
0.030	Ni	[22]	[19]	9,550	9,060
0.100	P	--	--	--	[140]
0.100	Pb	--	--	1,290	1,190
1.500	Pd	--	--	--	--
0.300	Rh	--	--	--	--
1.250	Ru	--	--	--	--
0.500	Sb	--	--	--	--
0.250	Se	--	--	--	--
0.500	Si	--	--	[1,200]	[2,900]
4.000	Sn	--	--	--	--
0.015	Sr	--	--	248	233
1.500	Te	--	--	--	--
1.250	Th	--	--	[720]	--
0.025	Ti	--	--	[61]	[56]
0.500	Tl	--	--	--	--
2.000	U	--	--	27,400	26,100
0.075	V	--	--	--	--
2.000	W	--	--	--	--
0.400	Y	--	--	--	--
0.050	Zn	[31]	--	[180]	[160]
0.050	Zr	--	--	8,630	6,620

Note: 1) Overall error greater than 10-times detection limit is estimated to be within +/- 15%.
2) Values in brackets [] are within 10-times detection limit with errors likely to exceed 15%.
3) "--" indicate measurement is below detection. Sample detection limit may be found by multiplying "det. limit" (far left column) by "multiplier" (top of each column).

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ... ICPAES Data Report

Det. Limit (ug/mL)	Analyte	Multiplier= 1558.3 ALO#= 00-0909-PB1 Client ID= Process Blank #1 (wet slurry equiv.) Run Date= 2/8/00 ug/g dry	Multiplier= 1542.8 ALO#= 00-0909-PB2 Client ID= Process Blank #2 (wet slurry equiv.) Run Date= 2/8/00 ug/g dry	Multiplier= 1650.3 ALO#= 00-0909 Client ID= CUF-AZ102-007 (wet slurry) Run Date= 2/8/00 ug/g dry	Multiplier= 1097.7 ALO#= 00-0911 Client ID= CUF-AZ102-010 (wet slurry) Run Date= 2/8/00 ug/g dry	Multiplier= 1064.4 ALO#= 00-0912 Client ID= CUF-AZ102-011 (wet slurry) Run Date= 2/8/00 ug/g dry
0.025	Ag	--	--	[390]	[230]	[240]
0.060	Al	[120]	[100]	142,000	65,700	67,200
0.250	As	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	B	[140]	[190]	[230]	[260]	[240]
0.010	Ba	--	--	808	511	531
0.010	Be	--	--	[20]	[12]	[12]
0.100	Bi	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Ca	[1,900]	[1,200]	7,420	4,910	4,790
0.015	Cd	--	--	28,400	17,700	18,100
1.500	Ce	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Co	--	--	[100]	[61]	[65]
0.020	Cr	--	--	1,580	948	990
0.025	Cu	[51]	--	488	297	304
0.050	Dy	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Eu	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Fe	[49]	--	187,000	118,000	121,000
2.000	K	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	La	--	--	6,330	3,980	4,090
0.030	Li	--	--	--	--	--
1.500	Mg	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Mn	--	--	4,500	2,860	2,940
0.150	Mo	--	--	--	--	--
0.150	Na	[330]	[310]	25,200	264,000	241,000
0.100	Nd	--	--	4,460	2,790	2,870
0.030	Ni	[60]	[51]	13,700	8,580	8,830
0.100	P	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Pb	--	--	1,870	1,140	1,170
1.500	Pd	--	--	--	--	--
0.300	Rh	--	--	--	--	--
1.250	Ru	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Sb	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Se	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Si	--	--	[4,900]	[3,000]	[1,600]
4.000	Sn	--	--	--	--	--
0.015	Sr	--	--	393	252	258
1.500	Te	--	--	--	--	--
1.250	Th	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Ti	--	--	[84]	[53]	[56]
0.500	Tl	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	U	--	--	36,800	22,900	23,500
0.075	V	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	W	--	--	--	--	--
0.400	Y	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Zn	[81]	--	[330]	[290]	[300]
0.050	Zr	--	--	7,900	7,170	8,540

Note: 1) Overall error greater than 10-times detection limit is estimated to be within +/- 15%.

2) Values in brackets [] are within 10-times detection limit with errors likely to exceed 15%.

3) "--" indicate measurement is below detection. Sample detection limit may be found by multiplying "det. limit" (far left column) by "multiplier" (top of each column).

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ... ICPAES Data Report

	Multiplier=	2012.6	1963.1			
	ALO#=	00-0915	00-0916			
	Client ID=	CUF-AZ102-023 (wet slurry)	CUF-AZ102-026 (set slurry)			
Det. Limit	Run Date=	2/8/00	2/8/00			
(ug/mL)	(Analyte)	ug/g dry	ug/g dry			
0.025	Ag	[460]	[480]	–	–	
0.060	Al	44,600	44,600	–	–	
0.250	As	–	–	–	–	
0.050	B	[260]	[190]	–	–	
0.010	Ba	937	979	–	–	
0.010	Be	[22]	[23]	–	–	
0.100	Bi	–	–	–	–	
0.250	Ca	8,970	9,000	–	–	
0.015	Cd	32,200	33,700	–	–	
1.500	Ce	–	–	–	–	
0.050	Co	[120]	[120]	–	–	
0.020	Cr	1,500	1,570	–	–	
0.025	Cu	562	586	–	–	
0.050	Dy	–	–	–	–	
0.100	Eu	–	–	–	–	
0.025	Fe	215,000	225,000	–	–	
2.000	K	–	–	–	–	
0.050	La	7,240	7,580	–	–	
0.030	Li	–	–	–	–	
1.500	Mg	–	–	–	–	
0.050	Mn	5,240	5,480	–	–	
0.150	Mo	–	–	–	–	
0.150	Na	84,500	82,000	–	–	
0.100	Nd	5,090	5,340	–	–	
0.030	Ni	15,800	16,500	–	–	
0.100	P	–	–	–	–	
0.100	Pb	2,080	2,170	–	–	
1.500	Pd	–	–	–	–	
0.300	Rh	–	–	–	–	
1.250	Ru	–	–	–	–	
0.500	Sb	–	–	–	–	
0.250	Se	–	–	–	–	
0.500	Si	[3,700]	[3,500]	–	–	
4.000	Sn	–	–	–	–	
0.015	Sr	462	483	–	–	
1.500	Te	–	–	–	–	
1.250	Th	–	–	–	–	
0.025	Ti	[100]	[110]	–	–	
0.500	Tl	–	–	–	–	
2.000	U	41,400	43,400	–	–	
0.075	V	–	–	–	–	
2.000	W	–	–	–	–	
0.400	Y	–	–	–	–	
0.050	Zn	[870]	[880]	–	–	
0.050	Zr	18,100	18,600	–	–	

Note: 1) Overall error greater than 10-times detection limit is estimated to be within +/- 15%.

2) Values in brackets [] are within 10-times detection limit with errors likely to exceed 15%.

3) "--" indicate measurement is below detection. Sample detection limit may be found by multiplying "det. limit" (far left column) by "multiplier" (top of each column).

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ... ICPAES Data Report

Det. Limit (ug/mL)	Multiplier= / ACL#= / Client ID= / Run Date= / (Analyte)	4.8 00-0903-PB Process Blank 2/24/00 ug/mL	24.5 00-0903 @5 AZ102 M SUP 2/24/00 ug/mL	24.3 00-0903-DUP @5 AZ102 M SUP 2/24/00 ug/mL	9.8 00-0904 @2 CUF-AZ102-001 2/24/00 ug/mL	9.8 00-0905 @2 CUF-AZ102-003 2/24/00 ug/mL
0.025	Ag	--	--	--	--	--
0.060	Al	4.50	214	219	184	163
0.250	As	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	B	7.44	43.3	45.8	17.6	13.5
0.010	Ba	--	[0.26]	--	--	--
0.010	Be	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Bi	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Ca	[4.8]	[8.8]	[9.8]	[5.8]	[6.1]
0.015	Cd	--	--	--	--	--
0.200	Ce	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Co	--	--	--	--	--
0.020	Cr	--	822	831	66.2	66.9
0.025	Cu	--	[0.84]	--	--	--
0.050	Dy	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Eu	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Fe	[0.18]	[0.70]	[0.67]	[0.25]	[0.25]
2.000	K	--	3,650	3,830	325	329
0.050	La	--	--	--	--	--
0.030	Li	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Mg	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Mn	--	--	--	--	--
[illegible]50	Mo	--	61.0	61.7	5.10	5.12
[illegible]50	Na	15.7	67,600	72,700	5,980	6,020
0.100	Nd	--	--	--	--	--
0.030	Ni	[0.35]	--	--	--	--
0.100	P	--	143	145	[8.8]	[7.2]
0.100	Pb	--	--	--	--	--
0.750	Pd	--	--	--	--	--
0.300	Rh	--	--	--	--	--
1.100	Ru	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Sb	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Se	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Si	[21]	299	304	[43]	[37]
1.500	Sn	--	--	--	--	--
0.015	Sr	--	--	--	--	--
1.500	Te	--	--	--	--	--
1.000	Th	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Ti	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Tl	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	U	--	--	--	[23]	[29]
0.050	V	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	W	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Y	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Zn	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Zr	--	--	--	--	--

Note: 1) Overall error greater than 10-times detection limit is estimated to be within +/- 15%.

2) Values in brackets [] are within 10-times detection limit with errors likely to exceed 15%.

3) "--" indicate measurement is below detection. Sample detection limit may be found by multiplying "det. limit" (far left column) by "multiplier" (top of each column).

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ... ICPAES Data Report

Det. Limit (ug/mL)	Multiplier= / ACL#= / Client ID= / Run Date= / (Analyte)	9.8 00-0906 @2 CUF-AZ102-015 2/24/00 ug/mL	4.8 00-0907 CUF-AZ102-017 2/24/00 ug/mL	5.0 00-0908 CUF-AZ102-019 2/24/00 ug/mL	24.7 00-0910 @5 CUF-AZ102-009 2/24/00 ug/mL	9.9 00-0913 @2 CUF-AZ102-013 2/24/00 ug/mL
0.025	Ag	--	--	--	--	--
0.060	Al	146	108	93.8	10,400	4,910
0.250	As	--	--	--	[9.6]	[5.8]
0.050	B	14.9	15.0	11.3	23.9	10.0
0.010	Ba	--	--	--	--	--
0.010	Be	--	--	--	[0.25]	--
0.100	Bi	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Ca	[5.0]	[4.6]	[4.2]	[14]	[3.9]
0.015	Cd	--	--	--	15.0	[0.87]
0.200	Ce	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Co	--	--	--	--	--
0.020	Cr	36.3	20.6	11.8	43.8	17.4
0.025	Cu	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Dy	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Eu	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Fe	[0.32]	[0.20]	[0.35]	[3.7]	[1.0]
2.000	K	[190]	115	[69]	[190]	[61]
0.050	La	--	--	--	--	--
0.030	Li	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Mg	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Mn	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Mo	[3.0]	[1.7]	[0.97]	[2.3]	[1.3]
0.150	Na	3,710	2,220	1,430	54,100	20,600
0.100	Nd	--	--	--	--	--
0.030	Ni	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	P	[6.6]	6.52	6.63	163	69.9
0.100	Pb	--	--	--	[6.1]	[2.4]
0.750	Pd	--	--	--	--	--
0.300	Rh	--	--	--	--	--
1.100	Ru	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Sb	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Se	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Si	[33]	33.7	28.7	[120]	56.9
1.500	Sn	--	--	--	--	--
0.015	Sr	--	--	--	--	--
1.500	Te	--	--	--	--	--
1.000	Th	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Ti	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Tl	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	U	[38]	[18]	--	--	[24]
0.050	V	--	--	--	[1.4]	[0.70]
2.000	W	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Y	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Zn	--	--	[0.33]	[3.4]	[3.7]
0.050	Zr	--	--	--	--	--

Note: 1) Overall error greater than 10-times detection limit is estimated to be within +/- 15%.

2) Values in brackets [] are within 10-times detection limit with errors likely to exceed 15%.

3) "--" indicate measurement is below detection. Sample detection limit may be found by multiplying "det. limit" (far left column) by "multiplier" (top of each column).

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ... ICPAES Data Report

Det. Limit (ug/mL)	Multiplier= / ACL#= / Client ID= / Run Date= (Analyte)	9.9 00-0914 @2 CUF-AZ102-022 2/24/00 ug/mL	9.6 00-0917 @2 CUF-AZ102-027 2/24/00 ug/mL			
0.025	Ag	--	--	--	--	--
0.060	Al	2,180	1,790	--	--	--
0.250	As	[2.6]	--	--	--	--
0.050	B	10.5	16.0	--	--	--
0.010	Ba	--	--	--	--	--
0.010	Be	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Bi	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Ca	[4.2]	[3.9]	--	--	--
0.015	Cd	--	--	--	--	--
0.200	Ce	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Co	--	--	--	--	--
0.020	Cr	8.82	8.05	--	--	--
0.025	Cu	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Dy	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Eu	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Fe	[0.81]	--	--	--	--
2.000	K	[27]	[20]	--	--	--
0.050	La	--	--	--	--	--
0.030	Li	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	Mg	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Mn	--	--	--	--	--
[illegible]50	Mo	[2.5]	[2.1]	--	--	--
[illegible]50	Na	8,700	7,110	--	--	--
0.100	Nd	--	--	--	--	--
0.030	Ni	--	--	--	--	--
0.100	P	28.4	38.5	--	--	--
0.100	Pb	--	--	--	--	--
0.750	Pd	--	--	--	--	--
0.300	Rh	--	--	--	--	--
1.100	Ru	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Sb	--	--	--	--	--
0.250	Se	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Si	[45]	[47]	--	--	--
1.500	Sn	--	--	--	--	--
0.015	Sr	--	--	--	--	--
1.500	Te	--	--	--	--	--
1.000	Th	--	--	--	--	--
0.025	Ti	--	--	--	--	--
0.500	Tl	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	U	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	V	--	--	--	--	--
2.000	W	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Y	--	--	--	--	--
0.050	Zn	[0.98]	[0.57]	--	--	--
0.050	Zr	--	--	--	--	--

Note: 1) Overall error greater than 10-times detection limit is estimated to be within +/- 15%.

2) Values in brackets [] are within 10-times detection limit with errors likely to exceed 15%.

3) "--" indicate measurement is below detection. Sample detection limit may be found by multiplying "det. limit" (far left column) by "multiplier" (top of each column).

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis --- IC Report

Client: K Brooks
ACL Numbers: 00-0902 to 00-0916
Analyst: MJ Steele

Charge Code/Project: W45577/29953
ASR Number: 5667 and 5668
Analysis Date: 2/11/00 and 3/15/00

Procedure: PNL-ALO-212, "Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography"
M&TE: IC system (WD25214); Balance (360-06-01-031) --- See Chemical Measurement Center 98620 RIDS IC File for Calibration, Standards Preparations, and Maintenance Records.

Final Results:

The AZ-102 as received samples (ASR 5667) and the AZ-102 CUF samples (ASR 5668) were analyzed by ion chromatography (IC) for inorganic anions as specified in the governing ASR. The final results are presented in Tables 1 through 3 below. Table 1 presents the slurry anion results in µg/g on a dry weight basis (i.e., corrected for weight percent solids) and Table 2 presents the liquid samples (supernatant, filtrates, washes, and leaches) in µg/ml. Although not requested by the ASR, Table 3 presents the slurry concentration in µg/g of wet slurry. All sample were diluted at the IC workstation to appropriate levels to ensure that all anions reported were measured within the calibration range. The diluted slurry samples were analyzed (after filtering) on February 11 and the liquids on March 15.

Table 1: Slurry Results on a Dry Weight Basis

Lab ID	Sample ID	Solids Dil Fctr	Wt% Solids	F ug/g	Cl ug/g	NO_2 ug/g	Br ug/g	NO_3 ug/g	PO_4 ug/g	SO_4 ug/g	C_2O_4 ug/g
00-00902 PB	Process Blank (equiv Dil)	103.3	41.8	< 25	41	< 50	< 25	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
00-00902	AZ-102 MU As Rec Slurry	103.0	41.8	1,400	< 250	63,300	< 250	20,400	660	27,400	3,500
00-00902 Dup	AZ-102 MU As Rec Slurry dup	103.6	41.8	2,000	< 250	68,800	< 250	22,300	470	31,100	4,300
	RPD			35%	n/a	8%	n/a	9%	34%	13%	21%
	Matrix Spike True @10x			*0.4*	*0.4*	*1.2*	*0.4*	*1.2*	*1.2*	*1.2*	*1.2*
00-00902 MS	*AZ-102 As Rec Slurry MS Rec*			*121%*	*205%*	*-48%*	*87%*	*6%*	*97%*	*85%*	*93%*
	Matrix Spike True			*4.0*	*4.0*	*12.0*	*4.0*	*12.0*	*12.0*	*12.0*	*12.0*
00-00902 PS	*AZ-102 As Rec Slurry PS Rec*			*107%*	*104%*	*114%*	*95%*	*110%*	*102%*	*98%*	*106%*
00-00902 BS	*AZ-102 As Rec Slurry BS Rec*			*103%*	*195%*	*95%*	*96%*	*105%*	*82%*	*104%*	*98%*
00-00902 BS	*AZ-102 As Rec Slurry BS Rec*			*106%*	*197%*	*98%*	*94%*	*105%*	*101%*	*109%*	*106%*
00-00909VB	Dil Blank --(equiv. Dil/Wt%)	13.9	13.3	< 30	< 30	< 60	< 30	< 60	< 60	< 60	< 60
00-00909	CUF-AZ-102-007 Slurry Wash #3	12.6	11.3	230	400	5,500	< 30	1,600	960	2,800	420
00-00911	CUF-AZ-102-010 Slurry Caustic Leach	17.9	18.0	590	4,200	1,500	< 250	< 500	2,200	1,000	< 500
00-00912	CUF-AZ-102-011 Slurry Caustic Leach	18.0	18.5	< 250	4,100	2,000	< 250	< 500	1,900	990	< 500
00-00915	CUF-AZ-102-023 Final Slurry	11.0	10.4	300	1,500	< 550	< 300	< 550	850	690	< 550
00-00916	CUF-AZ-102-026 Final Slurry	11.5	10.7	< 300	1,200	< 550	< 300	< 550	870	< 550	< 550
00-00916 Rep	CUF-AZ-102-026 Final Slurry Rep	11.5	10.7	< 300	1,200	< 550	< 300	< 550	970	< 550	< 550
	RPD			n/a	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a

RPD = Relative Percent Difference (between sample and duplicate/replicate)
MS Rec = Matrix Spike Standard % Recovery, PS Rec = Post Spike % Recovery, BS Rec = Blank Spike Standard % Recovery

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis --- IC Report

Table 2: Liqud Samples		F	Cl	NO2	Br	NO3	PO4	SO4	C2O4
Lab ID	Sample ID	ug/ml	ug/ml	ug/ml	ug/ml	ug/ml	ug/ml	ug/ml	ug/ml
00-00903	AZ-102 (M Sup) As Received	720	< 100	41,600	< 100	14,000	440	21,300	2,890
00-00903 D	AS-102 (M Sup) As Received Dup	780	< 100	41,700	< 100	13,600	430	20,800	3,690
	RPD	8%	n/a	0%	n/a	3%	2%	2%	24%
00-00903 MS	*AZ-102 (M Sup) As Received MS Rec*	*98%*	*94%*	*99%*	*120%*	*118%*	*106%*	*101%*	*106%*
00-00903 MS	*AZ-102 (M Sup) As Received MS Rec*	*108%*	*109%*	*105%*	*107%*	*106%*	*105%*	*106%*	*105%*
Woring Spike	*Recovery*	*98%*	*94%*	*98%*	*118%*	*119%*	*106%*	*101%*	*106%*
Woring Spike	*Recovery*	*109%*	*109%*	*105%*	*107%*	*106%*	*106%*	*106%*	*105%*
00-00904	CUF-AZ-102-001 Filtrate 1	90	< 25	3,820	< 25	1,080	< 50	1,630	250
00-00905	CUF-AZ-102-003 Filtrate 2	90	< 25	3,710	< 25	1,030	< 50	1,580	300
00-00906	CUF-AZ-102-015 Wash 1	50	< 10	1,930	< 10	740	< 20	1,130	160
00-00907	CUF-AZ-102-017 Wash 2	30	< 10	1,120	< 10	380	< 20	600	130
00-00908	CUF-AZ-102-019 Wash 3	20	< 10	660	< 10	220	30	350	80
00-00910	CUF-AZ-102-009 Caustic Leach	< 10	890	420	< 10	160	210	220	30
00-00913	CUF-AZ-102-013 Caustic Wash 1	< 25	370	180	< 25	100	160	110	< 50
00-00914	CUF-AZ-102-022 Caustic Wash 2	< 25	370	180	< 25	100	160	110	< 50

RPD = Relative Percent Difference (between sample and duplicate/replicate)
MS Rec = Matrix Spike Standard % Recovery, PS Rec = Post Spike % Recovery, BS Rec = Blank Spike Standard % Recovery

Table 3: Slurry Results on a Wet Weight Basis		Solids	F	Cl	NO_2	Br	NO_3	PO_4	SO_4	C_2O_4
Lab ID	Sample ID	Dil Fctr	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g
00-00902 PB	Process Blank (equiv Dil as slurries)	103.3	< 10	17	< 20	< 10	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
00-00902	AZ-102 MU As Rec Slurry	103.0	600	< 100	26,400	< 100	8,500	280	11,400	1,500
00-00902 Dup	AZ-102 MU As Rec Slurry dup	103.6	820	< 100	28,700	< 100	9,300	200	13,000	1,800
00-00909 PB	Process Blank (equiv. Dil as slurries)	13.9	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 7.0	< 4.0	< 7.0	< 7.0	< 7.0	< 7.0
00-00909	CUF-AZ-102-007 Slurry Wash #3	12.6	30	50	620	< 3.0	180	110	320	50
00-00911	CUF-AZ-102-010 Slurry Caustic Leach	17.9	110	750	280	< 50	< 90	400	190	< 90
00-00912	CUF-AZ-102-011 Slurry Caustic Leach	18.0	< 50	750	360	< 50	< 90	350	180	< 90
00-00915	CUF-AZ-102-023 Final Slurry	11.0	30	160	< 60	< 30	< 60	90	70	< 60
00-00916	CUF-AZ-102-026 Final Slurry	11.5	< 30	120	< 60	< 30	< 60	90	< 60	< 60
00-00916 Rep	CUF-AZ-102-026 Final Slurry Rep	11.5	< 30	120	< 60	< 39	< 60	100	< 60	< 60

Q.C. Comments for Slurry Analyses (February 11, 2000) :

Duplicates: Duplicate samples were extracted from the AZ-102 as received slurry sample (00-0902) and diluted in the hot cells. These dilution were than transferred to the IC Workstation and treated as duplicate for the IC analysis. At the IC Workstation, the CUF-AZ-102-026 final slurry sample (00-0916) which was diluted in the hot cell was split and analyzed in replicate. For anions above the estimated quantitation level (EQL; i.e., the lowest calibration standard), the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) is within the acceptance criteria of 20%, except for fluoride, phosphate and oxalate on the duplicate processed in the hot cell. Oxalate is not an analyte of

interest stated on the ASR and the phosphate results are just marginally above the EQL. Interferences from suspected organic anion significantly affect the ability to accurately quantify the fluoride peak and the fluoride results should be considered qualitative.

Matrix Spike: A matrix spike of the AZ-102 as received sample (00-0902) were prepared in the hot cells. Unfortunately the spiking level was insufficient to recover the spike after the required analytical dilutions at the IC Workstation. Recoveries are reported for this MS; however, the spike level is right at the EQL following dilutions. Since no Matrix Spike results could be obtained, the sample was post-spike at the IC Workstation. All post-spike recoveries were within the spiking acceptance criteria of 75% to 125%.

Blank Spike: A blank spike was prepared in the hot cells. Except for chloride which has a recovery about double (i.e., 195%) that anticipated and phosphate which recovered low (i.e., 82%), the blank spike recoveries were within the acceptance criteria of 90% to 110%. The reason for the phosphate low recovery is unknown. Since all verification standard phosphate recoveries are acceptable, it is unlikely that the low phosphate recovery is due to column degradation. The high chloride results are suspected to be from a chloride contamination introduced during processing in the hot cell. Reanalysis of the blank spike produced good recovery for phosphate (i.e., 101%), but produced the same high chloride recovery (i.e., 197%). Since the source of the blank spike contamination can not be determined, the reported chloride results may be higher than the actual chloride sample concentration.

System Blank/Processing Blanks: Four system blanks were process during the analysis of the slurry samples. No anions were detected in the system blanks above the estimate quantitation level.

Quality Control Calibration Verification Check Standards: Four mid-range verification standards were analyzed throughout the analysis runs. Except for two chloride, one nitrite, and one nitrate result, the reported results for all anions of interest were recovered within the acceptance criteria of 90% to 110% for the verification standard. For those result outside the acceptance criteria, no results had recovery less than 88%, and therefore these results should have little, if any, effect on the reported slurry sample results.

Q.C. Comments for Liquids Analyses (March 15, 2000):

Duplicates: Although there is only one AZ-102 as received supernatant sample (00-0902), two samples were extracted from the supernatant sample in the hot cells and transferred to the IC workstation for analysis. The samples were treated as duplicates. The RPDs for the measured anion are less than 10% and are well within the acceptance criteria of 20%.

Matrix Spike: Two matrix spikes were prepared from the AZ-102 as received sample (00-0902) after the sample was delivered to the IC Workstation. No attempt to spike the supernatant in the

hot cells was made. All anion recoveries for all anions were within the 75% to 125% recovery acceptance criteria.

Blank Spike: No blank spikes were prepared in the hot cells. However, the working spike (i.e., the spike solution used to prepare the matrix spike samples) was measured at the same time as the Matrix Spike samples. One working spike analysis produced higher than expected recoveries for bromide and nitrate. Repeating the working spike analysis produced recoveries within the acceptance criteria of 90% to 110% recovery.

System Blank/Processing Blanks: Thirteen system blanks were processed during the analysis of the liquid samples. Other than three oxalate system blanks, no anions were detected in the system blanks above the estimate quantitation level. The low level of oxalate detected in the system blank has not affect on the reported oxalate values.

Quality Control Calibration Verification Check Standards: Eleven mid-range verification standards were analyzed throughout the analysis runs. For most of the anions recoveries were within the acceptance criteria from 90% to 110% for the verification standard. Two nitrite results, two phosphate results and one fluoride result recovered below the 90% lower limit; however these recoveries were all greater than 85%. A single fluoride result recovered at about 60%, well below the acceptance criteria. In general the reported fluoride results should be considered qualitative. Not only do the samples appear to adversely affect the column, there appears to be significant interferences in the fluoride region of the chromatograms (possibly from organic anions).

General Comments:

- The reported "Final Results" have been corrected for all dilution performed on the sample during processing or analysis.
- The low calibration standards are defined as the estimated quantitation limit (EQL) for the reported results and assume non-complex aqueous matrices. Actual detection limits or quantitation limits for specific sample matrices may be determined, if requested.
- Routine precision and bias are typically ±15% or better for non-complex aqueous samples that are free of interference and have similar concentrations as the measured anions.

