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1. Objective

The objective of this project is to advance predictive engineering (PE) tools to accurately predict fiber orientation and length distributions in injection-molded long-carbon fiber thermoplastic composites for optimum design of automotive structures using these materials to meet weight and cost reduction requirements defined in Table 2 of DE-FOA-0000648 (Area of Interest 1).

2. Background

This project proposes to integrate, optimize and validate the fiber orientation and length distribution models previously developed and implemented in the Autodesk Simulation Moldflow Insight (ASMI) package for injection-molded long-carbon-fiber thermoplastic composites into a cohesive prediction capability. In our previous US Department of Energy (DOE) funded project, entitled “Engineering Property Prediction Tools for Tailored Polymer Composite Structures,” Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), with the University of Illinois and Autodesk, Inc., developed a unique assembly of computational algorithms providing state-of-the-art process and constitutive models that enhance the capabilities of commercial software packages to predict fiber orientation and length distributions as well as subsequent mechanical properties of injection-molded long-fiber thermoplastic (LFT) composites. These predictive capabilities were validated using fiber analysis data generated at Oak Ridge National Laboratory on two-dimensional (2D) structures consisting of edge-gated plaques and center-gated disks injection-molded from long-glass-fiber/polypropylene (PP) and long-glass-fiber/polyamide 6,6 (PA66) pellets. The present effort aims at rendering the developed models more robust and efficient to automotive industry part design to enable weight savings and cost reduction. This ultimate goal will be achieved by optimizing the developed models, improving and integrating their implementations in ASMI, and validating them for a complex three-dimensional (3D) long-carbon fiber (LCF) thermoplastic automotive part. Both PP and PA66 are used for the resin matrices. Local fiber orientation and length distributions at the key regions on the part are measured for the model validation based on a 15% accuracy criterion. The project outcome will be the ASMI package enhanced with computational capabilities to accurately predict fiber orientation and length distributions in automotive parts designed with long-carbon fiber thermoplastics.

3. Accomplishments

During the last quarter of FY 2015, the following technical progress has been made toward project milestones:

1) PlastiComp used the PlastiComp direct in-line (D-LFT) Pushtrusion system to injection mold 40 30wt% LCF/PP complex parts with ribs, 40 30wt% LCF/PP complex parts without ribs, 10 30wt% LCF/PA66 complex parts with ribs, and 35 30wt% LCF/PA66 complex parts without ribs. In addition, purge materials from the injection molding nozzle were obtained for fiber length analysis, and molding parameters were sent to PNNL for process modeling.

2) Magna cut samples at four selected locations (named A, B, C and D) from the non-ribbed Magna-molded complex parts based on a plan discussed with PNNL and the team and shipped these samples to Virginia Tech for fiber orientation and length measurements.

3) Virginia Tech started fiber orientation and length measurements for the samples taken from the complex parts using Virginia Tech’s established procedure.

4) PNNL and Autodesk built ASMI models for the complex parts with and without ribs, reviewed process datasheets and performed preliminary analyses of these complex parts using the actual molding parameters received from Magna and PlastiComp to compare predicted to experimental mold filling patterns.

5) Autodesk assisted PNNL in developing the workflow to use Moldflow fiber orientation and length results in ABAQUS® simulations.
6) Autodesk advised the team on the practicality and difficulty of material viscosity characterization from the D-LFT process.

7) PNNL developed a procedure to import fiber orientation and length results from a 3D ASMI analysis to a 3D ABAQUS® model for structural analyses of the complex part for later weight reduction study.

8) Toyota built a finite element model for the complex parts subjected to torsion loading.

9) PNNL built the 3D ABAQUS® model of the complex ribbed part subjected to 3-point bending.

10) University of Illinois (Prof. C.L. Tucker) advised the team on fiber orientation and fiber length measurement options, modeling issues as well as interpretation of data.

4. Progress and Status

4.1 Molding the Complex Parts with and without Ribs (PlastiComp)

Upon receiving the complex part tools shipped by Magna, PlastiComp received assistance from Toyota to adjust the complex part tool to the PlastiComp D-LFT Pushtrusion system (Figure 1) to injection mold 30wt% LCF/PP and 30wt% LCF/PA66 parts with ribs (Figures 2 and 3) and without ribs (Figure 4). Molding trials used standard molding processing conditions to retain optimal fiber lengths. Non-ribbed parts were very well molded from both materials. However, as observed in Magna’s moldings, D-LFT molding by PlastiComp also encountered the issue of incomplete filling of the ribs. Incomplete rib filling was more significant for the 30wt% LCF/PA66 material (Figure 2).

