

PNNL-33519

# First-Principles Study of Tritium Trapping by Point Defects in Fe-Al Aluminide Coating Phases

September 2022

Michel Sassi  
Anne M. Chaka  
David J. Senior  
Andrew M. Casella

## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor Battelle Memorial Institute, nor any of their employees, **makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.** Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or Battelle Memorial Institute. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST NATIONAL LABORATORY  
*operated by*  
BATTELLE  
*for the*  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
*under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830*

Printed in the United States of America

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from  
the Office of Scientific and Technical  
Information,  
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062  
[www.osti.gov](http://www.osti.gov)  
ph: (865) 576-8401  
fox: (865) 576-5728  
email: [reports@osti.gov](mailto:reports@osti.gov)

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service  
5301 Shawnee Rd., Alexandria, VA 22312  
ph: (800) 553-NTIS (6847)  
or (703) 605-6000  
email: [info@ntis.gov](mailto:info@ntis.gov)  
Online ordering: <http://www.ntis.gov>

# **First-Principles Study of Tritium Trapping by Point Defects in Fe-Al Aluminide Coating Phases**

September 2022

Michel Sassi  
Anne M. Chaka  
David J. Senor  
Andrew M. Casella

Prepared for  
the U.S. Department of Energy  
under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory  
Richland, Washington 99354


## Summary

Density functional theory simulations have been carried out to investigate the potential for tritium trapping by metal vacancies in five different Fe-Al aluminide coating phases. It was found that tritiation of Fe and Ni vacancies is generally less favorable than the tritiation of Al vacancies. However, for the first tritiation, a trend in the defect formation energy can be obtained such that metal defects in the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_x$  family of materials (i.e.,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_4$ ,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5$ , and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_6$ ) trap tritium species more favorably than metal vacancies in  $\text{Fe}_4\text{Al}_{13}$  and  $\text{FeNiAl}_5$ . Further investigations using *ab initio* thermodynamics calculations confirmed that trend for a range of tritium partial pressure at a temperature of 700 K. Especially, it was shown that the energy difference between tritiated and non-tritiated metal vacancies is smaller and more favorable for the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_x$  family, followed by  $\text{Fe}_4\text{Al}_{13}$ , and  $\text{FeNiAl}_5$ . While this study shows that tritium interacts differently in the various Fe-Al aluminide phases, it also suggests that tritium trapping and retention could be more efficient if metal defects are present in some Fe-Al phases.

## 1.0 Introduction

In the design of the TPBAR the inner surface of the 316SS structural pressure boundary cladding is coated with an iron aluminide (Fe-Al) matrix to reduce tritium permeation into the surrounding coolant. Although the vast majority of tritium is absorbed by the getter, post-irradiation evaluation (PIE) indicates that a small fraction of tritium is trapped in the aluminide coating.<sup>1</sup> The mechanism of how this trapping occurs and how it may be prevented is not known. The purpose of the investigation described in this report is to assess the relative energy of interstitial and substitutional tritium (e.g.,  $T_{Fe}$  or  $T_{Al}$  sites) in Fe-Al phases, and to evaluate the potential for point defects to trap tritium in various Fe-Al bulk phases constituting the aluminide coating of TPBAR using *ab initio* calculations based on density functional theory (DFT). The interstitial binding energies were also compared between each Fe-Al phase for in-reactor conditions.

The structure and composition of major phases in the outer aluminide layer for Cycle 13 before and after irradiation have been determined by Edwards and coworkers<sup>2</sup> using scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM). Image analysis and chemical mapping revealed the presence of three bulk phases in the outer layer of the unirradiated coating, as shown in Figure 1. Those phases were identified as  $NiFeAl_5$  (hexagonal),  $Fe_4Al_{13}$  (monoclinic), and  $Fe_2Al_5$  (orthorhombic), and it was found that neutron irradiation didn't appear to alter these bulk phases. Previous work on the  $Fe_2Al_5$  phase<sup>3</sup> has identified a structural peculiarity consisting of Al-filled channels parallel to the *c*-axis, shown as yellow spheres in the atomistic structure at the right in Figure 1, giving rise to an approximate composition of  $Fe_2Al_5$ . Previous *ab initio* modelling<sup>3,4</sup> of bulk  $Fe_2Al_5$  suggested that at high temperature (1300 K) the channel of Al atoms diffused in a liquid-like manner along the *c*-axis due to the presence of Al vacancies ( $V_{Al}$ ) in the structure. Therefore, three stoichiometries for  $Fe_2Al_x$  phases, namely  $Fe_2Al_4$ ,  $Fe_2Al_5$ , and  $Fe_2Al_6$ , were studied to evaluate the impact of Al vacancy concentration on tritium trapping. Atomistic and DFT optimized models of these three stoichiometries of  $Fe_2Al_x$  phases ( $x=4, 5, 6$ ), as well as the  $FeNiAl_5$  and  $Fe_4Al_{13}$  phases were previously developed for the FY21 diffusion study by Sassi *et al.*<sup>5</sup> in which it was found that interstitial tritium would diffuse faster in  $Fe_2Al_x$  phases, followed by  $Fe_4Al_{13}$ , then  $FeNiAl_5$ , as shown by the diffusion coefficients calculated at a temperature of 600 K in Figure 1.