Analyst: MJ Steele **Date** 3/21/00

Approval: MW Thomas **Date** 3/22/00

Archive Information:

Files: ASR 5667-8 Brooks.doc	ASR 5667-8 Final.xls

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis --- TOC/TIC Report

Client: K. Brooks
ACL Numbers: 00-0902 to 00-0916
Analyst: MJ Steele
Charge Code/Project: W45577 / 29953
ASR Number: 5667 and 5668
Analysis Date: April 5 and 7, 2000

Procedure: PNL-ALO-381, "Direct Determination of TC, TOC, and TIC in Radioactive Sludges and Liquids by Hot Persulfate Method"
M&TE: Carbon System (WA92040); Balance (360-06-01-023).

Final Results:

Slurry Samples

Lab Number	Sample ID	Wt g	TIC µg C/g	TIC RPD %	TOC µg C/g	TOC RPD %	TC µg C/g	TC RPD %
00-0902 Dup	AZ-102 MU (As Received) Dup	0.1254	7,240		760		8,000	
00-0902	AZ-102 MU (As Received)	0.2004	8,740	19	760	1	9,500	17
00-0909	CUF-AZ102-007	0.1875	560		610		1,170	
00-0911	CUF-AZ102-010	0.1041	11,200		780		11,980	
00-0912	CUF-AZ102-011	0.1368	lost		2,790		n/a	
00-0915	CUF-AZ102-023	0.1441	2,910		2,710		5,620	
00-0915 MS	CUF-AZ102-023 MS	0.1150	94.4%		96.2%		95.1%	
00-0916	CUF-AZ102-026	0.1663	2,820		2,790		5,610	

Liquid Samples

Lab Number	Sample ID	Vol ml	TIC µg C/ml	TIC RPD %	TOC µg C/ml	TOC RPD %	TC µg C/ml	TC RPD %
00-0903	AZ-102 M Sup (As Received)	0.10	8,670		1,200		9,870	
00-0903 Dup	AZ-102 M Sup (As Received) Dup	0.20	8,570	1	930	25	9,500	4
00-0903 MS	AZ-102 M Sup (As Received) MS	0.10	99.4%		87.6%		93.7%	
00-0904	CUF-AZ102-001 Filtrate 1	0.50	1,070		120		1,190	
00-0904 Dup	CUF-AZ102-001 Filtrate 1 Dup	0.30	1,070	0	< 60	n/a	1,070	11
00-0905	CUF-AZ102-003 Fitrate 2	0.50	1,100		140		1,240	
00-0905 Dup	CUF-AZ102-003 Fitrate 2 Dup	0.30	1,120	2	60	n/a	1,180	5
00-0906	CUF-AZ102-015 Wash 1	0.50	790		50		840	
00-0906 Dup	CUF-AZ102-015 Wash 1 Dup	0.20	510	42	< 90	n/a	510	48
00-0907	CUF-AZ102-017 Wash 2	0.50	430		40		470	
00-0907 Dup	CUF-AZ102-017 Wash 2 Dup	0.30	430	1	< 60	n/a	430	9
00-0908	CUF-AZ102-019 Wash 3	0.50	420		< 40		420	
00-0908 Dup	CUF-AZ102-019 Wash 3 Dup	0.18	430	2	< 100	n/a	430	2
00-0910	CUF-AZ102-009 Caustic Leach	0.50	890		< 40		890	
00-0910 Dup	CUF-AZ102-009 Caustic Leach Dup	0.20	910	1	< 90	n/a	910	1
00-0913	CUF-AZ102-013 Caustic Wash 1	0.50	3,090		570		3,660	
00-0913 Dup	CUF-AZ102-013 Caustic Wash 1 Dup	0.10	3,160	2	590	n/a	3,750	2
00-0914	CUF-AZ102-022 Caustic Wash 2	0.50	640		50		690	
00-0914 Dup	CUF-AZ102-022 Caustic Wash 2 Dup	0.30	650	3	70	n/a	720	5

RPD = Relative Percent Difference (between sample and duplicate/replicate); calculated from unrounded data.

The analyses of the samples submitted under ASRs 5667 and 5668 were performed by the hot persulfate wet oxidation method. The hot persulfate method uses acid decomposition for TIC and acidic potassium persulfate oxidation at 92-95°C for TOC, all on the same sample, with TC being the sum of the TIC and TOC.

The table above shows the results, rounded to two to three significant figures. The raw data bench sheets and calculation work sheets showing all calculations are attached. All sample results are corrected for average percent recovery of system calibration standards and are also corrected for contribution from the blank.

Q.C. Comments:

The TIC standard is calcium carbonate and TOC standard is α-Glucose (the certificates of purity are attached). The standard materials were used in solid form for system calibration standards as well as matrix spikes. TIC and TOC percent recovery are determined using the appropriate standard (i.e., calcium carbonate for TIC or glucose for TOC) in either solid or liquid form.

The QC for the methods involves calibration blanks, system calibration standards, sample duplicates, and one matrix spike per matrix type.

April 5, 2000 QC **********

Calibration Standards: The QC system calibration standards were all within acceptance criteria of 90% to 110%, with the average recovery being about 95% for TIC and 95% for TOC.

Calibration Blanks: The four calibration blanks run at the beginning, middle, and end of the analysis run were acceptable, averaging about 11 µgC TIC and 33 µgC TOC. These calibration/system blanks are considered good and the standard deviations for the TIC and TOC blanks is within the historical pooled standard deviation used to establish the method detection limits.

Duplicates: The precision between the duplicates, as demonstrated by the Relative Percent Difference (RPD), is acceptable. The RPDs for sample 00-0902 (AZ-102 as received) are within the acceptance criteria of 20%. However, the relatively high RPDs (i.e., 19% for TIC and 17% for TOC) most likely indicate difficulties in obtaining representative "slurry" samples.

Matrix Spike: The accuracy of the carbon measurements can be estimated by the recovery results from the matrix spike. A matrix spike was prepared from sample 00-0915 (CUF-AZ102-023). The matrix spike demonstrated recoveries of about 94% for TIC and 96% for TOC; well within the acceptance criteria of 75% to 125% recovery.

April 7, 2000 QC **********

Calibration Standards: The QC system calibration standards were all within acceptance criteria, with the average recovery being about 99% for TIC and 97% for TOC. This is well within the acceptance criteria of 90% to 110%.

Calibration Blanks: The four calibration blanks run at the beginning, middle, and end of the analysis run were acceptable, averaging about 18 µgC TIC and 58 µgC TOC. These

calibration/system blanks are considered good and the standard deviations for the TIC and TOC blanks is within the historical pooled standard deviation used to establish the method detection limits.

Duplicates: The precision between the duplicates, as demonstrated by the Relative Percent Difference (RPD), is very good except for sample 00-0906 (CUF-AZ102-015). The RPDs for most samples are within the acceptance criteria of 20%. Sample 00-0906 demonstrated an RPD of 42% to 48%. Other than sample heterogeneity or undetected analysis error, no explanation can be offered for the poor precision.

Matrix Spike: The accuracy of the carbon measurements can be estimated by the recovery results from the matrix spike. A matrix spike was prepared from sample 00-0902 (AZ-102 as received). The matrix spike demonstrated recoveries of about 99% for TIC and 88% for TOC; well within the acceptance criteria of 75% to 125% recovery.

General Comments:

- The reported "Final Results" have been corrected for all dilution performed on the sample during processing or analysis.
- Routine precision and bias are typically ±15% or better for non-complex samples that are free of interferences.
- The estimated quantitation limit (EQL) is defined as 5 times the MDL. Results less than 5 times the MDL have higher uncertainties, and RPDs are not calculated for any results less than 5 times the MDL.
- Some results may be reported as less than ("<") values. These less than values represent the sample MDL (method detection limit), which is the system MDL adjusted for the volume of sample used for the analysis. The system MDL is based on the attached pooled historical blank data. The evaluation and calculation of the system MDL is included in the data package.

Report Prepared by: M W Brooks 4/11/00

Review/Approval by: D L Baldwin **Date** 4-12-00

Archive Information:

Files: ASR 5667 5668 Brooks.doc	ASR 5644 5667 5668 5744 Liq+Solids.xls

Battelle
Putting Technology To Work

Project No. 29953

Internal Distribution

Date March 17, 2000

To Kriston Brooks

From Michael Urie

Subject Cyanide Results for As Received AZ-102 Samples and CUF-AZ102 Samples

Table 1: Slurry Samples

Lab #	Client ID	(µg CN / g Slurry)		RPD	Rec.	ug CN / g dry solids	
		Sample	Dup	Rec (%)	(%)	Sample	Dup
00-0902 CB	Hot Cell Blank	<0.2	--	--	--	--	--
00-0902	AZ102 As Rec Slurry	5.6	5.7	2.6	--	13.4	13.6
00-0909	CUF-AZ-102-007	1.0	--	--	--	8.8	--
00-0911	CUF-AZ-102-010	0.90	--	--	--	5.0	--
00-0912	CUF-AZ-102-011	0.92	--	--	--	5.0	--
00-0915	CUF-AZ-102-023	0.87	--	--	--	8.3	--
00-0916	CUF-AZ-102-026	0.89	--	--	--	8.3	--
00-0916 Spike	CUF-AZ-102-026 Spike	--	--	--	68	--	--

Table 2: Supernate, Filtrate and Wash Samples

Lab #	Client ID	(µg CN / mL)		RPD	Rec.
		Sample	Dup	Rec (%)	(%)
00-0903 CB	Hot Cell Blank	< 0.03	--	--	--
00-0903	AZ102 As Rec Supernate	lost	9.6	n/a	--
00-0903 Spike	AZ102 As Rec Supernate Spike	--	--	--	94
00-0904	CUF-AZ-102-001	3.3	--	--	--
00-0905	CUF-AZ-102-003	3.2	--	--	--
00-0906	CUF-AZ-102-015	3.1	--	--	--
00-0907	CUF-AZ-102-017	2.4	--	--	--
00-0908	CUF-AZ-102-019	2.3	--	--	--
00-0910	CUF-AZ-102-009	1.7	--	--	--
00-0913	CUF-AZ-102-013	1.8	--	--	--
00-0914	CUF-AZ-102-022	1.0	--	--	--

The CN results for AZ-102 As Received samples and the CUF AZ-102 samples analyzed on March 9-10, 2000 per ASR 5667 (as received) and ASR 5668 (CUF) are reported in the Tables 1 and 2 above. The samples were weighed in the Shielded Analytical Laboratory and delivered, ready for distillation, to Laboratory 400 in the Radiochemical Processing Laboratory. The samples were distilled with the addition of sulfamic acid to ensure there would be no interference if nitrates were present in the sample. The samples were analyzed using a Lachat QuickChem AE Autoanalyzer (WC36517). The reporting limits are estimated to be approximately 0.2 µg CN/g (for solids/slurries) or 0.03 µg CN/mL (for liquids).

An independent mid-range calibration check solution run at the beginning, middle, and end of the analysis batches gave an average recovery of 99% over the two days of analysis. These calibration check standards ranged from 92% recovery to 106% recovery which is within the 85% to 115% acceptance criteria. A solid laboratory control standard (ERA-LSC) was analyzed at 178 µg/g, well within the certified advisory range of 77 to 301 µg/g.

A liquid sample (00-0903 AZ-102 As Received) and a slurry sample (00-0916 CUF-AZ-102-026) were spiked with CN. The spike recovery for the liquid sample was within the acceptance criteria of 75% to 125%; however, the spike recovery for the slurry sample did not meet this criterion. Since the CN concentration of the slurry is reasonably low, the poor recovery is not due to sampling heterogeneity. The slurry concentration is less than 10% of the expected concentration of the spiked slurry sample and sampling heterogeneity should have little effect on the measured spike concentration. A blank spike at the same concentration as the slurry matrix spike measured 92%. Other than potential matrix interferences or reactions, no explanation is offered for the poor slurry spike recovery.

One liquid sample and one slurry sample per batch were analyzed in duplicate. The Relative Percent Difference (RPD, precision) could not be calculated for the liquid sample since one of the samples was inadvertently lost. The RPD for the slurry samples was 2.6%, well within the acceptance criteria of 20%.

All sample preparation sheets, standard preparation information, and analytical data are included with this report.

Memo File: ASR 5500 Brooks.doc	Spreadsheet File: ASR 5500 Brooks.xls

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ---Hg Report

WO/Project: **W45577/29953**
Client: **K. Brooks**

ACL Numbers: 00-00902, 00-00903, 00-00915 & 00-00916
ASR Number 5667, 5668

Procedure: PNNL-ALO-131, "Mercury Digestion"
PNNL-ALO-201, "Mercury Analysis"

Analyst: J. J. Wagner

Digestion Date: March 14, 2000 **Analysis Date:** March 15, 2000

M&TE: Hg system (WD14126); Mettler AT400 Balance (360-06-01-029).
See Chemical Measurement Center 98620 RIDS for Hg File for Calibration, Standards Preparations, and Maintenance Records.

Analyst: Jerry J. Wagner 4-17-00

Approval: M D Thorne **Date** 4-17-00

Final Results:

The samples were analyzed by cold vapor atomic absorption spectrophotometry for inorganic mercury as specified in ASR 5667,5668. Approximately 0.09 to 0.19g weight of wet slurry and approximately 0.1g weight of supernatant liquid samples were processed and diluted to a final volume of 25ml per procedure ALO-131. Additional analytical dilution of 3 to 10-fold was necessary for some samples. The mercury concentration results are presented in the table below.

Battelle PNNL/RPG/Inorganic Analysis ---Hg Report

Lab ID	Solid Sample ID	Sample Weight (g wet)	Digestion Factor	Analytic Dilution Factor	Hg ug/g (wet wt)	Percent Solids (%)	Hg ug/g (dry wt)	RPD (%)
00-00902CB	Cell Blank	0.1650	151.5	1	<0.02			
00-00902	AZ102 MU	0.1947	128.4	10	7.56	41.76	18.1	
00-00902D	AZ102 MU	0.1299	192.5	10	8.37	41.76	20.0	10
00-00915*	CUF-AZ102-023	0.0905	276.2	3	2.78	10.44	26.6	
00-00916*	CUF-AZ102-026	0.1101	227.1	5	2.83	10.69	26.5	0.4

Lab ID	Liquid Sample ID	Sample Weight (g)	Digestion Factor	Analytic Dilution Factor	Hg µg/g	RPD (%)
00-00903CB	Cell Blank	0.0974	256.7	1	0.08	
00-00903	AZ102 M SUP	0.1120	223.2	1	0.21	
00-00903D	AZ102 M SUP	0.1089	229.6	1	0.16	N/A

RPD = Relative Percent Difference (between sample and duplicate/replicate)
N/A = RPD is not calculated when results are less than 5 x IDL
"*" Field duplicate samples

Notes:

1) "Final Results" have been corrected for all dilution performed on the sample during processing or analysis.
2) The low calibration standard is defined as the estimated detection limit (IDL) for the reported results and assumes non-complex aqueous matrices. Actual detection limits or quantitation limits for specific sample matrices may be determined, if requested.
3) Routine precision and bias is typically ± 15% or better for non-complex aqueous samples that are free of interference.

Q.C. Comments:

Following are results of quality control checks performed during Hg analyses. In general, quality control checks met the requirements of the governing QA Plan.

Working Blank Spike/Process Blank Spike: Process Blank Spike recovery is 96% and 95%, well within the acceptance criteria of 80% to 120%.

Matrix Spiked Sample: A matrix spike was prepared for the slurry and supernatant samples submitted under ASR-5667 and ASR-5668. However, recovery of the matrix spike (45% & 55%) fell below the acceptance criteria of 75% to 125%. Failure of the matrix spike recover may have been caused by excess organic material present which would requiring more oxidizing reagent than specified in the digestion procedure.

Duplicate: Relative percent difference for duplicate wet slurry samples is within acceptance criteria of ≤ 20%RPD for RPL# 00-00902, 00-00902D (10% RPD) and RPL# 00-00915 and 00-00916 field duplicate samples (0.4%RPD).

Laboratory Control Sample (solids): Sample recovery of mercury in SRM-2709 San Joaquin Valley Soil certified by NIST to contain 1.40 ± 0.08 µg/g was recovered within acceptance criteria of 75% to 125%.

Laboratory Control Sample (liquids): Sample recovery of mercury in SRM-1641c "Mercury in H_2O" certified by NIST to contain 1.47 µg/ml was recovered (35% and 49%) below acceptance criteria of 75% to 125%. NIST originally specified an expiration date of 1 year from date of delivery (Dec. 1, 1997) but had extended the expiration date until May 4, 2000. Perhaps the standard was too old resulting in poor recovery. However, two blank spikes were processed similarly to the samples at the same time using NIST traceable certified standards from an alternate vendor, different than the calibration standards. The blank spike recoveries (96% and 95%) were well within acceptance tolerance of 80% to 100% recovery indicating that sample preparation and analysis were acceptable.

Cell Blanks/Processing Blanks: A cell blank, consisting of an aliquot of water used in the hot cell, was processed at the same time as the samples. The concentration measured was less than two times detection limit. Some samples were about the same concentration as the process blank and other samples were many times this level.

Quality Control Calibration Verification Check Standards: Six mid-range verification standards were analyzed throughout the analysis run. All were within the acceptance criteria of 80% to 120% recovery for the verification standard.

Battelle
Pacific Northwest Laboratories

Project Number

Internal Distribution

329/4 File
LSO Project File
Mike Urie

Date March 17, 2000

To K.P.Books

From Tom Farmer Thomas Farmer 17 Mar 00

Subject ICP/MS Analysis of Submitted Samples
(ALO#00-00902, 00-00915, 00-00916)

Pursuant to your request, the 6 Ni/KOH fusion samples that you submitted for analysis were analyzed by ICPMS for selected elements. The results of this analysis are reported on the attached page.

Johnson-Matthey standards for Rb, Ta, Pr, and Sn, an Amersham ^{99}Tc standard and Isotope Products ^{129}I and ^{237}Np standards wereused to generate the calibration curves. Independent standards were used as the continuing calibration verification (CCV) standards.

Values for tin were obtained using the response of a natural tin standard. Interference corrections for ^{126}Xe and ^{126}Te were calculated. Because ^{126}Sn standards were not used and the concentrations were determined indirectly, these results shoud be considered semiquantitative.

The ^{99}Tc values reported assume that the Ru present is exclusively fission-product Ru, and therefore does not have an isotope at m/z 99; i.e., everything observed at m/z 99 is due to ^{99}Tc. The fingerprint we're seeing for Ru is obviously not natural, and is consistent with that observed in previous tank waste analyses.

No known cesium 135, 137 standard was available. Results reported are direct cesium ratios using natural barium standards for mass bias corrections.

If you have any questions regarding this analysis, feel free to call me at 372-0700 or James Bramson at 372-0624

Brooks Analysis

March 17, 2000

J Brooks 3/17/00

Results are reported in µg analyte/ g (ppm) of solid sample.

Sample ID	Client ID	ICP/MS Number	Rb µg/g ± 1SD	Tc-99 µg/g ± 1SD	•Sn-126 µg/g	†I-127 µg/g ± 1SD	†I-129 µg/g ± 1SD
00-00902-PB-Ni	PROCESS BLANK	00208b16	182 ± 3	1.0 ± 0.1	<0.9	25 ± 5	<7.7
00-00902-Ni	AZ102 MU	00208b17	244 ± 3	24.5 ± 0.6	<0.9	23 ± 4	<3.9
00-00902-DUP-Ni	AZ102 MU	00208b18	219 ± 4	20.9 ± 1.0	<0.7	18 ± 1	<3.1
00-00902 + spike	AZ102 MU	00210a16				41 ± 10	<4.0
Spike Recovery						**88%**	
00-00915-Ni	CUF-AZ102-023	00208b19	230 ± 6	1.7 ± 0.7	<0.9	14.7 ± 0.1	<2.5
00-00915-Ni Dup	CUF-AZ102-023	00208b20	222 ± 6	1.7 ± 0.2	<0.9		
00-00916-Ni	CUF-AZ102-026	00208b21	229 ± 2	1.4 ± 0.1	<0.9	24 ± 8	<6.0
00-00916-Ni + spike	CUF-AZ102-026	00208b22	254 ± 1	4.8 ± 0.6			
Spike Recovery			**102%**	**93%**			
SRM 2710	LCS/00-00902/Ni	00208b24	334 ± 9	0.6 ± 0.2	<0.9	11 ± 1	<2.4
CCV and Blank results are reported in ng/g (ppb)							
1%HNO3		00208b1	<0.03	<0.02	<0.06	0.04 ± 0.004	<0.2
1%HNO3		00208b15	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	0.04 ± 0.02	<0.1
5ppb Rb, Pr, Cs		00208b12	5.09 ± 0.13				
5ppb Rb, Pr, Cs		00208b27	5.12 ± 0.12				
0.5ppb Tc-99		00208b14		0.515 ± 0.049			
0.5ppb Tc-99		00208b26		0.496 ± 0.014			
1.0ppb I-127		00210a15				0.87 ± 0.02	
0.1ppb I-129		00210a11					0.11 ± 0.02
1ppb Ta/2ppb Np-237		00209a3					
1ppb Ta/2ppb Np-237		00209a17					

*Results are from procedure 00209a.

•Obtained using response of natural tin. Should be considered semiquantitative.

†Results are from procedure 00210a.

**Calculated value was greater than the detection limit, but less than the reporting limit.

Reviewed: O.T. James

Date: 17Mar00

J Brown 3/17/00

Brooks Analysis

March 17, 2000

Results are reported in µg analyte/ g (ppm) of solid sample

Sample ID	Client ID	ICP/MS Number	Cs µg/g ± 1SD	Pr µg/g ± 1SD	*Ta µg/g ± 1SD	*Np-237 µg/g ± 1SD
00-00902-PB-Ni	PROCESS BLANK	00208b16	<0.12	0.11 ± 0.04	1.9 ± 0.2	<0.3
00-00902-Ni	AZ102 MU	00208b17	24.4 ± 0.5	487 ± 7	1.7 ± 0.2	103 ± 4
00-00902-DUP-Ni	AZ102 MU	00208b18	22.7 ± 1.2	475 ± 4	2.72 ± 0.2	103 ± 1
00-00902 + spike	AZ102 MU	00210a16				
Spike Recovery						
00-00915-Ni	CUF-AZ102-023	00208b19	2.4 ± 0.3	814 ± 13	4.93 ± 0.3	177 ± 1
00-00915-Ni Dup	CUF-AZ102-023	00208b20	2.29 ± 0.16	800 ± 10	7.23 ± 0.4	178 ± 3
00-00916-Ni	CUF-AZ102-026	00208b21	2.4 ± 0.3	784 ± 7	34.6 ± 1.3	172 ± 2
00-00916-Ni + spike	CUF-AZ102-026	00208b22	30.5 ± 1.6	809 ± 9	54.2 ± 1.4	214 ± 2
Spike Recovery			**115%**	**102%**	**107%**	**114%**
SRM 2710	LCS/00-00902/Ni	00208b24	79.7 ± 2.0	8.24 ± 0.42	14.3 ± 0.5	<0.3
CCV and Blank results are reported in ng/g (ppb)						
1%HNO3		00208b1	<0.01	<0.02	<0.04	<0.02
1%HNO3		00208b15	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
5ppb Rb, Pr, Cs		00208b12	5.18 ± 0.13	5.25 ± 0.07		
5ppb Rb, Pr, Cs		00208b27	5.12 ± 0.07	4.96 ± 0.18		
0.5ppb Tc-99		00208b14				
0.5ppb Tc-99		00208b26				
1.0ppb I-127		00210a15				
0.1ppb I-129		00210a11				
1ppb Ta/2ppb Np-237		00209a3			0.911 ± 0.045	1.85 ± 0.03
1ppb Ta/2ppb Np-237		00209a17			0.955 ± 0.020	1.87 ± 0.07

*Results are from procedure 00209a.

•Obtained using response of natural tin. Should be conside

†Results are from procedure 00210a.

**Calculated value was greater than the detection limit, bu

DATA REVIEW

Reviewed: O. T. Farmer

Date: 17mar00 Page: 2 of 3

3/14/00

Brooks Analysis

March 17, 2000

Sample ID	Client ID	ICP/MS Number	Cs(135/137) avg	std	%rsd
0.5M HNO3		000316a1	-4.525	11.339	-250.59
00-00902-PB	PROCESS BLANK	000316a0	-2.096	9.181	-438.05
00-00902-Ni	AZ102 MU	000316a2	0.446	0.024	5.37
00-00902-DUP-Ni	AZ102 MU	000316a4	0.440	0.015	3.40
00-00915-Ni	CUF-AZ102-023	000316a3	0.416	0.063	15.26
00-00916-Ni	CUF-AZ102-)26	000316a5	0.430	0.036	8.37
SRM 2710	LCS/00-00902/Ni	000316a	-0.383	2.552	-665.85

DATA REVIEW

Reviewed: O.J. Farmer

Date: 17MAR00 3of3

Battelle
Pacific Northwest Laboratories

Project Number

Internal Distribution

329/4 File
LSO Project File
Mike Urie

Date March 31, 2000

To K.P.Books

From Tom Farmer O.J. Farmer III 31Mar00

Subject ICP/MS Analysis of Submitted Samples
(ALO#00-00903)

Pursuant to your request, the 5 acid digested samples that you submitted for analysis were analyzed by ICPMS for selected elements. The results of this analysis are reported on the attached page.

An Alfa Aesar cesium standard, Amersham ^{99}Tc standard, Isotope Products ^{129}I and ^{237}Np standards and an ^{127}I standard, prepared from Fisher potassium iodide (LOT# 35260) were used to generate the calibration curves. Independent standards of each element were used as the continuing calibration verification (CCV) standards.

The ^{99}Tc values reported assume that the Ru present is exclusively fission-product Ru, and therefore does not have an isotope at m/z 99; i.e., everything observed at m/z 99 is due to ^{99}Tc. The fingerprint we're seeing for Ru is obviously not natural, and is consistent with that observed in previous tank waste analyses.

No known cesium 135, 137 standard was available. Results reported are direct cesium ratios using natural barium standards for mass bias corrections.

If you have any questions regarding this analysis, feel free to call me at 372-0700 or James Bramson at 372-0624

K.P. Brooks Analysis

March 30, 2000

J C Brosman 3/31/00

Results are reported in µg analyte/g (ppm) of original sample.

Sample ID	Client ID	ICP/MS Number	Tc-99 µg/g	± 1 Stdev	†I-127 µg/g	± 1 Stdev	†I-129 µg/g	± 1 Stdev	Cs µg/g	± 1 Stdev
00-00903-PB	Process Blank	00323a7	<0.06		0.0234	± 0.0010	<0.003		<0.2	
00-00903-BS	Blank Spike	00323a8	<0.06		0.0189	± 0.0010	<0.003		<0.2	
00-00903	AZ102 M SUP	00323a9	12.2	± 0.2	0.0656	± 0.0023	0.011	± 0.007	17.9	± 0.5
00-00903-DUP	AZ102 M SUP	00323a10	11.8	± 0.5	0.0550	± 0.0005	0.019	± 0.002	17.6	± 1.1
00-00903-MS	AZ102 M SUP	00323a11	12.1	± 0.4	0.0456	± 0.0010	0.010	± 0.001	17.2	± 0.2
00-00903 + spike	AZ102 M SUP	00323a12	22.0	± 1.1	0.0880	± 0.0010	0.019	± 0.002	44.9	± 0.9
Spike Recovery			**96%**		**81%**		**97%**		**94%**	
CCV and blank results are reported in ng/g (ppb)										
1%HNO3		00323a1	<0.03		1.2	± 0.01	<0.08		<0.1	
1%HNO3		00323a6	<0.03		0.5	± 0.03	<0.08		<0.1	
1%HNO3		00323a18	0.08	± 0.01	<0.0001		<0.08		0.23	± 0.01
5ppb Tc-99		00323a4	4.96	± 0.03						
5ppb Tc-99		00323a19	5.13	± 0.18						
20ppb Co		00323a20	0.06	± 0.02						
0.5ppb I-127		00327a4			0.644	± 0.013				
1ppb I-127		00327a17			0.910	± 0.079				
0.1ppb I-129		00327a2					0.105	± 0.012		
0.5ppb I-129		00327a13					0.461	± 0.056		
14ppb Cs		00323a4							13.6	± 0.4
14ppb Cs		00323a19							13.5	± 0.1
5ppb Np-237		00324a4								
5ppb Np-237		00324a14								

*Results are from procedure 00324a.
†Results are from procedure 00327a.

