

PNNL-19633

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830

Building Energy Audit Report for Hickam AFB, HI

WD Chvála, Jr. DR Dixon MI De La Rosa DR Brown

September 2010



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor Battelle Memorial Institute, nor any of their employees, makes **any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights**. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or Battelle Memorial Institute. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST NATIONAL LABORATORY operated by BATTELLE for the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830

Printed in the United States of America

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062; ph: (865) 576-8401 fax: (865) 576-5728 email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161 ph: (800) 553-6847 fax: (703) 605-6900 email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov online ordering: http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm



This document was printed on recycled paper. (9/2003)

Building Energy Audit Report for Hickam AFB, HI

WD Chvála, Jr. MI De La Rosa DR Brown DR Dixon

September 2010

Prepared for U.S. Department of Energy Federal Energy Management Program under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352

Executive Summary

An assessment of energy efficiency opportunities at Hickam Air Force Base (AFB), HI was performed by a team of engineers from Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) under contract to the Department of Energy/Federal Energy Management program (FEMP). The effort used the Facility Energy Decision System (FEDS) model to determine how energy is consumed at Hickam AFB, identify the most cost-effective energy retrofit measures, and calculate the potential energy and cost savings.

A team of engineers from PNNL visited Hickam AFB on 19-29 January 2010 to collect data for the FEDS assessment. During this visit, PNNL engineers collected energy-related information and data from 34 representative buildings, central plants, and other energy systems for input into the FEDS model.

The economic results presented in this report are based on the use of two different sources of capital funds to implement the energy projects; appropriated funds, and alternative financing (e.g., energy savings performance contract [ESPC]). The alternative financing economic input assumptions are for generic ESPC financing to illustrate the differences that the source of capital makes on the technology choices. The FEDS software is capable of performing the comprehensive assessment using other sources of capital (e.g., utility financing) with their distinct economic inputs. Thus, the site is encouraged to re-run the FEDS software using site-specific alternative financing options and reassess the results. This assessment does not include costs for design; supervision, inspection and overhead (SIOH), or any contingency funds, only the direct capital cost. These additional costs are usually estimated as a % of direct capital cost. A capital cost multiplier (e.g., typically 1.16 for design and SIOH) can be entered in FEDS and new results produced, or the results can be manually adjusted by increasing capital costs by the appropriate percentage and recalculating net present value (NPV), savings-to-investment ratio (SIR), and payback period.

This report documents the findings of the FEDS assessment and model results for appropriated funds and alternative financing sources of capital for the projects. A complete list of the 135 cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit measures is included in Appendix C-1 for projects funded using the appropriated funding source of capital. The complete list of 88 cost-effective energy and cost-reducing retrofit measures is included in Appendix C-2 for projects funded using the alternative financing source of capital.

Table ES.1 summarizes the results of the energy assessment by retrofit category for appropriated funding sources of capital. Table ES.2 summarizes the results of the energy assessment by retrofit category for alternative financing sources of capital.

Table ES.1 Summary of Potential Energy and Cost Savings for Hickam AFB Using the Appropriated Funds Source of Capital

Retrofit Category	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
Cooling	14,057	2,820,521	5,839,032	829,207	7.04	2.06
Hot Water	8,200	3,998,220	780,747	376,988	2.07	6.49
Lights	26,579	20,022,961	6,385,181	1,576,090	4.05	4.14
Envelope	5,020	3,544,797	1,007,113	273,564	3.68	4.11
Total	53,856	30,386,499	14,012,073	3,055,849	4.59	3.78

Table ES.2 Summary of Potential Energy and Cost Savings for Hickam AFB Using the <u>Alternative Financing</u> Source of Capital

Retrofit Category	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple payback (yr)	SIR
Cooling	2,195	272,865	449,371	125,096	3.59	1.60
Hot Water	7,636	1,513,034	580,792	352,364	1.65	3.58
Lights	21,532	4,368,106	3,611,535	1,369,636	2.64	2.27
Envelope	550	58,376	140,688	34,678	4.06	1.39
Total	31,913	6,212,381	4,782,386	1,881,774	2.54	2.34

For appropriated funds source of capital in Table ES.1, Hickam AFB can save 53,856 MMBtu/year and \$3,055,849/year if all cost-effective retrofits are implemented. The site can reduce its energy consumption by 15.1% by implementing the 135 cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing projects identified in this report.

For alternative financing source of capital in Table ES.2, Hickam AFB can save 31,913 MMBtu/year and \$1,881,774/year if all cost-effective retrofits are implemented. The site can reduce its energy consumption by 9.1% by implementing the 88 cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing projects identified in this report.

In addition to this report, the Hickam AFB energy manager will receive a complete record of the FEDS input and output files. The FEDS input files consist of the relevant building and equipment data collected and the assumptions made to perform the complex engineering analysis. The FEDS output files contain considerably more detail in support of future project development.

Emissions Reduction

Implementing all the cost-effective building retrofits using appropriated funds will result in a 18% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. These reductions are summarized in table ES.3 and included for each building in appendix D.

Table ES.3 Emissions	Reduction from	Cost-Effective Retrofits
-----------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------

Greenhouse Gas	Reduction
Sulfur Oxides (lb)	148,499
Nitrogen Oxides (lb)	71,453
Carbon Monoxide (lb)	123,218
Carbon Dioxide (tons)	15,155
Particulate Matter (lb)	2,948
Hydrocarbons (lb)	50,864

Job Creation

The jobs created from implementation of all the cost-effective retrofits using appropriated funds total 152 job-years. One job-year is equal to \$92,000 in capital spending for implementation.

Contents

Executive Summary	iii
Emissions Reduction	<i>v</i>
Job Creation	<i>v</i>
Contents	vii
Description of ARRA program	1
Background	
Introduction	5
Purpose	5
Site Visits and Teams	5
Current Status	7
Description of Facilities	9
Analytical Approach	11
Buildings	11
Central Energy Plants	11
Energy Prices	13
Other Loads	
Model Calibration	14
Description of Opportunities Identified	15
Conversion to Water-Cooled Chillers	19
Installation Load Reduction Potential	21
Recommendations for More In-Depth Assessments	23
Implementation Options	25
Emissions Reduction	27
Contacts	29
Appendix A FEDS Data Collection Form	33
Appendix B Facility Category Descriptions and Associated Buildings	37
Appendix C-1 Comprehensive List of Cost-Effective Projects Identified fro Assessment Using Appropriated Source of Capital	
Appendix C-2 Comprehensive List of Cost-Effective Projects Identified fro Assessment Using Alternative Financing Sources of Capital	
Appendix D-1 Energy Conservation Measures for Individual Buildings Funding	
Building 2186 Storage Building	

Building 2035 Hanger	71
Building 1204 Administration Building	
Building 2155 Administration Building	
Building 502 Law Office	
Building 2133 Administration Building	
Building 2125 Administration Building	
Building 559 Clinic Building	111
Building 1060 Laboratory Building	117
Building 1805 Dormitory Building	121
Building 1856 Dormitory Building	127
Building 1166 Lodging Facility	133
Building 2040 Aircraft Maintenance Shop	139
Building 1715 Recycling Center	147
Building 2177 Maintenance Shop	155
Building 4016 Maintenance Shop	163
Building 2131 Administration Building	171
Building 1728 Warehouse	
Building 1072 Supply Warehouse	
Building 1070 Warehouse Building	195
Building 2002 Vehicle Maintenance Building	
Building 1713 Warehouse Building	
Building 2130 Corrosion Control Hangar	
Building 1860 Dining Hall	
Building 1804 Dining Facility	
Building 594 Lavatory Building	
Building 2093 Commissary	
Building 2028 Passenger Terminal	
Building 1597 Child Care Facility	
Building 1891 Bowling Facility	
Building 1750 Religious Education Facility	
Building 1120 Gymnasium	
Building 2003 Vehicle Maintenance Building	
Appendix D-2 Energy Conservation Measures for Individual Buildings	Alternative
Financing	
Building 2186 Storage Building	
Building 2035 Hanger	
Building 1204 Administration Building	
Building 2155 Adminstration Building	
Building 502 Law Office	
Building 2133 Administration Building	
Building 2125 Administration Building	

Building 559 Clinic	
Building 1060 Laboratory	
Building 1805 Dormitory	
Building 1856 Dormitory	
Building 1166 Lodging Facility	
Building 2040 Aircraft Maintenance Shop	
Building 1715 Recycling Center	
Building 2177 Maintenance Shop	
Building 4016 Maintenance Shop	
Building 2131 Administrative Building	
Building 1728 Warehouse	
Building 1072 Supply Warehouse	
Building 1070 Warehouse Building	
Building 2002 Vehicle Maintenance Shop	
Building 1713 Warehouse	
Building 2130 Corrosion Control Hangar	
Building 1860 Dining Hall	
Building 1804 Dining Facility	
Building 594 Lavatory Facility	
Building 2093 Commissary	
Building 2028 Passenger Terminal	
Building 1597 Child Care Center	
Building 1891 Bowling Facility	
Building 1750 Religious Education Facility	
Building 1120 Gymnasium	
Building 2003 Vehicle Maintenance Facility	503

Appendix E Conversion to Water-Cooled Chillers for Building Space Cooling 511

Figures

Figure 1 Military Installations on O`ahu, Hawai`i	. 4
Figure 2 Hickam AFB Energy Reduction Glide Path	. 7

Tables

	ES.1 Summary of Potential Energy and Cost Savings for Hickam AFB Using the Appropriated Funds Source of Capital	. iv
	ES.2 Summary of Potential Energy and Cost Savings for Hickam AFB Using the Alternative Financing Source of Capital	. iv
Table	ES.3 Emissions Reduction from Cost-Effective Retrofits	v
1	List of Facilities by Facility Category Description	10
2	Central Energy Plants and the Buildings They Serve at Hickam AFB	11
3	Marginal Electricity Rates for Hickam, Pearl, and Smith	12
4	FEDS Calibration Results	12
5a	Summary of All Cost-Effective Projects Identified from the FEDS Assessment for Hickam AFB Using Appropriated Source of Capital	16
5b	Summary of All Cost-Effective Projects Identified from the FEDS Assessment for Hickam AFB Using Alternative Financing as the Source of Capital	17
6	Hickam Buildings 2130, 2131, 2133 Existing System Performance and Electricity Cost	20
7	Comparison of Funding Sources	25
8	Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Emissions	27
C-1	Comprehensive List of Cost-Effective Projects Using Appropriated Sources of Capital	45
C-2 Capita	Comprehensive List of Cost-Effective Projects Using Alternative Financing Sources of al	57

Description of ARRA program

On February 13, 2009, Congress passed the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 at the urging of President Obama, who signed it into law 4 days later. A direct response to the economic crisis, the Recovery Act has three immediate goals:

- Create new jobs and save existing ones
- Spur economic activity and invest in long-term growth
- Foster unprecedented levels of accountability and transparency in government spending.¹

The U.S. Pacific Command (PACOM) is facing significant energy challenges and has identified the need for a comprehensive and integrated approach to addressing these challenges. In a letter dated March 30, 2009, the PACOM Director of Resources and Assessments requested the support of the Department of Energy Federal Energy Management Program (DOE FEMP) in specific assessment, analysis, and training tasks to work toward the accomplishment of PACOM's energy security strategy. An integrated set of ARRA proposals for FEMP assistance requested national laboratory support for the execution of the identified tasks. The resulting 2009-2010 FEMP PACOM scope of work includes renewable energy and efficiency assessments, energy manager training and development, smart grid and islanding feasibility studies, alternative contracting assistance, and technology demonstrations.

In a competitive grant approach across the services and commands, the national laboratories were awarded over \$3,000,000 from DOE FEMP to support PACOM needs. The funds are dedicated to technical assistance projects aimed at bringing the most advanced energy-efficiency, renewable power generation, and microgrid assessments and analyses to Department of Defense (DOD) installations in Hawai`i and throughout the Pacific region.

This comprehensive building energy efficiency assessment represents a single task (Task 2.1, FEMP project 237) in the larger PACOM, ARRA-funded energy program.

¹ <u>http://www.recovery.gov/</u>

Background

As the United States' oldest combatant command, PACOM has been a force for peace and a committed partner in the Asia-Pacific region for more than 60 years. With an area of responsibility (AOR) that includes more than 3.4 billion people and encompasses about half the Earth's surface, the Command remains a significant stabilizing influence in the world. PACOM is supported by four component commands: U.S. Pacific Fleet, U.S. Pacific Air Forces, U.S. Army Pacific, and U.S. Marine Corps Forces, Pacific. These commands are headquartered in Hawai'i and have forces stationed and deployed throughout the region.

Home of Headquarters Pacific Air Forces (PACAF) and the 15th Airlift Wing, Hickam Air Force Base is the largest installation in the wing and consists of 2,850 acres of land and facilities valued at more than \$405 million. Sharing its runways with adjacent Honolulu International Airport (HIA), Hickam and the HIA constitute a single airport complex operated under a joint-use agreement.

