

Organized in cooperation with



Utilizing Geophysical Methods in Remedial Decision Making at the Hanford Site

November 14, 2023

Sarah Springer CERCLA Integration Manager



2023 Global Summit on Environmental Remediation @REMPLEX



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy





Soil and Groundwater Remedies

What information is necessary to select, implement and then monitor a subsurface remedy?

- Source of contamination
- Nature and extent of contamination
- Properties and structure of subsurface media
- How each of the above changes with time

Geophysics can be used in conjunction with other data collection and interpretation methodologies to "fill in the gaps" and reduce uncertainty in all of the above.

2







waste tanks shown are located in the Central Plateau (200 Areas).

Central Plateau: residual contamination in the 250-350' thick vadose zone may be replenishing groundwater plumes for hundreds of years.

Figure ES-7. Groundwater Contaminant Plumes in the Central Plateau



Geophysical Methods Employed

Broad categories commonly used at the Hanford Site:

- Ground Penetrating Radar
- Electromagnetics
- Borehole-Based Geophysical Logging
- Seismic
- Resistivity

4





gure 14. GPR Profile E420, N300 to N500, 618-10 Burial Ground on shows series of anomalous reflecting horizons observed in northeastern portio purial ground [300-MHz antenna,108-NS window, depth scale 2.3 ft/in.])



F450 F500 E600 E650 GPR and EM surveys have been location and sometimes the condition of

- Buried waste such as vertical pipe units, caisons and other solid wastes
- Disturbed soils to more precisely locate waste sites

used at Hanford for identifying the



Borehole-based geophysical logging is used to understand nature and extent of contamination:

- Estimate depths and activity of gammaemitting fission products
- Identify lower permeability layers that can control contaminant distribution
- Identify subtle stratigraphic changes not visible in cuttings





6



Seismic data is used to fill in the interpretation between boreholes:

- Understand/predict thickness and therefore transmissivity of the unconfined aquifer
- Identify features that may focus the • contamination
- Results have implications for cleanup • timeframes





Figure 11. Landstreamer Profile L-4

Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) is uniquely useful in some areas of the Hanford Site

- Semi-arid environment paired with high volume historical discharges
 - Slow recharge rates
- High-ionic strength of discharges
 - Nitrate a common contaminant

ERT can provide a 4D image of nature and extent of contamination

ERT to Characterize Nature and Extent

Use of ERT to plan characterization boreholes (B-Complex, U-8, etc.):

- ERT indicates "hot spots" to locate boreholes
- Soil samples from boreholes characterize contaminants
- Less boreholes necessary to establish
 nature and extent

BX Trenches ERT Survey

PNNL-22520

U-8 Crib ERT Survey

Nature and Extent Importance

Understanding nature and extent of contamination in the vadose zone is important to the remedy decision process:

- Delineates three-dimensional area requiring a remedy
- Provides a basis for the remedy selection and design
 - E.g. in-situ treatment, surface barrier
- Indicates the impact to groundwater
- ERT can provide an understanding of extent of contamination, but often other lines of evidence are necessary to understand the nature of the contamination

Central Plateau: Threat to Groundwater

- Final and Interim groundwater decisions in place on the Central Plateau
 - present status of groundwater contamination relatively well understood
- Continuing and future flux to groundwater less understood
- Solution: Cumulative Impact **Evaluation Modeling Toolsets**

Soil Recharge Evolution Cribs, Ponds, Inventory renches & French Drain Model Geoframework Vadose Zone Models (24 **3D STOMP** models) Geoframewor Groundwater Model (Plateau to **River Model**) **Forward Modeling** 2018

Cumulative Impact Evaluation

CIE is designed to simulate future contamination migration from multiple sources to and within groundwater to support decision-making for the Central Plateau

Central Plateau: Threat to Groundwater

Forward modeling results can have high uncertainty in areas without current groundwater plumes

Figure 7-47. Tc-99 Inventory Released from Waste Sites and Transfer Rate to Groundwater for the BC Cribs and Trenches Model from 1943–3070

BC Cribs and Trenches: large volumes of tc-99 waste discharged but have not yet made it to the groundwater

Quantifying the plume in the vadose zone today will result in higher confidence predictions of when tc-99 will reach groundwater and how long it will persist

CIE_v4-4_bcotbs_To-99_1943-3070_rate_and_cumulative_v_time_PA_2021-03-12

ECF-Hanford-20-0118

t ERT to Characterize Future Impacts to Groundwater

Important considerations at the BC Cribs and Trenches Site:

- Relatively isolated from other disposal waste sites, less commingling
- Highly concentrated nitrate and tc-99 waste streams discharged
- Close to the "exit point" for the Central Plateau groundwater

PNNL-17821

Convert resistivity results to contaminant concentration plume:

Bulk conductivity \rightarrow fluid conductivity \rightarrow porewater nitrate concentration \rightarrow porewater tc-99 concentration

Nature and Extent Estimation Method	Peak Arrival to Groundwater
Forward Modeling	2125-2145
ERT-based vadose zone plume	2140

All modeling methods show arrival first under BC Cribs Indicating that ERT measurements roughly agree with the Soil Inventory Model

Amount of mass/activity remaining

20-23%

67%

ECF-Hanford-21-0136, Rev 1

Vadose Zone Plume Mapping via ERT

CIE forward modeling shows earlier arrival of uranium in groundwater than the monitoring well data indicates.

However, there is not a direct relationship to derive uranium concentrations from bulk conductivity

Resistivity data collected at U-8 crib

It is necessary to collect uranium mobility data to understand how the contaminants will migrate to the groundwater over time.

Use of ERT to monitor the implementation of the selected remedy

Real-time ERT can be another line of evidence ensuring the successful application of fluids in the subsurface

ERT Cluster 1

An outcome of the time-lapse ERT results in an insitu treatment:

Demonstrates areas where injected solutions move anisotropically through the subsurface, which may not have been observable otherwise

ERT Cluster 3

- Geophysical methods are a useful tool in the remedy decision and implementation process, but they are not universally applicable
- Geophysical methods should be used in conjunction with other lines of evidence to interpret sources, nature and extent of subsurface contamination
- The characteristics of the Hanford Site and the unique nature of the contamination requiring remediation provide good opportunities for using a variety of different data collection and interpretation approaches.

and e r lines of contamination of the es for using a es.

Organized in cooperation with

Acknowledgements

Department of Energy Richland Operations Office Pacific Northwest National Laboratory INTERA Central Plateau Cleanup Company HydroGeophysics United Cleanup Oak Ridge

2023 Global Summit on Environmental Remediation @REMPLEX