![Figure 1: PlastiComp D-LFT Pushtrusion system used to injection mold LCF/PP and LCF/PA66 parts with ribs and without ribs.](image)

The difficulty to completely fill out the ribs in particular with LCF/PA66 material was believed to be due to high viscosity material and small rib thickness. PlastiComp observed similar mold filling patterns...
to Magna molding (Figure 2), but also observed some fibers with poor wetout on the surfaces of the 30wt% LCF/PP D-LFT parts. This problem was most likely due to the non-optimal sizing on the carbon fibers and also the inability of the pushtrusion processing to maximize carbon fiber wetout. Finally, PlastiComp also pursued molding of 50wt% LCF/PA66 ribbed parts using the conventional LFT process to determine the effectiveness of molding high LCF loading. After molding, PlastiComp shipped the 30wt% LCF/PP and 30wt% LCF/PA66 parts to Magna for sample isolation for fiber orientation and length measurements.

Figure 2: PlastiComp’s D-LFT molding of the ribbed complex part from 30wt% LCF/PP material: the left figures compare PlastiComp D-LFT to Magna LFT moldings; the right figures show the poor fiber wetout issue observed on the top surface of the part.
Figure 3: PlastiComp D-LFT molding of the ribbed complex part from 30wt% LCF/PA66 material: incomplete fill of the ribs was observed.

Figure 4: PlastiComp’s D-LFT molding of the non-ribbed complex part from (a) 30wt% LCF/PP, and (b) 30wt% LCF/PA66 materials. The poor fiber wetout issue was also observed on the top surface of the 30wt% LCF/PP part.

4.2 Fiber Orientation and Length Measurements (Virginia Tech)
Virginia Tech received the samples from Magna and PlastiComp including nozzle purge materials, initial pellets, and samples cut from Locations A, B, and C on the non-ribbed complex parts (Figure 5). The positions of all the selected locations for fiber orientation and length measurements can be found in our third quarterly report [1]. For method validation, Virginia Tech measured fiber loadings for the purge materials and pellets and compared the measured values to the as-stated values. Fiber length measurements for the Magna and PlastiComp purge materials were also initiated, and this work continues into FY 2016. Virginia Tech also started fiber orientation measurements for samples at Locations A, B and C on the non-ribbed complex part (Figure 5) using Virginia Tech’s established method that applies the same key principles of the Leeds’ method [2]. 1 mm x 2.7 mm images of polished and etched samples were obtained and analyzed using the Virginia Tech’s in-house code that can account for partial objects and resolve out-of-plane angle ambiguity. Figure 6(a) presents part of the image obtained for Location C on the non-ribbed 30wt% LCF/PP part that shows very good contrast between the carbon fiber cross sections (white ellipses) and the matrix. Figure 6(b) reports fiber orientation components $A_{11}$, $A_{22}$ and $A_{33}$, measured in the 1-2-3 coordinate system at Location C where Axis 1 is parallel to the part longitudinal direction and Axis 2 is the thickness direction at this location. Figure 6(b) shows a clear shell/core layered orientation structure. Figure 7 reports Virginia Tech’s measured fiber orientation results for the Location C on the non-ribbed 30wt% LCF/PA66 part that exhibits a similar trend to the results reported in Figure 6 for Location C on the non-ribbed 30wt% LCF/PP part.
Figure 5: Locations A, B and C on the ribbed and non-ribbed parts where samples were cut out for fiber orientation and length measurements. Location D is on a rib. The sample size and the measurement surfaces marked in red for fiber orientation measurement are also defined in this figure.
Figure 6: (a) Surface after polishing and etching for fiber orientation measurement, and (b) measured fiber orientation components $A_{11}$, $A_{22}$ and $A_{33}$ at Location C of the 30wt% LCF/PP non-ribbed complex part.
Figure 7: (a) Surface after polishing and etching for fiber orientation measurement, and (b) measured fiber orientation components $A_{11}$, $A_{22}$ and $A_{33}$ at Location C of the 30wt% LCF/PA66 non-ribbed complex part.

4.3 ASMI Models for the 3D Complex Parts (PNNL and Autodesk):

Upon receiving the final computer aided design (CAD) files and processing data sheets from Magna and PlastiComp for the complex parts with and without ribs, PNNL worked with Autodesk to build ASMI mid-plane and 3D models of these parts for process simulations. ASMI mold filling analyses were conducted to exercise the models, to check mold filling, and also to prepare for exporting ASMI results to a corresponding ABAQUS® finite element (FE) model for future structural analyses of the parts. Figure 8(a) shows a 3D ASMI FE mesh of the complex part with ribs. The mesh was finely discretized with good element aspect ratios for accurate solutions. The predicted mold filling pattern for the Magna short shot at 60% fill of 30wt% LCF/PA66 is presented in Figure 8(b) along with the molded part, showing good agreement between the two.

ASMI preanalyses of the complex parts D-LFT-molded by PlastiComp were also conducted using the same rheological and thermal property parameters characterized previously by Autodesk for the conventionally LFT-molded materials [3-4]. It is noted that at this time there exist no rheological characterization methods established for D-LFT materials, and therefore, using the parameters identified for the “homologous” material (with the same fiber loading) molded by the conventional LFT process constitutes an approximation.
Figure 8: (a) A 3D ASMI finite element model for the complex part with ribs, (b) predicted vs. Magna’s experimental mold filling at 60% fill (short shot) of 30wt% LCF/PA66.