The mission of the 15th Airlift Wing is to partner with the Hawaii Air National Guard to provide strategic and tactical airlift capability to PACAF and Air Mobility Command to support local and worldwide missions of combat support and humanitarian or disaster relief. The second mission of the 15th Airlift Wing is to enhance PACAF's power and reach by ensuring world-class en route support, maintaining operational ready forces, and providing superior customer service. The third mission of the wing is to provide airlift support to the commander, Pacific Air Forces and the commander, Pacific Command.

On an average day U.S. military forces in Hawai'i require 3 GW of electricity, representing approximately 10% of the total electricity needs of the islands. A map of military sites on O'ahu is included in Figure 1. Facilities on other islands include: Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) on Kaua`i, Pohakuloa Training Area (PTA) and Kilauea Military Center (KMC) on Hawai`i Island, and the Maui High Performance Computing Center (MHPCC) on Maui. In addition to most of these sites, the FEMP PACOM program tasks are performing work in Alaska, Guam, and Japan.



Figure 1 Military Installations on O`ahu, Hawai`i

Introduction

This report contains the results of a comprehensive building energy efficiency assessment conducted at Hickam AFB, Hawaii, by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL). The scope of this activity was based on performing a site-wide energy assessment using the Facility Energy Decision System (FEDS) process to identify cost-effective energy- and cost-reduction projects. In addition, 34 buildings were selected for detailed energy audits of sufficient scope to comply with Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA), section 432 requirements for energy and water evaluations at covered facilities. The results of the FEDS assessment will be used by the installation to develop an implementation plan for the energy conservation measures identified, and outline how Hickam AFB will meet the goals of Executive Order 13423 by FY 2015.

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to present the findings resulting from the site visit performed January 19-29, 2010, and subsequent modeling and analysis. The objective of the site visit was to collect the necessary data to conduct a detailed site assessment using the FEDS process, which would result in a list of cost-effective, energy- and cost-reduction projects for Hickam AFB.

Site Visits and Teams

The formal kickoff of the site assessment at Hickam AFB was held on the morning of January 19, 2010. The PNNL team presented an overview of the FEDS assessment process, the data requirements, and schedule for the Hickam AFB work. Participating in this meeting was:

- 1. Randy Grant Hickam AFB Energy Manager
- 2. Jill Sims Project Manager/Technical lead, SENTECH Hawaii
- 3. Roger Dunn– Resource Efficiency Manager, Hickam AFB
- 4. Jared Strebel Resource Efficiency/Energy Manager, NAVFAC Hawaii
- 5. Doug Dixon PNNL
- 6. Daryl Brown PNNL
- 7. Bill Chvála PNNL
- 8. Marcus De La Rosa PNNL

Current Status

The Energy Policy Act (EPAct) of 2005 set annual energy reduction goals in British thermal unit (Btu) per gross square foot (sq ft) (Btu/sq ft) of 2% per year for FY 2006 through FY 2015. The overall goal is 20% reduction by FY 2015 using FY 2003 as the baseline year. EPAct 2005 goals apply equally to all buildings: standard <u>and</u> industrial. Executive Order (E.O.) 13423 *Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy, and Transportation Management (January 26, 2007)*, increased the energy reduction goal to 3% per year or 30% reduction by FY 2015. In addition, the E.O. established a water reduction goal for federal facilities. Agencies are to reduce water consumption intensity, relative to a FY 2007 baseline, by 2% annually through FY 2015, or 16% total by FY 2015.

Hickam AFB is behind the compliance glide path — 6.4% above the 2003 baseline, compared to the FY 2009 targeted reduction of 9.0% below the baseline. The historical energy intensity for Hickam AFB Defense Utility Energy Reporting System (DUERS) is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2 Hickam AFB Energy Reduction Glide Path

Description of Facilities

Hickam AFB is a large Air Force installation consisting of 322 buildings totaling approximately 4.87 million square feet, not including the family housing facilities. The scope of the FEDS assessment performed at Hickam AFB included all facilities in the primary cantonment area. Because family housing has been privatized and is metered separately, it was not considered in this analysis.

Table 1 identifies the list of facility categories for the FEDS assessment and the facility proxies for each category. The facilities at Hickam AFB were divided into 30 categories for the purpose of building audits. A complete listing of the facilities (buildings) associated with each FEDS facility category (including subgroups) is provided in Appendix B.

FEDS Facility Category Code	Facility Category Description	Proxy Facility No.	Facility Quantity	Category Area (sq. ft.)
1a	Overhead Protection/Tent Pad	2186	15	38,382
10a	Large Older Admin/School/HQ	1102	1	519,549
10b	Mid-sized Older Admin/School/HQ	2035	6	469,042
10c	Smaller Older Admin/School/HQ	1204	9	150,726
10d	Mid-size Newer Admin/School/HQ	2155	3	71,322
10e	Smaller Newer Admin/School/HQ	502	13	60,670
10f	Larger Newer Admin/School/HQ	2133	4	115,164
10g	Smaller New Admin/School/HQ	2003, 2125	21	74,987
21a	Health Clinic/Vet	559	4	93,381
23a	Hospital/Medical Center	1060	8	54,337
30a	Dormitory Airman Permanent Party	1805	2	121,649
30b	Dormitory Airman Permanent Party	1856	3	121,924
30c	Dormitory Airman Visiting Quarters	1166	18	256,336
40a	Larger Base Engineer Maintenance Shop	2040,1715, 2177	8	242,165
40b	Smaller Base Engineer Maintenance Shop	4016	7	50,939
40c	Shop Aircraft Maintenance	2131	15	126,642
50a	Warehouse Supply and Equipment Base	1728, 1072	10	1,012,107
50v	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	2002	11	91,158
50b	Exchange Store/Security/MWR	1713	20	229,766
50c	Hanger Aircraft Maintenance	2130	1	56,734
50d	Warehouse Supply and Equipment Base	1070	51	162,973
60a	Airman Dining Hall	1860	2	50,108
60b	Enlisted Open Mess	1804	10	100,424
60m	Multipurpose Recreation Building	594	41	42,761
60c	Exchange Sales Store	2093	3	305,569
60t	Air Passenger Terminal	2028	1	46,128
80a	Child Care Center	1597	15	51,664
80b	Recreation Center	1891	16	100,297
80c	Religious Education Facility	1750	3	11,839
80d	Gymnasium	1120	1	46,719
-	Total	34 bldgs	322	4,875,462

Table 1. List of Facilities by Facility Category Description

Analytical Approach

The general approach was to develop a model of the buildings and other energy-related infrastructure at Hickam AFB, calibrate that model to actual FY 2009 energy use, and then utilize the model to predict energy consumption and identify cost-effective retrofits under typical meterological year (TMY) weather conditions.

Buildings

Building inventory data for Hickam AFB were obtained from the Air Force Automated Civil Engineering System. A total of 30 building groups were developed to represent the Base and each of the buildings at Hickam AFB was assigned to one of the groups. The mean building size (square footage) and vintage (age) were then calculated for each group based on the building inventory specific to Hickam AFB. Building characteristics were developed from a combination of inferencing relationships within the FEDS model (driven by building type, size, climate, and vintage), walk-through audits of selected buildings at Hickam AFB, and additional building data collected while visiting the Base.

Central Energy Plants

Any building that provides heating or cooling to more than one building is considered a Central Energy Plant (CEP) in the FEDS analysis. Hickam AFB has has no large central hot water or steam plants.

Hickam AFB has one central air-cooled chiller plant that we could identify (see Table 2). The plant has a combined capacity of 80 tons of cooling, providing cooling to 108,794 square feet of building space. The CEP serves buildings 2130, 2131, and 2133.

Chilled Water Plant	Number of Chillers	Total Capacity (Tons)	Total Floor Area (ft ²)	Buildings Served
2134	2	80	108,794 ft ²	2130, 2131, 2133

Table 2. Central Energy Plants and the Buildings They Serve at Hickam AFB

Energy Prices

Hickam Air Force Base, Pearl Harbor, and Camp Smith (hereinafter, Hickam, Pearl, and Smith) are all served by Hawaiian Electric Company (HECO) under Schedule PP, Large Power Primary Voltage Service. Minor differences in the marginal electricity costs for the three organizations stem from differences in their power factors and the use of Rider M, Off-Peak and Curtailable Services, by Pearl.

The root marginal demand charge for Schedule PP is \$11.85/kW. Energy charges are billed per a declining block structure that is a function of the peak demand. This effectively results in an additional \$2.78/kW demand charge because an increase in demand shifts more energy into higher-priced blocks. The first 200 kWh/kW are billed at \$0.121534/kWh, and the second 200 kWh/kW are billed at \$0.113702/kWh. All kWh in excess of 400 kWh/kW are billed at \$0.110668/kWh. The demand profiles at Hickam, Pearl, and Smith all result in the marginal kWh being billed at the rate for the third block.

Several adjustments are applied that affect the marginal electricity cost. The total bill is decreased by 0.1% for each 1% that power factors are above 85% (and vice-versa if the power factor is below 85%). "Interim" increases in the rates established in 2007 and 2009 add 2.82% to the total bill. Finally, the combination of Public Benefit Funds, Energy Cost, and Integrated Resource Planning surcharges add a little more than \$0.03 to the cost of each kWh.

The billing demand for each month is the higher of the actual peak demand for that month or the average of peak demand for that month and the peak demand for the previous 11 months. This structure cannot be directly modeled in FEDS, but was found to be equivalent to a 92% annual demand ratchet, which can be modeled in FEDS.

Pearl utilizes Rider M to reduce its demand charge by agreeing to reduce its load from 5-9 PM, Monday through Friday. This rider reduces its billing demand by 75% of the difference between its overall peak demand and its peak demand during the 5-9 PM period. For Pearl, the Rider M billing demand averaged 96% of its actual peak demand during 2009. This is equivalent to using the actual peak demand as the billing demand and reducing the demand charge by 4%, which was the modeling approach used for FEDS.

The resulting marginal electricity costs are summarized in Table 3.

	Hickam	Pearl	Smith
Demand Charge, \$/kW	14.92	14.24	14.86
Energy Charge, \$/kWh	0.1433	0.1426	0.1431
Demand Ratchet, %	92%	N/A	92%

Table 3. Marginal Electricity Rates for Hickam, Pearl, and Smith

Hickam AFB uses a modest amount of propane and fuel oil, which are delivered regularly. Propane cost is 32.15 / MMBtu and fuel oil is 5.10 / Gallon.

Other Loads

No comprehensive inventory of exterior lighting was documented. A count of street lights was taken from site plans but runway and taxi lights were not accounted for. Previous experience at other military installations was used to estimate load. This estimate is based on total square footage at Hickam AFB multiplied by typical lighting density found at other military sites. The resulting exterior lighting annual electric consumption was estimated at 2.59 million kWh.

The estimated annual electricity consumption for water pumping (potable water and sewage) was nearly 1.0 million kWh. This estimate is based on assumptions developed at other military installations with similar site characteristics, size, and water consumption. Electricity distribution losses were assumed to be 4% of purchased electricity.

Model Calibration

Building energy use was simulated with FEDS and combined with the non-building energy infrastructure characterization to predict the total site energy consumption for FY 2009. Uncertain elements of the modeling assumptions were adjusted until the model's energy consumption prediction matched "reasonably well" with actual energy consumption for FY 2009. Specific model calibration results are shown in Table 4.²

Modeled Element	Fuel Type	Error	
	Electricity	-0.61 %	
Total by Fuel Type	Propane	1.50 %	
	Fuel Oil #2	11.09 %	
Total Energy	All	-0.61 %	

Table 4. FEDS Calibration Results

 $^{^2}$ For example, an error of +0.5% means that the model predicts energy consumption 0.5% higher than reported consumption.

Description of Opportunities Identified

The number of conceivable energy conservation measures, fuel-switching opportunities, and renewable-energy projects at federal sites is very large. The FEDS model is used to cost-effectively identify energy saving opportunities for the site. FEDS is a software tool that provides a comprehensive method to quickly and objectively identify energy improvements that offer maximum life-cycle cost savings. FEDS determines the optimum set of cost-effective retrofits from a current database of hundreds of proven technologies. These include retrofits for heating, cooling, lighting, motors, building envelope, and hot water systems. Interactive effects are also evaluated as part of the optimization process so that energy savings are not double counted or undercounted. The results are based on life-cycle cost economics consistent with 10 CFR 436.

FEDS identifies the package of retrofits that individually and collectively minimize the life-cycle cost of building energy services, resulting in projects where the net present value (NPV) of the investment is greater than or equal to zero and the savings-to-investment ratio (SIR) is greater than or equal to one. Results are developed for government (appropriated) and alternative (e.g., energy savings performance contract [ESPC] and utility energy services contract [UESC]) financing assumptions.

