

Statistical Methods for Subsurface Surveys to Support Decommissioning

July 2022

Jen Huckett & Deb Fagan

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

PNNL-SA-175460



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy





Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)

Work presented today supported by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research (nrc.gov)







Decontamination & Decommissioning

- Decommissioning: safely removing a [nuclear] facility or site from service and reducing residual radioactivity to a level that permits either:
 - Property release for unrestricted use and terminate the license
 - Property release under restricted conditions and terminate the license
- PNNL's role
 - Consider regulations, guidance, and communications
 - Develop methods and tools for survey sample design and statistical analyses to demonstrate compliance with decommissioning requirements
- Recent developments
 - Low altitude un-piloted



3.7

Pacific Northwest Visual Sample Plan (VSP)

P Eile Map Edit Sampling Goz	als Tools Options Room View W Random sampling locations for co Summary This report summarizes the sampling post-sampling data analysis. Sampling where within the sampling area to col analyze the samples (in-situ, fixed lai The following table summarizes the s sampling location coordinates are als SUMMARY OF Primary Objective of Design Type of Sampling Design Sample Placement (location)	Mindow Help Provide the second secon	
Settings	Content of the sampling locations for content of the sampling locations for content of the sampling and the sampling area to cold analyze the samples (in-situ, fixed lat the following table summarizes the sampling location coordinates are also sampling location coordinates are also sumMARY OF Primary Objective of Design Type of Sampling Design Sample Placement (location)	Design, associated statistical assumptions, as well a ing plan components presented here include how man llect those samples. The type of medium to sample (i boratory, etc.) are addressed in other sections of the sampling design. A figure that shows sampling location to provided below.	
yer Control	Random sampling locations for co Summary This report summarizes the sampling post-sampling data analysis. Sampli where within the sampling area to col analyze the samples (in-situ, fixed lal The following table summarizes the s sampling location coordinates are als SumMARY OF Primary Objective of Design Type of Sampling Design Sample Placement (location)	omparing a mean with a fixed threshold (paramet g design, associated statistical assumptions, as well a ing plan components presented here include how man licct those samples. The type of medium to sample iboratory, etc.) are addressed in other sections of the s ampling design. A figure that shows sampling locatio. to provided below. SAMPLING DESIGN Compare a site mean to a fixed threshold Parametric	
	Primary Objective of Design Type of Sampling Design Sample Placement (Location)	Compare a site mean to a fixed threshold Parametric	
	Type of Sampling Design	Parametric	
	in the Field	Simple random sampling	
	Working (Null) Hypothesis	The mean value at the site exceeds the threshold	
	Formula for calculating number of sampling locations	Student's t-test	
	Calculated total number of samples	57	
	Number of samples on map ^a	57	
	Number of selected sample areas ^b	1	
roperties · X	Specified sampling area °	2545688.00 ft ²	
roperty Value	Total cost of sampling ^d	\$28,500.00	
General (all inclusive) VSP a This number may differ from the calculated number beca or unselecting sample areas. b The number of selected sample areas is the number of calculated number of selected sample areas. b The number of selected sample areas is the number of calculated number of calculated number of calculated number of calculated number of selected sample areas. b The number of selected sample areas is the number of calculated number of calculated number of selected samples are collected. c The sampling area is the total surface area of the select d Including measurement analyses and fixed overhead compresented here.			

VSP is available at: <u>https://www.pnnl.gov/projects/visual-sample-plan</u>

			_		\times	
				-	8	×
					ŀ	•
						-
al guidelines for o ng locations to o groundwater, et plan.	conducting choose and c.) and how to					
field and a table	e that lists					
ment samples, (or 3) selecting					
se sample areas	contain the					
e site.						
an explanation o	of the costs					
al Sample Pl						
Example 1						
						•
	Watch here for user input	X=-0.05 Y=0.00				1

Screenshots from Visual Sample Plan

Flow Diagram



3.7

Pacific

Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY

Figure from NUREG-7021 ⁵





Figure 3.3 from NUREG/CR-7021

Pacific

Northwest NATIONAL LABORATOR

Groundwater/surface water interactions Vadose zone/groundwater interactions



COPC = contaminant(s) of potential concern



Northwest

Compliance Phase

Information needed to show end-state is achieved

- Surface & subsurface matrix samples
- Fate & transport of COPCs on-/off-site are understood
- COPC spatiotemporal concentrations meet release criteria

Assumptions

- Data from HSA, preparation, and scoping phases will be available
- Data from characterization and remediation phases <u>may</u> be available
- Variation in how much/what type

What tools are needed in VSP to support compliance phase?