DATA REVIEW

Reviewed by: O.J. Farmer III

Date: 31Mar00 Pages: 1 of 2

J P Brannon 3/31/00

K.P. Brooks Analysis

March 30, 2000

Results are reported in µg analyte/g (ppm) of original sample.

Sample ID	Client ID	ICP/MS Number	Cs 135/137 ± 1 Stdev	%RSD	*Np-237 µg/g ± 1 Stdev
00-00903-PB	Process Blank	00323a7	not detected		0.007 ± 0.002
00-00903-BS	Blank Spike	00323a8	not detected		0.011 ± 0.009
00-00903	AZ102 M SUP	00323a9	0.443 ± 0.01	1.1%	0.117 ± 0.004
00-00903-DUP	AZ102 M SUP	00323a10	0.438 ± 0.01	2.2%	0.118 ± 0.005
00-00903-MS	AZ102 M SUP	00323a11	0.442 ± 0	0.5%	0.133 ± 0.008
00-00903 + spike	AZ102 M SUP	00323a12			1.21 ± 0.03
Spike Recovery					**102%**
CCV and blank results are reported in ng/g (ppb)					
1%HNO3		00323a1			<0.02
1%HNO3		00323a6			0.08 ± 0.02
1%HNO3		00323a18			0.05 ± 0.02
5ppb Tc-99		00323a4			
5ppb Tc-99		00323a19			
20ppb Co		00323a20			
0.5ppb I-127		00327a4			
1ppb I-127		00327a17			
0.1ppb I-129		00327a2			
0.5ppb I-129		00327a13			
14ppb Cs		00323a4			
14ppb Cs		00323a19			
5ppb Np-237		00324a4			5.25 ± 0.14
5ppb Np-237		00324a14			5.18 ± 0.05

*Results are from procedure 00324a.
†Results are from procedure 00327a.

DATA REVIEW
Reviewed by: QJ Saumsiff
Date: 3/Mar/00 Pages: 2 of 2

Battelle
Pacific Northwest Laboratories

Project Number

Internal Distribution

329/4 File
LSO Project File
Mike Urie

Date March 31, 2000

To K.P.Books

From Tom Farmer T.J. Farmer III 31mar00

Subject ICP/MS Analysis of Submitted Samples
(ALO#00-00902 PMG Fusions)

Pursuant to your request, the 6 fusion samples that you submitted for analysis were analyzed by ICPMS for platinum. The results of this analysis are reported on the attached page.

An Alfa Aesar platinum standard was used to generate the calibration curve and an Independent CPI platinum standard was used as the continuing calibration verification (CCV) standard.

If you have any questions regarding this analysis, feel free to call me at 372-0700 or James Bramson at 372-0624

J Brannon 3/31/00

K.P. Brooks Platinum Analysis

March 31, 2000

Results are reported in µg analyte/ g of original sample.

Sample ID	Client ID	ICP/MS Number	Pt µg/g	± 1 Stdev
00-00902-PB-Zr	Process Blank	00329a7	<0.41	
00-00902-Zr	AZ102 MU	00329a9	<0.41	
00-00902-DUP-Zr	AZ102 MU	00329a10	<0.39	
00-00915-Zr	CUF-AZ102-023	00329a11	<0.40	
00-00916-Zr	CUF-AZ102-026	00329a12	<0.38	
00-00916 + spike	CUF-AZ102-026	00329a13	0.971 ±	0.036
Spike Recovery			**116%**	
SRM 2710-Zr	LCS/00-00902/Zr	00329a8	<0.34	
CCV and blank results are reported in ng/g (ppb)				
1%HNO3		00329a1	<0.034	
1%HNO3		00329a6	<0.030	
1%HNO3		00329a14	<0.030	
0.05ppb Pt		00329a4	0.0473	0.006
0.1ppb Pt		00329a15	0.101	0.005

DATA REVIEW

Reviewed by: O.J. Jarnagin

Date 31Mar00 Page 1 of 1

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory 00-0902
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Chemical Measurements Center 3/7/2000

Client : Brooks

Cognizant Scientist: J R Greenwood Date : 3-7-00

Concur : T Trang-Le Date : 3/8/00

Procedure: PNL-ALO-450
Equipment: Gamma detectors G

Measured Activities (uCi/g) with 1-sigma error

ALO ID Client ID		Co-60 Error %	Sb-125 Error %	Cs-134 Error %	Cs-137 Error %	Eu-154 Error %	Eu-155 Error %	Am-241 Error %
00-0902 PB Process Blank		<3.E-2	<7.E-2	<3.E-2	6.10E-2 22%	<7.E-2	<7.E-2	<7.E-2
00-0902 AZ102MU		4.73E+0 4%	2.06E+1 8%	2.13E+0 11%	1.86E+3 2%	4.45E+1 2%	8.30E+1 3%	1.22E+2 5%
00-0902 DUP AZ102MU		4.68E+0 3%	2.76E+1 5%	1.82E+0 8%	1.73E+3 2%	4.48E+1 2%	8.37E+1 3%	1.30E+2 4%
	RPD	1%	29%	16%	7%	1%	1%	6%
00-0903 PB Process Blank		<3.E-5	<7.E-5	<3.E-5	9.67E-4 3%	<7.E-5	<6.E-5	<6.E-5
00-0903 AZ102 M SUP		<6.E-3	<8.E-1	1.03E+0 2%	9.71E+2 2%	<3.E-2	<6.E-1	<5.E-1
00-0903 DUP AZ102 M SUP		<5.E-3	<6.E-1	1.06E+0 2%	9.83E+2 2%	<3.E-2	<4.E-1	<4.E-1
	RPD			3%	1%			

File: 00-0904

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Radioanalytical Applications Team

Rev. 1

3/10/00

Client : Brooks

Cognizant Scientist: L R Greenwood Date : 3/10/00

Concur : T Trang-Le Date : 3/10/00

Procedure: PNL-ALO-450
Equipment: Gamma detectors G

Measured Activities (uCi/g) with 1-sigma error

ALO ID Client ID	Co-60 Error %	RuRh-106 Error %	Sb-125 Error %	Cs-134 Error %	Cs-137 Error %	Eu-154 Error %	Eu-155 Error %	Am-241 Error %
00-0904 CUF-AZ-102-001	<5.E-4	<2.E-1	<8.E-2	8.77E-2 3%	8.35E+1 2%	<3.E-3	<5.E-2	<5.E-2
00-0905 CUF-AZ-102-003	<6.E-4	<2.E-1	<8.E-2	9.04E-2 3%	8.58E+1 2%	<4.E-3	<6.E-2	<5.E-2
00-0906 CUF-AZ102-015	<4.E-4	<1.E-1	<6.E-2	5.29E-2 3%	4.80E+1 2%	<3.E-3	<4.E-2	<4.E-2
00-0907 CUF-AZ-102-017	<5.E-4	<7.E-2	<5.E-2	3.05E-2 4%	2.91E+1 2%	<2.E-3	<3.E-2	<3.E-2
00-0908 CUF-AZ-102-019	<4.E-4	<6.E-2	<4.E-2	1.85E-2 4%	1.78E+1 2%	<2.E-3	<3.E-2	<3.E-2
00-0909 CUF-AZ-102-007	5.55E+0 4%	5.71E+0 25%	1.96E+1 6%	<6.E-1	5.46E+2 2%	5.71E+1 2%	1.05E+2 3%	1.56E+2 4%

Measured Activities (uCi/g) with 1-sigma error

ALO ID Client ID	Co-60 Error %	RuRh-106 Error %	Sb-125 Error %	Cs-134 Error %	Cs-137 Error %	Eu-154 Error %	Eu-155 Error %	Am-241 Error %
00-0910 CUF-AZ-102-009	<5.E-4	<9.E-2	<6.E-2	4.36E-2 3%	4.26E+1 2%	<2.E-3	<4.E-2	<4.E-2
00-0911 CUF-AZ-102-010	3.34E+0 4%	<5.E0	1.67E+1 6%	<5.E-1	2.64E+2 2%	3.16E+1 2%	5.88E+1 3%	9.49E+1 5%
00-0912 CUF-AZ-102-011	3.86E+0 4%	6.40E+0 22%	2.86E+1 4%	<5.E-1	2.98E+2 2%	3.70E+1 2%	6.72E+1 3%	1.04E+2 5%
00-0913 CUF-AZ-102-013	<5.E-4	<6.E-2	<4.E-2	2.18E-2 4%	2.08E+1 2%	<2.E-3	<3.E-2	<3.E-2
00-0914 CUF-AZ-102-022	<6.E-4	<4.E-2	<3.E-2	9.95E-3 6%	9.09E+0 2%	<2.E-3	<2.E-2	<2.E-2
00-0915 CUF-AZ-102-023	7.38E+0 3%	<7.E0	5.33E+1 3%	<7.E-1	1.71E+2 2%	7.37E+1 2%	1.38E+2 3%	2.01E+2 4%
00-0916 CUF-AZ-102-026	7.41E+0 3%	1.18E+1 15%	2.73E+1 4%	<7.E-1	1.66E+2 2%	7.19E+1 2%	1.30E+2 3%	2.04E+2 4%

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Chemical Measurements Center

00-0902

3/23/00

Client : Brooks

Cognizant Scientist: L R Greenwood Date : 3/23/00

Concur : T Trang-Le Date : 3/23/00

Procedure: PNL-ALO-496, 417, 476

Measured Activities (uCi/g) with 1-sigma error

ALO ID Client ID	Sr-90 Error +/-	Pu-239+ Pu-240 Error +/-	Pu-238 Error +/-	Pu-236 Error +/-	Am-241 Error +/-	Cm-243+ Cm-244 Error +/-	Cm-242 Error +/-
00-0902 PB Process Blank	4.09E-1 29%	1.76E-3 40%	<2.E-3	<5.E-4	<3.E-3	<7.E-4	<5.E-4
00-0902 AZ102MU	1.66E+4 3%	6.60E+0 7%	6.40E-1 38%	<2.E-1	1.11E+2 5%	3.00E-1 28%	<4.E-2
00-0902 DUP AZ102MU	1.61E+4 3%	6.06E+0 7%	8.94E-1 21%	<5.E-2	1.15E+2 5%	<2.E-1	9.67E-2 50%
RPD	3%	9%	33%		4%		
00-0903 PB Process Blank	3.24E-3 4%	1.04E-5 15%	3.37E-5 9%	<5.E-7	2.64E-5 11%	3.46E-5 9%	<4.E-7
00-0903 AZ102 M SUP	1.83E+0 6%	2.65E-2 4%	2.90E-3 5%	2.90E-5 47%	2.01E-4 16%	2.46E-5 48%	<9.E-6
00-0903 DUP AZ102 M SUP	1.74E+0 6%	2.62E-2 4%	2.97E-3 5%	<2.E-5	1.64E-4 25%	<5.E-5	<4.E-5
RPD	5%	1%	2%		20%		
Matrix Spike	96%	104%			90%		
Spike	100%	107%			97%		
Blank	<3.E-3	<8.E-2	<3.E-1	<2.E-1	<1.E-1	<6.E-2	<6.E-2

Measured Activities (uCi/g) with 1-sigma error

ALO ID Client ID	Sr-90 Error +/-	Pu-239+ Pu-240 Error +/-	Pu-238 Error +/-	Pu-236 Error +/-	Am-241 Error +/-	Cm-243+ Cm-244 Error +/-	Cm-242 Error +/-
00-0909 CUF-AZ-102-007	2.17E+4 3%	7.63E+0 5%	1.21E+0 9%	<6.E-2	1.35E+2 5%	2.50E-1 23%	7.95E-2 41%
00-0910 CUF-AZ-102-009	1.36E-1 3%	9.77E-5 4%	5.05E-5 5%	<1.E-7	3.24E-5 6%	4.33E-5 6%	<1.E-7
00-0911 CUF-AZ-102-010	1.15E-4 3%	4.39E+0 7%	7.12E-1 15%	<5.E-2	9.00E+1 5%	<3.E-1	<7.E-2
00-0912 CUF-AZ-102-011	1.38E+4 3%	4.98E+0 6%	5.01E-1 19%	<3.E-2	9.32E+1 5%	2.42E-1 27%	<7.E-2
00-0913 CUF-AZ-102-013	3.42E-2 5%	1.67E-5 7%	4.45E-5 5%	<3.E-7	3.36E-5 6%	5.30E-5 6%	<2.E-7
00-0914 CUF-AZ-102-022	2.56E-2 6%	1.69E-5 7%	4.25E-5 5%	<2.E-7	1.32E-4 5%	4.91E-5 6%	<3.E-7
00-0915 CUF-AZ-102-023	2.53E+4 3%	9.80E+0 6%	1.03E+0 26%	<3.E-1	1.71E+2 5%	2.69E-1 38%	<6.E-2
00-0916 CUF-AZ-102-026	2.44E+4 3%	9.85E+0 6%	2.11E+0 13%	<3.E-1	1.79E+2 5%	2.93E-1 40%	<1.E-1
Matrix Spike	96%	104%			90%		
Spike	100%	107%			97%		
Blank	<3.E-3	<3.E-7	<7.E-7	<6.E-7	<3.E-7	<2.E-7	<2.E-7

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Radioanalytical Applications Team

3/23/00

Client : Brooks

Cognizant Scientist: L R Greenwood Date : 3/24/00

Concur : T Trang-Le Date : 3/23/00

Procedure: PNL-ALO-476, 417, 496

Measured Activities (uCi/g) with 1-sigma error

ALO ID Client ID	Sr-90 Error +/-	Pu-239+ Pu-240 Error +/-	Pu-238 Error +/-	Pu-236 Error +/-	Am-241 Error +/-	Cm-243+ Cm-244 Error +/-	Cm-242 Error +/-
00-0904 CUF-AZ-102-001	1.22E-2 39%	6.31E-5 4%	4.25E-5 4%	<2.E-7	4.14E-5 6%	4.42E-5 6%	<2.E-7
00-0904 REP CUF-AZ-102-001	1.26E-2 38%	6.20E-5 5%	4.66E-5 5%	<4.E-7	4.08E-5 6%	4.74E-5 6%	<2.E-7
RPD	3%	2%	9%		1%	7%	
00-0905 CUF-AZ-102-003	3.65E-2 6%	4.68E-5 4%	4.95E-5 4%	<3.E-7	3.28E-5 7%	5.28E-5 6%	<2.E-7
00-0906 CUF-AZ102-015	3.26E-2 7%	3.53E-5 6%	5.15E-5 5%	<3.E-7	1.53E-4 5%	4.90E-5 6%	<2.E-7
00-0907 CUF-AZ-102-017	1.30E-2 8%	1.75E-5 8%	4.08E-5 6%	<1.E-6	3.41E-5 6%	4.81E-5 5%	<2.E-7
00-0908 CUF-AZ-102-019	1.85E-2 4%	2.10E-5 5%	5.61E-5 4%	<2.E-7	4.99E-5 6%	6.54E-5 6%	<2.E-7

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory 00-0902
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Chemical Measurements Center 5/2/2000

Client : Brooks

Cognizant Scientist: Sandra K Fiskum Date : 5/2/00

Concur : L R Greenwood Date : 5/2/00

Procedure: PNL-ALO-432

Measured Activities (uCi/g) with 1-sigma error

ALO ID Client ID	Tc-99 Error +/-
00-0903 PB Process Blank	<3.E-6
00-0903 AZ102 M SUP	1.25E-1 4%
00-0903 DUP AZ102 M SUP	1.33E-1 4%
RPD	6.2%
Standard	99%
Matrix Spike	73%
Blank	<3.E-6

Note: all QC parameters met specification per the QA plan in the PNNL standards-based management system except the matrix spike recovery. The Tc-99 spike was added as pertechnetate in sufficient quantity to be measured above the sample activity (two times the sample activity). The sample matrix may have been reducing causing some pertechnetate spike reduction. The procedure calls for the use of sodium dichromate to oxidize Tc species to Tc+7. However, for this matrix, this may not have been oxidizing enough. For a worst-case scenario, the sample Tc concentration may be biased low by about 25%.

File: 00-0904

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Radioanalytical Applications Team

00-0904

3/21/00

Client : Brooks

Cognizant Scientist: J R Greenwood Date : 3/21/00

Concur : T Trang-Le Date : 3/21/00

Procedure: PNL-ALO-4014
Equipment: Chemcheck KPA11R

Measured Concentration (ug/g) with 1-σ error

ALO ID Client ID	Uranium Error %	Standards	Yield,%
00-0904 CUF-AZ-102-001	2.48E+1 4%	Pre 1 Pre 2	104% 101%
00-0904 Rep CUF-AZ-102-001	2.36E+1 4%	Post 1 Post 2	98% 100%
00-0905 CUF-AZ-102-003	2.96E+1 4%	Blank	<2.E-5
00-0906 CUF-AZ102-015	4.01E+1 4%		
00-0907 CUF-AZ-102-017	1.92E+1 4%		
00-0908 CUF-AZ-102-019	8.44E+0 4%		
00-0909 CUF-AZ-102-007	2.87E+4 4%		
00-0910 CUF-AZ-102-009	2.12E+1 4%		
00-0911 CUF-AZ-102-010	1.74E+4 4%		
00-0912 CUF-AZ-102-011	1.91E+4 4%		
00-0913 CUF-AZ-102-013	1.81E+1 4%		
00-0914 CUF-AZ-102-022	1.29E+1 4%		
00-0915 CUF-AZ-102-023	3.63E+4 4%		
00-0916 CUF-AZ-102-026	3.52E+4 4%		

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory 00-0902
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Chemical Measurements Center 3/21/00

Client : Brooks

Cognizant Scientist: L R Greenwood Date : 3/21/00

Concur : T Traing-le Date : 3/21/00

Procedure: PNL-ALO-4014
Equipment: Chemcheck KPA11R

Measured Concentration (ug/g) with 1-σ error

ALO ID Client ID	Uranium Error +/-
00-0902 PB Process Blank	9.28E-1 3%
00-0902 AZ102MU	2.26E+4 4%
00-0902 DUP AZ102MU	2.31E+4 4%
RPD	2%
00-0903 PB Process Blank	1.65E-2 3%
00-0903 AZ102 M SUP	1.69E+1 3%
00-0903 DUP AZ102 M SUP	1.69E+1 3%
RPD	0%
Pre Standard 1	104%
Pre Standard 2	101%
Post Standard 1	98%
Post Standard 2	100%
Blank	<2.E-5

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Chemical Measurements Center

00-0902 H3
Rev. 1
7/10/2000

Client : Brooks

Cognizant Scientist: _____ Date : 7/10/00

Concur : _____ Date : 7/10/00

Measured Activity (uCi/g) with 1-σ error

ALO ID Client ID	Tritium* Error +/-
00-0902 PB Process Blank	1.87E-3 24%
00-0902 AZ102MU	3.92E-2 3%
00-0902 DUP AZ102MU	4.26E-2 3%
RPD	8%

*Tritium values are reported per gram of wet slurry.

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Radioanalytical Applications Team

00-0915 H3
4/13/2000

Client : Brooks

Cognizant Scientist: L R Greenwood Date : 4/13/00

Concur : T Trang-Le Date : 4/13/00

Measured Activities (uCi/g) with 1-sigma error

ALO ID Client ID	Tritium* Error %
00-0915 CUF-AZ-102-023	9.04E-3 4%
00-0915 MS** CUF-AZ-102-023	8.44E-3 4%
RPD	7%
00-0916 CUF-AZ-102-026	2.35E-2 3%
Blank	<4.E-4

*Tritium is reported per gram of wet slurry.
**The MS refers to an inorganic matrix spike; hence, this sample is a duplicate for tritium.

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory 00-0902 C14
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Chemical Measurements Center 5/11/2000

Client : Brooks
Procedure PNL-ALO-482

Cognizant Scientist: S. K. Fiskum Date : 5/11/00

Concur : J R Greenwood Date : 5/11/00

Measured Activity (uCi/g) with 1-σ error

ALO ID Client ID	C-14 Error +/-
00-0902 AZ102MU	1.39E-3 10%
00-0902 DUP AZ102MU	1.62E-3 10%
RPD	15%
Pre Run System Blank	<3.E-4
Post Run System Blank	<3E-4
Blank Spike	106%
Sample Spike	106%

C-14 was measured on direct aliquots of the wet slurry. The weight percent solids data were used to correct the results for dried weight, although it should be noted that some of the C-14 would of course be lost if the samples had actually been dried prior to analysis. The C-14 activity in the sample is slightly below the requested MRQ limit of 1.8E-3 uCi/g.

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Radiochemical Processing Group-325 Building
Radioanalytical Applications Team

00-0915 C14
5/11/2000

Client : Brooks

Cognizant Scientist: S.K. Fiskum Date : 5/11/00

Concur : L.R. Greenwood Date : 5/11/00

Measured Activities (uCi/g) with 1-sigma error

PNL-ALO-482

ALO ID Client ID	C-14 Error %
00-0915 CUF-AZ-102-023	<1.2E-3
00-0916 CUF-AZ-102-026	2.36E-3 24%
Pre Run System Blank	<1.2E-3
Post Run System Blank	<1.2E-3
Blank Spike	106%
Sample Spike	106%

C-14 was measured on direct aliquots of the wet slurry. The weight percent solids data were used to correct the results for dried weight, although it should be noted that some of the C-14 would of course be lost if the samples had actually been dried prior to analysis. The C-14 activity in the sample is slightly below the requested MRQ limit of 1.8E-3 uCi/g.

Shielded Analytical Laboratory
Bench Sheet

Client: KP BROOKS

WP Number: W45577

TI#/ASR: ASRs 5667/5668

Procedure: Bench Instruction

AZ102 DENSITIES

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

LAB NUMBER	SAMPLE IDENT.	FLASK TARE WT (g)	FLASK GROSS WEIGHT (g)	FLASK SIZE (mL)	DENSITY (g/mL)
00-00903	AZ102 M SUP	9.3700	20.8161	10	1.1446
00-00904	CUF-AZ-102-001	9.3822	19.4575		1.0075
00-00905	CUF-AZ-102-003	9.4674	19.5298		1.0062
00-00906	CUF-AZ-102-015	9.3944	19.4528		1.0058
00-00907	CUF-AZ-102-017	9.3495	19.3605		1.0011
00-00908	CUF-AZ-102-019	9.4822	19.4344		0.9952
00-00910	CUF-AZ-102-009	9.4853	20.4000		1.0915
00-00913	CUF-AZ-102-013	9.4258	19.8241		1.0398
00-00914	CUF-AZ-102-022	9.3758	19.5814		1.0206
00-00917	CUF-AZ-102-027	10.6596	15.6959	~~5~~ 5mL	1.0073

DENSITY = FLASK GROSS (g) - FLASK TARE (g) / FLASK SIZE (mL)

M&TE: X Cell 2 (360-06-01-016) Mettler AE160 Balance Other _____

_____ Cell 5 (360-06-01-019) Sartorius LP4200S Balance

_____ Bench (510-06-01-014) Mettler AT201 Balance

_____ Bench (360-06-01-040) Denver A160 Balance

Analyst: [signature] Date: 2-18-00

Reviewer: [signature] Date: 2/18/00

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Radiochemical Processing Group
Shielded Analytical Laboratory

JJW

02/11/00 FRI 15:09 FAX

WT% SOLIDS DATA SHEET
(325 SHIELDED ANALYTICAL LABORATORY)

CLIENT: Kriston Brooks WORK PACKAGE: W45577 ASR/ARF/LOI/TI: ASRs 5667/5668

QA PLAN: SBMS IMPACT LEVEL: PROCEDURE NUMBER: PNL-ALO-504

AZ102 MU AND CUF-AZ102-XXX SLURRIES
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

ACL NUMBER	CLIENT IDENTIFICATION	TARE WEIGHT (G)	(A) SAMPLE WET WEIGHT PLUS TARE	(B) SAMPLE DRY WEIGHT PLUS TARE	WEIGHT % SOLIDS
00-00902	AZ102 MU	16.5411	19.2798	17.6915	42.01
00-00902-DUP	AZ102 MU	16.3881	19.3956	17.6479	41.89
00-00902-TRI	AZ102 MU	16.3954	19.4756	17.6700	41.38
00-00909	CUF-AZ102-007	16.4055	17.5118	16.5316	11.40
00-00909-DUP	CUF-AZ102-007	16.3833	17.4581	16.5049	11.31
00-00909-TRI	CUF-AZ102-007	16.4583	17.5339	16.5797	11.29
00-00911	CUF-AZ102-010	16.3408	17.5356	16.5548	17.91
00-00911-DUP	CUF-AZ102-010	16.4402	17.6017	16.6479	17.88

WT% SOLIDS = $\frac{\text{B - TARE}}{\text{A - TARE}}$ X 100

DATE/TIME IN: 1-26-00 1500 OVEN TEMPERATURE: 107 °C

DATE/TIME OUT: 1-27-00 0815 OVEN TEMPERATURE: 106 °C

BALANCE: CELL 2 (360-06-01-016) X

THERMOCOUPLE: 2116

BALANCE: CELL 5 (360-06-01-039)

Analyst: Date: 1-27-00 Reviewer: Date: 1-27-00

002

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Radiochemical Processing Group
Shielded Analytical Laboratory

02/11/00 FRI 15:09 FAX

WT% SOLIDS DATA SHEET
(325 SHIELDED ANALYTICAL LABORATORY)

CLIENT: Kriston Brooks WORK PACKAGE: W45577 ASR/ARF/LOI/TI: ASRs 5667/5668

QA PLAN: SBMS IMPACT LEVEL: PROCEDURE NUMBER: PNL-ALO-504

AZ102 MU AND CUF-AZ102-XXX SLURRIES
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

ACL NUMBER	CLIENT IDENTIFICATION	TARE WEIGHT (G)	(A) SAMPLE WET WEIGHT PLUS TARE	(B) SAMPLE DRY WEIGHT PLUS TARE	WEIGHT % SOLIDS
00-00911-TRI	CUF-AZ102-010	16.4343	17.5938	16.6441	18.09
00-00912	CUF-AZ102-011 16.2588	~~16.5183~~	17.4627	16.4834	18.66
00-00912-DUP	CUF-AZ102-011	16.5183	17.6822	16.7292	18.12
00-00912-TRI	CUF-AZ102-011	16.3896	17.5627	16.6084	18.65
00-00915	CUF-AZ102-023	16.3902	17.5855	16.5154	10.47
00-00915-DUP	CUF-AZ102-023	16.3409	17.4874	16.4607	10.45
00-00915-TRI	CUF-AZ102-023	16.3474	17.4767	16.4650	10.41
00-00916	CUF-AZ102-026	16.4523	18.0280	16.6303	11.30