In general, the discount rate is higher and the economic evaluation life is shorter for alternative financing compared to government financing. The economic life for the latter is set at 25 years with the discount rate adjusted each year in response to market conditions. The currently prescribed government discount rate is 3.0% in real terms, i.e., in excess of general inflation. Alternative financing assumptions are not prescribed, but set by negotiation between the energy services company (ESCO) and the Federal organization. An economic evaluation life of 10 years and a real discount rate of 10% are used to represent alternative financing conditions in this assessment, based on a collection of prior site experiences in the Army. This assessment does not include costs for design; supervision, inspection and overhead (SIOH), or any contingency funds, only the direct capital cost. These additional costs are usually estimated as a % of direct capital cost. A capital cost multiplier (e.g., typically 1.16 for design and SIOH) can be entered in FEDS and new results produced, or the results can be manually adjusted by increasing capital costs by the appropriate percentage and recalculating NPV, SIR, and payback period.

Table 5a summarizes the FEDS results by retrofit category (e.g., cooling) and type (e.g., chillers) using appropriated funding as the source of capital for the projects. Table 5b summarizes the FEDS results by retrofit category using alternative financing as the source of capital for the projects. The complete list of cost-effective energy- and cost-reduction projects resulting from the FEDS modeling and analysis are presented Appendices C-1 (appropriated funds) and C-2 (alternative financing).³

³ It should be noted that in addition to this report, the Hickam AFB energy manager will also receive a CD-ROM, which includes all the FEDS input data and output project files. The input data files reflect information collected during the site visits and additional assumptions required to perform the FEDS modeling and assessment. The output project files contain significantly more detailed information to support the list of cost-effective energy projects identified in Appendices C-1 and C-2.

Table 5a.Summary of All Cost-Effective Projects Identified from the FEDS Assessment
for Hickam AFB Using Appropriated Sources of Capital (by Retrofit Category
and Type)

Retrofit Category	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
	Packaged ACTotal	895	41,361	179,467	60,318	2.98	3.80
	Water-Cooled Chiller						
Cooling	Total	12,989	3,073,646	5,478,947	787,151	6.96	2.02
	Window AC Total	173	16,816	196,484	19,857	9.89	1.20
	Subtotal	14,057	2,820,521	5,839,032	867,326	7.04	2.06
	Distillate Oil Boiler Total	522	340,737	56,604	14,521	3.90	7.60
	Heat Pump Water Heater						
Hot Water	Total	7,407	3,624,423	708,402	351,313	2.02	6.42
	Misc Measures Total	271	33,060	15,741	11,154	1.41	4.69
	Subtotal	8,200	3,998,220	780,747	376,988	2.07	6.49
	CFL Total	2,421	2,502,424	126,319	156,479	0.81	20.55
	EXIT Lighting Total	2,908	3,242,580	209,262	204,437	1.02	16.45
	Super T8 total	15,641	11,463,234	4,323,525	942,054	4.59	3.66
Lights	T8 Total	284	160,785	237,780	23,557	10.09	1.64
	High Pressure Sodium Total	5,122	2,471,851	1,411,822	234,220	6.03	2.76
	Ballast Total	203	182,087	76,473	15,343	4.98	3.36
	Subtotal	26,579	20,022,961	6,385,181	1,576,090	4.05	4.14
Envelope	Roof Insulation Total	4,989	3,538,677	983,515	271,778	3.62	4.19
	Windows Total	31	6,102	23,598	1,786	13.2	1.30
	Subtotal	5,020	3,544,797	1,007,113	273,564	3.68	4.11
Grand Total		53,856	30,386,499	14,012,073	3,055,849	4.59	3.78

From Table 5a, the total cost-effective energy savings is estimated at 53,856 MMBtu/year representing \$3,055,849/year savings with an overall savings to investment ratio (SIR) of 3.78. This represents 15.1% in energy savings based on FY 2009 energy data reported to DUERS.

The greatest energy saving potential was found in lighting retrofits. Although T8 lighting is good, advanced T8 lighting can yield additional savings (15,641 MMBtu/year), followed by installation of water cooled chillers (12,989 MMBtu/year). Similiarly, advanced T8 retrofits yields the largest estimated dollar savings (\$942,054/year) and water cooled chillers (\$787,151/year).

Table 5b. Summary of All Cost-Effective Projects Identified from the FEDS Assessment
for Hickam AFB Using Alternative Financing Sources of Capital (by Retrofit
Category and Type)

Retrofit Category	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple payback (yr)	SIR
Cooling	Packaged AC Total	960	193,476	175,291	63,405	2.76	2.10
	Water-Cooled Chiller Total	1,235	79,389	274,080	61,691	4.44	1.28
	Subtotal	2,195	272,865	449,371	125,096	3.59	1.60
	Heat Pump Water Heater Total	6,946	1,359,000	572,481	327,997	1.75	3.36
Hot Water	Misc Measures Total	690	154,034	8,311	24,367	0.34	13.36
	Subtotal	7,636	1,513,034	580,792	352,364	1.65	3.58
	CFL Total	2,241	803,188	55,504	147,301	0.38	15.04
	EXIT Lighting Total	2,927	1,009,594	175,183	202,065	0.87	6.86
	Super T8 Lights	6,969	1,118,055	1,504,908	450,038	3.34	1.77
Lights	T8 Lights	4,254	1,117,475	653,941	302,677	2.16	2.70
	High Pressure Sodium Total	5,029	301,927	1,171,181	255,984	4.58	1.29
	Ballast Total	112	17,867	50,818	11,571	4.39	1.34
	Subtotal	21,532	4,368,106	3,611,535	1,369,636	2.64	2.27
Envelope	Roof Insulation Total	550	58,376	140,688	34,678	4.06	1.39
	Subtotal	550	58,376	140,688	34,678	4.06	1.39
Grand Tota	al	31,913	6,212,381	4,782,386	1,881,774	2.54	2.34

From Table 5b, the total cost-effective energy savings is estimated at 31,913 MMBtu/year representing \$1,881,774/year savings with an overall savings to investment ratio (SIR) of 2.34. This represents 9.1% in energy savings based on FY 2009 energy data reported to DUERS.

The greatest energy saving potential was found in advanced T8 lighting measures (6,969 MMBtu/year), followed by heat pump water heater systems (6,946 MMBtu/year). Similiarly, the largest estimated dollar savings was advanced T8 lighting measures (\$450,038/year) followed by heat pump water heater systems (\$327,997/year).

As would be expected, the total number of cost-effective retrofits is fewer (and installed cost/ capital investment is significantly less) under alternative financing source of capital, and thus, the energy and dollar savings are likewise less. The total number of cost-effective retrofits using appropriated source of capital is 135 and the total number of cost-effective retrofits using alternative financing source of capital is 88. Using appropriated funding will save 21,943 MMBtu/year and \$1,174,075/year more than alternative financing. Utilizing alternative financing reduces the simple payback from 4.59 to 2.54 years because some projects with longer paybacks are eliminated under the alternative financing scenario.

The complete list of cost-effective energy- and cost-reduction projects is given Appendix C-1 for appropriated funds sources of capital and in Appendix C-2 for alternative financing sources of capital.⁴

⁴ The Hickam AFB energy manager will also receive a CD, that includes all the FEDS input data and output project files. The input data files reflect information collected during the site visits and additional assumptions required to perform the FEDS modeling and assessment.

Conversion to Water-Cooled Chillers

Water-cooled condensing of cooling equipment refrigerant results in a significant improvement in efficiency compared to air-cooled condensing chillers. This advantage stems from two factors. Condenser water from an evaporative cooling tower is generally cooler than ambient air (except when the relative humidity is very high), and water is a more effective heat transfer fluid than air. The two factors work together to lower the refrigerant condensing temperature, hence improving both theoretical and actual refrigeration cycle efficiency. Combining cooling loads met by multiple smaller cooling units into fewer central units allows additional efficiency gains by using centrifugal compressors, a more efficient technology than alternative compressor types commonly used in smaller cooling equipment. These advantages do come at a price, however. Condensing refrigerant with water requires additional costs associated with a cooling tower, condenser water pumps and piping, and a shell to enclose the water as it passes by the condenser tubing. The condenser pump also represents an additional power consuming device that an aircooled unit does not have. Finally, the distribution of centrally chilled water incurs pumping and piping costs and pumping energy not required by distributed direct expansion coolers (e.g., window air conditioner [AC] and packaged rooftop AC).

For the reasons noted above, water-cooled chillers offer significant performance advantages over air-cooled equipment that must be weighed against their additional capital costs. During the last few decades, space cooling has become much more common in Hawaiian military facilities because internal heating loads (e.g., personal computers and other office equipment) have increased, building designs have become less suitable for natural ventilation, and occupants expect a more comfortable working environment. The FEDS model generated retrofit recommendations for replacing air-cooled chillers with water-cooled chillers <u>at the building level</u>. The following paragraphs discuss the impact of combining these energy conservation measures (ECMs) into a centralized chilled-water plant. More details of the assessment of water-cooled chillers at Hickam AFB are provided in Appendix E.

Buildings 2130, 2131, and 2133 are currently served by a small central cooling plant comprised of two air-cooled chillers. The proposed retrofit would replace the existing air-cooled chillers with two water-cooled chillers, a cooling tower, and condenser water pumps and piping. The existing chilled water pumps and piping would not change and the electrical service to the central plant should be adequate for the retrofit.

The peak and annual building cooling loads were estimated with the FEDS model and the performance of the existing chillers was estimated from manufacturer's specifications for the two units. From this information, the annual kWh and peak kW electrical loads were calculated and then combined with Hickam's electricity rates to calculate the current annual electricity costs. The existing system performance and electricity cost figures are presented in Table 6.

Although the FEDS model estimates a peak of only 61 tons for the three buildings, two 40-ton water-cooled chillers were assumed for the retrofit to match the existing nameplate capacity of the two air-cooled chillers. In this size range, the water-cooled chillers were assumed to use a rotary screw compressor rated at 0.73 kW/ton. In addition, the condenser water pump and

cooling tower fan would be expected to consume 0.12 kW/ton for a total cooling plant performance of 0.85 kW/ton. The annual electricity bill for the water-cooled system was calculated to be \$35,360 based on these assumptions, resulting in an annual savings of about \$15,000 and a peak electric load reduction of 22 kW.

Building	Peak Load, Tons	Annual Load, Ton- hours	Annual Capacity Factor	Existing Air Cooled kW/ton	Existing Annual Electricity kWh	Existing Peak Electricity kW	Existing Annual Electricity Cost
2130	18.1	73,335	0.46	1.204	88,296	21.8	
2131	10.3	40,647	0.45	1.204	48,939	12.4	
2133	32.7	100,092	0.35	1.204	120,511	39.3	
Totals	61.0	214,074	0.40	1.204	257,745	73.5	\$50,087

Table 6. Hickam Buildings 2130, 2131, 2133 Existing System Performance and Electricity Cost

The two new 40-ton water-cooled chillers were estimated to cost \$88,200 and the cooling tower, condenser pump, and piping an additional \$26,100. These figures include all direct construction costs, but do not include any allowance for design or SIOH costs. Based on the direct cost, the payback period is 8 years. With an additional 16% for design and SIOH, the payback period rises to 9 years.

Installation Load Reduction Potential

Using the FEDS model, the impact on electric demand can be estimated from implementing all the cost-effective projects at Hickam. The existing peak electric demand from all building loads⁵ at Hickam is 17,545 kW. This peak occurs at 1300 hours during a September weekday. By implementing all the FEDS recommended retrofits, the peak demand can be reduced by 3,294 kW to 14,251 kW. This represents a 19% reduction in peak demand.

	Demand (kW)	Dollars (2009)
Installation Peak Demand:		
existing	17,545	2,936,232
post-retrofit	14,251	2,376,252
difference	-3,294	-559,980
% change	-19	-19
Time of Installation Peak Demand:	Existing	Post-Retrofit
Month Day Type Hour	September Weekday 1300	September Weekday 1300

Annual Installation Electric Demand

⁵ The modeled electric demand in FEDS is for all building loads and may not include certain non-building electric loads (e.g., booster pumps, lift stations, transmission losses, etc.).

Recommendations for More In-Depth Assessments

The FEDS model can provide an unbiased assessment of literally hundreds of energy conservation projects; unfortunately, it is not all-inclusive. While the scope of this project is limited to energy-saving projects included in the FEDS model, the energy-saving opportunities identified below were recognized during the site visit and may be worth additional consideration by the site energy staff. It is recommended that the site consider additional assessment of these potential projects.

Cool Roofs. FEDS does not evaluate the potential savings for cool roof projects.

Building Controls. Recommendations for building controls cannot be easily inferred by the FEDS model engine. A detailed building assessment focused on all heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) equipment is required to develop project proposals.