**Figure 1:** STEM atomic column imaging of three ( $FeNiAl_5$ ,  $Fe_4Al_{13}$ , and  $Fe_2Al_5$ ) bulk phases in unirradiated coating (courtesy of D. Edwards FY20<sup>2</sup>), their atomistic representation, and calculated tritium diffusion coefficient at 600 K.<sup>5</sup>

## 2.0 Computational Details

Density functional theory calculations have been performed with the VASP code.<sup>6</sup> All the simulations used the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) exchange-correlation as parametrized in the Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) functional.<sup>7</sup> A cutoff energy of 350 eV for the plane-wave basis set has been used and spin-polarization has been taken into account.

The lattice parameters and atomic coordinates of defect-free supercells of FeNiAl<sub>5</sub>,<sup>8</sup> Fe<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>13</sub>,<sup>9</sup> and three Fe<sub>2</sub>A<sub>x</sub> phases,<sup>3,10</sup> namely Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>6</sub>, were fully relaxed using a convergence criterion of 10<sup>-5</sup> eV/cell for the total energy and 10<sup>-4</sup> eV/Å for the force components. Table 1 summarizes the supercell sizes and Monkhorst-Pack<sup>11</sup> *k*-point mesh used to sample the Brillouin zone in each case.

Fe-Al phase	Supercell size	<i>k</i> -point mesh
FeNiAl <sub>5</sub>	2×2×2 (224 atoms)	2×2×2
Fe <sub>4</sub> Al <sub>13</sub>	1×1×1 (102 atoms)	2×4×3
Fe <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>4</sub>	2×2×3 (144 atoms)	2×2×2
Fe <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>5</sub>	2×2×3 (168 atoms)	2×2×2
Fe <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>6</sub>	2×2×3 (192 atoms)	2×2×2

**Table 1:** Summary of the supercell sizes and Monkhorst-Pack *k*-point mesh sampling used in this study.

Starting from the optimized defect-free crystal structures, a single metal vacancy was introduced. In these simulations, only the atomic coordinates were allowed to relax while the lattice parameters were kept fixed to their relaxed defect-free bulk structure values. Subsequently, tritium loading of the metal vacancy has been investigated by filling it with several tritium atoms. Multiple configurations were calculated and only the most energetically favorable ones are reported. Due to their similar electronic structure, the pseudopotential of standard hydrogen (<sup>1</sup>H) has been used to describe tritium (<sup>3</sup>H), however, to account for the isotopic effect, the mass in the pseudopotential has been modified to match that of the isotope atom.

To evaluate the relative stability of non-tritiated and tritiated metal vacancies at conditions relevant to in-reactor operation, *ab initio* thermodynamics calculations have been carried out in which the temperature (*T*) and tritium partial pressure (*p*(T<sub>2</sub>)) dependence of the Gibbs free energy of formation of defects, Δ*G<sub>f</sub>*(*T*, *p*(T<sub>2</sub>)), has been calculated using the following equation:

$$\Delta G_f(T, p(T_2)) = (E_{\text{defect}}^T + E_{\text{defect}}^{\text{ZPE}} + \Delta\mu(T)_{\text{defect}}) - (E_{\text{perf}}^T + E_{\text{perf}}^{\text{ZPE}} + \Delta\mu(T)_{\text{perf}}) + \sum_i n_i (E_i^T + E_i^{\text{ZPE}} + \Delta\mu_i(T, p(T_2))) \quad (1)$$