WT% SOLIDS = $\frac{\text{B - TARE}}{\text{A - TARE}}$ X 100

DATE/TIME IN: 1-26-00 1500 OVEN TEMPERATURE: 107 °C

DATE/TIME OUT: 1-27-00 0815 OVEN TEMPERATURE: 106 °C

BALANCE: CELL 2 (360-06-01-016) ___

THERMOCOUPLE: 2116 BALANCE: CELL 5 (360-06-01-039) ___

Analyst: [signature] Date: 1-27-00 Reviewer: [signature] Date: 1-27-00

003

02/11/00 FRI 15:10 FAX

WT% SOLIDS DATA SHEET
(325 SHIELDED ANALYTICAL LABORATORY)

CLIENT: Kriston Brooks WORK PACKAGE: W45577 ASR/ARF/LOI/TI: ASRs 5667/5668

QA PLAN: SBMS IMPACT LEVEL: PROCEDURE NUMBER: PNL-ALO-504

AZ102 MU AND CUF-AZ102-XXX SLURRIES
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

ACL NUMBER	CLIENT IDENTIFICATION	TARE WEIGHT (G)	(A) SAMPLE WET WEIGHT PLUS TARE	(B) SAMPLE DRY WEIGHT PLUS TARE	WEIGHT % SOLIDS
00-00916-DUP	CUF-AZ102-026	16.4017	17.8831	16.5596	10.66
00-00916-TRI	CUF-AZ102-026	16.2676	17.8006	16.4224	10.10

WT% SOLIDS = $\frac{\text{B - TARE}}{\text{A - TARE}}$ X 100

DATE/TIME IN: 1-26-00 1500 OVEN TEMPERATURE: 107 °C

DATE/TIME OUT: 1-27-00 0815 OVEN TEMPERATURE: 106 °C

BALANCE: CELL 2 (360-06-01-016) X

THERMOCOUPLE: 2116 BALANCE: CELL 5 (360-06-01-039) ___

Analyst: [signature] Date: 1-27-00 Reviewer: [signature] Date: 1-27-00


004


Appendix D: Crossflow Filtration Raw Data

Appendix D: Crossflow Filtration Raw Data

Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
1	4:54	0:00	3	48	51	3	40	18.69	2.140	24.2	10.368	3.038	0.176754	0.003568
1	5:08	0:14	3.2	48.5	51	2.5	40	22.84	1.751	24.6	8.389	2.446	0.143012	0.002873
1	5:18	0:24	3	48	50	2	40	28.1	1.423	22.5	7.238	2.142	0.123393	0.002516
1	5:28	0:34	3.3	48	52	4	40	26.87	1.489	28.5	6.397	1.856	0.109046	0.002179
1	5:39	0:45	3.4	47	50	3	40	32.15	1.244	22.4	6.345	1.897	0.108158	0.002228
1	5:48	0:54	3.5	47	51	4	40	30.59	1.308	24.3	6.317	1.870	0.107689	0.002196
1	5:56	1:02	3.4	47	50	3	40	28.34	1.411	28.4	6.082	1.819	0.103674	0.002136


RAW — Average Slurry Flow = 3.26 — Average Pressure = 49.17857 — Average Flow = Filtrate 1.538 — Average Flux = 6.795 — With First Point Removed






Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
2	6:06	0:00	3	30	31	1	40	30.12	1.328	21.4	6.970	3.314	0.118815	0.003893
2	6:17	0:11	2.4	30	31	1	40	36.35	1.100	22.7	5.564	2.646	0.094843	0.003107
2	6:27	0:21	2.4	30	31	1	40	34.88	1.147	27.3	5.094	2.422	0.086834	0.002845
2	6:42	0:36	2.5	29	31	2	40	46.2	0.866	20.9	4.610	2.229	0.078588	0.002618
2	6:52	0:46	2.57	29	31	2	40	36.5	1.096	25	5.191	2.509	0.088487	0.002947
2	7:02	0:56	2.67	28	30	2	40	45.75	0.874	26	4.027	2.014	0.068643	0.002365
2	7:06	1:00	2.7	29	30	1	40	49.19	0.813	21.7	4.231	2.080	0.072126	0.002443


RAW Average Slurry Flow = 2.61 Average Pressure = 30 Average Flow = Filtrate 1.032 Average Flux = 4.786 With First Point Removed






Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
3	7:26	0:00	2.4	75	75	0	40	32.19	1.243	27.8	5.443	1.053	0.092797	0.001236
3	7:37	0:11	2.7	65	67	2	20	40.03	0.500	23.1	2.497	0.549	0.042573	0.000645
3	7:47	0:21	2.5	69	70	1	20	35	0.571	24.4	2.753	0.574	0.046927	0.000675
3	7:57	0:31	2.4	68	70	2	20	32.75	0.611	28	2.660	0.559	0.045354	0.000657
3	8:07	0:41	2.45	69	71	2	20	37.03	0.540	22.3	2.762	0.572	0.047087	0.000672
3	8:17	0:51	2.25	75	76	1	20	32.69	0.612	26.6	2.771	0.532	0.047236	0.000625
3	8:27	1:01	2.47	66	68	2	20	34.47	0.580	25.2	2.733	0.592	0.046586	0.000695

RAW Average Slurry Flow = 2.45 Average Pressure = 70.28571 Average Filtrate Flow = 0.665 Average Flux = 2.696 With First Point Removed





Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
4	8:45	0:00	2.8	30	32	2	20	28.35	0.705	24.7	3.370	1.577	0.057446	0.001852
4	8:55	0:10	2.8	28	30	2	20	31.1	0.643	26.7	2.904	1.453	0.049512	0.001706
4	9:05	0:20	2.8	29	30	1	20	31.91	0.627	21.6	3.270	1.608	0.055752	0.001889
4	9:15	0:30	2.7	28	31	3	20	29.38	0.681	26.6	3.083	1.516	0.052557	0.001780
4	9:25	0:40	2.75	30	31	1	20	27.5	0.727	26.3	3.321	1.579	0.056622	0.001855
4	9:35	0:50	2.75	30	31	1	20	32.03	0.624	21.6	3.258	1.549	0.055544	0.001820
4	9:45	1:00	2.6	32	34	2	20	25.06	0.798	26.2	3.655	1.606	0.062309	0.001887


Average Pressure = 30.42857


RAW Average Slurry Flow = 2.74

Average Flow = Filtrate 0.687

Average Flux = 3.249


With First Point Removed






Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
6	9:56	0:00	3.3	46	49	3	20	18.63	1.074	23.9	5.245	1.602	0.089419	0.001881
6	10:08	0:12	3.22	46	49	3	20	18.28	1.094	25.1	5.168	1.578	0.088093	0.001853
6	10:18	0:22	3.22	57	60	3	20	19.04	1.050	28.2	4.551	1.128	0.077583	0.001325
6	10:28	0:32	2.75	55	58	3	20	22.25	0.899	22.6	4.558	1.170	0.077695	0.001374
6	10:38	0:42	2.62	50	52	2	20	23.06	0.867	26	3.994	1.136	0.068092	0.001334
6	10:48	0:52	2.62	50	52	2	20	22.81	0.877	27.5	3.873	1.101	0.066025	0.001294
6	10:58	1:02	2.9	46	50	4	20	33.65	0.594	22.5	3.022	0.913	0.051521	0.001073

		Average Pressure =	51.42857		Average Flow =	0.922	Average Flux = 0.072
RAW	Average Slurry Flow =	2.95			Filtrate		With First Point Removed





Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
7	13:14	0:00	4.9	23	27	4	20	25.35	0.789	27	3.534	2.050	0.060239	0.002408
7	13:26	0:12	4.5	28	31	3	20	22.56	0.887	21.4	4.653	2.287	0.079315	0.002687
7	13:36	0:22	4.5	27	30	3	20	21.37	0.936	26.9	4.203	2.139	0.071656	0.002512
7	13:45	0:31	4.5	27	31	4	20	21.57	0.927	24.5	4.454	2.228	0.075930	0.002616
7	13:55	0:41	4.4	29	32	3	20	22.38	0.894	21.3	4.704	2.237	0.080184	0.002627
7	13:55	0:41	4.4	29	32	3	20	18.18	1.100	21.3	5.790	2.753	0.098708	0.003234
7	14:06	0:52	4.5	28	31	3	20	19.56	1.022	27.1	4.567	2.245	0.077853	0.002637
7	14:14	1:00	4.5	29	32	3	20	21.85	0.915	23.8	4.485	2.133	0.076458	0.002505
7	14:14	1:00	4.5	29	32	3	20	23.04	0.868	23.8	4.253	2.023	0.072509	0.002376
7	14:14	1:00	4.5	29	32	3	20	22.03	0.908	23.8	4.448	2.115	0.075833	0.002485
7	14:14	1:00	4.5	29	32	3	20	23.1	0.866	23.8	4.242	2.017	0.072320	0.002369

RAW


Average Slurry Flow = 4.52


Average Pressure = 29.5

Average Flow = Filtrate 0.919


Average Flux = 4.580


With First Point Removed






Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
1	23:51	0:00	3.2	49	50	1	20	17.71	1.129	24.7	5.394	1.581	0.091959	0.001856
1	0:01	0:10	3.2	47	50	3	20	37.65	0.531	24.7	2.537	0.759	0.043256	0.000891
1	0:17	0:16	2.5	50	52	2	30	55.1	0.544	24.7	2.601	0.740	0.044336	0.000869
1	0:27	0:26	2.6	53	52	-1	30	95.02	0.316	24.6	1.512	0.418	0.025782	0.000491
1	0:38	0:37	2.4	53	57	4	30	87.37	0.343	25.2	1.617	0.426	0.027569	0.000501
1	0:46	0:45	2.4	52	55	3	20	60.88	0.329	28.3	1.419	0.385	0.024197	0.000452
1	0:52	0:51	2.7	45	50	5	20	65.05	0.307	25	1.456	0.445	0.024825	0.000522
			Average Pressure =		51.07143		Average Flow =		0.500	Average Flux =	1.857			
RAW		Average Slurry Flow =	2.71				Filtrate			First point removed				






Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
2	1:15	0:00	2.2	30	31	1	30	54.49	0.551	26.6	2.493	1.186	0.042507	0.001393
2	1:26	0:11	2.18	28	30	2	30	84.17	0.356	22.8	1.797	0.899	0.030632	0.001056
2	1:36	0:21	2.5	27	30	3	20	57.28	0.349	22.6	1.770	0.901	0.030180	0.001058
2	1:45	0:30	2.1	28	30	2	20	55.35	0.361	26.9	1.623	0.812	0.027666	0.000953
2	1:56	0:41	2.18	28	30	2	20	64.28	0.311	22.1	1.600	0.800	0.027282	0.000940
2	2:06	0:51	2.3	27	30	3	20	62.45	0.320	22.6	1.624	0.826	0.027682	0.000971
2	2:16	1:01	2.4	27	30	3	20	57.41	0.348	27.2	1.552	0.790	0.026452	0.000927


		Average Pressure =	29	Average Flow =	0.371	Average Flux =	1.661
RAW	Average Slurry Flow =	2.27		Filtrate		First point removed	






Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
3	2:51	0:00	2.05	65	70	5	21	41.81	0.502	26	2.313	0.497	0.039434	0.000584
3	3:00	0:09	1.86	60	65	5	20	56.99	0.351	24.9	1.667	0.387	0.028416	0.000454
3	3:11	0:20	1.9	65	68	3	20	53.53	0.374	25.1	1.765	0.385	0.030083	0.000452
3	3:24	0:33	1.83	70	70	0	20	52.62	0.380	25.1	1.795	0.372	0.030603	0.000437
3	3:35	0:44	2.35	68	68	0	30	79.94	0.375	26.1	1.724	0.368	0.029381	0.000432
3	3:45	0:54	2.34	68	70	2	20	49.72	0.402	27.7	1.767	0.371	0.030123	0.000436
3	3:57	1:06	2	70	72	2	20	55.81	0.358	25.3	1.683	0.344	0.028692	0.000404


		Average Pressure =	67.78571	Average Flow =	0.392	Average Flux =	1.733
RAW	Average Slurry Flow =	2.05		Filtrate		First point removed	






Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
4	4:14	0:00	2.7	30	30	0	20	32.66	0.612	27.4	2.712	1.311	0.046240	0.001540
4	4:25	0:11	2.9	28	30	2	20	46.8	0.427	23.5	2.112	1.056	0.036002	0.001241
4	4:35	0:21	2.9	29	32	3	20	42.18	0.474	25	2.246	1.068	0.038286	0.001254
4	4:46	0:32	3	30	32	2	20	40.88	0.489	27	2.191	1.025	0.037354	0.001204
4	4:58	0:44	3	28	32	4	20	48.06	0.416	22.4	2.122	1.026	0.036176	0.001205
4	5:11	0:57	3	28	32	4	20	40.38	0.495	28.5	2.128	1.029	0.036281	0.001209
4	5:15	1:01	2.9	30	33	3	20	35.37	0.565	26.2	2.590	1.192	0.044146	0.001400


RAW Average Slurry Flow = 2.91 Average Pressure = 30.28571 Average Flow = Filtrate 0.497 Average Flux = 2.231 First point removed






Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
6	5:24	0:00	2.5	49	51	2	20	37.02	0.540	22.5	2.747	0.797	0.046831	0.000936
6	5:38	0:14	2.7	47	52	5	20	42.48	0.471	28.2	2.040	0.598	0.034774	0.000702
6	5:49	0:25	3.5	43	50	7	20	107.76	0.186	23.4	0.920	0.287	0.015680	0.000337
6	5:59	0:35	3.3	45	50	5	10	48.59	0.206	24.6	0.986	0.301	0.016806	0.000354
6	6:13	0:49	3.35	42	48	6	10	51.75	0.193	25.5	0.902	0.291	0.015385	0.000342
6	6:27	1:03	3.2	45	52	7	10	48.75	0.205	24.6	0.983	0.294	0.016751	0.000345


		Average Pressure =	47.83333				
RAW	Average Slurry Flow =	3.09		Average Flow = Filtrate	0.300	Average Flux = First point removed	1.166






Condition Number	Time	Total Time Elapsed (Min)	Slurry Loop Flow Rate (gpm)	Filter Outlet Pressure (psig)	Filter Inlet Pressue (psig)	Pressure Drop (psig)	Filtrate Sample Volume (mL)	Time of Collection (Sec)	Filtrate Flow Rate (mL/sec)	Slurry Temp C	Filtrate Flux (m3/m2/day)	Permeability (m/day/bar)	Filtrate Flux (gpm/ft2)	Permeability (gpm/ft2/psi)
7	6:43	0:00	3.8	28	30	2	20	29.77	0.672	22	3.465	1.733	0.059076	0.002036
7	6:53	0:10	4	27	30	3	20	32.59	0.614	24.3	2.965	1.509	0.050540	0.001772
7	7:03	0:20	4.05	27	30	3	20	31.59	0.633	26.8	2.851	1.451	0.048609	0.001704
7	7:14	0:31	3.95	28	31	3	20	33.84	0.591	21.8	3.066	1.508	0.052271	0.001771
7	7:23	0:40	4	28	32	4	30	42.5	0.706	27.1	3.153	1.524	0.053746	0.001790
7	7:33	0:50	4.05	28	32	4	30	49.74	0.603	24.7	2.881	1.393	0.049113	0.001636
7	7:43	1:00	3.95	28	32	4	30	50.48	0.594	22.5	3.022	1.461	0.051515	0.001716

		Average Pressure =	29.35714			
RAW	Average Slurry Flow =	3.97		Average Flow = Filtrate	0.630	Average Flux = 2.990
						First point removed





Appendix E: Physical Properties Test Instruction

Appendix E: Physical Properties Test Instruction

NP# w45559

PNNL Test Instruction

Document No.: BNFL-TP-29953-078
Rev. No.: 0

Title: BNFL Ultrafiltration Physical Testing: AZ-102

Work Location: Radiochemical Processing Laboratory

Author: Paul Bredt

Use Category Identification: Reference

Effective Date: Upon Final Approval
Supersedes Date: New

Identified Hazards:
___ Radiological
___ Hazardous Materials
___ Physical Hazards
___ Hazardous Environment
___ Other:

Required Reviewers:
_X_ Technical Reviewer

Are One-Time Modifications Allowed to this Test Instruction? _X_ Yes ___ No

NOTE: If Yes, then modifications are not anticipated to impact safety. For documentation requirements of a modification see SBMS or the controlling Project QA Plan as appropriate.

On-The Job Training Required? _____ Yes or _X_ No

FOR REVISIONS:
Is retraining to this procedure required? _____Yes _X_ No

Does the OJT package associated with this procedure require revision to reflect procedure changes?
_____Yes _____No _X_ N/A

Approval	Signature	Date
Author	Paul Bredt	1/17/00
Technical Reviewer		1/17/00

BNFL Ultrafiltration Physical Testing: AZ-102

Scope:

This test instruction defines work to be conducted on slurry samples collected during the ultrafiltration of materials from tanks 241-AZ-102. Under this test instruction, samples of the concentrated slurry (CUF-AZ102-006), caustic leached slurry (CUF-AZ102-012), and final slurry (CUF-AZ102-025) will be analyzed for select physical properties.

This test instruction provides details regarding the implementation of Technical Procedure 29953-010. Client expectations for successful achievement of project data needs have already been established via the ultrafiltration test specifications provided to Battelle by BNFL. This test instruction is an internal mechanism for the cognizant scientist, to communicate to staff specifics on procedure implementation.

General Instructions:

1) Keep the sample in a sealed container as much as possible to prevent it from drying.
2) Sign and date the bottom of each page when the requested analyses are complete.
3) Cross-contamination between samples and contamination of samples from outside sources must be minimized at each step. Use new tools and bottles for each sample as much as possible. Those tools which are reused should be washed and rinsed prior to reuse.

M&TE List:

RCY/RGB Balance 1:

Calib ID 388-06-01-020 Calib Exp Date 8/2000

Location 325/601/C cell 1-18-2000 100.0001 g = 100.001 g ± .002 g

____ Balance 2:

Calib ID _____ Calib Exp Date _____

Location _____

only one balance used
PRB
6/9/00

Signature [signature] Date 1-18-2000

Work Instructions

1) Thoroughly agitate samples **CUF-AZ102-006,CUF-AZ102-012,** and **CUF-AZ102-025** and transfer ~8 ml of each in duplicate into preweighed graduated 10 ml centrifuge cones. These cones need to be rate for use at ~105°C. Weigh the loaded centrifuge cones.

6A			6B	
Total	28.688	g	Total	28.439 g
Tare	20.335	g	Tare	20.118 g
Slurry	8.553	g	Slurry	8.321 g

12A			12B	
Total	26.958	g	Total	26.952 g
Tare	19.770	g	Tare	19.834 g
Slurry	7.188	g	Slurry	7.118 g

25A			25B	
Total	27.985	g	Total	25.901 g
Tare	19.992	g	Tare	19.156 g
Slurry	7.993	g	Slurry	6.745 g

2) Agitate the cones and record the time and date. Not really necessary (rgs)

Time 13:30 pm Date 1-19-2000

* Wash A		Wash - B	
Total	27.530 g	Total	27.203 g
19.2737 ⇒ Tare	19.269 g	Tare	19.015 g ← 19.0235
Slurry	8.261 g	Slurry	8.188 g

* Slurry rinses with Associated solids were transferred (@ ~3pm on 1-17-2000 by D. Rueckert) to a 500mL Bottle labeled AZ102 CUF Rinse After Filtration 1-18-2000 – excess liquid was discarded

1-19-2000 The Liquid Layer was removed temporarily & solids were Agitated prior to taking 2 ~ 8mL duplicate Aliquots Above (See Attached Addendum to this test plan)

Signature [signature] Date 1-19-2000

rgs 1/19/2000

3) One hour after agitation, record the volume of the total sample and the volume of settled solids.

Date_____ Time_____

Initial Fill

	6A		6B	
8.1	Total _____ml	Total _____ml		8.15
	Solids _____ml	Solids _____ml		
	Liquid _____ml	Liquid _____ml		

	12A		12B	
8.6	Total _____ml	Total _____ml		6.4
	Solids _____ml	Solids _____ml		
	Liquid _____ml	Liquid _____ml		

	25A		25B	
7.7	Total _____ml	Total _____ml		7.5
	Solids _____ml	Solids _____ml		
	Liquid _____ml	Liquid _____ml		

	WASH A		WASH B	
8.1	Total _____ml	Total _____ml		8.05
	Solids _____mL	Solids _____ml		
	Liquids _____mL	Liquid _____mL		

Skip this in lite of the short Time diff from here to end of skid (see next page) rgs

Signature _____ Date 1/19/00

Note:
The following steps (4-9) are used to record data from the settling study. It is not necessary to record data in each step or at the specific time as stated, but data should be record as often as possible to document the stability of the final volume measurements in step 9.

4) Before the end of the shift (day 1), record the volume of the total sample and the volume of settled solids.

Date 1-19-2000 Time 15:30 h.

	6A		6B	
Total	8.1	ml	8.1	ml
Solids	7.8	ml	7.9	ml
Liquid	0.3	ml	0.2	ml

	12A		12B	
Total	6.4	ml	6.4	ml
Solids	6.3	ml	6.3	ml
Liquid	0.1	ml	0.1	ml

	25A		25B	
Total	7.9	ml	7.5	ml
Solids	7.7	ml	7.4	ml
Liquid	0.2	ml	0.1	ml

	Wash A		Wash B	
Total	8.0	ml	7.8	ml
Solids	7.8	ml	7.65	ml
Liquid	0.2	ml	0.15	ml

Signature [signature] Date 1/19/00

5) At the start of the next day (day 2), record the volume of the total sample and the volume of settled solids.

Date 1-20-00 Time 8:20-Hrs

	6A		6B		W-A	W-B
Total	8.1 ml	Total	8.0 ml	Total	7.7	7.6
Solids	7.4 ml	Solids	7.6 ml	Solids	7.4	7.3
Liquid	0.7 ml	Liquid	0.4 ml	Liquid	0.3	0.3

	12A		12B
Total	6.3 ml	Total	6.3 ml
Solids	6.1 ml	Solids	6.1 ml
Liquid	0.2 ml	Liquid	0.2 ml

	25A		25B
Total	7.45 ml	Total	7.00 ml
Solids	7.20 ml	Solids	6.80 ml
Liquid	0.25 ml	Liquid	0.20 ml

6) At the end of the day (day 2), record the volume of the total sample and the volume of settled solids.

Date 1-20-00 Time 15:00 hr

	6A		6B		W-A	W-B
Total	7.80 ml	Total	8.0 ml	Total	7.70	7.60
Solids	7.35 ml	Solids	7.6 ml	Solids	7.25	7.10
Liquid	0.45 ml	Liquid	0.4 ml	Liquid	0.45	0.40

	12A		12B
Total	6.3 ml	Total	6.3 ml
Solids	6.0 ml	Solids	6.1 ml
Liquid	0.3 ml	Liquid	0.2 ml

	25A		25B
Total	7.45 ml	Total	7.0 ml
Solids	7.2 ml	Solids	6.8 ml
Liquid	0.25 ml	Liquid	0.2 ml

Signature [signature] Date 1-20-00

7) At the start of the next day (day 3), record the volume of the total sample and the volume of settled solids.

Date 1-21-2000 Time 0800 hr ~~1500 hr~~

	6A		6B	
Total	7.7	ml	8.0	ml
Solids	7.3	ml	7.6	ml
Liquid	0.4	ml	0.4	ml

	12A		12B	
Total	6.2	ml	6.2	ml
Solids	6.0	ml	6.0	ml
Liquid	0.2	ml	0.2	ml

	25A		25B	
Total	7.50	ml	7.00	ml
Solids	7.10	ml	6.60	ml
Liquid	0.4	ml	0.4	ml

8) At the end of the day (day 3), record the volume of the total sample and the volume of settled solids.

Date 1-21-2000 Time 1500

	6A		6B		WA		WB	
Total	7.7	ml	8.0	ml	7.7	Total	7.6	mL
Solids	7.3	ml	7.6	ml	7.0	Solids	6.85	mL
Liquid	0.4	ml	0.4	ml	0.7	Liquids	0.75	mL

	12A		12B	
Total	6.2	ml	6.2	ml
Solids	6.0	ml	6.0	ml
Liquid	0.2	ml	0.2	ml

	25A		25B	
Total	7.5	ml	7.0	ml
Solids	7.1	ml	6.6	ml
Liquid	0.4	ml	0.4	ml

Signature _____ Date 1-21-2000

After weekend Settling

9) At the beginning of the next day (day 4), record the volume of the total sample and the volume of settled solids.

Date 1/24/00 Time 10 AM

	6A		6B		WA	WB
Total	7.8 ml	Total	8.0 ml		7.80 mL	7.65
Solids	7.3 ml	Solids	7.65 ml		6.85	6.8
Liquid	0.5 ml	Liquid	0.35 ml		.95	.85

	12A		12B
Total	6.2 ml	Total	6.15 ml
Solids	5.9 ml	Solids	6.00 ml
Liquid	0.3 ml	Liquid	0.25 ml

	25A		25B
Total	7.50 ml	Total	7.0 ml
Solids	7.10 ml	Solids	6.6 ml
Liquid	0.40 ml	Liquid	0.4 ml

10) Transfer a sample of the settled supernatant into a preweighed graduated cylinder and record the mass and volume of the supernatant. Return the supernatant to the centrifuge cone.

	6A		6B		W-A	WB
Total	g	Total	g		g Total	g
Tare	25.488 g	Tare	25.175 g		25.217 g Tare	25.587 g
Liquid	g	Liquid	g		g Liquid	g
Liquid	ml	Liquid	ml		mL	mL

	12A		12B
Total	g	Total	g
Tare	25.625 g	Tare	25.420 g
Liquid	g	Liquid	g
Liquid	ml	Liquid	ml

	25A		25B
Total	g	Total	g
Tare	25.931 g	Tare	25.339 g
Liquid	g	Liquid	g
Liquid	ml	Liquid	ml

Not done since Less than 1mL of Visible Liquid is present in all the samples < 0.5mL on 1st 6 samples

1/24/00

Signature 1/24/00 Date 1/24/00

Balance Check 200g = 199.995g
100g = 99.997g

MT&E# 388-06-A-020
Loc. 325/60i/Cell

RJB 1/24/00

11) Centrifuge the cones at ~1000 x g for one hour. Reweigh the centrifuge cones and record the volume of the total sample and volume of centrifuged solids.

Start 1/24/00 @ 11:25
Stopped @ 12:45

6A		6B	
Total	28.839 g	Total	28.432 g
Tare	20.335 g	Tare	20.118 g
Sample	8.504 g	Sample	8.314 g
Total	7.8 ml	Total	7.7 ml
Solids	2.1 ml	Solids	2.0 ml
Liquid	5.7 ml	Liquid	5.7 ml

12A		12B	
Total	26.944 g	Total	26.916 g
Tare	19.770 g	Tare	19.834 g
Sample	7.174 g	Sample	7.082 g
Total	6.20 ml	Total	6.10 ml
Solids	1.65 ml	Solids	1.70 ml
Liquid	4.55 ml	Liquid	4.40 ml

25A		25B	
Total	27.973 g	Total	25.892 g
Tare	19.992 g	Tare	19.156 g
Sample	7.981 g	Sample	6.736 g
Total	7.60 ml	Total	6.40 ml
Solids	1.90 ml	Solids	1.70 ml
Liquid	5.70 ml	Liquid	4.70 ml

start centrifuge @ 13:45 hr
stopped @ 14:50

W-A		W-B	
Total	27.519 g	Total	27.188 g
Tare	19.269 g	Tare	19.015 g
Sample	8.250 g	Sample	8.173 g
Total	7.75 mL	Total	7.65 mL
Solids	2.1 mL	Solids	2.1 mL
Liquids	5.65 mL	Liquid	5.55 mL

Signature RJ Bredt Date 1/24/2000

12) Decant as much of the centrifuged supernatant as possible to a preweighed graduated cylinder and record the mass and volume of the supernatant. Weigh the solids left in the centrifuge cone.