Programmable Thermostats. The FEDS model does not consider programmable thermostats in the energy analysis. Programmable thermostats are considered a conservation measure rather than an equipment replacement or building improvement. Programmable thermostats could be a useful conservation measure in smaller commercial buildings or any building that is unoccupied during part of the day.
Implementation Options

Hickam AFB would have a number of options for implementing the energy conservation measures (ECMs) identified in this assessment. As shown in Table 7, implementing the building level ECMs using appropriated funds would require an investment of about \$14.0M, and result in 53,856 MMBtu/year representing \$3,055,849/year savings with an overall savings to investment ratio (SIR) of 3.8. Using alternative financing (ESPC or UESC) would result in 31,913 MMBtu/year representing \$1,881,774/year savings with an overall savings to investment ratio (SIR) of 2.3, for an investment cost of \$4.8M. However, the investment cost under alternative financing does not include the financing charges over the life of the project.

The recommended option for implementing the building level ECMs would be to pursue appropriated funds either through the Energy Conservation Investment Program (ECIP) or sustainment, renovation, and modernization (SRM) at the Base level. This would result in the greatest energy and cost savings (see Table 7). The ECIP program within the Air Force may not be an option for these building energy-efficiency ECMs as the focus of the current program is on renewable energy projects. If appropriated funds are not available, then alternative financing would provide the means to get most of the projects implemented without the upfront investment on the part of the Air Force.

Funding Source	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Estimated <u>Financing</u> Costs (\$) ⁶	Total Cost (\$)	SIR
Appropriated funding	53,856	3,055,849	14,012,073	0	14,012,073	3.8
Alternative financing	31,913	1,881,774	4,782,386	3,440,614	8,223,000	2.3

Table 7. Comparison of Funding Sources

Public benefit funds may be available for some of these ECMs through Hawai'i Energy. Hawai'i Energy operates the new and expanded Hawai'i Energy-Efficiency Programs under contract to the <u>Hawai'i Public Utilities Commission</u> (HPUC) and they are paid for by electric utility ratepayer fees.

⁶ Assumes alternative financing at an annual interest rate of 6% for 20 years.

Emissions Reduction

Г

Implementing all the cost-effective building retrofits using appropriated funds will result in a 18% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. These reductions are summarized in table 8 and included for each building in appendix D.

Greenhouse Gas	Totals
Sulfur Oxides (lb)	
existing	828,151
post-retrofit	679,652
difference	-148,499
% change	-18
Nitrogen Oxides (lb)	
existing	397,557
post-retrofit	326,103
difference	-71,453
% change	-18
Carbon Monoxide (lb)	
existing	685,129
post-retrofit	561,911
difference	-123,218
% change	-18
Carbon Dioxide (tons)	
existing	84,302
post-retrofit	69,147
difference	-15,155
% change	-18
Particulate Matter (lb)	
existing	16,428
post-retrofit	13,481
difference	-2,948
% change	-18
Hydrocarbons (lb)	
existing	283,022
post-retrofit	232,157
difference	-50,864
% change	-18

Table 8. Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Table 8.	Reduction i	in	Greenhouse	Gas	Emissions
--	----------	--------------------	----	------------	-----	-----------

Contacts

Contact information for assessment team members and site team from PNNL are:

Doug Dixon Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 902 Battelle Boulevard, MSIN K6-10 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: (509) 372-4253 Email: doug.dixon@pnl.gov

William D. Chvala, Jr. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 902 Battelle Boulevard, MSIN K5-08 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: (509) 372-4558 Email: william.chvala@pnl.gov

Daryl Brown Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 902 Battelle Boulevard, MSIN K6-10 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: (509) 372-4366 Email: daryl.brown@pnl.gov

Marcus De La Rosa Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 902 Battelle Boulevard, MSIN K5-16 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: (509) 375-2941 Email: <u>marcus.delarosa@pnl.gov</u>

Appendix A

FEDS Data Collection Form

Appendix A FEDS Data Collection Form

The following form is used to collect FEDS input data during building audits. Note that not all data types indicated on this form are applicable to all buildings. Nor is all the information indicated on this form always available. Where necessary, the FEDS model infers the values for missing data based on other known building characteristics.

	FEDS Bui	ilding Inform	nation fo	r				
Building Nur	mber / Description /	Size:						
	Description	% of building	# of floors	Occupancy S	chedule:	Sta	rt	End
Use Area 1					Weekday:			
Use Area 2				(military tim	e) Saturday:			
Use Area 3					Sunday:			
Aspect ratio (N:E):		1	# Occupants:	(000	cupied);		(unoccupied
Zones: Singl	/	ulls (4) Central w	perimeter (5)	Unoccupied M		1		(.
			• • • •	ELOPE				
Roof type:	BUILT-UP METAI	L PANEL SHING	LES/SHAKES	Floor type:	SLAB ON GRA	DE	CRAWL	SPACE
- if built-up,		CONCRETE META		- insulation?	type / thicknes			, neb
- insulation?	type / thickness /		-		r carpet (crawlspa		YES	NO
- floor-floor l	71	it fuido.		ground noo	r eurper (erumspu	ee onij).	11.0	
- floor-ceiling	8			Windows - #J	panes: 1	2	3	
- suspended of	0 0	NO		- frame type:		METAL		BREAK METAI
	SIDING MASONRY/WOOD		N MET PANEL	- TINTING		FILM		
- insulation?	type / thickness /		METTAGEL		rea that is glass:			
			LIGE	ITING				
Technology	Fixture Description	on (size, #lamns,		Use Area or 9	% of Fixture d	ensity	Mounting	
Туре	reflectors, ballasts			building ser			Method	Utilization
Exit Signs		, -FF,,		building set	i cu or cot			
Exit Biglis								
				Entonio	-			
				Exterior				
				Exterior	r			
				Exterior	r			
INC = incandes	scent CFL = compact	fluorescent FL =	fluorescent		por MH = metal h	alide HI	PS = high property	essure sodium
	ssure sodium $EX = ex$				2-tube T8=2T8; 2-tu			
		;	SERVICE	HOT WATI	ER			
	uilding set served (w of buildings, or USE		Syste	em 1:	System 2:		Syst	em 3:
Fuel type	or buildings, or CDL	11(12.1)						
System type			DISTRIBUTED	LOOP	DISTRIBUTED	LOOP	DISTRIBUTE	D LOOP
Equipment v	intage					-		
<u> </u>	ty (gallons, #tanks)							
	city (loop only)							
Heating canac								
0 1	et point, °F							
Thermostat se		e						
Thermostat se Tank insulatio	et point, °F on – thickness/R-valu	e						
Thermostat se Tank insulation Efficiency	on – thickness/R-valu							
Thermostat se Tank insulation Efficiency Loop length (j	perimeter or stacked							
Thermostat se Tank insulatio Efficiency Loop length (#Faucets / aer	perimeter or stacked states installed (%)	service)						
Thermostat se Tank insulation Efficiency Loop length (#Faucets / aer #Showers / lo	perimeter or stacked	service) installed (%)						

Auditor: ____

Date:

Sheet _____ of ____

HVAC

Portion of set \underline{NOT} heated (ft ² , %, # of bldgs, use area):		HEATING	
Portion of building set served (whole buildings) (sq. ft,	Type 1:	Type 2:	Туре 3:
percent, number of buildings, or USE AREA)			
Fuel type			
Equipment type: 0=Elec. resistance baseboard 1=Forced air furnace			
2=Air-source HP 3=Ground-coupled HP 4=Radiator/central steam/hy			
7=Radiator/boiler 8=Fan coils/boiler 9=AHU/boiler 10=Radiant/ce Output capacity (total per building)	ntrai steam/nw 11=Radiant/	single bldg boller 12=infrare	a
Number of pieces of equipment			
Efficiency (%)			
Equipment vintage (approximate if necessary – new/old)			
Thermostat set point(s), °F			
Portion of set <u>NOT</u> cooled (ft ² , %, # of bldgs, use area):		COOLING	
Portion of building set served (whole buildings) (sq. ft,	Type 1:	Type 2:	Type 3:
percent, number of buildings, or USE AREA)			
Fuel type			
Equipment type: 0 = Evap. cooler 1 = Window/wall units			
2 = Air source heat pump 3 = Ground-coupled heat pump 4 = Packa 7 = Fan coils/absorption chiller 8 = AHU/absorption chiller 9 = Fan		s/central chilled water $6 = All = $	HU/central chilled water
Output capacity (total per building)			
Number of units			
Manufacturer & model #			
Equipment vintage (approximate if necessary – new/old)			
Thermostat set point(s), °F			
		VENTILATION	
Ventilation control mode: 0=cycle 1=constant			
2=constant occupied hours/cycle unoccupied hours 3=constant occup	ied hours/off unoccupied hou	rs 4=no mechanical ventila	tion
Ventilation supply air (cfm)			
Outdoor air (NONE, 100%, OTHER?)			
Infiltration (note cracks, open windows, CFM or ACH)			
Desiccant dehumidification (and heat source)?			
MISC	. EOUIPMENT		

Refrigeration, food prep, or other - note if irregular. Atypical equipment: description including type, fuel, capacity, utilization.

MOTORS	
--------	--

	Type 1:	Type 2:	Туре 3:	Type 4:
Horsepower				
# Motors of this type				
Utilization				
Other nameplate data				

NOTES/DRAWINGS

Appendix B

Facility Category Descriptions and Associated Buildings

Appendix B Facility Category Descriptions and Associated Buildings

The following table identifies the buildings in the 30 facility categories defined by the assessment team. The table below includes the FEDS facility category code, the proxy building number(s) audited for the purpose of developing the FEDS model, the proxy building total square footage, the total number of buildings in the category, the total square footage in that category and the percentage of square footage represented by the proxy buildings. Overall, PNNL audited 944,397 ft² of building space out of a total of 4,875,472 ft², or 19%.

Category						
Description [FEDS	Proxy				Total	Proxy
Facility	(Audited)	Proxy	Total		Area in	Area
Category	Building	Building	Bldgs. In		Category	% of
Code]	Number	(ft^2)	Category	Non-Audited Buildings in Group	(ft^2)	Category
				01754, 2072, 72934, 71949, 71941,		
				71942, 72727, 3008, 3393, 4017, 1229,		
1	2186	2,125	15	1212, 1100, 2134	38,382	6%
		7 -		,,		
10a	N/A	N/A	1	1102	519,549	0%
10b	2035	86,391	6	2060, 2045, 3440, 1200, 1050	469,042	18%
100	2033	80,391	0		409,042	1070
10c	1204	11,374	9	1110, 1113, 3225, 2171, 2050, 1001, 1201, 1071	150,726	8%
100	1204	11,374	,	1201, 10/1	130,720	0 /0
10d	2155	21,745	3	1105, 3382	71,332	30%
				4071, 1106, 1153, 3404, 3510, 1012,		
10e	502	9,217	13	1035, 3373, 2104, 3561, 3560, 188	60,670	15%
10f	2133	25,764	4	02140, 1850, 3386	115,164	22%
101	2155	23,701			110,101	2270
				4100, 3417, 3417, 1222, 2003, 2176,		
				2070, 1727, 3020, 2167, 3002, 3227, 192, 4073, 3250, 4070, 3596, 3201,		
10g	2125	3,867	21	3203, 2042	74,987	5%
108		2,007			, ,,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	070
21a	559	78,823	4	554, 1864, 3365	93,381	84%
				988, 2141, 3385, 1010, 2076, 1011,		
23a	1060	14,920	8	3195	54,337	27%
20	1007		_	10.12	101 - 10	4.504
30a	1805	55,187	2	1843	121,649	45%
30b	1856	43,187	3	1852, 1854	121,924	35%
				941, 1156, 1158, 920, 1153, 1166,	· · · ·	
				1168, 1172, 725, 727, 728, 920, 922,		
30c	1166	25,113	18	925, 926, 934, 940	256,336	10%
				2030, 2040, 1715, 1203, 1207, 1202,		
40a	2177	3,200	8	1220	242,165	1%

Category						
Description [FEDS Facility Category Code]	Proxy (Audited) Building Number	Proxy Building (ft ²)	Total Bldgs. In Category	Non-Audited Buildings in Group	Total Area in Category (ft ²)	Proxy Area % of Category
40b	4016	7,701	7	3416, 2010, 3402, 3245, 3431, 3422	50,939	15%
40c	2131	26,296	15	2025, 3004, 3392, 3407, 3407, 3435, 3426, 3431, 3435, 3247, 2019, 3437, 3430, 3434	126,642	21%
50a	1072	83,379	10	1055, 1728, 4069, 1073, 1045, 3400, 3415, 2115, 3564	1,012,107	8%
50v	2002	23,981	11	4002, 2073, 1720, 2006, 3380, 2001, 2022, 3425, 4003, 3424	91,158	26%
300	2002	23,981	11	3220, 1722, 1723, 1711, 1714, 1042, 1710, 2110, 3520, 2116, 1205, 3192, 2158, 3226, 4032, 3567, 3505, 987,	91,138	20%
50b	1713	30,400	20	3381	229,766	13%
50c	2130	56,734	1		56,734	100%
50d	1070	62,779	51	1219, 3379, 3044, 3594, 4115, 2175, 1760, 3572, 3584, 3576, 3455, 1043, 1816, 1844, 4030, 1806, 2187, 2185, 2037, 14170, 3515, 3525, 4068, 3039, 2023, 2179, 1223, 2161, 2188, 3436, 1097, 2069, 4119, 1809, 3571, 2192, 3577, 3578, 3587, 3589, 3585, 1091, 1093, 3485, 1810, 1845, 1846, 1847, 1849, 2024	162,973	39%
60a	1860	12,941	2	3417	50,108	26%
60b	1804	27,579	10	901, 1756, 3465, 1250, 2096, 900, 2105, 905, 908	100,424	27%
60m	594	293	41	1028, 1249, 1109, 601, 1046, 2150, 3406, 4008, 2156, 1217, 3190, 3395, 1058, 1108, 2154, 1124, 1333, 427, 3458, 2098, 2157, 1629, 1861, 906, 2039, 4072, 7475, 924, 3205, 2153, 180, 3001, 2169, 1281, 2051, 210, 3389, 918, 3410, 3246	42,761	1%
60c	2093	115,408	3	1235, 1232	305,569	38%
60t	2028	46,128	1		46,128	100%
80a	1597	12,760	15	1335, 1654, 1399, 623, 1598, 1588, 1586, 1656, 1657, 1587, 1589, 626, 627, 1655	51,664	25%