*n<sub>i</sub>* is the number of atoms added/removed of each atomic species *i*. *E<sub>i</sub><sup>T</sup>*, *E<sub>i</sub><sup>ZPE</sup>*, and Δ*μ<sub>i</sub>*(*T*, *p*(T<sub>2</sub>)) are respectively the total DFT energy, the zero-point-energy, and the temperature and T<sub>2</sub> partial pressure dependent chemical potential of each reference species *i*. In order to account for temperature effect in the various Fe-Al bulk phases, Δ*μ*(*T*)<sub>defect</sub> and Δ*μ*(*T*)<sub>perf</sub> are the temperature-dependent chemical potential of the system with and without defect (i.e., perfect). All the temperature-dependent chemical potentials have been calculated using the following relation:


$$\Delta\mu(T) = (H(T) - H^\circ(298.15)) - TS \quad (2)$$

where *H*(*T*) and *H*<sup>°</sup>(298.15) are the system enthalpy at a temperature *T* and at *T*=298.15 K, and *S* is the entropy. Here, *H*(*T*), is the Helmholtz free energy as given by *H*(*T*) = -*k<sub>B</sub>T* ln(*Z*), where *Z* is the partition function expressed as implemented in the Phonopy code.<sup>12</sup> The calculated

temperature dependence of chemical potential of tritium is shown in Figure 2. While there are no available experimental data for tritium, the trends between calculated and experimental data<sup>13</sup> available for H<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> are in good agreement, which give confidence in the calculated chemical potential for T<sub>2</sub>. The temperature and T<sub>2</sub> partial pressure dependent chemical potential of molecular T<sub>2</sub> has been calculated as:

$$\Delta\mu_{T_2}(T, p(T_2)) = \mu_{T_2}(T^\circ, p^\circ(T_2)) + k_B T \log\left(\frac{p(T_2)}{p^\circ(T_2)}\right) \quad (3)$$

where  $T^\circ$  and  $p^\circ(T_2)$  are the temperature and T<sub>2</sub> partial pressure at standard conditions.



**Figure 2:** Temperature-dependence of the chemical potential of hydrogen isotopes.

## 3.0 Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Tritiation of metal vacancies in FeNiAl<sub>5</sub>


Based on the hexagonal symmetry of FeNiAl<sub>5</sub>, a single metal vacancy ( $V_M$ ) was created at different atomic sites to identify those most favorable for vacancy formation. As shown in Table 2, there is a range of vacancy formation energies for the same element as the surrounding coordination geometry and near neighbors can vary within a phase. In FeNiAl<sub>5</sub>, for example, the generation of a Fe, Ni, or Al vacancy can respectively be less energetically favorable by 0.74 eV, 0.61 eV, or 0.58 eV compared to the lowest energy sites depending upon position. Along with a structural representation of FeNiAl<sub>5</sub>, a single polyhedron is shown in Figure 3a for each species to help identify the position of the most favorable sites for vacancy formation.

Phase	Defect type	Energy variations (eV)
FeNiAl <sub>5</sub>	$V_{Fe}$ (4)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 0.74$
	$V_{Ni}$ (4)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 0.61$
	$V_{Al}$ (6)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 0.58$
Fe <sub>4</sub> Al <sub>13</sub>	$V_{Fe}$ (6)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 0.38$
	$V_{Al}$ (21)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 1.37$
Fe <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>4</sub>	$V_{Fe}$ (4)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 0.03$
	$V_{Al}$ (6)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 0.02$
Fe <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>5</sub>	$V_{Fe}$ (4)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 0.03$
	$V_{Al}$ Channel (1)	-
	$V_{Al}$ (6)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 0.05$
Fe <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>6</sub>	$V_{Fe}$ (4)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 0.02$
	$V_{Al}$ Channel (1)	-
	$V_{Al}$ (6)	$0 \leq E_v \leq 0.03$

**Table 2:** Summary of the relative energy variations for the creation a metal vacancy in the different FeAl phases investigated. The number between brackets indicates the number of atomic sites explored for vacancy generation.

Investigations of the effect of tritium loading in metal vacancies were performed for the lowest energy defect identified. As shown in Figure 3b, the tritiation of Fe and Ni vacancies is found to be less energetically favorable than non-tritiated defects. The insertion of one tritium atom increases the defect energy by 0.69 eV and 0.48 eV for the Fe and Ni vacancy respectively, suggesting that the formation of Al—T bonds are not energetically favorable. In the case of an Al vacancy, the insertion of one tritium atom is found to lower the energy cost of forming the vacancy by 0.13 eV due to the formation of a Fe—T bond.