6A			6B		
Liquid+Grad	31.128	g	Liquid+Grad	30.705	g
Grad	25.488	g	Grad	25.175	g
Liquid	5.64	g	Liquid	5.53	g
Liquid	5.55	ml	Liquid	5.45	ml
	ρ = 1.0162 g/mL			ρ = 1.0147 g/mL	
Solids+cone	23.171	g	Solids+cone	22.815	g
Cone	20.335	g	Cone	20.118	g
Solids	2.836	g	Solids	2.757	g

12A				12B		
Liquid+Grad	30.536	g	26.509 (Spilled Before transfer to)	Liquid+Grad	30.205	g
Grad	25.625	g	25.625	Grad	25.420	g
Liquid	4.911	g	0.884	Liquid	4.785	g
Liquid	4.35	ml	0.80	Liquid	4.40	ml
	ρ = 1.129		1.11		1.088	
Solids+cone	22.017	g		Solids+cone	22.108	g
Cone	19.770	g		Cone	19.834	g
Solids	2.247	g		Solids	2.274	g

25A (Also spilled some in transfer to grad.)			25B		
Liquid+Grad	28.271	g	Liquid+Grad	30.144	g
Grad	25.931	g	Grad	25.339	g
Liquid	2.340	g	Liquid	4.805	g
Liquid	2.250	ml	Liquid	4.62	ml
	ρ = 1.040			ρ = 1.04	
Solids+cone	22.343	g	Solids+cone	21.151	g
Cone	19.992	g	Cone	19.156	g
Solids	2.351	g	Solids	1.995	g

Spilled on balance when removing the cover. Lost Entire Sample at this point.

W-A			W-B		
Liquid+Grad	30.828	g	Liquid+Grad	31.196	g
Grad	25.217	g	Grad	25.587	g
Liquid	5.611	g	Liquid	5.609	g
Liquid	5.70	mL	Liquid	5.55	mL
	ρ = .984			ρ = 1.011	
Solids+cone	21.894	g	Solids+cone	21.568	g
Cone	19.269	g	Cone	19.015	g
Solids	2.625	g	Solids	2.553	g

I double checked this value

Signature _____ Date 1-25-2000

BALANCE Check 100g = 99.998 g
M&TE # 388-06-01-020
Loc.. 325/601/Cell

13) Transfer the decanted supernatant to preweighed 20 ml vials with lids rated to 105°C. Weigh the loaded beakers.

	6AL		6BL		W-AL	
Total	22.364	g	22.267	g	Total 22.483	g
Tare	16.827	g	16.882	g	Tare 16.982	g
Supernatant	5.537	g	5.385	g	Supernate 5.501	g

	12AL		12BL		W-BL	
Total	17.742	g	21.678	g	Total 22.522	g
Tare	17.012	g	17.031	g	Tare 17.015	g
Supernatant	0.730	g	4.647	g	Supernate 5.507	g

	25AL		25BL	
Total	19.080	g		g
Tare	17.018	g	16.872	g
Supernatant	2.062	g		g

LOST sample

14) Air dry the solids and liquids overnight to minimize splattering during the next drying step. Consult the cognizant scientist on the use of a heat lamp or other drying technique to speed up this preliminary drying.

15) Transfer the solids and liquids to an oven at 105°C for 24 hours.

16) Remove the solids and liquids from the oven and cap the vials. Allow the vial to cool ~1 hour and reweigh.

	6AL		6BL		W-AL	W-BL
Total	16.908	g	16.966	g	Total 16.992	17.022 g
Tare	16.827	g	16.882	g	Tare 16.982	17.015 g
sample	.081	g	.084	g	Sample .010	.007 g

	6A		6B	
Total	21.519	g	21.266	g
Tare	20.335	g	20.118	g
sample	1.184	g	1.148	g

	12AL		12BL	
Total	17.084	g	17.544	g
Tare	17.012	g	17.031	g
sample	.072	g	.513	g

Signature Ron E. Bredt Date 2/2/00

12A

Total	20.615	g
Tare	19.770	g
sample	0.845	g

12B

Total	20.680	g
Tare	19.834	g
sample	0.846	g

25AL

Total	17.053	g
Tare	17.018	g
sample	0.035	g

25BL

Total		g
Tare		g
sample	Lost Sample	g

25A

Total	20.758	g
Tare	19.992	g
sample	0.766	g

25B

Total	19.799	g
Tare	19.156	g
sample	0.643	g

W-A

total	20.134	g
tare	19.269	g
Sample	0.865	g

W-B

total	19.867	g
tare	19.015	g
Sample	0.852	g

Signature Ian E. Arnold Date 2/2/00

PNNL Test Plan Addendum

Document No.: BNFL-TP-29953-46
Rev. No.: 0
Document Control: Only the original signed copy is controlled

Title: BNFL Ultrafiltration Physical Testing: AZ-102

Work Location: Radiochemical Processing Laboratory

Author: Paul Bredt

Use Category Identification: Reference

Effective Date: Upon Final Approval
Supersedes Date: New

Identified Hazards:
___ Radiological
___ Hazardous Materials
___ Physical Hazards
___ Hazardous Environment
___ Other:

Required Reviewers:
X Technical Reviewer
___Building Manager
__ Radiological Control
__ ES&H
__ Quality Engineer
X Project Manager
__ RPL Manager
__ SFO Manager

Are One-Time Modifications Allowed to this Procedure? X Yes ___ No

NOTE: If Yes, then modifications are not anticipated to impact safety. For documentation requirements of a modification see SBMS or the controlling Project QA Plan as appropriate.

On-The Job Training Required? _____ Yes or X No

FOR REVISIONS:
Is retraining to this procedure required? _____Yes X No

Does the OJT package associated with this procedure require revision to reflect procedure changes?
_____Yes _____No X N/A

Approval	**Signature**	**Date**
Author	Paul Bredt	1/18/00
Technical Reviewer		1/18/00

BNFL Ultrafiltration Physical Testing: AZ-102

Scope

This addendum define the preparation of sample **AZ-102 CUF Rinse** for physical properties testing under test instruction 29953-078. Sample **AZ-102 CUF Rinse** was generated by rinsing of the CUF unit after the AZ-102 run. **AZ-102 CUF Rinse**, in a 500 ml glass jar, contains roughly 200 ml of solids. These solids need to be characterized prior to use in the HLW Vitrification task.

The liquid in **AZ-102 CUF Rinse** will be decanted. The density of this liquid will then be measured. The settled solids will then be mixed using a spatula and a 20 ml subsample of the settled solids will then be collected. The liquid will then be added back to the settled solids in the 500 ml jar. The 20 ml of settled solids will then be analyzed for solids content under Test Instruction 29953-078 in parallel with samples **CUF-AZ102-006, CUF-AZ102-012**, and **CUF-AZ102-025**.

Balance M&TE # 362-06-01-049
True Wt 1000.01
Meas Wt 1000.00 OKrys 1/19/2000

Work Instructions

1) Label a clean 500 ml jar "**AZ-102 WASH SUP**". Record the mass of the empty jar.

AZ-102 WASH SUP

Tare 105.70 g 105.98

2) Record the mass of **AZ-102 CUF RINSE**.

Bottle Labeled (Slurry Rinse (First) 1-13-2000)

● **AZ-102 CUF RINSE**

Total 811.20 g
Tare 354.756 g ← Lynette has this # from Kristen via e-mail on 2/3/00 PRB
Slurry 456.444 g

3) Decant as much supernatant as possible from **AZ-102 CUF Rinse** and transfer to **AZ-102 WASH SUP.** Record the new mass of **AZ-102 WASH SUP** and **AZ-102 CUF RINSE.**

AZ-102 WASH SUP		**AZ-102 CUF RINSE**	
Total	323.19 g	Total	593.70 g
Tare	105.70 g	Tare	354.756 g
Sup	217.49 g	Solids	238.94 g

Signature [signature] Date 1-19-2000

4) Transfer ~9ml of liquid from **AZ-102 WASH SUP** to a preweighed 10 ml graduated cylinder (or a 5 ml graduated cylinder may be used with ~4 ml of liquid). Record the mass and volume of liquid in the graduated cylinder. Discard this liquid after the mass and volume have been recorded.

Grad (25mL)

Total	76.08	g
Tare	55.38	g
Sup	20.70	g
Sup	20.0	ml

Density = 1.035 g/ml

5) Label a clean 20 ml vial **AZ-102 RIN**. Record the mass of the empty vial. I labeled this as W-A

AZ-102 ~~RIN~~ WA (WA)

Tare 17.033 g

6) Mix the solids in **AZ-102 CUF Rinse** with a spatula and transfer ~20 ml to **AZ-102 ~~RIN~~ WA**. Record the new mass of **AZ-102 ~~RIN~~ WA** and AZ-102 CUF Rinse

AZ-102 ~~RIN~~ WA

After ~8mL
17.920

Total	34.418	g
Tare	17.033	g
Solids	17.385	g *

~~BA~~

AZ-102 CUF Rinse

total	575.53	g
Tare	354.756	g
solids	220.774	g

7) Transfer the liquid in **AZ-102 WAS SUP** back into **AZ-102 CUF RINSE**. Record the new mass of **AZ-102 CUF RINSE.**

AZ-102 CUF RINSE

Total	791.56	g
Tare	354.756	g
Slurry	436.804	g

8) Perform solids analysis on AZ-102 CUF RINSE as defined under Test Instruction 29953-078.

Signature _____ Date 1-19-2000

BNFL Ultrafiltration Physical Testing: Feed Characterization

BNFL-TP-29953-078

AZ-102 - Filtered Slurry

01/18/2000 start | 2/2/200 finish

R.G. Swoboda

			Step 1 Target initial volume graduation mark (8 or 9 mL)					Step 9				Step 10	
			Slurry, in 10 ml centrifuge cone					Vol% Settled Solid in cone after 5 days				Settled Superantant transferred to grad after 5 days	
Sample ID	desc.	Abbrev. ID	Mass, g	Volume, ml	Density	Average	RPD	volume, ml	Vol %	Average	RPD	Mass, g	Volume, ml
CUF-AZ102-006-A	sam.	**6-A**	8.553	7.80	1.10	1.09	1.5%	7.30	93.6%	96.5%	6.0%	minimal liquid visible	
CUF-AZ102-006-B	dup.	**6-B**	8.321	7.70	1.08			7.65	99.4%			minimal liquid visible	
CUF-AZ102-012-A	sam.	**12-A**	7.188	6.20	1.16	1.16	0.6%	5.90	95.2%	96.8%	3.3%	minimal liquid visible	
CUF-AZ102-012-B	dup.	**12-B**	7.118	6.10	1.17			6.00	98.4%			minimal liquid visible	
CUF-AZ102-025-A	sam.	**25-A**	7.993	7.60	1.05	1.05	0.2%	7.10	93.4%	98.3%	9.9%	minimal liquid visible	
CUF-AZ102-025-B	dup.	**25-B**	6.745	6.40	1.05			6.60	103.1%			minimal liquid visible	
AZ102-Wash-A	sam.	**W-A**	8.261	7.75	1.07	1.07	0.4%	6.85	88.4%	88.6%	0.6%	minimal liquid visible	
AZ102-Wash-B	dup.	**W-B**	8.188	7.65	1.07			6.80	88.9%			minimal liquid visible	

		step 12			after 105 deg C and 1hr cool						
		Wet			Dry		Wt Fraction			Wt% Solids	
Sample	Tare	Total	Sample		Total	Sample	Dry Solids	Water	Superanta	Undissolved	Total
6-A	20.335	23.171	2.836		21.519	1.184	0.417	0.583	0.591	13.56%	14.8%
6-AL	16.827	22.364	5.537		16.908	0.081	0.015	0.985			
6-B	20.118	22.875	2.757		21.266	1.148	0.416	0.584	0.593	13.49%	14.8%
6-BL	16.882	22.267	5.385		16.966	0.084	0.016	0.984			
12-A	19.770	22.017	2.247		20.615	0.845	0.376	0.624	0.692	9.62%	12.8%
12-AL	17.012	17.742	0.730		17.084	0.072	0.099	0.901			
12-B	19.834	22.108	2.274		20.680	0.846	0.372	0.628	0.706	9.40%	19.1%
12-BL	17.031	21.678	4.647		17.544	0.513	0.110	0.890			
25-A	19.992	22.343	2.351		20.758	0.766	0.326	0.674	0.686	9.24%	10.0%
25AL	17.018	19.080	2.062		17.053	0.035	0.017	0.983			
25-B	19.156	21.151	1.995		19.799	0.643	0.322	0.678	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	9.6%
25-BL	16.172	lost			lost						
W-A	19.269	21.894	2.625		20.134	0.865	0.330	0.670	0.672	10.43%	10.6%
W-AL	16.982	22.483	5.501		16.992	0.010	0.002	0.998			
W-B	19.015	21.568	2.553		19.867	0.852	0.334	0.666	0.667	10.38%	10.5%
W-BL	17.015	22.522	5.507		17.022	0.007	0.001	0.999			

Table for Reporting

			Density, g/ml			Vol% Solids		Wt% Solids			
Sample ID	desc.	Abbrev. ID	Slurry	Supernatant	Centrifuged Solids	Settled	Centrifuged	Settled	Centrifuged	Total	Undissolved
CUF-AZ102-006-A	sam.	**6-A**	1.10	1.016	1.35	93.6%	26.9%	94.1%	33.2%	14.8%	13.6%
CUF-AZ102-006-B	dup.	**6-B**	1.08	1.015	1.38	99.4%	26.0%	95.7%	33.1%	14.8%	13.5%
CUF-AZ102-012-A	sam.	**12-A**	1.16	1.129	1.36	95.2%	26.6%	95.3%	31.3%	12.8%	9.6%
CUF-AZ102-012-B	dup.	**12-B**	1.17	1.088	1.34	98.4%	27.9%	96.2%	31.9%	19.1%	9.4%
CUF-AZ102-025-A	sam.	**25-A**	1.05	1.040	1.24	93.4%	25.0%	94.8%	29.4%	10.0%	9.2%
CUF-AZ102-025-B	dup.	**25-B**	1.05	1.040	1.17	103.1%	26.6%	93.8%	29.6%	9.5%	9.6%
AZ102-Wash-A	sam.	**W-A**	1.07	0.984	1.25	88.4%	27.1%	88.7%	31.8%	10.6%	10.4%
AZ102-Wash-B	dup.	**W-B**	1.07	1.011	1.22	88.9%	27.5%	89.5%	31.2%	10.5%	10.38%

BNFL Ultrafiltration Ph
AZ-102 - Filtered Slurr
01/18/2000
R.G. Swoboda

Step 12 Step 9

	Centrifuged Supernatant after 1 hour at 1000xg					Settled Solids after 5 days								
Sample ID	Mass, g	Volume, m	Density	Average	RPD	Liquid, ml	liqiud, g	Solids, g	wt% settle	Average	RPD	Density	Average	RPD
CUF-AZ102-006-A	5.640	5.55	1.016	1.015	0.2%	0.5	0.508108	8.044892	94.1%	94.9%	1.8%	1.10	1.07	5.7%
CUF-AZ102-006-B	5.530	5.45	1.015			0.35	0.355138	7.965862	95.7%			1.04		
CUF-AZ102-012-A	4.911	4.35	1.129	1.108	3.7%	0.3	0.33869	6.84931	95.3%	95.7%	0.9%	1.16	1.15	1.7%
CUF-AZ102-012-B	4.785	4.40	1.088			0.25	0.271875	6.846125	96.2%			1.14		
CUF-AZ102-025-A	2.340	2.25	1.040	1.040	0.0%	0.4	0.416	7.577	94.8%	94.3%	1.0%	1.07	1.01	10.7%
CUF-AZ102-025-B	4.805	4.62	1.040			0.4	0.416017	6.328983	93.8%			0.96		
AZ102-Wash-A	5.611	5.70	0.984	0.998	2.6%	0.95	0.935167	7.325833	88.7%	89.1%	0.9%	1.07	1.07	0.8%
AZ102-Wash-B	5.609	5.55	1.011			0.85	0.859036	7.328964	89.5%			1.08		

settled liquid volume in cone after 72 hours

Step 12 Step 11

	Centrifuged Solids, after 1000xg for 1 hr										
Sample ID	Mass, g	Volume, m	Vol%	Average	RPD	wt%	Average	RPD	Density	Average	RPD
CUF-AZ102-006-A	2.836	2.1	26.9%	26.4%	3.6%	33.2%	33.1%	0.1%	1.35	1.36	2.1%
CUF-AZ102-006-B	2.757	2.0	26.0%			33.1%			1.38		
CUF-AZ102-012-A	2.247	1.65	26.6%	27.2%	4.6%	31.3%	31.6%	2.2%	1.36	1.35	1.8%
CUF-AZ102-012-B	2.274	1.7	27.9%			31.9%			1.34		
CUF-AZ102-025-A	2.351	1.9	25.0%	25.8%	6.1%	29.4%	29.5%	0.6%	1.24	1.21	5.3%
CUF-AZ102-025-B	1.995	1.7	26.6%			29.6%			1.17		
AZ102-Wash-A	2.625	2.1	27.1%	27.3%	1.3%	31.8%	31.5%	1.9%	1.25	1.23	2.8%
AZ102-Wash-B	2.553	2.1	27.5%			31.2%			1.22		

Appendix F: Rheograms for AZ-102 and Standards

Appendix F: Rheograms for AZ-102 and Standards

Figure 1. 50 cP Brookfield Standard Lot 102298 Analyzed on 1/7/00 Using the In-Cell Remoted Haake M5




Figure 2. AZ-102 Initial Slurry (5.1 wt% Solids) Sample 1 Run 1. Suspect data shaded.




Figure 3. AZ-102 Initial Slurry (5.1 wt% Solids) Sample 1 Run 2




Figure 4. AZ-102 Initial Slurry (5.1 wt% solids) Sample 2 Run 1




Figure 5. AZ-102 Initial Slurry (5.1 wt% solids) Sample 2 Run 2




Figure 6. AZ-102 Initial Slurry (5.1 wt% Solids) Sample 2 Run 3




Figure 7. AZ-102 Concentrated Slurry (13.6 wt% Solids) Sample 1 Run 1




Figure 8. AZ-102 Concentrated Slurry (13.6 wt% Solids) Sample 1 Run 2




Figure 9. AZ-102 Concentrated Slurry (13.6 wt% Solids) Sample 2 Run 1




Figure 10. AZ-102 Concentrated Slurry (13.6 wt% Solids) Sample 2 Run 2




Figure 11. AZ-102 Concentrated Slurry (13.6 wt% Solids) Sample 2 Run 3




Figure 12. AZ-102 Concentrated Slurry (13.6 wt% Solids) Sample 2 Run 4




Figure 13. AZ-102 Final Slurry (9.2 wt% Solids) Sample 1 Run 1




Figure 14. AZ-102 Final Slurry (9.2 wt% Solids) Sample 2 Run 1





Figure 15. AZ-102 Final Slurry (9.2 wt% Solids) Sample 2 Run 2



Appendix G: Key Personnel Affiliated with AZ-102 Testing

Appendix G: Key Personnel Affiliated with AZ-102 Testing

Name	Responsibility	Telephone/email
Eugene Morrey	Battelle Project Manager	(509) 376-1982 eugene.morrey@pnl.gov
Dean Kurath	Battelle Project Engineer	(509) 376-6752 dean.kurath@pnl.gov
Kriston Brooks	Ultrafiltration Task Manager, Filtration and CUF Testing	(509) 376-2233 kriston.brooks@pnl.gov
Paul Bredt	Rheology and Physical Properties Measurement	(509) 376-3777 paul.bredt@pnl.gov
Scott Cooley	Statistical Analysis	(509) 375-3604 scott.cooley@pnl.gov
Mike Urie	Chemical and Radiochemical Analysis	(509) 376-9454 mike.urie@pnl.gov
Ken Rappe	CUF Design and Testing	(509) 372-3918 ken.rappe@pnl.gov
Gita Golcar	Particle Size Distribution Measurement	(509) 372-1967 gr.golcar@pnl.gov
Lynette Jagoda	CUF Testing	(509) 376-9951 lynette.jagoda@pnl.gov
Rick Steele	Hot Cell Operations	(509) 372-0038 rick.steele@pnl.gov

Appendix H: Particle Size Distribution Experimental Raw Data

Appendix H: Particle Size Distribution Simulant Recipes and Experimental Raw Data

00 61CH

Particle Size Analysis


Duke Standards
301um #19136

Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00004
Time: 10:02 Pres #: 01

NIST Duke Standard
301um, Lot #19136

Volume Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 302.7		10% = 253.4	60% = 309.4	298.1	100%	82.25
mn = 287.2		20% = 266.0	70% = 321.8			
ma = 297.2		30% = 276.9	80% = 336.3			
cs = 0.020		40% = 287.4	90% = 357.5			
sd = 41.12		50% = 298.1	95% = 378.4			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	0.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	0.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	1.11	6.541	0.00	0.00						
418.6	98.89	10.55	5.500	0.00	0.00						
352.0	88.34	40.22	4.625	0.00	0.00						
296.0	48.12	41.31	3.889	0.00	0.00						
248.9	6.81	6.81	3.270	0.00	0.00						
209.3	0.00	0.00	2.750	0.00	0.00						
176.0	0.00	0.00	2.312	0.00	0.00						
148.0	0.00	0.00	1.945	0.00	0.00						
124.5	0.00	0.00	1.635	0.00	0.00						
104.7	0.00	0.00	1.375	0.00	0.00						
88.00	0.00	0.00	1.156	0.00	0.00						
74.00	0.00	0.00	0.972	0.00	0.00						
62.23	0.00	0.00	0.818	0.00	0.00						
52.33	0.00	0.00	0.688	0.00	0.00						
44.00	0.00	0.00	0.578	0.00	0.00						
37.00	0.00	0.00	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	0.00	0.00	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	0.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	0.00	0.00	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	0.00	0.00	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	0.00	0.00	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	0.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	0.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						


Particle Size Analysis

Duke Standards GPG ~~20.0 um lot#19411~~ 301um
Date: 05/18/89 00 GPG
Time: 10:02 Meas #: 00004
Pres #: 01

NIST Duke Standard
~~20.0 um, Lot #19411~~ 301um Lot # 19136
In 1.0 uM KCl solution

Number Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 302.7	10% = 241.9	60% = 290.7	281.3	100%	72.41
mn = 287.2	20% = 254.7	70% = 301.9			
ma = 297.2	30% = 264.1	80% = 316.4			
cs = 0.020	40% = 272.7	90% = 336.4			
sd = 36.21	50% = 281.3	95% = 351.7			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	0.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	0.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.29	6.541	0.00	0.00						
418.6	99.71	4.62	5.500	0.00	0.00						
352.0	95.09	29.65	4.625	0.00	0.00						
296.0	65.44	51.23	3.889	0.00	0.00						
248.9	14.21	14.21	3.270	0.00	0.00						
209.3	0.00	0.00	2.750	0.00	0.00						
176.0	0.00	0.00	2.312	0.00	0.00						
148.0	0.00	0.00	1.945	0.00	0.00						
124.5	0.00	0.00	1.635	0.00	0.00						
104.7	0.00	0.00	1.375	0.00	0.00						
88.00	0.00	0.00	1.156	0.00	0.00						
74.00	0.00	0.00	0.972	0.00	0.00						
62.23	0.00	0.00	0.818	0.00	0.00						
52.33	0.00	0.00	0.688	0.00	0.00						
44.00	0.00	0.00	0.578	0.00	0.00						
37.00	0.00	0.00	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	0.00	0.00	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	0.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	0.00	0.00	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	0.00	0.00	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	0.00	0.00	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	0.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	0.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

20 GK5

Particle Size Analysis


Duke Standards
20.0 um lot#19411

Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00008
Time: 10:23 Pres #: 01

NIST Duke Standard
20.0 um, Lot #19411
In 1.0 uM KCl solution

Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 21.20		10% = 16.95	60% = 21.45	20.59	100%	6.907
mn = 19.57		20% = 18.10	70% = 22.47			
ma = 20.59		30% = 19.01	80% = 23.85			
cs = 0.291		40% = 19.80	90% = 26.02			
sd = 3.454		50% = 20.59	95% = 28.24			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	0.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	0.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	0.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	0.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	0.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	0.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	0.00	0.00						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	0.00	0.00						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	0.00	0.00						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	0.00	0.00						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	0.00	0.00						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	0.00	0.00						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	0.00	0.00						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	0.00	0.00						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	0.00	0.00						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	0.00	0.00						
44.00	100.00	0.47	0.578	0.00	0.00						
37.00	99.53	1.74	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	97.79	7.25	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	90.54	24.48	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	66.06	42.16	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	23.90	21.71	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	2.19	2.19	0.204	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]8	0.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]0	0.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRS

Particle Size Analysis


Duke Standards
20.0 um lot#19411

Date: 05/18/~~89~~ Meas #: 00008
Time: 10:23 Pres #: 01

NIST Duke Standard
20.0 um, Lot #19411
In 1.0 uM KCl solution

Number Distribution – X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 21.20		10% = 15.98	60% = 19.86	19.11	100%	5.654
mn = 19.57		20% = 16.87	70% = 20.69			
ma = 20.59		30% = 17.65	80% = 21.69			
cs = 0.291		40% = 18.38	90% = 23.34			
sd = 2.827		50% = 19.11	95% = 24.97			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	0.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	0.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	0.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	0.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	0.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	0.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	0.00	0.00						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	0.00	0.00						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	0.00	0.00						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	0.00	0.00						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	0.00	0.00						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	0.00	0.00						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	0.00	0.00						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	0.00	0.00						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	0.00	0.00						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	0.00	0.00						
44.00	100.00	0.06	0.578	0.00	0.00						
37.00	99.94	0.36	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	99.58	2.50	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	97.08	14.21	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	82.87	41.17	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	41.70	35.66	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	6.04	6.04	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	0.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	0.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRB

Particle Size Analysis


Duke Standards
2.013 um lot#15992

Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00012
Time: 10:39 Pres #: 01

NIST Duke Standard
2.013 um, Lot #15992
In 1.0 uM KCl solution

olume Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles			Dia	Vol%	Width
mv	= 1.793	10% = 1.440	60% = 1.826		1.753	100%	0.580
mn	= 1.662	20% = 1.533	70% = 1.908				
ma	= 1.745	30% = 1.612	80% = 2.017				
cs	= 3.438	40% = 1.684	90% = 2.199				
sd	= 0.290	50% = 1.753	95% = 2.368				



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	100.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	100.00	1.07						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	98.93	5.09						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	93.84	19.71						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	74.13	41.14						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	32.99	28.33						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	4.66	4.66						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	0.00	0.00						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	0.00	0.00						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	0.00	0.00						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	0.00	0.00						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	0.00	0.00						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRE

Particle Size Analysis


Duke Standards
2.013 um lot#15992

Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00012
Time: 10:39 Pres #: 01