- Data visualization
- Data collection/survey planning
- Analysis of collected data
- Uncertainty quantification, confidence bounds and hypothesis testing for end-state decisions



Figure 3.3 from NUREG/CR-7021



3.7

Data Available for Compliance Survey Planning

Data source	Data set descrip
Previous to RSSI	Engineering drawings (facilities, structures, e background geophysical data (surface/subsu characterization and climate data for Concep development
HSA	Risk assessment, hazard assessment, RCRA/C soil/rock core sample data as appropriate), N appropriate), Source term quantification mo sites, Contaminant fate and transport model
Scoping	RI/FS or FI/CM reports, updated CSMs, speci types/design/media/location, proposed stati characterization of potential contaminant plu
Characterization	Geologic maps, soil maps, drillers logs, maps of groundwater levels, hydraulic tests, soil or GIS, visualizations, and maps for the site, Sur previous remediation activities (if applicable) modeling results,
Remediation	Characterization of plume structure and compossibly computer models of flow and transport or prior relevant work demonstrating the featmonitoring data to assess performance of the sampling of contaminant concentration and effects.
Geophysical data	Borehole, cross-hole, surface, or remote sense electrical techniques (e.g., electrical resistivit polarization), electromagnetic methods (e.g., electromagnetic induction, magnetotellurics, seismic methods (e.g., reflection seismology, tomography), gravity techniques (e.g., gravin magnetic techniques (e.g., magnetometers), fiber-optic distributed temperature sensing) methods.
Groundwater model	Deterministic or stochastic subsurface nume in the vadose zone, saturated zone, or a com model calibration results, and predictive resu describing the hydrogeology and forming the Model.
Authorized limit data	Authorized limit(s) based on DOE Order 458. required to translate regulatory limits to auth hydrologic parameters (i.e. soil density, prec based from pre-described risk approach, and RESRAD computer code.

tion(s)

etc.), operations logs, GIS maps, urface), water resource ptual Site Model (CSM)

CERCLA documentation (including NEPA documentation (as odeling/estimates for relevant ling

fication of sampling istical methods, identification and umes

of site infrastructure, collection r rock cores, and development of a rveillance monitoring data from), geophysical and hydrogeological

nposition, conceptual site model, port for the site, feasibility studies asibility of amendments, ongoing he remedy, including routine signatures of the remedy and its

sing collection of data through ty tomography, induced , frequency and time domain , ground penetrating radar), , seismic refraction, seismic metry and gravity gradiometry), thermal methods (e.g., infrared, or multi-spectral/hyperspectral

erical models of flow and transport nbination, including input files, sults. Geo-framework model e basis for a Conceptual Site

.1 (DOE 2011, 2017) or data thorized limit(s), including tipitation, irrigation) human health d other default params in the



Data Quality Assurance (DQA)

DQA steps applied to data from previous phases as part of <u>compliance survey</u> <u>planning</u>







Data Quality in VSP

- Current capabilities
 - Outlier detection
 - Tests of distributional assumptions
 - Retrospective power curves for Sign and Wilcoxson Rank Sum (WRS) tests
- Additional tools for subsurface (code base, user interface, plots, etc.)
 - Activating the z-coordinate
 - \checkmark Track borehole/well labels as well as location and time (label, x, y, z, t)
 - ✓ Identify or import vertical dimension data associated with dose/risk models for subsurface volumes (e.g., soil and rock stratum boundaries, aquifer locations and dimensions, and layers)
 - Data processing
 - ✓ Unit conversion capability
 - ✓ Allow data from disparate sensor platforms to be labeled as such & combined for analysis
 - Data visualization & analysis
 - ✓ Identify instrument and field of view to combine data from multiple sensor platforms and/or sensors
 - ✓ Identify sample matrices (e.g., groundwater, surface water, soils)
 - ✓ Identify sensor platforms (e.g., type & source of subsurface geophysical survey data)



SUBSURFACE SURVEY PLANNING & ANALYSIS



Dimensionality of Approach

- **Layered approach:** model homogeneous 2D/3D layers
 - Use when
 - ✓ Layers are well-defined and homogeneous in geophysical properties governing contaminant fate and transport
 - \checkmark Layers can be considered as separate decision units, potentially with unique acceptable limits
 - ✓ Use geophysical and dose models to identify layers and DCGL for each layer (and whether each layer needs to be considered)
 - Considerations
 - ✓ Layered approach ignores spatial dependence between layers—should not use if vertical correlation present/impacts result
 - ✓ Sample sizes governed by layer with highest sample size due to physical constraints of sampling
 - ✓ Alternative actions when results differ from layer to layer (e.g., above/below acceptable limits in different layers)



Dimensionality of Approach (cont.)