To evaluate the potential for tritium trapping by a metal vacancy, the trapping energy,  $E_{\text{Trap}}$ , defined as  $E_{\text{Trap}} = E_{V_M^T} - E_{(V_M+1T_i)}$  has been calculated, in which  $E_{(V_M+1T_i)}$  is the combined energetic cost of an interstitial tritium ( $T_i$ ) and a metal vacancy ( $V_M$ ), and  $E_{V_M^T}$  is the energy cost of a singly tritiated metal vacancy. While the value of  $E_{(V_M+1T_i)}$  is reported in Figure 3b by short line symbols, the value of  $E_{\text{Trap}}$  is 0.41 eV, 0.20 eV, and -0.42 eV for the Fe, Ni, and Al species respectively.




**Figure 3:** (a) Structural representation of the hexagonal FeNiAl<sub>5</sub> phase along with the location of the lowest energy vacancy defects for Fe, Ni, and Al species as highlighted by a polyhedron. (b) Defect formation energy of metal vacancies as function of tritium loading.

### 3.2 Tritiation of metal vacancies in Fe<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>13</sub>

To investigate metal defects in the monoclinic Fe<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>13</sub> phase, single vacancies have been generated at 6 and 21 different Fe and Al sites respectively. As shown in Table 2, while an energy difference of 0.38 eV between the most and least favorable vacancy site has been obtained for Fe, a much larger energy variation is obtained for Al sites for which the least favorable vacancy cost up to 1.37 eV more than the most favorable Al vacancy site. The location of the most favorable Fe and Al sites for a vacancy have been highlighted in Figure 4a by a polyhedron.

The impact of tritium loading on the formation energy of Fe and Al vacancies is shown in Figure 4b. Similarly to FeNiAl<sub>5</sub>, the tritiation of Fe vacancy in Fe<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>13</sub> is generally found to increase the energy cost of a vacancy, whereas the tritiation of an Al vacancy tends to reduce the overall energy cost for up to three tritium atoms. In the case of an Al vacancy, the insertion of two tritium atoms leads to the most energetically favorable defect. The formation of two Fe—T bonds in the vacancy space makes the energy of a doubly tritiated Al vacancy 0.36 eV lower than a non-tritiated Al vacancy. For three tritium atoms inserted in the vacancy space, the defect is isoenergetic with the formation of a non-tritiated Al vacancy. For one tritium atom inserted in a Fe or Al vacancy, the trapping energy  $E_{\text{Trap}}$  is -0.06 eV or -0.53 eV respectively. The combined energies of a metal vacancy and an interstitial tritium atom (i.e.,  $V_M + 1T_i$ ) is shown in Figure 4b by short line symbols.




**Figure 4:** (a) Structural representation of the monoclinic Fe<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>13</sub> phase along with the location of the lowest energy vacancy defects for Fe and Al species as highlighted by a polyhedron. (b) Defect formation energy of metal vacancies as function of tritium loading.

### 3.3 Tritiation of metal vacancies in the Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>x</sub> series

The calculations of metal vacancies in the Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>x</sub> series have been carried out for three stoichiometries, namely Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>6</sub>. While the ideal stoichiometry of this phase is Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5.6</sub>,<sup>3,10</sup> these specific stoichiometries have been chosen in order to explore the effect of Al vacancy concentration in the channel, going from 100% of Al vacancy, down to 50%, and 0%, as shown in Figure 5a. To identify the Fe and Al sites which are the most favorable for a vacancy, atoms have been removed at different locations. In contrast to the two other Fe-Al phases, Table 2 suggests vacancy generation at each Fe and Al site adjacent to the channel have very similar formation energy for vacancies.

