

Comparison of In Situ Phosphate Amendments for Sequestration of Uranium and Technetium 23241

November 13, 2023

Hilary P. Emerson

A Lawter, MMV Snyder, JE Szecsody, N Huerta, and RD Mackley



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy





Talk Preview

Presenting select technologies being tested as part of a treatability study for remediation of the Central Plateau at the Hanford Site

- What is the remediation challenge?
- Where are the targeted site areas?
- What is the approach for assessing the technologies?
- Which technologies are being tested?
- Are these technologies moving forward with additional testing?









200-DV-1 Operable Unit (OU) Background

Established in 2010 by the Department of Energy, Environmental Protection Agency, and Washington State Department of Ecology, the OU is composed of selected challenging liquid-disposal waste sites across the Hanford Central Plateau with vadose-zone contamination. Other liquid-disposal waste sites are distributed to the 200-EA-1, 200-WA-1, and 200-BC-1 OUs.





Laboratory Approach for Treatability Testing



Study Questions:

- Do primary contaminants show decreased mobility (>35%)?
- Do co-contaminants affect primary contaminant mobility? 2.
- Do co-contaminants become less mobile? 3.

EMPLEX

Approach generalized from detailed guidance in DOE/RL-2019-28, Rev. 0

Final Determination for Field-Scale Treatability Study e.g., is a minimum of 50% sequestration achieved?



End-Product Stability/ Implementation **Evaluation**

Quantification of immobilized end-product stability and amendment transport





What Technologies Were Tested?

Liquid amendments

- Polyphosphate poly-PO₄
 - Chains of phosphate slowly break apart and precipitate with calcium
- Calcium-citrate-phosphate Ca-Cit-PO₄
 - Citrate slowly degraded by microbes to release calcium for precipitation with phosphate

Particulate amendments

- Tin apatite Sn-apatite
 - Delivery fluid required (e.g., xanthan gum)

Immobilization mechanisms

- Adsorption
- (Co)precipitation
- Coating
- Reduction (not for poly-PO₄)



REMPLEX CENTER FOR THE REMEDIATION OF COMPLEX SITES @ PNNL



Previous Implementation: Immobilization mechanisms for poly-PO₄ treatment in Hanford 300 Area along Columbia River Corridor 5



- Amendments:
 - Two methods of forming apatite from liquids: Poly-PO₄ and Ca-Cit-PO₄ with the same total concentrations of phosphate and calcium
 - Particulate Sn-apatite
- Site conditions = BY Cribs water table or perched water = Hanford formation sediments + groundwater simulant + amendment
- Measure U & Tc-99 immobilization with and without Co-contaminants of interest (Co-COIs): CrO₄²⁻, IO₃⁻, Sr²⁺, NO₃⁻
 - Added in aqueous phase to represent most mobile phases (most conservative case)



Sn-apatite synthesis

S

apatite

Sn

Apatite precipitation in the presence of sediment

Contaminant Mobility: Approach and Definitions

- Sequential extractions of increasing acidity to characterize contaminants in different solid phases
- Useful to define change in contaminant mobility from remedial technology application

Pacific

Northwest

• "Immobile" fraction is used for the >35% decreased mobility criterion in Decision Point 1



Aqueous + Extract 1 + Extract 2

Temporarily immobile

Extract 4 + Extract 5



Liquid Amendments: Summary





- Ca-Cit-PO₄ is effective for both U and Tc-99 under both BY Cribs and perched water conditions; some decrease in Tc-99 immobilization in perched water conditions (high NO₃)
- Poly-PO₄ is only effective for U and is less effective under perched water conditions (high NO₃)



Liquid Amendments: U Immobilization in BY Cribs Groundwater



- Treatment threshold for U met with both technologies
 - Within hours for poly-PO₄
 - Within weeks for Ca-Cit-PO₄



Pacific

Northwest

- Sequential extractions confirm significant fraction is immobilized by amendments
- No impact of Co-COIs on amendment efficacy
- Significant impact of sterilizing sediments and solutions on Ca-Cit-PO₄ amendment efficacy

Liquid Amendments: Tc-99 Immobilization in BY Cribs Groundwater





Pacific

Northwest

- Treatment threshold met only for Ca-Cit-PO₄ after weeks of reaction
- Sequential extractions confirm a significant fraction is immobilized by amendments
- No impact of Co-COIs on amendment efficacy
- Significant impact of sterilizing sediments and solutions on Ca-Cit-PO₄ amendment efficacy



@PNNI

Particulate Amendments: Summary

Uranium

Technetium-99



- U and Tc-99 were successfully removed from aqueous phase by tin apatite
- Extractions indicate amendment immobilized most of the primary COIs (U: 98%; Tc-99: 84%)
- Immobilization kinetics were very rapid (i.e., occurred within first hour of treatment)



Particulate Amendments: Uranium Removal





- Presence of Co-COIs impacted U removal in perched water but not groundwater; no impact • for Tc-99 (not shown)





Conclusions

- Ca-Cit-PO₄ is *effective* for both U and Tc-99 under site specific conditions
- Poly-PO₄ is *effective* for U under site specific conditions
- Immobilization (per extractions) \Rightarrow Sn-apatite > Ca-Cit-PO₄ > poly-PO₄
 - Ineffective for Tc-99 for poly-PO₄
 - Less Tc-99 immobilized in perched water for Ca-Cit-PO₄
- Removal speed ⇒ Sn-apatite > poly-PO₄ > Ca-Cit-PO₄
 - Removal was slower in perched water for U for Sn-apatite and poly-PO₄
- Path forward
 - Proof-of-principle testing for poly-PO₄ with additional reductants
 - Additional testing under site-specific conditions for Ca-Cit-PO₄ and Sn-apatite





Funding for this work was provided by the U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office under the Deep Vadose Zone – Applied Field Research Initiative.

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is operated by Battelle Memorial Institute for the Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830.

Thank you

Hilary Emerson

hilary.emerson@pnnl.gov