NIST Duke Standard
2.013 um, Lot #15992
In 1.0 uM KCl solution

Number Distribution; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.793	10% = 1.359	60% = 1.686	1.619	100%	0.486
mn = 1.662	20% = 1.438	70% = 1.761			
ma = 1.745	30% = 1.499	80% = 1.850			
cs = 3.438	40% = 1.558	90% = 1.982			
sd = 0.243	50% = 1.619	95% = 2.112			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	100.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	100.00	0.19						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	99.81	1.55						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	98.26	10.12						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	88.14	35.55						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	52.59	41.21						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	11.38	11.38						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	0.00	0.00						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	0.00	0.00						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	0.00	0.00						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	0.00	0.00						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	0.00	0.00						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00GR6

Particle Size Analysis


NIST Duke Standard
304 nm Lot#15928

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00001
Time: 18:26 Pres #: 01

NIST Traceable Duke Standard
304 nm Lot #:15928
In 1.0 mM KCl Solution

[illegible]OA - System
Volume Distribution

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = .3354	10% = .2341	60% = .3591		.3416	100%	.1435
mn = .2445	20% = .2782	70% = .3773				
ma = .3123	30% = .3033	80% = .3983				
cs = 19.22	40% = .3232	90% = .4279				
sd = .0717	50% = .3416	95% = .4540				



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	100.00	0.00	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	100.00	0.00	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	100.00	0.00	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	100.00	0.00	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	100.00	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	100.00	0.00	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	100.00	0.00	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	100.00	1.36	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	98.64	14.24	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	84.40	33.25	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	51.15	27.35	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	23.80	12.33	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	11.47	4.98									
0.2044	6.49	2.59									
0.1719	3.90	1.83									
0.1445	2.07	1.54									
[illegible]15	0.53	0.53									
[illegible]22	0.00	0.00									

00GR6

Particle Size Analysis


NIST Duke Standard
304 nm Lot#15928

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00001
Time: 18:26 Pres #: 01

NIST Traceable Duke Standard
304 nm Lot #:15928
In 1.0 mM KCl Solution

Number Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = .3354		10% = .1244	60% = .2760	.2942	68%	.1532
mn = .2445		20% = .1405	70% = .3051	.1340	32%	.0399
ma = .3123		30% = .1644	80% = .3344			
cs = 19.22		40% = .2018	90% = .3715			
sd = .1069		50% = .2423	95% = .3990			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	100.00	0.00	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	100.00	0.00	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	100.00	0.00	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	100.00	0.00	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	100.00	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	100.00	0.00	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	100.00	0.00	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	100.00	0.19	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	99.81	3.43	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	96.38	13.45	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	82.93	18.62	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	64.31	14.10	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	50.21	9.58									
0.2044	40.63	8.39									
0.1719	32.24	9.96									
0.1445	22.28	14.11									
0.1215	8.17	8.17									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									

00 GKG


Particle Size Analysis

NIST Traceable Duke Standard
96 nm Lot #:16339
In 1.0 mM KCl Solution
Volume Distribution; UPA System

NIST Duke Standard
96 nm Lot#16339

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00002
Time: 18:33 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = .1015		10% = .0853	60% = .1049	.1012	100%	.0266
mn = .0966		20% = .0902	70% = .1087			
ma = .0999		30% = .0940	80% = .1130			
cs = 60.06		40% = .0977	90% = .1185			
sd = .0133		50% = .1012	95% = .1223			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	10.78	10.24						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.54	0.54						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	100.00	0.00	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	100.00	0.00	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	100.00	0.00	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	100.00	0.00	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	100.00	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	100.00	0.00	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	100.00	0.00	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	100.00	0.00	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	100.00	0.00	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	100.00	0.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	100.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	100.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	100.00	0.00									
0.2044	100.00	0.00									
0.1719	100.00	0.00									
0.1445	100.00	5.49									
[illegible]15	94.51	41.78									
[illegible]022	52.73	41.95									

00 GKG

Particle Size Analysis


NIST Duke Standard
96 nm Lot#16339

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00002
Time: 18:33 Pres #: 01

NIST Traceable Duke Standard
96 nm Lot #:16339
In 1.0 mM KCl Solution

Number Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = .1015		10% = .0799	60% = .0988	.0955	100%	.0262
mn = .0966		20% = .0852	70% = .1025			
ma = .0999		30% = .0889	80% = .1072			
cs = 60.06		40% = .0922	90% = .1136			
sd = .0131		50% = .0955	95% = .1182			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	21.42	19.68						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	1.74	1.74						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	100.00	0.00	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	100.00	0.00	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	100.00	0.00	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	100.00	0.00	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	100.00	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	100.00	0.00	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	100.00	0.00	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	100.00	0.00	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	100.00	0.00	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	100.00	0.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	100.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	100.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	100.00	0.00									
0.2044	100.00	0.00									
0.1719	100.00	0.00									
0.1445	100.00	2.22									
0.1215	97.78	28.42									
0.1022	69.36	47.94									


Particle Size Distribution Plots
For Initial Sludge: Sample CUF-AZ-102 MU R1D1
Replicate No: 1

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1
Date: 05/19/~~89~~ Meas #: 00092
Time: 13:50 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R1D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
40ml/s
Volume Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 16.42		10% = 2.033	60% = 16.29	24.28	51%	25.20
mn = 1.027		20% = 3.807	70% = 21.61	4.647	49%	6.574
ma = 5.226		30% = 5.688	80% = 27.94			
cs = 1.148		40% = 7.923	90% = 37.44			
sd = 14.02		50% = 11.40	95% = 46.18			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	44.46	5.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	39.46	5.28						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	34.18	5.14						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	29.04	4.61						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	24.43	3.98						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	20.45	3.42						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	17.03	2.92						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	14.11	2.48						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	11.63	2.16						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	9.47	1.97						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	7.50	1.87						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	5.63	1.72						
88.00	100.00	0.47	1.156	3.91	1.42						
74.00	99.53	0.88	0.972	2.49	1.03						
62.23	98.65	1.68	0.818	1.46	0.69						
52.33	96.97	2.92	0.688	0.77	0.46						
44.00	94.05	4.38	0.578	0.31	0.31						
37.00	89.67	5.68	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	83.99	6.51	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	77.48	6.78	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	70.70	6.43	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	64.27	5.69	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	58.58	4.94	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	53.64	4.55	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	49.09	4.63	0.145	0.00	0.00						


w GRG

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1
Date: 05/19/~~99~~ Meas #: 00092
Time: 13:50 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R1D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
~~60ml/s~~ 40 ml/s GRG
nber Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles			Dia	Vol%	Width
mv	= 16.42	10% = 0.537	60% = 0.902		0.798	100%	0.783
mn	= 1.027	20% = 0.581	70% = 1.035				
ma	= 5.226	30% = 0.640	80% = 1.229				
cs	= 1.148	40% = 0.712	90% = 1.630				
sd	= 0.391	50% = 0.798	95% = 2.213				




SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	99.90	0.08						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	99.82	0.14						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	99.68	0.22						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	99.46	0.34						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.12	0.49						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	98.63	0.71						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	97.92	1.01						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	96.91	1.45						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	95.46	2.12						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	93.34	3.26						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	90.08	5.20						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	84.88	8.04						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	76.84	11.17						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	65.67	13.60						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	52.07	15.32						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	36.75	17.24						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	19.51	19.51						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	100.00	0.01	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	99.99	0.01	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	99.98	0.02	0.204	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	99.96	0.02	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	99.94	0.04	0.145	0.00	0.00						

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00096
Time: 14:01 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R1D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s
Volume Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 15.41		10% = 1.990	60% = 15.42	23.18	50%	22.65
mn = 1.003		20% = 3.760	70% = 20.38	4.691	50%	6.625
ma = 5.088		30% = 5.593	80% = 26.13			
cs = 1.179		40% = 7.710	90% = 34.62			
sd = 12.98		50% = 10.87	95% = 42.43			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	45.50	5.22						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	40.28	5.48						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	34.80	5.29						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	29.51	4.70						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	24.81	4.04						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	20.77	3.45						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	17.32	2.94						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	14.38	2.49						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	11.89	2.16						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	9.73	1.97						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	7.76	1.88						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	6.88	1.75						
88.00	100.00	0.21	1.156	4.13	1.46						
74.00	99.79	0.60	0.972	2.67	1.07						
62.23	99.19	1.22	0.818	1.60	0.73						
52.33	97.97	2.30	0.688	0.87	0.50						
44.00	95.67	3.78	0.578	0.37	0.37						
37.00	91.89	5.33	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	86.56	6.51	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	80.05	7.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	73.05	6.77	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	66.28	6.00	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	60.28	5.18	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	55.10	4.76	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	50.34	4.84	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GRG

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R1D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s
mber Distribution; X-100 System

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1
Date: 05/19/~~89~~ Meas #: 00096
Time: 14:01 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 15.41		10% = 0.532	60% = 0.879	0.777	100%	0.756
mn = 1.003		20% = 0.571	70% = 1.009			
ma = 5.088		30% = 0.624	80% = 1.198			
cs = 1.179		40% = 0.694	90% = 1.587			
sd = 0.378		50% = 0.777	95% = 2.156			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	99.90	0.07						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	99.83	0.13						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	99.70	0.21						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	99.49	0.32						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.17	0.46						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	98.71	0.66						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	98.05	0.95						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	97.10	1.36						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	95.74	1.98						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	93.76	3.04						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	90.72	4.88						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	85.84	7.63						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	78.21	10.71						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	67.50	13.17						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	54.33	15.12						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	39.21	17.47						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	21.74	21.74						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	100.00	0.01	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	99.99	0.01	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	99.98	0.02	0.204	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	99.96	0.02	0.172	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	99.94	0.04	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 6/16

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00100
Time: 14:15 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R1D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, 1st Sonication 40 W, 90 sec
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 13.59		10% = 1.823	60% = 13.61	21.64	46%	19.15
mn = 0.874		20% = 3.466	70% = 18.23	4.646	54%	6.656
ma = 4.643		30% = 5.188	80% = 23.33			
cs = 1.292		40% = 7.077	90% = 30.47			
sd = 11.49		50% = 9.667	95% = 36.74			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	48.67	5.51						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	43.16	5.80						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	37.36	5.60						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	31.76	4.99						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	26.77	4.28						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	22.49	3.65						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	18.84	3.11						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	15.73	2.64						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	13.09	2.29						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	10.80	2.10						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	8.70	2.02						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	6.68	1.88						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	4.80	1.58						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	3.22	1.16						
62.23	100.00	0.63	0.818	2.06	0.80						
52.33	99.37	1.43	0.688	1.26	0.54						
44.00	97.94	2.78	0.578	0.72	0.40						
37.00	95.16	4.49	0.486	0.32	0.32						
31.11	90.67	6.07	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	84.60	7.02	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	77.58	7.00	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	70.58	6.30	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	64.28	5.47	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	58.81	5.03	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	53.78	5.11	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00100
Time: 14:15 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R1D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, 1st Sonication 40 W, 90 sec
mber Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 13.59		10% = 0.446	60% = 0.761	0.661	100%	0.712
mn = 0.874		20% = 0.478	70% = 0.890			
ma = 4.643		30% = 0.521	80% = 1.073			
cs = 1.292		40% = 0.582	90% = 1.420			
sd = 0.356		50% = 0.661	95% = 1.902			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	99.92	0.06						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	99.86	0.10						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	99.76	0.16						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	99.60	0.24						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.36	0.35						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.01	0.50						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	98.51	0.72						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	97.79	1.03						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	96.76	1.50						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	95.26	2.32						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	92.94	3.75						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	89.19	5.87						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	83.32	8.30						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	75.02	10.23						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	64.79	11.87						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	52.92	13.52						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	39.40	16.82						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	22.58	22.58						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	100.00	0.01	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	99.99	0.01	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	99.98	0.01	0.204	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	99.97	0.02	0.172	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	99.95	0.03	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GP1C3

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00104
Time: 14:27 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R1D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, 2nd Sonication 40 W, 90 sec
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles			Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 13.01		10% = 1.697	60% = 12.91		21.27	45%	18.19
mn = 0.740		20% = 3.260	70% = 17.50		4.538	55%	6.645
ma = 4.321		30% = 4.925	80% = 22.49				
cs = 1.388		40% = 6.732	90% = 29.29				
sd = 11.10		50% = 9.144	95% = 35.20				



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	50.36	5.50						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	44.86	5.83						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	39.03	5.69						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	33.34	5.11						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	28.23	4.40						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	23.83	3.77						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	20.06	3.21						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	16.85	2.73						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	14.12	2.38						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	11.74	2.20						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	9.54	2.13						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	7.41	2.00						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	5.41	1.68						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	3.73	1.24						
62.23	100.00	0.46	0.818	2.49	0.84						
52.33	99.54	1.14	0.688	1.65	0.57						
44.00	98.40	2.39	0.578	1.08	0.42						
37.00	96.01	4.13	0.486	0.66	0.35						
31.11	91.88	5.84	0.409	0.31	0.31						
26.16	86.04	6.93	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	79.11	7.00	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	72.11	6.28	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	65.83	5.43	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	60.40	4.98	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	55.42	5.06	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 6/1/05

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00104
Time: 14:27 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R1D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, 2nd Sonication 40 W, 90 sec
mber Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 13.01		10% = 0.372	60% = 0.625	0.534	100%	0.650
mn = 0.740		20% = 0.394	70% = 0.754			
ma = 4.321		30% = 0.424	80% = 0.936			
cs = 1.388		40% = 0.470	90% = 1.254			
sd = 0.325		50% = 0.534	95% = 1.653			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	99.95	0.04						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	99.91	0.07						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	99.84	0.12						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	99.72	0.17						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.55	0.25						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.30	0.36						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	98.94	0.52						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	98.42	0.74						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	97.68	1.09						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	96.59	1.70						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	94.89	2.76						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	92.13	4.36						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	87.77	6.17						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	81.60	7.64						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	73.96	8.70						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	65.26	9.97						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	55.29	12.33						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	42.96	17.25						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	25.71	25.71						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	100.00	0.01	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	99.99	0.01	0.204	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	99.98	0.01	0.172	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	99.97	0.02	0.145	0.00	0.00						

Particle Size Analysis


CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D

Volume Distribution; UPA System

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D

Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00019
Time: 11:11 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.164		10% = .9065	60% = 1.202	1.147	100%	.4109
mn = 1.058		20% = .9846	70% = 1.262			
ma = 1.127		30% = 1.042	80% = 1.334			
cs = 5.323		40% = 1.095	90% = 1.440			
sd = .2054		50% = 1.147	95% = 1.539			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	100.00	2.12	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	97.88	13.09	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	84.79	33.09	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	51.70	33.74	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	17.96	14.82	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	3.14	3.14	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	0.00	0.00	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	0.00	0.00	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	0.00	0.00	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	0.00	0.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	0.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
0.1215	0.00	0.00									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									


00 GK67

Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1
Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00019
Time: 11:11 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1
Number Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.164		10% = .8202	60% = 1.084	1.035	100%	.3802
mn = 1.058		20% = .8850	70% = 1.138			
ma = 1.127		30% = .9391	80% = 1.205			
cs = 5.323		40% = .9881	90% = 1.306			
sd = .1901		50% = 1.035	95% = 1.388			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	100.00	0.48	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	99.52	5.02	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	94.50	21.32	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	73.18	36.56	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	36.62	27.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	9.62	9.62	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	0.00	0.00	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	0.00	0.00	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	0.00	0.00	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	0.00	0.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	0.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
0.1215	0.00	0.00									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									


Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1SON
Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00027
Time: 11:39 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1 Sonicated

Volume Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.219		10% = .4593	60% = 2.552	2.521	83%	1.668
mn = .2523		20% = 1.033	70% = 2.807	.4167	17%	.4251
ma = 1.156		30% = 1.717	80% = 3.119			
cs = 5.189		40% = 2.055	90% = 3.610			
sd = 1.278		50% = 2.314	95% = 4.094			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.67	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	99.33	1.74	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	97.59	4.24	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	93.35	9.49	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	83.86	15.93	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	67.93	17.98	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	49.95	13.74	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	36.21	7.99	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	28.22	4.30	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	23.92	2.60	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	21.32	1.98	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	19.34	1.88	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	17.46	2.04	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	15.42	2.27	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	13.15	2.38	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	10.77	2.28	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	8.49	2.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	6.49	1.68	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	4.81	1.40	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	3.41	1.21									
0.2044	2.20	1.13									
0.1719	1.07	1.07									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
0.1215	0.00	0.00									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									

00 GRAB

Particle Size Analysis


CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1 Sonicated

Number Distribution; UPA System

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R1D1SON

Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00027
Time: 11:39 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles			Dia	Vol%	Width
mv	= 2.219	10%	= .1536	60% = .2124	.1920	100%	.1613
mn	= .2523	20%	= .1608	70% = .2420			
ma	= 1.156	30%	= .1682	80% = .2902			
cs	= 5.189	40%	= .1777	90% = .3855			
sd	= .0807	50%	= .1920	95% = .4960			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.01	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	99.99	0.03	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	99.96	0.07	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	99.89	0.14	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	99.75	0.18	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	99.57	0.18	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	99.39	0.16	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	99.23	0.16	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	99.07	0.21	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	98.86	0.34	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	98.52	0.61	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	97.91	1.15	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	96.76	2.03	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	94.73	3.26	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	91.47	4.81	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	86.66	6.80	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	79.86	9.53	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	70.33	13.86									
0.2044	56.47	21.78									
0.1719	34.69	34.69									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]15	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]022	0.00	0.00									

Particle Size Distribution Plots
For Initial Sludge: Sample CUF-AZ-102 MU R2D1
Replicate No: 2


00 G126

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00112
Time: 15:04 Pres #: 01

~~Blank~~ CUF-AZ102
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R2D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
40ml/s
ume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 15.92	10% = 1.940	60% = 15.46	24.59	49%	24.88
mn = 1.019	20% = 3.614	70% = 21.14	4.604	51%	6.489
ma = 5.013	30% = 5.380	80% = 27.53			
cs = 1.197	40% = 7.383	90% = 36.75			
sd = 13.86	50% = 10.44	95% = 45.21			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	46.78	5.13						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	41.65	5.55						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	36.10	5.44						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	30.66	4.87						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	25.79	4.20						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	21.59	3.60						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	17.99	3.07						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	14.92	2.62						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	12.30	2.27						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	10.03	2.08						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	7.95	1.97						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	5.98	1.82						
88.00	100.00	0.43	1.156	4.16	1.51						
74.00	99.57	0.80	0.972	2.65	1.09						
62.23	98.77	1.53	0.818	1.56	0.73						
52.33	97.24	2.75	0.688	0.83	0.48						
44.00	94.49	4.28	0.578	0.35	0.35						
37.00	90.21	5.67	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	84.54	6.49	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	78.05	6.59	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	71.46	6.05	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	65.41	5.23	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	60.18	4.54	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	55.64	4.30	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	51.34	4.66	0.145	0.00	0.00						

Particle Size Analysis


00 GKG

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1

Date: 05/19/~~89~~ Meas #: 00112
Time: 15:04 Pres #: 01

~~Blank~~ CUF-AZ102
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R2D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
40ml/s
Number Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 15.92	10% = 0.534	60% = 0.897	0.793	100%	0.778
mn = 1.019	20% = 0.675	70% = 1.029			
ma = 5.013	30% = 0.633	80% = 1.222			
cs = 1.197	40% = 0.708	90% = 1.620			
sd = 0.389	50% = 0.793	95% = 2.197			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	99.91	0.07						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	99.84	0.13						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	99.71	0.22						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	99.49	0.33						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.16	0.48						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	98.68	0.70						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	97.98	1.00						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	96.98	1.43						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	95.55	2.08						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	93.47	3.22						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	90.25	5.13						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	85.12	7.95						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	77.17	11.11						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	66.06	13.46						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	52.60	15.16						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	37.44	16.82						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	20.62	20.62						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	0.00	0.00						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	100.00	0.01	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	99.99	0.01	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	99.98	0.01	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	99.97	0.02	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	99.95	0.04	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GKG

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00116
Time: 15:18 Pres #: 01

~~Blank~~ CUF AZ102
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R2D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 14.95		10% = 1.886	60% = 14.65	23.35	48%	22.33
mn = 0.955		20% = 3.555	70% = 19.89	4.628	52%	6.535
ma = 4.844		30% = 5.290	80% = 25.67			
cs = 1.239		40% = 7.213	90% = 33.96			
sd = 12.80		50% = 10.04	95% = 41.64			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	47.74	5.27						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	42.47	5.71						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	36.76	5.58						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	31.18	4.97						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	26.21	4.26						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	21.95	3.62						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	18.33	3.08						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	15.25	2.61						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	12.64	2.26						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	10.38	2.07						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	8.31	1.98						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	6.33	1.84						
88.00	100.00	0.22	1.156	4.49	1.55						
74.00	99.78	0.57	0.972	2.94	1.14						
62.23	99.21	1.11	0.818	1.80	0.78						
52.33	98.10	2.12	0.688	1.02	0.53						
44.00	95.98	3.59	0.578	0.49	0.39						
37.00	92.39	5.21	0.486	0.10	0.10						
31.11	87.18	6.43	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	80.75	6.89	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	73.86	6.49	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	67.37	5.62	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	61.75	4.81	0.204	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	56.94	4.49	0.172	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	52.45	4.71	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 G IC G

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00116
Time: 15:18 Pres #: 01

~~Blank~~ CUF AZ102
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R2D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s
Number Distribution; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 14.95	10% = 0.494	60% = 0.838	0.736	100%	0.739
mn = 0.955	20% = 0.540	70% = 0.966			
ma = 4.844	30% = 0.588	80% = 1.151			
cs = 1.239	40% = 0.654	90% = 1.524			
sd = 0.369	50% = 0.736	95% = 2.059			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	99.92	0.07						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	99.85	0.12						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	99.73	0.20						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	99.53	0.29						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.24	0.42						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	98.82	0.60						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	98.22	0.86						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	97.36	1.23						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	96.13	1.79						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	94.34	2.76						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	91.58	4.44						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	87.14	6.93						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	80.21	9.83						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	70.38	12.13						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	58.25	13.96						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	44.29	16.00						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	28.29	19.78						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	8.51	8.51						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	0.00	0.00						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	100.00	0.01	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	99.99	0.01	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	99.98	0.01	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	99.97	0.02	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	99.95	0.03	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00GKG

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00120
Time: 15:32 Pres #: 01

CUF-AZ102
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R2D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s Sonicate 40 watts 90 seconds
ume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 12.95		10% = 1.696	60% = 12.60	21.32	44%	18.57
mn = 0.736		20% = 3.238	70% = 17.26	4.545	56%	6.592
ma = 4.285		30% = 4.857	80% = 22.36			
cs = 1.400		40% = 6.599	90% = 29.37			
sd = 11.07		50% = 8.903	95% = 35.61			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	51.18	5.53						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	45.65	5.95						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	39.70	5.85						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	33.85	5.26						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	28.59	4.53						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	24.06	3.86						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	20.20	3.28						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	16.92	2.77						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	14.15	2.40						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	11.75	2.20						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	9.55	2.11						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	7.44	1.99						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	5.45	1.68						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	3.77	1.24						
62.23	100.00	0.58	0.818	2.53	0.84						
52.33	99.42	1.25	0.688	1.69	0.58						
44.00	98.17	2.42	0.578	1.11	0.43						
37.00	95.75	4.02	0.486	0.68	0.36						
31.11	91.73	5.64	0.409	0.32	0.32						
26.16	86.09	6.73	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	79.36	6.79	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	72.57	6.16	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	66.41	5.32	0.204	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	61.09	4.89	0.172	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	56.20	5.02	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GR6

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00120
Time: 15:32 Pres #: 01

CUF-AZ102
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R2D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s Sonicate 40 watts 90 seconds
Number Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 12.95		10% = 0.371	60% = 0.619	0.529	100%	0.643
mn = 0.736		20% = 0.393	70% = 0.746			
ma = 4.285		30% = 0.422	80% = 0.928			
cs = 1.400		40% = 0.467	90% = 1.246			
sd = 0.321		50% = 0.529	95% = 1.648			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	99.95	0.04						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	99.91	0.07						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	99.84	0.12						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	99.72	0.18						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.54	0.26						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.28	0.37						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	98.91	0.52						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	98.39	0.74						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	97.65	1.08						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	96.57	1.67						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	94.90	2.69						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	92.21	4.26						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	87.95	6.06						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	81.89	7.50						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	74.39	8.55						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	65.84	9.96						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	55.88	12.40						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	43.48	17.43						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	26.05	26.05						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	0.00	0.00						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	100.00	0.01	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	99.99	0.01	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	99.98	0.01	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	99.97	0.02	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GKG

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00124
Time: 15:43 Pres #: 01

CUF-AZ102
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R2D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s 2nd Sonication 40 watts 90 seconds
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 12.37		10% = 1.574	60% = 11.87	20.89	42%	17.73
mn = 0.598		20% = 3.032	70% = 16.47	4.423	58%	6.578
ma = 3.944		30% = 4.590	80% = 21.46			
cs = 1.521		40% = 6.261	90% = 28.20			
sd = 10.68		50% = 8.416	95% = 34.19			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	52.92	5.47						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	47.45	5.94						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	41.51	5.91						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	35.60	5.38						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	30.22	4.67						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	25.55	4.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	21.55	3.39						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	18.16	2.87						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	15.29	2.49						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	12.80	2.30						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	10.50	2.23						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	8.27	2.11						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	6.16	1.79						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	4.37	1.32						
62.23	100.00	0.46	0.818	3.05	0.90						
52.33	99.54	1.02	0.688	2.15	0.61						
44.00	98.52	2.07	0.578	1.54	0.45						
37.00	96.45	3.62	0.486	1.09	0.37						
31.11	92.83	5.30	0.409	0.72	0.36						
26.16	87.53	6.54	0.344	0.36	0.36						
22.00	80.99	6.75	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	74.24	6.17	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	68.07	5.32	0.204	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	62.75	4.86	0.172	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	57.89	4.97	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1

Date: 05/19/~~89~~ Meas #: 00124
Time: 15:43 Pres #: 01

CUF-AZ102
SampleCUF- AZ102-MU-R2D1
In AZ-102-MU Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s 2nd Sonication 40 watts 90 seconds
Number Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 12.37	10% = 0.308	60% = 0.471		0.407	100%	0.535
mn = 0.598	20% = 0.324	70% = 0.576				
ma = 3.944	30% = 0.341	80% = 0.763				
cs = 1.521	40% = 0.367	90% = 1.060				
sd = 0.268	50% = 0.407	95% = 1.396				



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	99.97	0.02						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	99.95	0.04						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	99.91	0.08						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	99.83	0.12						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.71	0.17						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.54	0.24						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	99.30	0.35						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	98.95	0.49						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	98.46	0.72						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	97.74	1.11						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	96.63	1.82						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	94.81	2.89						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	91.92	4.13						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	87.79	5.11						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	82.68	5.86						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	76.82	6.70						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	70.12	8.30						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	61.82	11.45						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	50.37	18.75						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	31.62	31.62						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	0.00	0.00						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	0.00	0.00						
15.56	100.00	0.01	0.204	0.00	0.00						
13.08	99.99	0.01	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	99.98	0.01	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GICS

Particle Size Analysis


CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1

Volume Distribution; UPA System

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1

Date: 05/20/~~89~~ Meas #: 00021
Time: 11:18 Pres #: 01

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.532	10% = 1.093	60% = 1.496	5.537	2%	1.133
mn = 1.281	20% = 1.195	70% = 1.583	1.412	98%	.5745
ma = 1.409	30% = 1.274	80% = 1.697			
cs = 4.259	40% = 1.347	90% = 1.895			
sd = .3006	50% = 1.420	95% = 2.119			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	1.10	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	98.90	1.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	97.90	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	97.90	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	97.90	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	97.90	1.27	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	96.63	4.95	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	91.68	16.49	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	75.19	31.29	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	43.90	28.36	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	15.54	12.39	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	3.15	3.15	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	0.00	0.00	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	0.00	0.00	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	0.00	0.00	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	0.00	0.00	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	0.00	0.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	0.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]215	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]1022	0.00	0.00									