For all three stoichiometries investigated, Figure 5(b-d) suggest that the tritiation of a Fe vacancy is generally not energetically favorable. This trend follows the same behavior that was previously obtained for the other Fe-Al phases. However, the tritiation of an Al vacancy adjacent to the channel is generally found to be energetically favorable, with a maximal energy gain obtained for three, five, and one tritium atoms inserted in the Al vacancy of Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>6</sub> respectively. In all these configurations, Fe—T bonds have been formed. While Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>4</sub> does not have Al in the channel, the lowest configuration for an interstitial tritium atom is near the center of the channel and bound to two Al atoms adjacent to the channel, as shown in Figure 5b. For Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>, the insertion of tritium atoms in the channel Al vacancy tends to reduce the formation energy of a vacancy. The most favorable tritium loading has been obtained for four tritium atoms inserted in the Al vacancy channel with the formation of four Fe—T bonds. In the case of Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>6</sub>, the tritiation of an Al vacancy in the channel induces almost no extra energy cost for up to two tritium atoms, then further addition of tritium lead to less energetically favorable formation energies. For one tritium atom inserted in a Fe or Al vacancy of Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>, the trapping energy  $E_{\text{Trap}}$  is -0.43 eV or -1.43 eV respectively, suggesting that one tritium trapped in a Fe or Al vacancy is energetically preferable than having a non-interacting metal vacancy and an interstitial tritium atom. The combined energies of a metal vacancy and an interstitial tritium atom (i.e.,  $V_M + 1T_i$ ) is shown in Figure 5(b-d) by short lines symbols. For Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>, the trapping energies of a single tritium atom are -0.66 eV, -1.13 eV, and -1.39 eV for Fe, Al, and channel Al vacancies respectively.


For  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_6$ , the trapping energies of one tritium atom are -0.34 eV, -1.99 eV, and -0.45 eV for Fe, Al, and channel Al vacancies respectively.



**Figure 5:** (a) Structural representation of  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_x$  for which the different stoichiometries are associated to the variable presence of Al in the channels as represented by the yellow spheres. (b-d) Defect formation energy of metal vacancies as function of tritium loading for  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_4$ ,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5$ , and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_6$ .

Across the Fe-Al phases investigated, the general trends are that Fe and Ni vacancies are not favorable defects for tritium trapping, in contrast to Al vacancies, as shown in Figure 6a. The favorable trapping of tritium atoms in Al vacancies is correlated to the formation of Fe—T bonds. However, it is interesting to note that while tritium is generally not favorably trapped by Fe vacancies, the energy cost for trapping a tritium in a Fe vacancy depends on the Fe-Al phase. As shown in Figure 6a, the trapping of one tritium atom in a Fe vacancy is more favorable in the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_x$  series, followed by the  $\text{Fe}_4\text{Al}_{13}$  phase, and then by the  $\text{FeNiAl}_5$  phase. A similar trend

between the Fe-Al phases is obtained for the trapping of one tritium atom in an Al vacancy. Altogether, Figure 6 suggests that the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_x$  series is the aluminide phase that exhibit the most potential for tritium trapping.




**Figure 6:** (a) Energy cost calculated for the insertion of the 1<sup>st</sup> tritium atom in each species site. (b) Summary of the optimal number of tritium inserted in a metal vacancy leading to the lowest defect energy, based on 0 K calculations.

### 3.4 Effect of temperature and tritium partial pressure

In order to investigate the effect of temperature and tritium partial pressure on the relative thermodynamic stability of tritiated and non-tritiated metal vacancies, *ab initio* thermodynamic calculations have been performed. This allows calculation of the Gibbs free energy of defects at conditions relevant to in-reactor operation at a temperature of 700 K and a range of tritium partial pressures. Figure 7 summarizes the relative thermodynamics for the most energetically favorable defects in each aluminide phase and the purple areas highlight a tritium partial pressure of potential interest.<sup>14</sup> By comparing the energy of tritiated metal vacancies to non-tritiated metal vacancies in each respective Fe-Al phase, a trend can be drawn. At a temperature of 700 K, Figure 7a shows that tritiated vacancies in  $\text{FeNiAl}_5$  are always higher in energy than non-tritiated metal vacancies over the range of  $p(\text{T}_2)$  investigated. At  $p(\text{T}_2)=1$  mbar, the Gibbs free energy difference between a singly tritiated Al vacancy and a non-tritiated Al vacancy, is 0.59 eV. In the