80b	1891	3.090	16	1859, 1889, 1122, 595, 1029, 3460, 501, 1095, 2094, 425, 1092, 1225, 3470, 3360, 1848	100.297	3%
80c	1750	7,296	3	500, 1856	11,839	62%
80d	1120	46,719	1		46,719	100%
Totals		944,397	322		4,875,472	19%

Appendix C

Comprehensive List of Cost-Effective Projects Identified from the FEDS Assessment Using Appropriated/Alternative Financed Sources of Capital

Appendix C-1 Comprehensive List of Cost-Effective Projects Identified from the FEDS Assessment Using Appropriated Source of Capital

Table C-1 identifies the 135 cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis based on the assumption that the projects will be funded using appropriated source of capital funds. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure. The projects are grouped by building category. More detail, supporting each line-item project recommendation, is contained in the FEDS input and output files, which are delivered to the site energy manager on a CD in conjunction with this report.

Bldg. Set ID	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
1	Replace 175W Metal Halide with 4 Super T8 30W Lights	365	409,722	62,537	27,899	2.24	7.60
	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	65	37	16	2.31	5.80
	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	96	41	22	1.86	7.40
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	-	417	224	37	6.05	2.90
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	49	39,932	13,522	3,188	4.24	4.00
10b	Replace 175W Metal Halide with 4 Super T8 30W Lights	36	37,250	11,463	2,885	3.97	4.20
	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	1,768	531,811	593,472	108,437	5.47	2.60
	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators	45	11,224	2,442	2,394	1.02	13.60
	Replace 75W Incandescent Lights with 18W CFL Lights	1,713	1,881,018	18,316	113,005	0.16	103.70
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	10	22,807	11,182	1,973	5.67	3.00
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 3 Super T8 28W Lights	1,313	452,649	673,226	67,673	9.95	1.70
10c	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	1,288	276,428	576,738	76,285	7.56	1.80
100	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	1,284	818,851	572,171	83,008	6.89	2.40

Table C-1 Comprehensive List of Cost-Effective Projects Using Appropriated Sources of Capital

Bldg. Set ID	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	38	39,699	1,864	2,465	0.76	22.30
10d	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	536	314,391	180,520	29,555	6.11	2.70
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 Super T8 32W Lights	10	9,466	1,693	664	2.55	6.60
	Replace Electric Package Unit with Window AC Unit (ultra high efficiency)	96	12,594	152,253	15,158	10.04	1.20
10e	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	171	180,588	8,076	11,196	0.72	23.40
100	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	64	22,682	38,324	3,658	10.48	1.60
	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-19	319	183,955	104,477	17,334	6.03	2.80
	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	821	230,892	251,246	44,753	5.61	2.50
	Wrap Tank with insulation	1,020	778,332	36,477	49,939	0.73	15.60
10f	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	52	55,454	2,485	3,439	0.72	23.30
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 32W Lights	1,107	807,796	279,792	65,067	4.30	3.90
	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	49	20,792	26,300	2,822	9.32	1.80
10g	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	6	13,497	6,523	1,163	5.61	3.10
0	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 3 Super T8 25W Lights	507	453,305	106,802	33,284	3.21	5.20

Bldg. Set ID	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
	Wrap Tank with insulation, Aerators, LFSHs	1,622	1,018,881	104,979	81,745	1.28	8.80
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	216	234,671	11,182	14,579	0.77	22.00
21a	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	749	641,000	147,645	47,091	3.14	5.30
	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	150	128,641	51,726	10,719	4.83	3.50
	Attic Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-13 (blow-in cellulose)	23	4,093	17,876	1,321	13.53	1.20
	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	662	93,096	357,541	37,471	9.54	1.50
23a	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	53	55,601	2,485	3,447	0.72	23.40
230	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 Super T8 30W Lights	56	40,310	19,895	3,590	5.54	3.00
	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	32	20,631	12,805	1,997	6.41	2.60
	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	619	151,454	180,106	31,976	5.63	2.50
30a	Wrap Tank with insulation	743	74,683	74,929	34,745	2.16	5.20
500	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	118	125,587	5,591	7,786	0.72	23.50
	Replace 1 T8 32W Lights with 1 Super T8 25W Lights	47	29,680	29,561	3,497	8.45	2.00
30b	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	815	137,186	218,606	42,889	5.10	2.70

Bldg. Set ID	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
	Replace Distillate Oil Central Boiler with Central Heat Pump Hot Water System	454	273,922	67,621	16,516	4.09	3.80
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	184	193,347	8,386	11,977	0.70	24.10
	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	2832	343,656	1,529,812	153,440	9.97	1.4
30c	Wrap Tank with insulation	1,713	949,321	192,544	84,474	2.28	5.00
	Wrap Tank with insulation	758	454,295	41,607	23,323	1.78	9.80
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	959	1,048,312	50,318	65,142	0.77	21.80
	Replace Electric Package Unit with Window AC Unit (ultra high efficiency)	102	5,999	57,342	6,184	9.27	1.20
40a	Replace Electric Water Heater with Heat Pump Water Heater, Aerators	5	504	1,748	288	6.07	1.50
40a	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	85	73	22	3.32	4.20
	Replace 400W Metal Halide Lights with 310W High Pressure Sodium Lights	82	26,921	50,532	4,650	10.87	1.50
	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, LFSHs, Lower Tank Temperature	2	145	1,062	105	10.11	1.40
40b	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, LFSHs, Lower Tank Temperature	2	262	1,062	128	8.30	1.70
400	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	33	38,642	2,174	2,415	0.90	18.80
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	48	51,025	2,174	3,160	0.69	24.50

Bldg. Set ID	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
	Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches Fiberglass	1,297	1,005,482	130,584	68,276	1.9	8.70
	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	1,503	126,015	625,995	80,900	7.74	1.80
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	4	9,529	4,659	824	5.65	3.00
40c	Replace 400W Metal Halide Lights with 310W High Pressure Sodium Lights	2,259	1,205,265	634,806	110,803	5.73	2.90
	Replace 250W Metal Halide Lights with 200W High Pressure Sodium Lights	245	148,979	190,109	20,025	9.49	1.80
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	3	8,573	4,659	766	6.08	2.80
	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	2	430	335	109	3.07	4.50
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	4	12,574	6,833	1,124	6.08	2.80
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	5	13,149	6,833	1,158	5.90	2.90
50a	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 32W Lights	1,513	1,115,730	146,985	75,676	1.94	8.60
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 3 Super T8 25W Lights	84	79,643	36,435	6,878	5.30	3.20
	Replace 400W Metal Halide Lights with 310W High Pressure Sodium Lights	2,439	990,934	500,265	90,733	5.51	3.00
	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	5	1,158	335	253	1.32	10.50
50b	Replace 100W Incandescent Lights with 26W CFL Lights	38	42,543	965	2,596	0.37	45.10

Bldg. Set ID	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	6	12,957	6,212	1,114	5.58	3.10
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	4	2,386	2,021	263	7.68	2.20
	Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches Fiberglass	670	574,453	62,828	38,300	1.64	10.1
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	4	11,431	6,212	1,022	6.08	2.80
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	668	539,907	232,446	46,058	5.05	3.30
	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (ultra high efficiency)	690	296,787	158,025	33,291	4.75	3.00
	Wrap Tank with Insulation and Insulate Pipe Near Tank	1	65	168	27	6.22	1.40
50c	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	-	627	311	54	5.76	3.00
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 32W Lights	277	201,116	36,526	14,237	2.57	6.50
	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	174	90,829	49,621	8,435	5.88	2.80
	Replace 1500W Metal Halide Lights with 1000W High Pressure Sodium Lights	97	99,752	36,110	8,009	4.51	3.80
	Replace 100W Incandescent Lights with 26W CFL Lights	94	88,642	25,797	6,795	3.80	4.40
50d	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	237	276,021	15,530	17,249	0.90	18.80
	Replace 1 T12 40W Lights with 1 Super T8 32W Lights	136	40,029	188,354	13,457	14.00	1.20

Bldg. Set ID	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
	Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches Fiberglass	902	884,636	44,561	55,844	0.80	20.90
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	10	10,909	512	678	0.76	22.30
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	59	63,430	2,904	3,936	0.74	22.80
50v	Replace T12 Magnetic Ballasts with T12 Electronic Ballast	183	147,282	55,831	12,121	4.61	3.60
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	636	532,150	150,383	40,735	3.69	4.50
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 Super T8 32W Lights	43	38,390	13,653	3,086	4.42	3.80
	Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches Fiberglass	1,235	610,435	311,584	55,412	5.62	3.00
	Replace Propane Central Boiler with Conventional Distillate Oil Boiler, wrap tank with insulation	522	340,737	56,604	14,521	3.90	7.60
60a	Replace 25W Incandescent Lights with 5W CFL Lights	206	101,167	70,815	10,326	6.86	2.40
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	41	42,011	1,864	2,604	0.72	23.50
	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	989	353,402	760,855	96,296	7.90	1.80
60b	Wrap Tank with Insulation	136	13,926	6,414	4,373	1.47	3.20
	Replace 75W Incandescent Lights with 18W CFL Lights	287	293,399	8,688	17,961	0.48	34.80
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	136	143,673	6,212	8,899	0.70	24.10

Bldg. Set ID	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 3 Super T8 25W Lights	77	48,227	47,123	5,640	8.36	2.00
	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-19	191	44,282	172,935	13,054	13.25	1.30
	Replace Electric Water Heater with Heat Pump Water Heater	455	43,139	133,662	22,976	5.82	1.60
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	53	61,092	3,355	3,814	0.88	19.20
60c	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	6	6,789	373	424	0.88	19.20
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	3,470	2,737,402	686,561	204,230	3.36	5.00
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	366	289,499	88,090	22,512	3.91	4.30
60m	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	194	226,336	12,735	14,144	0.90	18.80
	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	217	64,113	85,505	14,146	6.04	2.30
	Replace Electric Water Heater with Heat Pump Water Heater	21	3,090	3,427	982	3.49	2.70
60t	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	13	14,052	621	871	0.71	23.60
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	811	664,582	87,739	44,944	1.95	8.60
	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-19	194	115,027	79,435	11,687	6.80	2.40
80a	Replace Electric Water Heater with Heat Pump Water Heater	616	28,256	51,408	36,325	1.42	6.60

Bldg. Set ID	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	4	9,192	4,659	803	5.80	3.00
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 4 T8 32W Lights	58	44,649	24,602	4,113	5.98	2.80
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 3 Super T8 25W Lights	399	244,588	316,737	33,176	9.55	1.80
	Replace Metal Halide Magnetic Ballast with Metal Halide Electronic Ballasts	20	34,805	20,642	3,222	6.41	2.70
	Replace existing Package Unit with Single Zone Package Unit (very high efficiency)	895	41,361	179,467	60,318	3	3.80
	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators	54	2,370	3,024	2,801	1.08	13.00
80b	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	117	121,348	4,970	7,503	0.66	25.40
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 32W Lights	376	336,340	75,078	24,493	3.07	5.50
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 Super T8 30W Lights	36	24,408	13,129	2,238	5.87	2.90
	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	231	175,954	95,237	16,106	5.91	2.80
	Wrap Tank with Insulation	2	193	160	89	1.80	2.20
80c	Replace 75W Incandescent Lights with 18W CFL Lights	73	84,112	1,564	5,098	0.31	54.80
	Replace 100W Incandescent Lights with 26W CFL Lights	10	11,543	174	698	0.25	67.40
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	40	42,260	1,864	2,619	0.71	23.70

Bldg. Set ID	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	Net Present Value (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	1st year savings (\$)	Simple Payback (yr)	SIR
	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	7	4,563	4,027	509	7.91	2.10
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 3 Super T8 25W Lights	5	4,142	3,006	423	7.11	2.40
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 Super T8 32W Lights	37	28,251	9,478	2,237	4.24	4.00
	Insulate Built-up Roof Surface (R-15) and Re-Roof	158	116,314	59,235	10,550	5.61	3.00
	Replace Electric Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	525	155,727	112,069	27,663	4.05	3.40
	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators	22	3,041	588	815	0.72	17.80
80d	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent Panel	13	14,174	621	878	0.71	23.80
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	120	106,019	11,663	7,027	1.66	10.10
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	43	28,117	14,429	2,541	5.68	2.90
	Install Thermal Break Aluminum Frame Double Pane Super Low-e Window	31	6,120	23,598	1,786	13.21	1.30

Appendix C-2 Comprehensive List of Cost-Effective Projects Identified from the FEDS Assessment Using Alternative Financing Sources of Capital

Table C-2 identifies the 88 cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis based on the assumption that they will be funded using alternative financing source of capital funds. Alternative financing includes UESC and ESPC, as well as any other third party financing. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure. The projects are grouped by building category.