00 GK6

Particle Size Analysis


CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1

Number Distribution; UPA System

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1

Date: 05/20/~~89~~ Meas #: 00021
Time: 11:18 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.532		10% = .9656	60% = 1.308	1.245	100%	.5008
mn = 1.281		20% = 1.050	70% = 1.381			
ma = 1.409		30% = 1.120	80% = 1.474			
cs = 4.259		40% = 1.184	90% = 1.608			
sd = .2504		50% = 1.245	95% = 1.731			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.01	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	99.99	0.02	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	99.97	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	99.97	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	99.97	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	99.97	0.19	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	99.78	1.24	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	98.54	6.96	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	91.58	22.21	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	69.37	33.86	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	35.51	24.87	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	10.64	10.64	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	0.00	0.00	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	0.00	0.00	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	0.00	0.00	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	0.00	0.00	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	0.00	0.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	0.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
0.1215	0.00	0.00									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									


00926

Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1SON
Date: 05/20/~~89~~ Meas #: 00027
Time: 11:39 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-MU-~~R1D2~~ R2D1 Sonicated
Volume Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.219	10% = .4593	60% = 2.552		2.521	83%	1.668
mn = .2623	20% = 1.033	70% = 2.807		.4167	17%	.4251
ma = 1.156	30% = 1.717	80% = 3.119				
cs = 5.189	40% = 2.055	90% = 3.610				
sd = 1.278	50% = 2.314	95% = 4.094				



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.67	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	99.33	1.74	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	97.59	4.24	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	93.35	9.49	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	83.86	15.93	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	67.93	17.98	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	49.95	13.74	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	36.21	7.99	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	28.22	4.30	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	23.92	2.60	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	21.32	1.98	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	19.34	1.88	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	17.46	2.04	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	15.42	2.27	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	13.15	2.38	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	10.77	2.28	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	8.49	2.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	6.49	1.68	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	4.81	1.40	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	3.41	1.21									
0.2044	2.20	1.13									
0.1719	1.07	1.07									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]15	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]22	0.00	0.00									

00GRG

Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1 Sonicated


Number Distribution; UPA System

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-MU-R2D1SON

Date: 05/20/~~89~~
Time: 11:39

Meas #: 00027
Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.219		10% = .1536	60% = .2124	.1920	100%	.1613
mn = .2523		20% = .1608	70% = .2420			
ma = 1.156		30% = .1682	80% = .2902			
cs = 5.189		40% = .1777	90% = .3855			
sd = .0807		50% = .1920	95% = .4960			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00
5.500	100.00	0.00
4.625	100.00	0.01
3.889	99.99	0.03
3.270	99.96	0.07
2.750	99.89	0.14
2.313	99.75	0.18
1.945	99.57	0.18
1.635	99.39	0.16
1.375	99.23	0.16
1.156	99.07	0.21
0.9723	98.86	0.34
0.8176	98.52	0.61
0.6875	97.91	1.15
0.5781	96.76	2.03
0.4861	94.73	3.26
0.4088	91.47	4.81
0.3437	86.66	6.80
0.2891	79.86	9.53
0.2431	70.33	13.86
0.2044	56.47	21.78
0.1719	34.69	34.69
0.1445	0.00	0.00
0.1215	0.00	0.00
0.1022	0.00	0.00

SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
0.0859	0.00	0.00
0.0723	0.00	0.00
0.0608	0.00	0.00
0.0511	0.00	0.00
0.0430	0.00	0.00
0.0361	0.00	0.00
0.0304	0.00	0.00
0.0255	0.00	0.00
0.0215	0.00	0.00
0.0181	0.00	0.00
0.0152	0.00	0.00
0.0128	0.00	0.00
0.0107	0.00	0.00
0.0090	0.00	0.00
0.0076	0.00	0.00
0.0064	0.00	0.00
0.0054	0.00	0.00
0.0045	0.00	0.00
0.0038	0.00	0.00

SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN

SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN

Particle Size Distribution Plots
For Concentrated Slurry: Sample CUF-AZ-102-005
Replicate No: 1

Particle Size Analysis


00 GKG

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00152
Time: 17:28 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-05
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
40ml/s,
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles			Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.820	10% = 0.476	60% = 1.789		1.561	92%	4.267
mn = 0.326	20% = 0.855	70% = 2.556		0.287	8%	0.147
ma = 1.076	30% = 1.059	80% = 3.984				
cs = 5.575	40% = 1.235	90% = 6.826				
sd = 2.053	50% = 1.444	95% = 10.23				



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	93.96	2.10						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	91.86	2.52						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	89.34	2.94						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	86.40	3.30						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	83.10	3.61						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	79.49	3.83						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	75.66	3.95						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	71.71	4.13						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	67.58	4.77						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	62.81	6.44						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	56.37	9.27						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	47.10	11.52						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	35.58	10.31						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	25.27	6.69						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	18.58	3.86						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	14.72	2.49						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	12.23	2.00						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	10.23	1.91						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	8.32	1.99						
26.16	100.00	0.38	0.344	6.33	2.08						
22.00	99.62	0.59	0.289	4.25	1.94						
18.50	99.03	0.84	0.243	2.31	1.43						
15.56	98.19	1.11	0.204	0.88	0.88						
13.08	97.08	1.40	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	95.68	1.72	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00152
Time: 17:28 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-05
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
[illegible] l/s,
Number Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.820		10% = 0.187	60% = 0.271	0.979	7%	0.547
mn = 0.326		20% = 0.199	70% = 0.302	0.241	93%	0.151
ma = 1.076		30% = 0.212	80% = 0.356			
cs = 5.575		40% = 0.229	90% = 0.520			
sd = 0.100		50% = 0.248	95% = 0.834			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.01						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.99	0.02						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	99.97	0.03						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	99.94	0.05						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.89	0.09						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.80	0.20						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.60	0.50						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.10	1.04						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	98.06	1.56						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	96.50	1.70						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	94.80	1.65						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	93.15	1.79						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	91.36	2.42						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	88.94	3.88						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	85.06	6.80						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	78.26	11.99						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	66.27	18.79						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	47.48	23.24						
[illegible]56	100.00	0.00	0.204	24.24	24.24						
[illegible]08	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00156
Time: 17:39 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-05
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s,
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.845		10% = 0.434	60% = 1.676	5.101	33%	7.302
mn = 0.330		20% = 0.736	70% = 2.681	0.996	67%	1.050
ma = 1.002		30% = 0.935	80% = 4.310			
cs = 5.989		40% = 1.105	90% = 7.200			
sd = 2.285		50% = 1.310	95% = 10.58			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	93.50	2.31						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	91.19	2.79						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	88.40	3.25						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	85.15	3.61						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	81.54	3.80						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	77.74	3.75						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	73.99	3.50						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	70.49	3.27						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	67.22	3.46						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	63.76	4.49						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	59.27	6.78						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	52.49	9.68						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	42.81	10.65						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	32.16	8.49						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	23.67	5.60						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	18.07	3.70						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	14.37	2.78						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	11.59	2.41						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	9.18	2.33						
26.16	100.00	0.38	0.344	6.85	2.33						
22.00	99.62	0.61	0.289	4.52	2.10						
18.50	99.01	0.89	0.243	2.42	1.51						
15.56	98.12	1.20	0.204	0.91	0.91						
13.08	96.92	1.53	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	95.39	1.89	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 SRG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00156
Time: 17:39 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-05
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s,
nber Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.845		10% = 0.188	60% = 0.278	0.254	100%	0.226
mn = 0.330		20% = 0.201	70% = 0.313			
ma = 1.002		30% = 0.215	80% = 0.376			
cs = 5.989		40% = 0.233	90% = 0.558			
sd = 0.113		50% = 0.254	95% = 0.806			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.01						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.99	0.01						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	99.98	0.02						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	99.96	0.03						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.93	0.06						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.87	0.13						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.74	0.33						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.41	0.79						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	98.62	1.47						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	97.15	1.96						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	95.19	2.18						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	93.01	2.42						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	90.59	3.06						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	87.53	4.45						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	83.08	7.24						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	75.84	12.22						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	63.62	18.49						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	45.13	22.31						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	22.82	22.82						
[illegible]	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

Particle Size Analysis


∞ GRG

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00160
Time: 17:54 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-05
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, Sonicate 40 watts 90 seconds (1st sonication)
Number Distribution; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.925	10% = 0.187	60% = 0.280	0.255	100%	0.224
mn = 0.323	20% = 0.201	70% = 0.316			
ma = 0.956	30% = 0.215	80% = 0.378			
cs = 6.275	40% = 0.234	90% = 0.529			
sd = 0.112	50% = 0.255	95% = 0.731			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.01						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.99	0.01						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	99.98	0.02						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	99.96	0.03						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.93	0.05						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.88	0.10						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.78	0.24						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.54	0.56						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	98.98	1.07						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	97.91	1.62						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	96.29	2.06						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	94.23	2.58						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	91.65	3.46						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	88.19	5.03						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	83.16	7.80						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	75.36	12.43						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	62.93	18.14						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	44.79	21.95						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	22.84	22.84						
13.08	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00164
Time: 18:04 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-05
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, 2nd Sonication 40 watts 90 seconds
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.971		10% = 0.386	60% = 1.891	4.724	39%	7.125
mn = 0.320		20% = 0.632	70% = 3.092	0.864	61%	0.983
ma = 0.951		30% = 0.858	80% = 4.667			
cs = 6.312		40% = 1.065	90% = 7.574			
sd = 2.509		50% = 1.331	95% = 10.98			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	92.96	2.52						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	90.44	3.05						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	87.39	3.58						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	83.81	4.02						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	79.79	4.27						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	75.52	4.22						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	71.30	3.87						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	67.43	3.47						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	63.96	3.38						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	60.58	3.94						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	56.64	5.38						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	51.26	7.33						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	43.93	8.37						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	35.56	7.50						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	28.06	5.80						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	22.26	4.43						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	17.83	3.63						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	14.20	3.20						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	11.00	2.97						
26.16	100.00	0.39	0.344	8.03	2.79						
22.00	99.61	0.64	0.289	5.24	2.42						
18.50	98.97	0.97	0.243	2.82	1.74						
15.56	98.00	1.31	0.204	1.08	1.08						
13.08	96.69	1.67	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	95.02	2.06	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GR6

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05
Date: 05/19/~~89~~ Meas #: 00164
Time: 18:04 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-05
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, 2nd Sonication 40 watts 90 seconds
Number Distribution; x-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.971	10% = 0.187	60% = 0.279	0.254	100%	0.217
mn = 0.320	20% = 0.200	70% = 0.314			
ma = 0.951	30% = 0.215	80% = 0.373			
cs = 6.312	40% = 0.233	90% = 0.515			
sd = 0.109	50% = 0.254	95% = 0.708			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.01						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.99	0.01						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	99.98	0.02						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	99.96	0.03						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.93	0.05						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.88	0.10						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.78	0.22						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.56	0.51						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	99.05	0.98						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	98.07	1.48						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	96.59	1.93						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	94.66	2.48						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	92.18	3.41						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	88.77	5.05						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	83.72	7.89						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	75.83	12.50						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	63.33	18.21						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	45.12	21.97						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	23.15	23.15						
13.08	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

Particle Size Analysis


CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-05

Volume Distribution; UPA System

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05

Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00011
Time: 10:31 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles			Dia	Vol%	Width
mv	= 1.830	10% = 1.402	60% = 1.883		1.794	100%	.6983
mn	= 1.636	20% = 1.526	70% = 1.985				
ma	= 1.763	30% = 1.625	80% = 2.113				
cs	= 3.404	40% = 1.711	90% = 2.302				
sd	= .3491	50% = 1.794	95% = 2.482				



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	1.56	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	98.44	7.99	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	90.45	23.96	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	66.49	35.45	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	31.04	22.87	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	8.17	6.88	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	1.29	1.29	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	0.00	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	0.00	0.00	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	0.00	0.00	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	0.00	0.00	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	0.00	0.00	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	0.00	0.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	0.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]15	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]1022	0.00	0.00									

00GR6


Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05
Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00011
Time: 10:31 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-05

Number Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.830		10% = 1.236	60% = 1.679	1.598	100%	.6152
mn = 1.636		20% = 1.356	70% = 1.769			
ma = 1.763		30% = 1.443	80% = 1.876			
cs = 3.404		40% = 1.520	90% = 2.038			
sd = .3076		50% = 1.598	95% = 2.193			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.28	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	99.72	2.42	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	97.30	12.19	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	85.11	30.32	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	54.79	32.90	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	21.89	16.64	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	5.25	5.25	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	0.00	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	0.00	0.00	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	0.00	0.00	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	0.00	0.00	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	0.00	0.00	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	0.00	0.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	0.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
0.1215	0.00	0.00									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									

00 GR6


Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 SON
Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00017
Time: 10:59 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-05 Sonicated

Volume Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.078		10% = .3875	60% = 1.466	1.602	54%	.4211
mn = .4429		20% = .4342	70% = 1.570	.4479	46%	.1740
ma = .7249		30% = .4819	80% = 1.677			
cs = 8.277		40% = .5610	90% = 1.803			
sd = .6535		50% = 1.318	95% = 1.888			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	2.47	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	97.53	21.24	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	76.29	23.68	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	52.61	5.50	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	47.11	0.96	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	46.15	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	46.15	1.11	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	45.04	3.70	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	41.34	10.53	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	30.81	16.50	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	14.31	11.27	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	3.04	3.04	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]15	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]022	0.00	0.00									


00 GRG

Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 SON
Date: 05/20/~~89~~ Meas #: 00017
Time: 10:59 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-05 Sonicated
Number Distribution ; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.078		10% = .3288	60% = .4251	1.511	2%	.4253
mn = .4429		20% = .3512	70% = .4478	.4032	98%	.1407
ma = .7249		30% = .3698	80% = .4765			
cs = 8.277		40% = .3874	90% = .5256			
sd = .0741		50% = .4055	95% = .5858			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.04	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	99.96	0.62	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	99.34	1.17	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	98.17	0.46	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	97.71	0.13	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	97.58	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	97.58	0.44	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	97.14	2.46	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	94.68	11.78	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	82.90	31.05	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	51.85	35.67	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	16.18	16.18	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
0.1215	0.00	0.00									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									

Particle Size Distribution Plots
For Concentrated Slurry: Sample CUF-AZ-102-005 DUP
Replicate No: 2


00 GRR

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP
Date: 05/18/~~89~~ Meas #: 00036
Time: 14:11 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-05 DUP
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
40 mL/s
Volume Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 3.237	10% = 0.510	60% = 1.860	6.236	27%	8.730
mn = 0.329	20% = 0.869	70% = 2.726	1.271	66%	1.194
ma = 1.115	30% = 1.074	80% = 4.718	0.286	7%	0.148
cs = 5.380	40% = 1.262	90% = 7.374			
sd = 2.418	50% = 1.491	95% = 11.62			




SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	93.08	2.19						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	90.89	3.22						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	87.67	4.07						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	83.60	4.04						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	79.56	3.40						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	76.16	2.96						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	73.20	3.03						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	70.17	3.68						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	66.49	4.93						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	61.56	6.87						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	54.69	9.32						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	45.37	10.93						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	34.44	9.79						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	24.65	6.65						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	18.00	3.97						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	14.03	2.56						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	11.47	1.98						
37.00	100.00	0.50	0.486	9.49	1.81						
31.11	99.50	0.50	0.409	7.68	1.83						
26.16	99.00	0.58	0.344	5.85	1.89						
22.00	98.42	0.72	0.289	3.96	1.78						
18.50	97.70	0.87	0.243	2.18	1.35						
15.56	96.83	1.03	0.204	0.83	0.83						
13.08	95.80	1.20	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	94.60	1.52	0.145	0.00	0.00						

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP
Date: 05/18/~~89~~ Meas #: 00036
Time: 14:11 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-05 DUP
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
40 mL/s
mber Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 3.237		10% = 0.187	60% = 0.271	0.248	100%	0.210
mn = 0.329		20% = 0.199	70% = 0.304			
ma = 1.115		30% = 0.212	80% = 0.361			
cs = 5.380		40% = 0.229	90% = 0.543			
sd = 0.105		50% = 0.248	95% = 0.849			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.01						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.99	0.01						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.98	0.01						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	99.97	0.02						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	99.95	0.04						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.91	0.10						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.81	0.23						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.58	0.53						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.05	1.05						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	98.00	1.58						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	96.42	1.80						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	94.62	1.81						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	92.81	1.97						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	90.84	2.55						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	88.29	3.92						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	84.37	6.66						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	77.71	11.61						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	66.10	18.36						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	47.74	23.37						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	24.37	24.37						
[illegible]	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GRAB

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP
Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00040
Time: 14:22 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-05 DUP
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 3.254		10% = 0.461	60% = 1.803	5.949	31%	8.122
mn = 0.336		20% = 0.752	70% = 2.966	1.031	69%	1.184
ma = 1.044		30% = 0.951	80% = 5.041			
cs = 5.747		40% = 1.138	90% = 7.604			
sd = 2.605		50% = 1.375	95% = 11.88			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	92.78	2.37						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	90.41	3.56						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	86.85	4.55						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	82.30	4.42						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	77.88	3.53						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	74.35	2.84						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	71.51	2.69						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	68.82	3.02						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	65.80	3.78						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	62.02	5.05						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	56.97	6.97						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	50.00	9.08						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	40.92	9.77						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	31.15	8.14						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	23.01	5.65						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	17.36	3.80						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	13.56	2.79						
37.00	100.00	0.44	0.486	10.77	2.34						
31.11	99.56	0.49	0.409	8.43	2.18						
26.16	99.07	0.59	0.344	6.25	2.14						
22.00	98.48	0.75	0.289	4.11	1.93						
18.50	97.73	0.94	0.243	2.18	1.38						
15.56	96.79	1.10	0.204	0.80	0.80						
13.08	95.69	1.28	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	94.41	1.63	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GRB

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP
Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00040
Time: 14:22 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-05 DUP
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s
Number Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 3.254		10% = 0.188	60% = 0.282	0.256	100%	0.242
mn = 0.336		20% = 0.202	70% = 0.319			
ma = 1.044		30% = 0.217	80% = 0.387			
cs = 5.747		40% = 0.236	90% = 0.581			
sd = 0.121		50% = 0.256	95% = 0.817			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.01						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.99	0.01						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.98	0.01						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	99.97	0.02						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	99.95	0.03						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.92	0.07						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.85	0.16						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.69	0.37						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.32	0.81						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	98.51	1.46						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	97.05	2.04						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	95.01	2.38						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	92.63	2.70						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	89.93	3.33						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	86.60	4.69						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	81.91	7.36						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	74.55	12.18						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	62.37	18.45						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	43.92	22.15						
[illegible]	100.00	0.00	0.204	21.77	21.77						
[illegible]	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GR6

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP
Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00044
Time: 14:35 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-05 DUP
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s, Sonicated 40 W, 90 sec (1st Sonication)
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 3.486		10% = 0.396	60% = 2.058	5.977	34%	8.595
mn = 0.327		20% = 0.642	70% = 3.551	0.938	66%	1.291
ma = 0.988		30% = 0.874	80% = 5.433			
cs = 6.076		40% = 1.108	90% = 8.094			
sd = 2.836		50% = 1.434	95% = 13.21			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	91.84	2.52						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	89.32	3.89						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	85.43	5.06						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	80.37	4.88						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	75.49	3.83						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	71.66	3.05						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	68.61	2.89						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	65.72	3.22						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	62.50	3.81						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	58.69	4.57						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	54.12	5.58						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	48.54	6.76						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	41.78	7.40						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	34.38	6.89						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	27.49	5.62						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	21.87	4.45						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	17.42	3.67						
37.00	100.00	0.53	0.486	13.75	3.22						
31.11	99.47	0.59	0.409	10.53	2.96						
26.16	98.88	0.72	0.344	7.57	2.76						
22.00	98.16	0.90	0.289	4.81	2.35						
18.50	97.26	1.10	0.243	2.46	1.58						
15.56	96.16	1.23	0.204	0.88	0.88						
13.08	94.93	1.38	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	93.55	1.71	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GRGS

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP
Date: 05/18/~~89~~ Meas #: 00044
Time: 14:35 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-05 DUP
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s, Sonicated 40 W, 90 sec (1st Sonication)
mber Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 3.486		10% = 0.189	60% = 0.287	0.261	100%	0.226
mn = 0.327		20% = 0.204	70% = 0.323			
ma = 0.988		30% = 0.221	80% = 0.384			
cs = 6.076		40% = 0.240	90% = 0.526			
sd = 0.113		50% = 0.261	95% = 0.711			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.01						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.99	0.01						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.98	0.01						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	99.97	0.02						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	99.95	0.03						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.92	0.06						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.86	0.12						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.74	0.25						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.49	0.51						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	98.98	0.94						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	98.04	1.47						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	96.57	2.01						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	94.56	2.69						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	91.87	3.72						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	88.15	5.48						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	82.67	8.47						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	74.20	13.33						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	60.87	19.06						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	41.81	21.50						
[illegible]56	100.00	0.00	0.204	20.31	20.31						
[illegible]8	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GRG

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP
Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00048
Time: 14:46 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-05 DUP
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s, 2nd Sonication 40 W, 90 sec
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 3.528		10% = 0.389	60% = 2.134	5.973	35%	8.596
mn = 0.323		20% = 0.635	70% = 3.668	0.935	65%	1.320
ma = 0.986		30% = 0.876	80% = 5.502			
cs = 6.084		40% = 1.123	90% = 8.176			
sd = 2.870		50% = 1.472	95% = 13.38			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	91.70	2.55						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	89.15	3.97						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	85.18	5.19						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	79.99	5.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	74.99	3.89						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	71.10	3.09						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	68.01	2.96						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	65.05	3.31						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	61.74	3.90						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	57.84	4.60						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	53.24	5.51						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	47.73	6.54						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	41.19	7.10						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	34.09	6.58						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	27.51	5.41						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	22.10	4.34						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	17.76	3.65						
37.00	100.00	0.52	0.486	14.11	3.26						
31.11	99.48	0.59	0.409	10.85	3.04						
26.16	98.89	0.73	0.344	7.81	2.84						
22.00	98.16	0.93	0.289	4.97	2.41						
18.50	97.23	1.13	0.243	2.56	1.64						
15.56	96.10	1.27	0.204	0.92	0.92						
13.08	94.83	1.40	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	93.43	1.73	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP

Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00048
Time: 14:46 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-05 DUP
In AZ-102-05 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s, 2nd Sonication 40 W, 90 sec
Number Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 3.528	10% = 0.189	60% = 0.285	0.259	100%	0.219
mn = 0.323	20% = 0.203	70% = 0.320			
ma = 0.986	30% = 0.220	80% = 0.378			
cs = 6.084	40% = 0.238	90% = 0.513			
sd = 0.109	50% = 0.259	95% = 0.694			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.01						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	99.99	0.01						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	99.98	0.01						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	99.97	0.02						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	99.95	0.03						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.92	0.06						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.86	0.12						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.74	0.24						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.50	0.48						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	99.02	0.88						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	98.14	1.36						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	96.78	1.89						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	94.89	2.55						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	92.34	3.61						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	88.73	5.40						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	83.33	8.48						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	74.85	13.36						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	61.49	19.05						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	42.44	21.75						
[illegible]56	100.00	0.00	0.204	20.69	20.69						
[illegible]3	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRG

Meas #: 00013
Pres #: 01

	Vol%	Width
.82	96%	.9169
.65	4%	.1483

rticle Size Analysis


CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP


Date: 05/20/~~89~~ Meas #: 00013
Time: 10:42 Pres #: 01

UP

ibution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.673		10% = .2615	60% = .3684	1.310	20%	.8324
mn = .5358		20% = .2759	70% = .4282	.3108	80%	.1425
ma = 1.365		30% = .2911	80% = .6642			
cs = 4.396		40% = .3104	90% = 1.300			
sd = .3385		50% = .3346	95% = 1.694			





%PASS	%CHAN

CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
.01	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
.05	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
.28	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
.17	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
.90	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
.20	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
.99	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
.01	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
.17	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
.81	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
.20	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
.61	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
97	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
.36	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
.38	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
.89	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
00									
00									
00									
00									
00									
00									


00 GRG

Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-05DUP SON
Volume Distribution; UPA System

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP SON
Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00015
Time: 10:53 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = .8834		10% = .6909	60% = .9137	.8743	100%	.3138
mn = .8014		20% = .7492	70% = .9575			
ma = .8559		30% = .7953	80% = 1.012			
cs = 7.010		40% = .8359	90% = 1.095			
sd = .1569		50% = .8743	95% = 1.158			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	100.00	0.00	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	100.00	0.00	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	100.00	5.08	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	94.92	21.73	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	73.19	37.94	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	35.25	25.77	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	9.48	7.90	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	1.58	1.58	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	0.00	0.00	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	0.00	0.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	0.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]15	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]022	0.00	0.00									

00 GR6


Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-05DUP SON

Number Distribution; UPA System

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-05 DUP SON
Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00015
Time: 10:53 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles			Dia	Vol%	Width
mv	= .8834	10%	= .6106	60% = .8251	.7866	100%	.2937
mn	= .8014	20%	= .6695	70% = .8679			
ma	= .8559	30%	= .7126	80% = .9181			
cs	= 7.010	40%	= .7499	90% = .9899			
sd	= .1469	50%	= .7866	95% = 1.055			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	100.00	0.00	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	100.00	0.00	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	100.00	1.43	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	98.57	10.26	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	88.31	30.14	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	58.17	34.44	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	23.73	17.76	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	5.97	5.97	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	0.00	0.00	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	0.00	0.00	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	0.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
0.1215	0.00	0.00									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									

Particle Size Distribution Plots
For Final Slurry: Sample CUF-AZ-102-024
Replicate No: 1


00 GRG

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24
Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00132
Time: 16:16 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-24
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
40ml/s
Volume Distribution – X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.531		10% = 0.305	60% = 1.149	6.525	9%	7.377
mn = 0.314		20% = 0.432	70% = 1.299	1.112	69%	0.789
ma = 0.700		30% = 0.667	80% = 1.531	0.319	22%	0.179
cs = 8.568		40% = 0.876	90% = 2.611			
sd = 0.666		50% = 1.019	95% = 5.789			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	97.69	0.91						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	96.78	1.02						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	95.76	1.08						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	94.68	1.08						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	93.60	1.06						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	92.54	1.05						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	91.49	1.12						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	90.37	1.37						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	89.00	2.13						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	86.87	4.18						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	82.69	8.53						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	74.16	13.65						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	60.51	14.10						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	46.41	9.74						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	36.67	5.88						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	30.79	4.15						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	26.64	3.81						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	22.83	4.24						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	18.59	4.94						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	13.65	5.26						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	8.39	4.44						
18.50	100.00	0.37	0.243	3.95	2.67						
15.56	99.63	0.51	0.204	1.28	1.28						
13.08	99.12	0.65	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	98.47	0.78	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GRG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24