case of  $\text{Fe}_4\text{Al}_{13}$ , Figure 7b shows that tritiated metal vacancies are generally less energetically favorable than non-tritiated metal vacancies over the  $p(\text{T}_2)$  range of interest, except for a singly tritiated Al vacancy which can compete energetically with non-tritiated Fe vacancies. Interestingly, the energy difference between tritiated and non-tritiated Al vacancies is smaller than for  $\text{FeNiAl}_5$ . Especially, it was found that at  $p(\text{T}_2)=10^{-4}$  mbar a singly tritiated Al vacancy is iso-energetic with a non-tritiated Fe vacancy, indicating that tritiated vacancies can energetically compete with non-tritiated vacancies for high tritium partial pressures. However, a singly tritiated Al vacancy is less energetically favorable than a non-tritiated Al vacancy. In the case of the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_x$  family, Figures 7(c-e) show that tritiated metal vacancies can compete with non-tritiated vacancies. Over a  $p(\text{T}_2)$  range of  $10^{-6}$  mbar to  $10^2$  mbar, a singly tritiated Fe vacancy in  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_4$  is more thermodynamically stable than a non-tritiated Al or Fe vacancy. In  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5$ , a singly tritiated Fe vacancy is found more stable than each type of non-tritiated metal vacancy. In the case of  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_6$ , a singly tritiated Al vacancy and doubly tritiated Al channel vacancy are found to be more stable than a non-tritiated Al and Fe vacancy.

The overall analysis of the Gibbs free energy for tritiated and non-tritiated defects at conditions relevant to in-reactor operations follows the trend obtained previously suggesting that the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_x$  family of materials has more potential for tritium trapping in metal vacancies, followed by  $\text{Fe}_4\text{Al}_{13}$ , then  $\text{FeNiAl}_5$ .




**Figure 7:** Gibbs free energy of defect formation as function of tritium partial pressure ( $p(\text{T}_2)$ ) at a temperature of 700 K for (a)  $\text{FeNiAl}_5$ , (b)  $\text{Fe}_4\text{Al}_{13}$ , (c)  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_4$ , (d)  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5$ , and (e)  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_6$ . The area in purple highlights the range of tritium partial pressure of interest.

### 3.5 Interstitial binding energies of Tritium in FeNiAl<sub>5</sub>, Fe<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>13</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>6</sub>.

Occupation of interstitial sites in defect-free Fe-Al phases is connected to the intrinsic solubility of tritium. Experimentally, solubility is defined as the volume of T<sub>2</sub> gas absorbed by 100 grams of metal. The absorption occurs due to thermodynamics driving gaseous T<sub>2</sub> to dissociate (at the surface or in the metal) and individual T atoms to diffuse and occupy interstitial sites. Solubility is an equilibrium phenomenon that depends on the difference in free energies between a T atom in gas-phase T<sub>2</sub> and in an interstitial site in the Fe-Al phase. Hence solubility can be predicted by Sieverts' Law, which states the solubility of a diatomic gas in a metal is proportional to the square root of the partial pressure of the gas in thermodynamic equilibrium,<sup>15</sup> namely  $c_{\text{at}} = (Kp(\text{T}_2))^{1/2}$  where  $c_{\text{at}}$  is the concentration of dissolved T atoms, and K is the equilibrium constant for the reaction  $\text{T}_{2(\text{gas})} \leftrightarrow 2\text{T}_{(\text{dissolved in metal})}$ .

A quick way to screen for relative solubilities under conditions of interest is to determine the interstitial site with the lowest T binding free energy. Note that a full solubility determination requires simultaneous occupation of an ensemble of interstitial binding sites in a metal phase and calculation of their interaction energies as part of the free energy determination. A search for the lowest free energy binding sites was conducted in all the Fe-Al phases examined in this study: FeNiAl<sub>5</sub>, Fe<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>13</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>6</sub>. The free energy of a single T atom absorbed into an interstitial site in each phase at 700 K as a function of  $p(\text{T}_2)$  is shown in Figure 8.



**Figure 8:** Comparison of the Gibbs free energy for binding an interstitial tritium in the various Fe-Al phases as function of  $p(\text{T}_2)$  at  $T=700$  K.

In Figure 8, the favorable binding of a single T atom in an interstitial site under reactor conditions would be indicated if the free energy became negative within the purple region ( $\log(p(\text{T}_2))$  from -6 to 2). The fact that none of the free energies of binding cross the zero line and become negative except for Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub> in the range of  $p(\text{T}_2)$  of interest indicates that  $p(\text{T}_2)$  is generally not sufficient to drive T atoms into the interstitial sites. However, the result that interstitial tritium favorably binds to Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub> and not Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>4</sub> or Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>6</sub> suggests that the Al occupancy of the channel is an important factor that can affect interstitial tritium behavior in the Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>x</sub> family of materials.