Table C-2 Comprehensive List of Cost-Effective Projects Using Alternative Financing Sources of Capital

FEDS Category	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1	Replace 175W Metal Halide Lights with 4 Super T8 30W Lights	365	29,120	62,537	109,318	2.70
	Replace 75W Incandescent Lights with 18W CFL Lights	1,708	112,610	18,316	638,124	35.80
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	10	2,156	11,182	1,872	1.20
	Faucet Aerators	39	2,126	429	11,775	28.50
10b	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	11	4	60	17.20
	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	17	8	93	13.10
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 4 T8 32W Lights	33	2,441	6,190	8,088	2.30
	Replace 175W Metal Halide Lights with 4 Super T8 30W Lights	35	3,182	11,463	7,323	1.60
10c	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	1,402	116,224	572,171	110,933	1.20
10d	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	38	2,489	1,864	12,719	7.80
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 T8 32W Lights	10	679	1,693	2,274	2.30
10e	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	173	11,434	8,076	58,838	8.30
	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-11	301	18,388	79,922	25,635	1.30
10f	Replace Electric Central Boiler with a Central Heat Pump Hot Water System, Wrap Tank with Insulation	1,020	49,939	36,477	250,499	7.90

U.S. DEDADTMENT OF

FEDS Category	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	52	3,470	2,485	17,826	8.20
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 32W Lights	1,107	61,136	279,792	72,136	1.30
10g	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	6	1,270	6,523	1,162	1.20
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 3 Super T8 25W Lights	507	37,937	106,802	116,142	2.10
	Replace Electric Central Boiler with a Central Heat Pump Hot Water System, Wrap Tank with Insulation, Aerators, LFSH	1,622	81,745	104,979	364,597	4.50
21a	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	217	14,717	11,182	75,065	7.70
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 4 T8 32W Lights	526	37,484	67,589	151,218	3.20
	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	151	12,812	51,726	23,706	1.50
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	56	3,661	2,485	18,920	8.60
23a	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	34	2,727	12,805	3,200	1.20
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 T8 32W Lights	46	3,444	11,833	8,330	1.70
30a	Replace Electric Central Boiler with a Central Heat Pump Hot Water System, Wrap Tank with Insulation	743	34,745	74,929	124,587	2.70
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	117	7,810	5,591	40,123	8.20
30b	Replace Distillate Oil Central Boiler with a Central Heat Pump Hot Water System	454	16,516	67,621	34,985	1.50
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	184	12,055	8,386	62,138	8.40
30c	Replace Electric Central Boiler with a Central Heat Pump Hot Water System					

FEDS Category	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
		1,712	84,422	192,033	293,507	2.50
	Replace Propane Central Boiler with a Central Heat Pump Hot Water System, Wrap Tank with Insulation	758	23,323	41,607	131,676	4.20
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	1,061	70,862	50,318	364,412	8.20
40a	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	2	98	40	522	14.00
	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	15	17	67	4.90
40b	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	33	2,443	2,174	12,192	6.60
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	48	3,175	2,174	16,395	8.50
40c	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	5	924	4,659	923	1.20
	Replace 400W Metal Halide Light with 310W High Pressure Sodium Light	2,493	128,874	634,806	105,504	1.20
50a	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	4	220	89	1,175	14.30
	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	1	77	89	349	4.90
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 32W Lights	1,513	79,041	146,985	309,936	3.10
	Replace 400W Metal Halide Light with 310W High Pressure Sodium Light	2,439	119,609	500,265	188,322	1.40
50b	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 4 T8 32W Lights	469	37,100	106,410	110,668	2.00
	Replace 100W Incandescent Lights with 26W CFL Lights	38	2,611	965	14,194	15.70

FEDS Category	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	6	1,216	6,212	1,142	1.20
50c	Replace Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (ultra high efficiency)	690	33,310	158,025	32,843	1.20
	Replace LED EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	-	59	311	50	1.20
	Replace 3 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 32W Lights	277	16,480	36,526	59,374	2.60
	Replace 2 T8 32W Lights with 2 Super T8 25W Lights	174	11,570	49,621	18,109	1.40
	Replace 1500W Metal Halide Light with 1000W High Pressure Sodium Light	97	7,501	36,110	8,101	1.20
50d	Replace 100W Incandescent Lights with 26W CFL Lights	94	6,764	25,797	13,735	1.50
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	237	17,450	15,530	87,088	6.60
50v	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	8	601	512	3,019	6.90
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	48	3,407	2,904	17,109	6.90
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 4 T8 32W Lights	366	27,067	68,843	89,460	2.30
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 T8 32W Lights	43	3,562	13,653	7,350	1.50
	Replace 2 T12 96W Magnetic Ballast with 2 T12 96W Electronic Ballast	92	6,930	30,176	10,333	1.30
60a	Wrap Tank with Insulation	437	14,055	536	100,131	187.90
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	40	2,596	1,864	13,332	8.20
60b	Wrap Tank with Insulation	136	4,373	6,414	20,924	3.00
FEDS Category	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
---------------	---	---------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------	---------------------------	-------
	Replace 75W Incandescent Lights with 18W CFL Lights	317	19,410	8,688	104,442	13.00
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	151	9,649	6,212	50,154	9.10
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	54	3,887	3,355	19,488	6.80
60c	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	6	432	373	2,165	6.80
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 4 T8 32W Lights	2,411	165,766	314,297	656,171	3.10
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 4 T8 32W Lights	258	18,490	40,326	67,979	2.70
60m	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	194	14,308	12,735	71,412	6.60
	Replace Electric Water Heater with Heat Pump Water Heater	21	982	3,427	2,196	1.60
60t	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	14	943	621	4,888	8.90
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	911	51,498	87,739	210,894	3.40
	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-11	249	16,290	60,766	32,741	1.50
	Replace Electric Water Heater with Heat Pump Water Heater	616	36,325	51,408	156,953	4.10
80a	Replace 150W Metal Halide Magnetic Ballast with 150W Metal Halide Electronic Ballast	20	4,641	20,642	7,534	1.40
80b	Replace Electric Package Unit with Single Zone Package Unit (high efficiency)	960	63,405	175,291	193,476	2.10
	Faucet Aerators	48	2,503	229	14,140	62.80

FEDS Category	Technology Change	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	116	7,522	4,970	38,996	8.80
	Replace 4 T8 32W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	373	21,152	75,078	47,084	1.60
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 T8 32W Lights	27	1,958	7,809	3,652	1.50
	Wrap Tank with Insulation	2	89	160	288	2.10
	Replace 75W Incandescent Lights with 18W CFL Lights	73	5,174	1,564	28,614	19.30
80c	Replace 100W Incandescent Lights with 26W CFL Lights	11	732	174	4,079	24.50
	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	40	2,645	1,864	13,615	8.30
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 T8 32W Lights	37	2,627	9,478	6,027	1.60
	Replace Air-Cooled Chiller with Water-Cooled Chiller and Cooling Tower (very high efficiency)	545	28,381	116,055	46,546	1.40
	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Aerators	21	783	296	4,510	16.20
80d	Replace 40W Incandescent EXIT Lights with Electroluminescent EXIT Lights	13	884	621	4,551	8.30
	Replace 4 T12 40W Lights with 3 Super T8 32W Lights	120	7,159	11,663	29,900	3.60
	Replace 2 T12 40W Lights with 2 T8 32W Lights	28	2,059	5,820	6,258	2.10

Appendix D

Building Details



902 Battelle Boulevard P.O. Box 999 Richland, WA 99352 1-888-375-PNNL (7665) www.pnl.gov



Appendix D-1 Energy Conservation Measures for Individual Buildings Appropriated Funding

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects using appropriated funding for the buildings visited during the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Building 2186 Storage Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2186 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2186

Building 2186 is overhead storage for landscaping equipment built in 1986. 2186 has some lighting but no cooling or building envelope. Building 2186 is 2,125 sf.



IL & DEPARTMENT OF



Appropriated Funding Results

FEDS did not find any life cycle cost effective retrofits using appropriated funding.

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 2,451 kwh before retrofits and 2,451 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 3.9 MBtu/Ksf to 3.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	2,451	1,153.2	3.9	434
post-retrofit	2,451	1,153.2	3.9	431
difference	0	0.0	0.0	-3
% change	0	0	0	-1
Total (MBtu)				
existing	8	3.9	3.9	434
post-retrofit	8	3.9	3.9	431
difference	0	0.0	0.0	-3
% change	0	0	0	-1

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 2,451 kwh/year.

		C	Covered lighting 218	б		
_					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	0	2,451	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	2,451	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	8	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	8	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	0	4	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	4	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Covered lighting 2186

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	22 22 0 0
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	11 11 0 0
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	18 18 0 0
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2 2 0 0
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	0 0 0 0
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	8 8 0 0

Building 2035 Hanger

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2035 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2035

Building 2035 is a hangar with two high-bay spaces originally built in 1937. One of the high-bays has been converted to office space where an administration building has been built inside the hanger. This building inside a building is cooled by air cooled chillers and receives little to no solar radiation. The other high-bay is used to store and transport aircraft parts and has a small office space served by an electric DX, or package unit. Building 2035 is 86,391 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a very high efficiency water cooled chiller for hangar 13. 32W T8 fluorescent lamps are suggested to be replaced with 28W SuperT8 lamps as well as other lighting retrofits. Suspended ceiling insulation is recommended to be increased as well as upgrades to the hot water system for hangar 13. The FEDS analysis suggested replacing the lighting for hangar 11 as well as various upgrades to the hot water system.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	255	16,564	94,307	79,487	2.5
Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13	Lights	FL237: FL 2X4 3F32T8 ELC3 REF	FL296: FL 2X4 3F28ST8 ELC3 REF	20	1,038	10,283	7,011	1.7
Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	2	330	1,864	3,809	3.0
Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13	Lights	IN8: INC 75 CEIL	CF5: CFL 18 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	317	20,921	3,373	348,237	#####
Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators	8	451	526	2,091	11.9
Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 8.90	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-19	37	2,675	37,191	7,320	1.2

Appropriated funding FEDS results for hangar 13 building 2035:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1930's wharehouse space hangar 11	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	9	565	2,491	6,986	3.8
1930's wharehouse space hangar 11	Lights	MH4: MH 175 PEND	FL289: FL 2X4 4F30ST8 ELC2 REF	6	513	2,111	6,558	4.1
1930's wharehouse space hangar 11	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	6	37	69	2.8
1930's wharehouse space hangar 11	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	2	6	11	5.9
1930's wharehouse space hangar 11	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	4	8	17	7.1

Appropriated funding FEDS results for hangar 11 building 2035:

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for the administration space for a typical year was 795,887 kwh before retrofits and 593,955 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 62.9 MBtu/Ksf to 46.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13						
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*			
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	795,887 593,955 -201,932 -25	18,425.9 13,750.9 -4,675.0 -25	62.9 46.9 -16.0 -25	141,087 104,498 -36,588 -26			
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,716 2,027 -689 -25	62.9 46.9 -16.0 -25	62.9 46.9 -16.0 -25	141,087 104,498 -36,588 -26			

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

The modeled energy consumption for the highbay space in the building for a typical year was 62,619 kwh before retrofits and 58,228 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 4.9 MBtu/Ksf to 4.6 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	62,619	1,449.6	4.9	11,100
post-retrofit	58,228	1,348.0	4.6	10,244
difference	-4,391	-101.6	-0.3	-856
% change	-7	-7	-7	-8
Total (MBtu)				
existing	214	4.9	4.9	11,100
post-retrofit	199	4.6	4.6	10,244
difference	-15	-0.3	-0.3	-856
% change	-7	-7	-7	-8

Large 1930's warehouse space 2035 hangar 11

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the administration space of the building with 253,896 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 137,454 kWh/year.

	Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13							
					Motors and			
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water		
Electricity (kWh)								
existing	0	253,896	69,266	226,334	240,705	5,687		
post-retrofit	0	137,454	57,522	155,064	240,705	3,210		
difference	0	-116,442	-11,744	-71,270	0	-2,476		
% change	0	-46	-17	-31	0	-44		
Total (MBtu)								
existing	0	867	236	772	822	19		
post-retrofit	0	469	196	529	822	11		
difference	0	-397	-40	-243	0	-8		
% change	0	-46	-17	-31	0	-44		
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)								
existing	0	20	5	18	19	0		
post-retrofit	0	11	5	12	19	0		
difference	0	-9	-1	-6	0	0		
% change	0	-46	-17	-31	0	-44		

76

Lighting is the largest load in the highbay space of the building with 53,825 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 8,705 kWh/year.

		1930's ware	house space 2035	5 hangar 11		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water *
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	53,825	8,705	88
post-retrofit	0	0	0	49,471	8,705	52
difference	0	0	0	-4,354	0	-36
% change	0	0	0	-8	0	-41
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	184	30	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	169	30	0
difference	0	0	0	-15	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-8	0	-41
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	4	1	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	4	1	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	- 8	0	-41

* Energy consumption values for both distributed and central SHW are reported for Hot Water annual energy use.

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	7,195 5,369 -1,825 -25
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,438 2,566 -872 -25
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5,914 4,413 -1,500 -25
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	728 543 -185 -25
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	142 106 -36 -25
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,447 1,826 -621 -25

Large 1930's warehouse space hangar 11

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	566 526 -40 -7
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	271 252 -19 -7
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	465 433 -33 -7
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	57 53 -4 -7
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	11 10 -1 -7
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	193 179 -14 -7

Building 1204 Administration Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1204 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1204

Building 1204 is a small admin building built in 1939. This building is served by an air cooled chiller and has little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 1204 is 11,374 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a high efficiency water cooled chiller. This analysis also suggests replacing 32W T8 fluorescent lamps with 25W SuperT8 lamps.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1204:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Small 1040's admin 1204	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	97	6,275	52,914	19,891	1.7
Small 1040's admin 1204	Lights	FL39: FL 2X4 2F32T8 EEF2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	57	3,684	25,464	36,259	2.4

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 250,798 kwh before retrofits and 204,306 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 75.3 MBtu/Ksf to 61.3 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	250,798	22,050.1	75.3	44,459
post-retrofit	204,306	17,962.5	61.3	35,945
difference	-46,492	-4,087.6	-14.0	-8,514
% change	-19	-19	-19	-19
Total (MBtu)				
existing	856	75.3	75.3	44,459
post-retrofit	697	61.3	61.3	35,945
difference	-159	-14.0	-14.0	-8,514
% change	-19	-19	-19	-19
* Delles	and address days and a second		h	

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 83,381 kWh/year, followed by ventilation with 72,592 kWh/year.

		Smal	l 1040's admin	1204		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	83,381	72,592	38,645	56,179	0
post-retrofit	0	50,888	72,592	24,646	56,179	0
difference	0	-32,493	0	-13,999	0	0
% change	0	-39	0	-36	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	285	248	132	192	0
post-retrofit	0	174	248	84	192	0
difference	0	-111	0	-48	0	0
% change	0	-39	0	-36	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	25	22	12	17	0
post-retrofit	0	15	22	7	17	0
difference	0	-10	0	-4	0	0
% change	0	-39	0	-36	0	0

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Small 1040's admin 1204

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,267 1,847 -420 -19
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,083 883 -201 -19
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,863 1,518 -345 -19
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	229 187 -43 -19
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	45 37 -8 -19
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	771 628 -143 -19

Building 2155 Administration Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2155 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2155

Building 2155 is a weapons systems management facility built in 1968. This building is cooled by a DX, or package unit and has little to no insulation in the building envelope. Building 2155 is 21,745 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing some of the lighting in the building as well as increasing the insulation in the suspended ceiling.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2155:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
medium 1960's admin 2155	Lights	FL51: FL 2X4 2F32T8 ELC2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	23	1,289	7,449	14,120	2.9
medium 1960's admin 2155	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	858	621	13,848	23.3
medium 1960's admin 2155	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	3	184	451	2,656	6.9
medium 1960's admin 2155	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 8.90	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-19	35	2,334	37,446	1,386	1.0

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 314,110 kwh before retrofits and 292,777 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 49.3 MBtu/Ksf to 46.0 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Medium 1960's admin 2155

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	314,110	14,445.2	49.3	55,682
post-retrofit	292,777	13,464.1	46.0	51,510
difference	-21,333	-981.1	-3.3	-4,172
% change	-7	-7	-7	-7
Total (MBtu)				
existing	1,072	49.3	49.3	55,682
post-retrofit	999	46.0	46.0	51,510
difference	-73	-3.3	-3.3	-4,172
% change	-7	-7	-7	-7

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 145,710 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 92,995 kWh/year.

		Medi	um 1960's admin	2155		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	92,995	52,915	22,491	145,710	0
post-retrofit	0	80,242	52,944	13,881	145,710	0
difference	0	-12,753	29	-8,610	0	0
% change	0	-14	0	-38	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	317	181	77	497	0
post-retrofit	0	274	181	47	497	0
difference	0	-44	0	-29	0	0
% change	0	-14	0	-38	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	15	8	4	23	0
post-retrofit	0	13	8	2	23	0
difference	0	-2	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	-14	0	-38	0	0

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Medium 1960's admin 2155

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	2,840 2,647 -193 -7
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,357 1,265 -92 -7
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,334 2,175 -159 -7
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	287 268 -20 -7
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	56 52 -4 -7
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	966 900 -66 -7

Building 502 Law Office

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 502 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 502

Building 502 is a small law office building built in 1971 that is served by two separate electric DX units with a courtroom in the center of the office space. Building 502 is 9,217 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the package unit with high efficiency window units. The FEDS analysis also suggests upgrading the lighting from 32W T8 lamps to 25W Super T8 lamps and replacing the exit lights with electroluminescent panels. The electric water heater is suggested to be replaced by a heat pump water heater and insulation in the suspended ceiling is recommended to be increased.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 502:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
small 1960s admin 502	Cooling	Electric Package Unit {C1}	Window Unit AC (ultra high efficiency)	13	1,546	15,573	1,191	1.1
small 1960s admin 502	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	862	621	13,893	23.4
small 1960s admin 502	Lights	FL51: FL 2X4 2F32T8 ELC2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	10	556	5,822	3,445	1.6
small 1960s admin 502	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Heat Pump Water Heater (Com)	3	139	1,285	2	1.0
small 1960s admin 502	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-19	48	2,817	15,872	31,000	3.0

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 143,177 kwh before retrofits and 116,778 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 53.0 MBtu/Ksf to 43.2 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Small 1960s admin 502

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference	143,177 116,778 -26,399	15,534.1 12,669.9 -2,864.2	53.0 43.2 -9.8	25,381 20,545 -4,836
% change	-18	-18	-18	-19
Total (MBtu)	400	53.0	52.0	05 201
existing	489	53.0	53.0	25,381
post-retrofit	399	43.2	43.2	20,545
difference	-90	-9.8	-9.8	-4,836
% change	-18	-18	-18	-19

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 58,890 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 45,525 kWh/year.

		Sma	all 1960s admin !	502		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	58,890	24,575	13,327	45,525	860
post-retrofit	0	39,561	23,243	8,333	45,525	116
difference	0	-19,329	-1,332	-4,995	0	-744
% change	0	-33	-5	-37	0	-87
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	201	84	45	155	3
post-retrofit	0	135	79	28	155	0
difference	0	-66	-5	-17	0	-3
% change	0	-33	-5	-37	0	-87
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	22	9	5	17	0
post-retrofit	0	15	9	3	17	0
difference	0	-7	0	-2	0	0
% change	0	-33	-5	-37	0	-87
Small 1960s admin 502

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	1,294 1,056 -239 -18
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	619 504 -114 -18
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,064 868 -196 -18
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	131 107 -24 -18
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	26 21 -5 -18
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	440 359 -81 -18

Building 2133 Administration Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2133 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2133

Building 2133 is a weapon systems management facility built in 2005. 2133 is cooled by an air cooled chiller and has some insulation in its building envelope. Building 2133 is 25,764 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a very high efficiency water cooled chiller. FEDS also suggests replacing some of the lights and replacing the electric central boiler with a central heat pump water heater.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2133:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
medium 2000's admin 2133	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	180	9,823	58,197	48,779	2.3
medium 2000's admin 2133	Lights	FL236: FL 2X4 3F32T8 ELC3	FL279: FL 2X4 2F32ST8 ELC2 REF	246	14,500	62,594	179,762	3.9
medium 2000's admin 2133	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	857	621	13,807	23.2
medium 2000's admin 2133	Lights	CF20: CFL 2-13 + BLST UNIT	FL53: FL 1X4 1F32T8 ELC1	-	72	1,208	46	1.0
medium 2000's admin 2133	Lights	FL51: FL 2X4 2F32T8 ELC2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	11	629	5,884	4,605	1.8
medium 2000's admin 2133	Hot Water	Electric Central Boiler	Central Heat Pump Hot Water System, Wrap Tank	249	12,188	8,565	190,452	16.2

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 586,408 kwh before retrofits and 373,475 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 77.7 MBtu/Ksf to 49.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Medium 2000's admin 213	33	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	586,408 373,475 -212,933 -36	22,760.8 14,496.0 -8,264.8 -36	77.7 49.5 -28.2 -36	103,952 65,708 -38,245 -37
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,001 1,275 -727 -36	77.7 49.5 -28.2 -36	77.7 49.5 -28.2 -36	103,952 65,708 -38,245 -37

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 170,993 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 132,355 kWh/year.

Medium 2000's admin 2133						
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	170,993	53,772	149,077	132,355	80,211
post-retrofit	0	95,734	50,731	87,362	132,355	7,293
difference	0	-75,259	-3,041	-61,715	0	-72,917
% change	0	-44	-6	-41	0	-91
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	584	184	509	452	274
post-retrofit	0	327	173	298	452	25
difference	0	-257	-10	-211	0	-249
% change	0	-44	-6	-41	0	-91
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	23	7	20	18	11
post-retrofit	0	13	7	12	18	1
difference	0	-10	0	-8	0	-10
% change	0	-44	-6	-41	0	-91

Medium 2000's admin 2133

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5,301 3,376 -1,925 -36
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,533 1,613 -920 -36
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	4,357 2,775 -1,582 -36
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	537 342 -195 -36
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	105 67 -38 -36
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,803 1,148 -655 -36

Building 2125 Administration Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2125 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2125

Building 2125 is an administration building built in 1994. This petroleum operations building is cooled by an electric package unit and has little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 2125 is 3,867 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the 32W T8 lighting with 25W Super T8 lighting as well as replacing the exit lighting and increasing the insulation in the roof to 4 inches of fiberglass.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2125:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Small 1990's admin 2125	Lights	FL38: FL 2X4 3F32T8 EEF1,2	FL304: FL 2X4 3F25ST8 ELC3 REF	26	1,720	5.508	23,438	5.3
Small 1990's admin 2125	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	56	311	643	3.1

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 56,331 kwh before retrofits and 48,664 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 48.7 MBtu/Ksf to 43.0 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Small 1990's admin 212	5	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	56,331 48,664 -7,667 -14	14,567.1 12,584.4 -1,982.7 -14	49.7 43.0 -6.8 -14	9,963 8,555 -1,408 -14
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	192 166 -26 -14	49.7 43.0 -6.8 -14	49.7 43.0 -6.8 -14	9,963 8,555 -1,408 -14

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 18,138 kWh/year, followed by lights with 16,214 kWh/year.