Date: 05/19/~~89~~ Meas #: 00132
Time: 16:16 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-24
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
40ml/s
mber Distributi on; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.531		10% = 0.192	60% = 0.283	0.261	100%	0.179
mn = 0.314		20% = 0.207	70% = 0.311			
ma = 0.700		30% = 0.224	80% = 0.353			
cs = 8.568		40% = 0.242	90% = 0.454			
sd = 0.090		50% = 0.261	95% = 0.657			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	100.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	100.00	0.00						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	100.00	0.01						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.99	0.02						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.97	0.07						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.90	0.24						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.66	0.63						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	99.03	1.10						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	97.93	1.27						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	96.66	1.29						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	95.37	1.64						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	93.83	2.38						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	91.45	4.44						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	87.01	8.70						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	78.31	15.63						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	62.68	22.15						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	40.53	22.36						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	18.17	18.17						
	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

oo GRG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00136
Time: 16:33 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-24
in AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles			Dia	Vol%	Width
mv	= 1.432	10% = 0.302	60% = 1.106		6.488	7%	7.258
mn	= 0.314	20% = 0.425	70% = 1.244		1.081	69%	0.738
ma	= 0.683	30% = 0.650	80% = 1.443		0.318	24%	0.178
cs	= 8.783	40% = 0.849	90% = 2.197				
sd	= 0.609	50% = 0.985	95% = 5.147				



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	98.02	0.80						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	97.22	0.90						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	96.32	0.95						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	95.37	0.96						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	94.41	0.94						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	93.47	0.92						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	92.55	0.96						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	91.59	1.16						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	90.43	1.79						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	88.64	3.57						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	85.07	7.74						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	77.33	13.44						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	63.89	14.98						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	48.91	10.84						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	38.07	6.51						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	31.56	4.40						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	27.16	3.88						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	23.28	4.25						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	19.03	4.98						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	14.05	5.40						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	8.65	4.60						
18.50	100.00	0.31	0.243	4.05	2.75						
15.56	99.69	0.43	0.204	1.30	1.30						
13.08	99.26	0.56	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	98.70	0.68	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 GKG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24

Date: 05/19/~~89~~ Meas #: 00140
Time: 16:45 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-24
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, 1st Sonication, 40 W, 90 sec
ume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.326	10% = 0.251	60% = 0.802	6.032	10%	7.654
mn = 0.244	20% = 0.320	70% = 0.963	0.862	51%	0.637
ma = 0.504	30% = 0.399	80% = 1.187	0.314	39%	0.201
cs = 11.91	40% = 0.509	90% = 2.532			
sd = 0.528	50% = 0.649	95% = 6.203			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	97.47	0.99						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	96.48	1.12						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	95.36	1.18						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	94.18	1.15						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	93.03	1.04						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	91.99	0.89						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	91.10	0.76						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	90.34	0.72						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	89.62	0.87						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	88.75	1.41						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	87.34	2.82						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	84.52	5.52						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	79.00	8.48						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	70.52	9.47						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	61.05	8.53						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	52.52	7.39						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	45.13	6.94						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	38.19	7.18						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	31.01	7.70						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	23.31	7.79						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	15.52	6.54						
18.50	100.00	0.40	0.243	8.98	4.11						
15.56	99.60	0.57	0.204	4.87	2.26						
13	99.03	0.71	0.172	2.61	1.42						
11.00	98.32	0.85	0.145	1.19	1.19						

00 GRG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24

Date: 05/19/89 Meas #: 00140
Time: 16:45 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-24
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, 1st Sonication, 40 W, 90 sec
Number Distribution – X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.326		10% = 0.134	60% = 0.236	0.257	66%	0.177
mn = 0.244		20% = 0.145	70% = 0.266	0.144	34%	0.029
ma = 0.504		30% = 0.163	80% = 0.306			
cs = 11.91		40% = 0.185	90% = 0.380			
sd = 0.094		50% = 0.209	95% = 0.479			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	100.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	100.00	0.00						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	100.00	0.00						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	100.00	0.00						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	100.00	0.01						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.99	0.03						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.96	0.11						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	99.85	0.28						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	99.57	0.53						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	99.04	0.80						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	98.24	1.17						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	97.07	1.84						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	95.23	3.20						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	92.03	5.77						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	86.26	9.85						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	76.41	13.89						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	62.52	14.65						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	47.87	13.66						
13.08	100.00	0.00	0.172	34.21	14.23						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	19.98	19.98						

00 GKG


Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24

Date: 05/19/~~89~~ Meas #: 00144
Time: 16:55 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-24
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, 2st Sonication, 40 W, 90 sec
ume Distribution - X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.358		10% = 0.246	60% = 0.790	6.038	11%	7.787
mn = 0.238		20% = 0.315	70% = 0.959	0.856	50%	0.644
ma = 0.496		30% = 0.392	80% = 1.198	0.312	39%	0.204
cs = 12.11		40% = 0.498	90% = 2.883			
sd = 0.545		50% = 0.635	95% = 6.468			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	97.27	1.03						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	96.24	1.16						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	95.08	1.23						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	93.85	1.21						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	92.64	1.10						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	91.54	0.94						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	90.60	0.81						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	89.79	0.76						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	89.03	0.90						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	88.13	1.42						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	86.71	2.75						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	83.96	5.26						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	78.70	7.99						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	70.71	8.99						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	61.72	8.28						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	53.44	7.35						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	46.09	7.02						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	39.07	7.29						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	31.78	7.77						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	24.01	7.81						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	16.20	6.58						
18.50	100.00	0.44	0.243	9.62	4.22						
15.56	99.56	0.62	0.204	5.40	2.42						
[illegible]8	98.94	0.77	0.172	2.98	1.59						
[illegible]0	98.17	0.90	0.145	1.39	1.39						

Particle Size Analysis


00 Cells

CUF AZ-102 Waste
Sample: CUF- AZ102-24
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60ml/s, 2st Sonication, 40 W, 90 sec
Number Distribution; X-100 System

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24

Date: 05/19/~~89~~ Meas #: 00144
Time: 16:55 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.358		10% = 0.133	60% = 0.230	0.255	63%	0.176
mn = 0.238		20% = 0.143	70% = 0.260	0.143	37%	0.029
ma = 0.496		30% = 0.158	80% = 0.300			
cs = 12.11		40% = 0.178	90% = 0.373			
sd = 0.092		50% = 0.203	95% = 0.467			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	100.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	100.00	0.00						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	100.00	0.00						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	100.00	0.00						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	100.00	0.01						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.99	0.03						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.96	0.10						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	99.86	0.25						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	99.61	0.47						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	99.14	0.73						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	98.41	1.09						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	97.32	1.75						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	95.57	3.05						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	92.52	5.46						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	87.06	9.26						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	77.80	13.11						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	64.69	14.11						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	50.58	13.72						
13.08	100.00	0.00	0.172	36.86	14.95						
11.00	100.00	0.00	0.145	21.91	21.91						


00 GRG

Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA CUF-AZ102-24
Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00006
Time: 09:56 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-24
Volume Distribution; GRG ~~Recycle~~ UPA System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.434	10% = .4871	60% = 2.863	2.826	83%	1.658
mn = .2155	20% = 1.354	70% = 3.097	.4113	17%	.5335
ma = 1.209	30% = 2.050	80% = 3.372			
cs = 4.962	40% = 2.382	90% = 3.762			
sd = 1.307	50% = 2.634	95% = 4.099			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	1.44	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	98.56	6.22	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	92.34	15.68	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	76.66	21.65	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	55.01	17.52	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	37.49	9.83	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	27.66	4.84	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	22.82	2.64	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	20.18	1.82	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	18.36	1.59	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	16.77	1.61	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	15.16	1.70	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	13.46	1.75	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	11.71	1.73	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	9.98	1.65	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	8.33	1.53	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	6.80	1.41	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	5.39	1.30	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	4.09	1.22									
0.2044	2.87	1.17									
0.1719	1.70	1.17									
0.1445	0.53	0.53									
[illegible]215	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]022	0.00	0.00									


Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA CUF-AZ102-24
Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00006
Time: 09:56 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-24

Number Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.434		10% = .1335	60% = .1834	.1695	100%	.1227
mn = .2155		20% = .1423	70% = .2050			
ma = 1.209		30% = .1507	80% = .2398			
cs = 4.962		40% = .1595	90% = .3140			
sd = .0613		50% = .1695	95% = .4098			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.01	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	99.99	0.03	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	99.96	0.08	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	99.88	0.11	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	99.77	0.10	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	99.67	0.08	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	99.59	0.08	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	99.51	0.09	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	99.42	0.13	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	99.29	0.23	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	99.06	0.40	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	98.66	0.69	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	97.97	1.15	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	96.82	1.85	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	94.97	2.88	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	92.09	4.46	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	87.63	6.91	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	80.72	10.91									
0.2044	69.81	17.61									
0.1719	52.20	29.63									
0.1445	22.57	22.57									
0.1215	0.00	0.00									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									

Particle Size Analysis


CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-24 Sonicated

Volume Distribution; UPA System

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24 SON

Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00009
Time: 10:14 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.409		10% = .3156	60% = 1.119	4.228	15%	.8392
mn = .3173		20% = .5968	70% = 1.220	1.044	67%	.4532
ma = .7587		30% = .8394	80% = 1.384	.3018	18%	.1621
cs = 7.908		40% = .9497	90% = 4.075			
sd = .5947		50% = 1.035	95% = 4.421			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	2.70	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	97.30	9.74	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	87.56	3.04	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	84.52	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	84.52	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	84.52	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	84.52	0.38	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	84.14	4.41	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	79.73	15.60	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	64.13	21.72	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	42.41	14.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	28.41	6.04	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	22.37	2.77	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	19.60	1.94	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	17.66	2.24	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	15.42	3.32	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	12.10	4.39	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	7.71	4.08	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	3.63	2.53									
0.2044	1.10	1.10									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]15	0.00	0.00									
[illegible]22	0.00	0.00									

00 GKS


Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24 SON
Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00009
Time: 10:14 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-24 Sonicated

Number Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.409		10% = .1920	60% = .2751	.9029	8%	.4337
mn = .3173		20% = .2075	70% = .2996	.2490	92%	.1260
ma = .7687		30% = .2229	80% = .3374			
cs = 7.908		40% = .2389	90% = .4558			
sd = .0806		50% = .2558	95% = .8247			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.01	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	99.99	0.01	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	99.98	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	99.98	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	99.98	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	99.98	0.01	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	99.97	0.14	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	99.83	0.84	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	98.99	1.96	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	97.03	2.13	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	94.90	1.54	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	93.36	1.19	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	92.17	1.40	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	90.77	2.72	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	88.05	6.78	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	81.27	15.09	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	66.18	23.58	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	42.60	24.61									
0.2044	17.99	17.99									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
0.1215	0.00	0.00									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									

Particle Size Distribution Plots
For Final Slurry: CUF-AZ-102-024 DUP
Replicate No: 2


00 G Kg

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24 DUP
Date: 05/18/~~89~~ Meas #: 00020
Time: 13:14 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-24 DUP
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
40 mL/s
Volume Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.739		10% = 0.329	60% = 1.340	6.505	23%	7.417
mn = 0.316		20% = 0.528	70% = 1.686	1.103	58%	0.823
ma = 0.824		30% = 0.792	80% = 4.448	0.319	19%	0.182
cs = 7.284		40% = 0.979	90% = 6.967			
sd = 2.538		50% = 1.144	95% = 9.857			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	94.45	2.23						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	92.22	3.71						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	88.51	4.54						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	83.97	3.44						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	80.53	1.85						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	78.68	1.05						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	77.63	0.90						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	76.73	1.21						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	75.52	2.19						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	73.33	4.26						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	69.07	7.58						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	61.49	10.79						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	50.70	11.10						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	39.60	8.39						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	31.21	5.50						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	25.71	3.91						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	21.80	3.39						
37.00	100.00	0.46	0.486	18.41	3.50						
31.11	99.54	0.43	0.409	14.91	3.89						
26.16	99.11	0.45	0.344	11.02	4.14						
22.00	98.66	0.53	0.289	6.88	3.60						
18.50	98.13	0.65	0.243	3.28	2.22						
15.56	97.48	0.77	0.204	1.06	1.06						
13.08	96.71	0.94	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	95.77	1.32	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 9K5

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24 DUP
Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00020
Time: 13:14 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-24 DUP
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
40 mL/s
mber Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.739		10% = 0.191	60% = 0.282	0.260	100%	0.185
mn = 0.316		20% = 0.207	70% = 0.310			
ma = 0.824		30% = 0.224	80% = 0.356			
cs = 7.284		40% = 0.241	90% = 0.468			
sd = 0.092		50% = 0.260	95% = 0.678			




SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	100.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	100.00	0.00						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	100.00	0.01						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.99	0.03						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.96	0.09						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.87	0.25						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.62	0.61						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	99.01	1.06						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	97.95	1.34						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	96.61	1.48						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	95.13	1.77						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	93.36	2.58						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	90.78	4.47						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	86.31	8.36						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	77.95	15.00						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	62.95	21.91						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	41.04	22.68						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	18.36	18.36						
[illegible]	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24 DUP
Date: 05/18/~~89~~ Meas #: 00024
Time: 13:26 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-24 DUP
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.362		10% = 0.307	60% = 1.127	6.065	21%	6.679
mn = 0.315		20% = 0.436	70% = 1.403	0.936	61%	0.804
ma = 0.717		30% = 0.622	80% = 3.073	0.298	18%	0.136
cs = 8.365		40% = 0.798	90% = 6.130			
sd = 2.188		50% = 0.954	95% = 8.197			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	95.90	1.45						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	94.45	2.90						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	91.55	4.31						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	87.24	3.74						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	83.50	2.08						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	81.42	1.11						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	80.31	0.84						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	79.47	0.98						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	78.49	1.54						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	76.95	2.73						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	74.22	4.89						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	69.33	7.91						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	61.42	10.26						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	51.16	9.91						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	41.25	7.74						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	33.51	5.79						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	27.72	4.80						
37.00	100.00	0.44	0.486	22.92	4.62						
31.11	99.56	0.40	0.409	18.30	4.91						
26.16	99.16	0.41	0.344	13.39	5.14						
22.00	98.75	0.45	0.289	8.25	4.42						
18.50	98.30	0.50	0.243	3.83	2.64						
15.56	97.80	0.53	0.204	1.19	1.19						
13.08	97.27	0.58	0.172	0.00	0.00						
11.00	96.69	0.79	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 GKS

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-24 DUP
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s
...mber Distribution; X-100 System

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24 DUP
Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00024
Time: 13:26 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.362		10% = 0.193	60% = 0.286	0.263	100%	0.190
mn = 0.315		20% = 0.209	70% = 0.315			
ma = 0.717		30% = 0.227	80% = 0.362			
cs = 8.365		40% = 0.244	90% = 0.478			
sd = 0.095		50% = 0.263	95% = 0.653			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	100.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	100.00	0.00						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	100.00	0.01						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	99.99	0.02						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.97	0.05						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.92	0.14						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.78	0.37						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	99.41	0.81						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	98.60	1.31						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	97.29	1.72						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	95.57	2.16						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	93.41	3.01						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	90.40	4.87						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	85.53	8.70						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	76.83	15.37						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	61.46	22.20						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	39.26	22.25						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	17.01	17.01						
[illegible]8	100.00	0.00	0.172	0.00	0.00						
[illegible]00	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 G1C4

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24 DUP
Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00028
Time: 13:41 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-24 DUP
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s; Sonicated at 40 W, 90 sec (1st Sonication)
Volume Distribution; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 3.061	10% = 0.277	60% = 1.034		23.05	7%	17.86
mn = 0.282	20% = 0.357	70% = 1.375		5.719	12%	3.524
ma = 0.613	30% = 0.461	80% = 2.563		1.015	42%	0.881
cs = 9.790	40% = 0.608	90% = 7.161		0.349	39%	0.243
sd = 2.274	50% = 0.801	95% = 18.37				




SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	91.95	1.10						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	90.85	2.05						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	88.80	2.95						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	86.85	2.50						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	83.35	1.40						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	81.95	0.82						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	81.13	0.75						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	80.38	1.08						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	79.30	1.86						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	77.44	3.04						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	74.40	4.41						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	69.99	5.74						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	64.25	6.66						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	57.59	6.81						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	50.78	6.43						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	44.35	6.13						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	38.22	6.20						
37.00	100.00	1.65	0.486	32.02	6.56						
31.11	98.35	1.23	0.409	25.46	6.94						
26.16	97.12	1.07	0.344	18.52	6.88						
22.00	96.05	1.01	0.289	11.64	5.67						
18.50	95.04	0.93	0.243	5.97	3.41						
15.56	94.11	0.79	0.204	2.56	1.70						
13.08	93.32	0.66	0.172	0.86	0.86						
11.00	92.66	0.71	0.145	0.00	0.00						

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24 DUP
Date: 05/18/09 Meas #: 00028
Time: 13:41 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-24 DUP
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s; Sonicated at 40 W, 90 sec (1st Sonication)
mber Distribution; X-100 System

Summary	Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 3.061	10% = 0.166	60% = 0.269	0.246	100%	0.186
mn = 0.282	20% = 0.184	70% = 0.298			
ma = 0.613	30% = 0.205	80% = 0.339			
cs = 9.790	40% = 0.225	90% = 0.420			
sd = 0.093	50% = 0.246	95% = 0.524			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	100.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	100.00	0.00						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	100.00	0.00						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	100.00	0.01						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.99	0.03						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.96	0.08						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.88	0.18						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	99.70	0.35						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	99.35	0.69						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	98.76	0.94						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	97.82	1.52						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	96.30	2.57						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	93.73	4.57						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	89.16	8.14						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	81.02	13.61						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	67.41	18.84						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	48.57	19.02						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	29.55	16.07						
[illegible]8	100.00	0.00	0.172	13.48	13.48						
[illegible]0	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						


00 Calc

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24 DUP
Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00032
Time: 13:51 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-24 DUP
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s; Sonicated at 40 W, 90 sec (2nd Sonication)
Volume Distribution ; X-100 System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.965		10% = 0.282	60% = 1.033	22.54	7%	17.80
mn = 0.286		20% = 0.365	70% = 1.365	5.730	12%	3.531
ma = 0.622		30% = 0.472	80% = 2.424	1.010	43%	0.875
cs = 9.650		40% = 0.619	90% = 6.971	0.354	38%	0.24
sd = 2.209		50% = 0.807	95% = 17.23			




SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	92.30	1.11						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	91.19	2.06						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	89.13	2.96						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	86.17	2.49						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	83.68	1.39						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	82.29	0.81						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	81.48	0.75						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	80.73	1.08						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	79.65	1.88						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	77.77	3.08						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	74.69	4.46						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	70.23	5.84						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	64.39	6.83						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	57.56	7.04						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	50.52	6.68						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	43.84	6.36						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	37.48	6.37						
37.00	100.00	1.47	0.486	31.11	6.64						
31.11	98.53	1.14	0.409	24.47	6.89						
26.16	97.39	1.02	0.344	17.58	6.68						
22.00	96.37	0.98	0.289	10.90	5.37						
18.50	95.39	0.92	0.243	5.53	3.16						
15.56	94.47	0.78	0.204	2.37	1.56						
13.08	93.69	0.67	0.172	0.81	0.81						
11.00	93.02	0.72	0.145	0.00	0.00						

Particle Size Analysis

AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24 DUP
Date: 05/18/89 Meas #: 00032
Time: 13:51 Pres #: 01

AZ-102 Waste
SampleCUF- AZ102-24 DUP
In AZ-102-24 Simulated Supernatant
60 mL/s; Sonicated at 40 W, 90 sec – 2nd Sonication
GRA Final Distribution; X-100 System
Number

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 2.965		10% = 0.166	60% = 0.273	0.249	100%	0.193
mn = 0.286		20% = 0.185	70% = 0.302			
ma = 0.622		30% = 0.206	80% = 0.345			
cs = 9.650		40% = 0.228	90% = 0.430			
sd = 0.097		50% = 0.249	95% = 0.538			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
704.0	100.00	0.00	9.250	100.00	0.00						
592.0	100.00	0.00	7.778	100.00	0.00						
497.8	100.00	0.00	6.541	100.00	0.00						
418.6	100.00	0.00	5.500	100.00	0.00						
352.0	100.00	0.00	4.625	100.00	0.00						
296.0	100.00	0.00	3.889	100.00	0.00						
248.9	100.00	0.00	3.270	100.00	0.00						
209.3	100.00	0.00	2.750	100.00	0.00						
176.0	100.00	0.00	2.312	100.00	0.01						
148.0	100.00	0.00	1.945	99.99	0.04						
124.5	100.00	0.00	1.635	99.95	0.09						
104.7	100.00	0.00	1.375	99.86	0.19						
88.00	100.00	0.00	1.156	99.67	0.37						
74.00	100.00	0.00	0.972	99.30	0.64						
62.23	100.00	0.00	0.818	98.66	1.03						
52.33	100.00	0.00	0.688	97.63	1.65						
44.00	100.00	0.00	0.578	95.98	2.78						
37.00	100.00	0.00	0.486	93.20	4.86						
31.11	100.00	0.00	0.409	88.34	8.48						
26.16	100.00	0.00	0.344	79.86	13.86						
22.00	100.00	0.00	0.289	66.00	18.71						
18.50	100.00	0.00	0.243	47.29	18.49						
15.56	100.00	0.00	0.204	28.80	15.48						
[illegible]	100.00	0.00	0.172	13.32	13.32						
[illegible]	100.00	0.00	0.145	0.00	0.00						

00 9109


Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-24 DUP
Volume Distribution; UPA System

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA CUF-AZ102-24DUP

Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00004
Time: 09:44 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = 1.457		10% = .1731	60% = 1.696	1.614	89%	1.425
mn = .0343		20% = .6160	70% = 1.889	.1633	3%	.0554
ma = .3630		30% = 1.053	80% = 2.122	.0783	4%	.0452
cs = 16.53		40% = 1.313	90% = 2.485	.0341	4%	.0178
sd = .9156		50% = 1.512	95% = 2.837			




SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	6.76	0.88						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	5.88	0.84						
4.625	100.00	0.63	0.0608	5.04	0.79						
3.889	99.37	1.56	0.0511	4.25	0.82						
3.270	97.81	3.70	0.0430	3.43	0.95						
2.750	94.11	8.03	0.0361	2.48	1.05						
2.313	86.08	13.41	0.0304	1.43	0.91						
1.945	72.67	15.99	0.0255	0.52	0.52						
1.635	56.68	13.76	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	42.92	9.42	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	33.50	5.86	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	27.64	3.72	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	23.92	2.59	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	21.33	2.03	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	19.30	1.75	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	17.55	1.60	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	15.95	1.47	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	14.48	1.31	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	13.17	1.15	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	12.02	1.01									
0.2044	11.01	1.05									
0.1719	9.96	0.91									
0.1445	9.05	0.72									
0.1215	8.33	0.74									
0.1022	7.59	0.83									

Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA CUF-AZ102-24DUP
Date: 05/20/89 Meas #: 00004
Time: 09:44 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-24 DUP
Number Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles			Dia	Vol%	Width
mv	= 1.457	10% = .0232	60% = .0312		.0292	100%	.0167
mn	= .0343	20% = .0246	70% = .0340				
ma	= .3630	30% = .0259	80% = .0381				
cs	= 16.53	40% = .0275	90% = .0474				
sd	= .0083	50% = .0292	95% = .0605				



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	98.29	1.23						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	97.06	1.96						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	95.10	3.12						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	91.98	5.42						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	86.56	10.59						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	75.97	19.74						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	56.23	28.57						
1.945	100.00	0.00	0.0255	27.66	27.66						
1.635	100.00	0.00	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	100.00	0.00	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	100.00	0.00	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	100.00	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	100.00	0.00	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	100.00	0.01	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	99.99	0.01	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	99.98	0.01	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	99.97	0.02	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	99.95	0.03	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	99.92	0.04	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	99.88	0.06									
0.2044	99.82	0.11									
0.1719	99.71	0.16									
0.1445	99.55	0.21									
[illegible]215	99.34	0.36									
[illegible]022	98.98	0.69									

Particle Size Analysis


CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-24DUP Sonicated

Volume Distribution ; ~~X-100S~~ GRS UPA Syst

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24DUP SON

Date: 05/20/~~89~~ Meas #: 00007
Time: 10:04 Pres #: 01

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = .7494		10% = .4407	60% = .5649	1.538	22%	.3604
mn = .5038		20% = .4691	70% = .6092	.5124	78%	.1343
ma = .5991		30% = .4930	80% = 1.337			
cs = 10.02		40% = .5152	90% = 1.563			
sd = .4921		50% = .5381	95% = 1.688			




SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	100.00	6.78	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	93.22	12.16	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	81.06	3.52	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	77.54	0.00	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	77.54	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	77.54	1.50	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	76.04	11.78	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	64.26	37.35	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	26.91	25.05	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	1.86	1.86	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	0.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
0.1215	0.00	0.00									
0.1022	0.00	0.00									

Particle Size Analysis

CUF AZ-102 Waste
CUF-AZ102-24DUP SON
Date: 05/20/~~89~~ Meas #: 00007
Time: 10:04 Pres #: 01

CUF AZ-102 Waste
UPA
Sample: CUF-AZ102-24DUP Sonicated
Number Distribution; UPA System

Summary		Percentiles		Dia	Vol%	Width
mv = .7494		10% = .4183	60% = .5024	1.478	1%	.3440
mn = .5038		20% = .4370	70% = .5220	.4840	99%	.1223
ma = .5991		30% = .4532	80% = .5448			
cs = 10.02		40% = .4689	90% = .5752			
sd = .0623		50% = .4848	95% = .6060			



SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN	SIZE	%PASS	%CHAN
6.541	100.00	0.00	0.0859	0.00	0.00						
5.500	100.00	0.00	0.0723	0.00	0.00						
4.625	100.00	0.00	0.0608	0.00	0.00						
3.889	100.00	0.00	0.0511	0.00	0.00						
3.270	100.00	0.00	0.0430	0.00	0.00						
2.750	100.00	0.00	0.0361	0.00	0.00						
2.313	100.00	0.00	0.0304	0.00	0.00						
1.945	100.00	0.19	0.0255	0.00	0.00						
1.635	99.81	0.58	0.0215	0.00	0.00						
1.375	99.23	0.28	0.0181	0.00	0.00						
1.156	98.95	0.00	0.0152	0.00	0.00						
0.9723	98.95	0.00	0.0128	0.00	0.00						
0.8176	98.95	0.57	0.0107	0.00	0.00						
0.6875	98.38	7.51	0.0090	0.00	0.00						
0.5781	90.87	40.06	0.0076	0.00	0.00						
0.4861	50.81	45.17	0.0064	0.00	0.00						
0.4088	5.64	5.64	0.0054	0.00	0.00						
0.3437	0.00	0.00	0.0045	0.00	0.00						
0.2891	0.00	0.00	0.0038	0.00	0.00						
0.2431	0.00	0.00									
0.2044	0.00	0.00									
0.1719	0.00	0.00									
0.1445	0.00	0.00									
.215	0.00	0.00									
.022	0.00	0.00									

Distribution

No. of Copies

OFFSITE

2 DOE/Office of Scientific and Technical Information

No. of Copies

ONSITE

5 British Nuclear Fuels, Limited

M. E. Johnson (4)	BN-FL
E. Higginbotham	BN-FL

1 CHG

D. J. Washenfelder	H6-15

20 Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

P. R. Bredt	P7-25
K. P. Brooks (5)	K6-24
G. R. Golcar	K6-24
R. T. Hallen	K2-12
S. K. Cooley	K5-12
L. K. Jagoda	K6-24
D. E. Kurath (2)	P7-28
E. V. Morrey	P7-28
K. G. Rappe	K6-28
M. W. Urie	P7-22
Technical Report Files (5)	K1-06