## Conclusion

Density functional theory simulations have been carried out to investigate the potential for tritium trapping by metal vacancies in five different Fe-Al aluminide coating phases. It was found that tritiation of Fe and Ni vacancies is generally less favorable than the tritiation of Al vacancies. However, for the first tritiation, a trend in the defect formation energy can be obtained such that metal defects in the  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_x$  family of materials trap tritium species more favorably than metal vacancies in  $\text{Fe}_4\text{Al}_{13}$  and  $\text{FeNiAl}_5$ . Further investigations using *ab initio* thermodynamics calculations confirmed that trend for a range of tritium partial pressure at a temperature of 700 K. Especially, it was shown that the energy difference between tritiated and non-tritiated metal vacancies is smaller and more favorable for  $\text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_x$ , followed by  $\text{Fe}_4\text{Al}_{13}$ , and  $\text{FeNiAl}_5$ . While this study shows that tritium interacts differently in the various Fe-Al aluminide phases, it also suggests that tritium trapping and retention could be more efficient if metal defects are present in some Fe-Al phases.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) through the Tritium Science Research Supporting the Tritium Modernization Program (TMP) managed by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL). The authors thank the PNNL Institutional Computing (PIC) facility for providing computational resources.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency hereof.

## 4.0 References

1. Burgeson, I.E. *Watts Bar Cycle 12 Rod 2A PIE Protium and Tritium Assay Results*.
2. Edwards, D.J.; Olszta, M.J.; Sunderland, D.J.; Devaraj, A.; Schemer-Kohrn, A.L.; Matthews, B.E. "PIE of cycle 13 316SS cladding using aberration corrected STEM". Presentation at *Tritium Science Technical Exchange*, Virtual, Washington. PNNL-SA-156394 (**September 2020**).
3. Sakidja, R.; Perepezko, J.H., Calhoun, P. "Synthesis, Thermodynamic Stability and Diffusion Mechanism of Al<sub>5</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>-Based Coatings". *Oxid. Met.* **81**, 167-177 (2014).
4. Mihalkovič, M.; Widom, M. "Structure and stability of Al<sub>2</sub>Fe and Al<sub>5</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>: First-principles total energy and phonon calculations." *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 014113 (2012).
5. Sassi, M.; Senor, D.J. "Tritium diffusion in Fe-Al aluminide coating bulk phases". Presentation at *Tritium Science Technical Exchange*, Virtual, Washington. PNNL-SA-166531 (**September 2021**).
6. Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. "Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set". *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169-11186 (1996).
7. Perdew, J.P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. "Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple". *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865-3868 (1996).
8. Ellner, M.; Röhrer, T. "Zur Struktur der ternären Phase FeNiAl<sub>5</sub>/On the Structure of the Ternary Phase FeNiAl<sub>5</sub>". *Int. J. Mater. Res.* **81**, 847-849 (1990).
9. Grin, J.; Burkhardt, U.; Ellner, M.; Peters, K. "Refinement of the Fe<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>13</sub> structure and its relationship to the quasihomological homeotypical structures". *Z. Kristallogr. Krist.* **209**, 479-487 (1994).
10. Burkhardt, U.; Grin, Y.; Ellner, M. "Structure refinement of the iron-aluminium phase with approximate composition Fe<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>". *Acta Cryst.* **B50**, 313-316 (1994).
11. Monkhorst, H.J.; Pack, J.D. "Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations". *Phys. Rev. B* **13**, 5188 (1976).
12. Togo, A. and Tanaka, I. "First principles phonon calculations in materials science". *Scr. Mater.* **108**, 1-5 (2015).
13. *NIST-JANAF Thermochemical Tables*, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, edited by J.M.W. Chase American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, **1998**.
14. Luscher, W.G; Senor, D.J.; Clayton, K.K.; Longhurst, G.R. "In situ measurement of tritium permeation through stainless steel". *J. Nucl. Mater.* **437**, 3730379 (2013).
15. Gupta, C.K. "Chemical metallurgy: principles and practice", Wiley-VCH, **2003**, p. 273.

# **Pacific Northwest National Laboratory**

902 Battelle Boulevard  
P.O. Box 999  
Richland, WA 99354  
1-888-375-PNNL (7665)

***[www.pnnl.gov](http://www.pnnl.gov)***