- Volume approach: model the complex 3D volume
 - Use when
 - ✓ Intra (between) layer dependence exists
 - ✓ Layers are not well-defined
 - ✓ Heterogeneity in effects of geophysical properties on contaminant fate and transport
 - ✓ Layers cannot be considered separate decision units
 - Considerations
 - ✓ Models are more complex—to implement, understand, and communicate
 - ✓ Sample sizes governed by layer with highest sample size due to physical constraints of sampling



Compliance Survey Planning

- Leverage historical locations in compliance survey design
 - Start with convenience, judgmental, geophysical model-based locations
 - Add randomly sampled locations based on statistical models

Classical approaches (parametric or non-parametric)

- Stratified random/systematic: use risk & geophysical models identify stratification
 - Vertical strata represent geophysical layers
 - · Horizontal (or vertical) based on risk model
 - Allocate samples based on relative exposure risk and/or proportion of total volume
- Check & cover: convenience + random
 - Specify the number of convenience locations
 - VSP provides random locations

Geostatistical approaches

- Determine mathematically where to locate samples based on geostatistical uncertainty
- Incorporate geophysics input through
- Bayesian methods
- Geospatial/kriging methods combine data with different fields of view or uncertainty
 - Fixed rank kriging
 - Generalized least squares (GLS) models include geophysical information through covariates
- Uncertainty from these models can guide sample placement
 - Identification of strata, sample allocation across strata
 - More sample locations allocated to regions of higher uncertainty



Compliance Survey Planning (cont.)

- Geostatistical approaches
 - Determine mathematically where to locate samples based on geostatistical uncertainty
 - Incorporate geophysics input through
 - ✓ Bayesian methods
 - ✓ Geospatial/kriging methods that combine data with different fields of view or uncertainties
 - Fixed rank kriging
 - Generalized least squares (GLS) models that include geophysical information in covariates
 - Uncertainty from such models guides sample placement
 - ✓ Identification of strata, sample allocation across strata
 - ✓ More sample locations allocated to regions of higher uncertainty



Statistical Analysis for Compliance

- Subsurface volume mean concentration (or other metrics) estimation and hypothesis testing to compare estimates to a threshold
- "Classical" approaches
 - Independence assumption violated
 - Increases Type II error if spatial correlation is not incorporated
 - \checkmark Type II error = incorrectly concluding a clean site is dirty
 - ✓ Leads to increased/unnecessary remediation
- Mathematically model the spatial correlation
 - If not present, use "classical" approaches
 - Parametric and non-parametric methods to model spatial correlation



Statistical Analysis for Compliance (cont.)

- Geostatistical methods to identify and determine boundaries of elevated residual activity
 - Integrate geophysical data into analysis and hypothesis testing ✓ GLS
 - Determine if spatial correlation exists
 - ✓ Moran's I and Local indicator of spatial association (LISA) statistic
 - Kriging
 - ✓ Indicator, Empirical Bayes, Fixed rank kriging (FRK)
 - Geostatistical simulation





State of AI & ML in Subsurface Applications

- Challenging to collect sufficient data to accurately describe subsurface complexities
 - Traditional (point-source based & destructive sampling) methodologies are costly and present potential risk for human exposure
 - Borehole sampling represents state of the system at specific location(s) and time(s)
 - Potentially not fully representative
 - Large uncertainty in forecasting subsurface system evolution
- Few-shot machine learning in conjunction with remote subsurface sensing techniques and high-performance forward prediction
 - Reliably estimate subsurface property distributions, including permeability, porosity, and hydraulic conductivity, that control transport and fate of radioactive material
 - Address paucity of characterization data and complexities of heterogeneous subsurface systems
 - Advancements will reduce uncertainty of system-scale characterization and radiation rose assessments, minimize costs, and increase worker safety and protection of human health and the environment
- Expect these advancements to be most applicable in characterization & other early phases more so than compliance phase
 - To the extent that such AI/ML models can be updated with new assumptions after remediation phase, predictions can play a part in compliance phase survey planning



3.7

7.94

Thank you