4.4 Parallel Mechanical Testing and Analysis Effort by Toyota (Toyota):

In a complimentary effort pursued in parallel with this DOE project, Toyota independently sought to assess mechanical performance of the molded parts. With suggestions from PNNL and Magna, Toyota developed mechanical test setups and built fixtures for torsion (Figure 9) and three-point bending (Figure 10) tests of the complex parts with ribs.

Figure 9: Torsion test setup and fixtures developed by Toyota.
While PNNL developed an 3D finite element (FE) model (Figure 11) of the complex part subjected to three-point bending (based on the test setup illustrated in Figure 10) that will be analyzed by PNNL’s EMTA-NLA and ABAQUS® [5], Toyota created a FE model of the same part subjected to torsion that will be analyzed by Digimat and ABAQUS®. The 3D FE model of three-point bending contains the same number of elements as the ASMI 3D model for process modeling. The supports and loading nose are initially in contact with the part.

4.5 Mapping Fiber Orientation Results from ASMI to ABAQUS® (PNNL, Autodesk):

In discussion with Autodesk, PNNL first used the ASMI command “patout” to output the nodal values of all the fiber orientation tensor components, $A_{ij}$, and of the weight-average length from an ASMI
analysis. Next, the use of the ASMI command “MPI2ABQ” has allowed creating an ABAQUS® input file that contains exactly the same 3D finite element mesh information as the ASMI 3D mesh (e.g., same node coordinates and element connectivity). PNNL then developed a procedure in PNNL’s EMTA-NLA to import ASMI’s nodal fiber orientation and length results into the as-created ABAQUS® mesh. Finally, to complete the FE model for the complex part subjected to three-point bending (Figure 11), it was necessary to include the model for the supports and loading nose, application of boundary conditions, the model for the contact of the part with the supports and loading nose as well as the material assignment for all these entities. In this work, the elastic behavior model of EMTA-NLA will be used in ABAQUS® analyses to design the part in the final stage considering weight and cost saving requirements.

Figures 12 and 13 show the mapping of fiber orientation components $A_{xx}$ and $A_{yy}$ from ASMI to ABAQUS® via EMTA-NLA. ASMI analysis of the 30wt% LCF/PA66 part was performed using Magna’s process parameters and assumed fiber orientation and length model parameters. We have verified for all the elements of the model that the mapped results in ABAQUS® are identical to the corresponding ASMI results.

**Figure 12:** (a) ASMI predicted contour of fiber orientation component, $A_{xx}$ that was imported into the 3D ABAQUS® model (b) via EMTA-NLA.

**Figure 13:** (a) ASMI predicted contour of fiber orientation component, $A_{yy}$ that was imported into the 3D ABAQUS® model (b) via EMTA-NLA.
5 Publications/Presentations
None

4 Patents
None

5 Future Plans
Magna will cut out samples from the designated locations of the ribbed parts molded by Magna as well as the ribbed and non-ribbed parts molded by PlastiComp and ship the samples to Virginia Tech for fiber length and fiber orientation measurements. Virginia Tech continues performing fiber orientation and length measurements for all the samples cut out from the Magna and PlastiComp parts. PNNL will work with Autodesk to validate fiber orientation and length predictions upon receiving measured data from Virginia Tech. In addition, Toyota will pursue structural analyses of the ribbed parts subjected to torsion while PNNL will pursue analyses of the same parts subjected to three-point bending. Structural responses will be considered once the ASMI fiber orientation and length predictions are validated for the complex parts.

6 References

7 Budgetary Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline Reporting Quarter</th>
<th>FY15-Q2</th>
<th>FY15-Q3</th>
<th>FY15-Q4</th>
<th>FY16-Q1</th>
<th>FY16-Q2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1/2015 - 3/31/2015</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Cumulative Total</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Cumulative Total</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Federal Share</td>
<td>$102,294</td>
<td>$672,648</td>
<td>$102,294</td>
<td>$774,941</td>
<td>$102,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Planned</td>
<td>$204,221</td>
<td>$1,265,960</td>
<td>$158,307</td>
<td>$1,424,268</td>
<td>$219,525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                           | Non-Federal Share | $127,783 | $1,083,395 | $135,147 | $1,218,542 | $92,282  | $1,310,824 | $1,310,824 | $1,310,824 |
|                           | Total Incurred Costs | $199,157 | $1,672,160 | $193,284 | $1,865,945 | $177,264 | $2,043,208 | $2,043,208 | $2,043,208 |

| Variance                  | Federal Share   | $30,553  | $4,547    | -$2,624  | $1,923    | $32,250  | $34,173    |
|                           | Total Variance  | $5,064   | -$406,201 | -$35,478 | -$441,678 | -$42,262 | -$399,417  |