					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	18,138	4,917	16,214	16,002	1,060
post-retrofit	0	16,612	4,444	10,546	16,002	1,060
difference	0	-1,526	-473	-5,668	0	0
% change	0	-8	-10	-35	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	62	17	55	55	4
post-retrofit	0	57	15	36	55	4
difference	0	-5	-2	-19	0	0
% change	0	-8	-10	-35	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	16	4	14	14	1
post-retrofit	0	15	4	9	14	1
difference	0	-1	0	-5	0	0
% change	0	-8	-10	-35	0	0

Small 1990's admin 2125

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing	509
post-retrofit	440
difference	-69
% change	-14
Nitrogen Oxides (lb)	
existing	243
post-retrofit	210
difference	-33
% change	-14
Carbon Monoxide (lb)	
existing	419
post-retrofit	362
difference	-57
% change	-14
Carbon Dioxide (tons)	
existing	52
post-retrofit	45
difference	-7
% change	-14
e change	11
Particulate Matter (lb)	
existing	10
post-retrofit	9
difference	-1
% change	-14
-	
Hydrocarbons (lb)	
existing	173
post-retrofit	
-	150
difference	-24
difference % change	

Building 559 Clinic Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 559 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 559

Building 559 is the air force clinic built in 1942. This building is cooled by water cooled chillers and has an electric central hot water system. Building 559 is 78,823 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests several lighting upgrades as well as replacing the electric central boiler with a central heat pump system. Increasing the insulation of the attic by using blow-in cellulose is also suggested.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 559:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Medical facilities 559	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	633	39,807	124,627	542,013	5.3
Medical facilities 559	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	54	3,653	2,795	58,803	22.0
Medical facilities 559	Lights	FL39: FL 2X4 2F32T8 EEF2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	127	9,090	43,662	109,270	3.5
Medical facilities 559	Hot Water	Electric Central Boiler	Central Heat Pump Hot Water System, Wrap Tank, Aerators, LFSHs	939	47,853	74,876	579,478	7.2
Medical facilities 559	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 11.00	Attic Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-13 (blow-in cellulose)	19	1,137	15,089	3,830	1.3

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 1,458,222 kwh before retrofits and 938,860 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 63.6 MBtu/Ksf to 41.1 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Medical facilities 559				
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*		
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,458,222 938,860 -519,361 -36	18,500.0 11,911.0 -6,589.0 -36	63.1 40.7 -22.5 -36	258,498 165,179 -93,319 -36		
Other Fuels (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	34 34 0 0	0.4 0.4 0.0 0	0.4 0.4 0.0 0	1,100 1,100 0 0		
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5,011 3,239 -1,773 -35	63.6 41.1 -22.5 -35	63.6 41.1 -22.5 -35	259,599 166,280 -93,319 -36		

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 396,160 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 363,057 kWh/year.

		Med	ical facilities	559		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	250,456	157,151	363,057	396,160	291,398
post-retrofit	0	214,134	147,139	165,200	396,160	16,228
difference	0	-36,322	-10,012	-197,857	0	-275,170
% change	0	-15	-6	-54	0	-94
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	34	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	34	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	855	536	1,239	1,386	995
post-retrofit	0	731	502	564	1,386	55
difference	0	-124	-34	-675	0	-939
% change	0	-15	-6	-54	0	-94
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	11	7	16	18	13
post-retrofit	0	9	б	7	18	1
difference	0	-2	0	-9	0	-12
% change	0	-15	-6	-54	0	-94

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	13,191 8,496 -4,695 -36
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	6,311 4,068 -2,244 -36
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	10,861 7,002 -3,859 -36
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,337 862 -475 -36
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	261 168 -93 -36
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	4,493 2,896 -1,597 -36

Medical facilities 559

Building 1060 Laboratory Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1060 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1060

Building 1060 is a lab built in 1943. This lab is cooled by an air cooled chiller and has an electric water heater. 1060 is 14,920 sf.

<no picture is available>

Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a water cooled chiller. The analysis also suggests replacing several of the lighting technologies in the building including the exit lights, T12 and T8 lights.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1060:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Labs 1060	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	139	7,949	58,769	29,552	1.9
Labs 1060	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	868	621	14,008	23.5
Labs 1060	Lights	FL39: FL 2X4 2F32T8 EEF2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	9	550	3,516	5,698	2.6
Labs 1060	Lights	FL3: FL 2X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL283: FL 2X4 2F30ST8 ELC2 (FIX REPL)	15	990	5,463	11,141	3.0

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 292,009 kwh before retrofits and 239,726 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 66.8 MBtu/Ksf to 54.8 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Labs 1060		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	292,009	19,571.7	66.8	51,764
post-retrofit	239,726	16,067.4	54.8	42,176
difference	-52,284	-3,504.3	-12.0	-9,588
% change	-18	-18	-18	-19
Total (MBtu)				
existing	997	66.8	66.8	51,764
post-retrofit	818	54.8	54.8	42,176
difference	-178	-12.0	-12.0	-9,588
% change	-18	-18	-18	-19

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 116,645 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 77,382 kWh/year.

			Labs 1060			
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	116,645	52,192	44,584	77,382	1,207
post-retrofit	0	73,090	51,672	36,375	77,382	1,207
difference	0	-43,555	-520	-8,208	0	0
% change	0	-37	-1	-18	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	398	178	152	264	4
post-retrofit	0	249	176	124	264	4
difference	0	-149	-2	-28	0	0
% change	0	-37	-1	-18	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	27	12	10	18	0
post-retrofit	0	17	12	8	18	0
difference	0	-10	0	-2	0	0
% change	0	-37	-1	-18	0	0

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing	2,640
post-retrofit difference % change	2,167 -473 -18
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,261 1,036 -226 -18
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,170 1,781 -388 -18
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	267 219 -48 -18
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	52 43 -9 -18
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	898 737 -161 -18

Labs 1060

Building 1805 Dormitory Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1805 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1805

Building 1805 is a dormitory built in 1970. The dormitory is cooled by an air cooled chiller and has little to no insulation in its building envelope. This building has a desuperheater system, providing some of the hot water to the building. 1805 is 55,187 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a water cooled chiller as well as replacing some of the lighting technologies and replacing the electric central boiler with a central heat pump. Increasing the perimeter insulation as well as replacing the windows was also suggested.

Appropriated funding FEDS analysis results for building 1805:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Dorms 1970's 1805	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	172	9,749	87,783	27,443	1.5
Dorms 1970's 1805	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	58	3,862	2,795	62,275	23.3
Dorms 1970's 1805	Lights	FL41: FL 1X4 1F32T8 EEF1	FL302: FL 1X4 1F25ST8 ELC1 REF	23	1,693	14,346	14,333	2.0

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 515,140 kwh before retrofits and 334,549 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 31.9 MBtu/Ksf to 20.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Dorms 1970's 1805		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	515,140 441,113 -74,027 -14	9,334.4 7,993.1 -1,341.4 -14	31.9 27.3 -4.6 -14	91,072 77,967 -13,105 -14
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,758 1,506 -253 -14	31.9 27.3 -4.6 -14	31.9 27.3 -4.6 -14	91,072 77,967 -13,105 -14

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 188,139 kWh/year, followed by hot water with 121,790 kWh/year.

			Dorms 1970's	1805		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	188,139	96,012	37,709	71,490	121,790
post-retrofit	0	131,176	95,260	21,397	71,490	121,790
difference	0	-56,963	-752	-16,312	,1,190	121,790
% change	0	-30	-1	-43	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	642	328	129	244	416
post-retrofit	0	448	325	73	244	416
difference	0	-194	-3	-56	0	0
% change	0	-30	-1	-43	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	12	6	2	4	8
post-retrofit	0	8	6	1	4	8
difference	0	-4	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	-30	-1	-43	0	0

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	4,657 3,988 -669 -14
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,225 1,906 -320 -14
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,828 3,278 -550 -14
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	471 404 -68 -14
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	92 79 -13 -14
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,584 1,356 -228 -14

Dorms 1970's 1805

Building 1856 Dormitory Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1856 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1856

Building 1856 is a dormitory built in 1995. The dormitory is cooled by an electric air cooled chiller and has substantial roofing and wall insulation in its building envelope. The central hot water system runs on diesel fuel and works in conjunction with a desuperheater. Building 1856 is 43,187 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a very high efficiency water cooled chiller. The distillate oil, or diesel, central hot water boiler is suggested to be replaced with a central heat pump hot water system. An increase in the perimeter insulation is suggested as well as replacing the exit lighting.

Appropriated funding FEDS analysis results for building 1856:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Dorms 1990's 1856 - heat recovery	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	286	15,031	75,277	48,558	2.8
Dorms 1990's 1856 - heat recovery	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	62	3,994	2,795	64,466	24.1
Dorms 1990's 1856 - heat recovery	Hot Water	Distillate Oil Central Boiler	Central Heat Pump Hot Water System	151	5,505	22,540	91,307	3.8

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 418,237 kwh before retrofits and 320,744 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled distillate oil consumption for a typical year was 1,252 gallons before retrofits and 0 gallons after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 37.1 MBtu/Ksf to 25.3 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Dorms 1990's 1856

		B01100 1990 B 1000		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	418,237	9,684.3	33.1	73,940
post-retrofit	320,744	7,426.9	25.3	56,404
difference	-97,494	-2,257.5	-7.7	-17,536
% change	-23	-23	-23	-24
Distillate Oil (gal)				
existing	1,252	29.0	4.0	6,385
post-retrofit	0	0.0	0.0	0
difference	-1,252	-29.0	-4.0	-6,385
% change	-100	-100	-100	-100
Total (MBtu)				
existing	1,601	37.1	37.1	80,325
post-retrofit	1,095	25.3	25.3	56,404
difference	-506	-11.7	-11.7	-23,921
% change	-32	-32	-32	-30

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 233,630 kWh/year, followed by ventilation with 66,184 kWh/year.

			Dorms 1990's	1856		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	233,630	61,498	66,184	56,926	0
post-retrofit	0	143,688	59,912	53,680	56,926	6,538
difference	0	-89,942	-1,586	-12,504	0	6,538
% change	0	-38	-3	-19	0	n/a
Distillate Oil (gal)						
existing	0	0	0	0	0	1,252
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	0	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-1,252
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-100
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	797	210	226	194	174
post-retrofit	0	490	204	183	194	22
difference	0	-307	-5	-43	0	-151
% change	0	-38	-3	-19	0	-87
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	18	5	5	4	4
post-retrofit	0	11	5	4	4	1
difference	0	-7	0	-1	0	-4
% change	0	-38	-3	-19	0	-87

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	3,868 2,900 -968 -25
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,866 1,386 -480 -26
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,246 2,383 -863 -27
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	399 293 -106 -26
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	78 57 -21 -27
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,331 986 -345 -26

Dorms 1990's 1856
Building 1166 Lodging Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1166 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1166

Building 1166 is a hotel style building used as a temporary lodging facility and was built in 1968. The building is cooled by an air cooled chiller and has little to no insulation in the building envelope. Building 1166 is 25,113 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a water cooled chiller. The analysis also suggests lighting retrofits as well as replacing the current electric and propane hot water boilers with a central heat pump hot water system. Increasing the roof insulation on the interior surface of the roof was also suggested.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Lodging facilities 1166	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	197	10,822	95,816	31,149	1.6
Lodging facilities 1166	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	52	3,577	2,795	57,532	21.6

Appropriated funding FEDS analysis results for building 1166:

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 425,234 kwh before retrofits and 315,695 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled other fuels (propane) consumption for a typicalyear was 48 MBtu before retrofits and 0 MBtu after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 59.7 MBtu/Ksf to 42.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	425,234	16,932.8	57.8	75,177
post-retrofit	349,027	13,898.3	47.4	61,691
difference	-76,207	-3,034.6	-10.4	-13,487
% change	-18	-18	-18	-18
Other Fuels (MBtu)				
existing	48	1.9	1.9	1,531
post-retrofit	48	1.9	1.9	1,531
difference	0	0.0	0.0	0
% change	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)				
existing	1,499	59.7	59.7	76,708
post-retrofit	1,239	49.3	49.3	63,221
difference	-260	-10.4	-10.4	-13,487
% change	-17	-17	-17	-18

Lodging facilities 1166

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 116,650 kWh/year, followed by ventilation with 117,053 kWh/year.

		Lodg	ing facilities	1166		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	166,650	117,053	61,726	38,238	41,566
post-retrofit	0	103,613	117,053	48,556	38,238	41,566
difference	0	-63,037	0	-13,170	0	0
% change	0	-38	0	-21	0	0
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	0	48
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	0	48
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	569	400	211	131	189
post-retrofit	0	354	400	166	131	189
difference	0	-215	0	-45	0	0
% change	0	-38	0	-21	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	23	16	8	5	8
post-retrofit	0	14	16	7	5	8
difference	0	-9	0	-2	0	0
% change	0	-38	0	-21	0	0

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Lodging facilities 1166	
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,856 3,167 -689 -18
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,854 1,524 -329 -18
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,196 2,629 -566 -18
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	393 323 -70 -18
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	77 63 -14 -18
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,320 1,085 -234 -18

Building 2040 Aircraft Maintenance Shop

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2040 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2040

Building 2040 is an aircraft maintenance shop built in 1937. 2040 is cooled by an air cooled chiller and has little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 2040 is 77,439 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing T12 lighting with Super T8 lighting. It was also suggested to make changes to the hot water system including reducing the temperature, installing aerators and increasing insulation in the conditioned space. FEDS had no life cycle cost effective retrofits for the unconditioned space.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's shops 2040	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	80	5,264	20,804	67,417	4.2
1940's shops 2040	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	53	311	617	3.0
1940's shops 2040	Lights	FL3: FL 2X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL283: FL 2X4 2F30ST8 ELC2 (FIX REPL)	11	771	4,743	8,199	2.7
1940's shops 2040	Lights	FL2: FL 2X4 3F40T12 STD1,2	FL304: FL 2X4 3F25ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	12	774	4,161	8,814	3.1
1940's shops 2040	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	2	111	214	486	7.2

Appropriated funding FEDS analysis results for building 2040 conditioned space:

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 352,637 kwh. No proposed retrofits were suggested for the unconditioned space. The energy use intensity is 18.3 MBtu/Ksf.

Fuel Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference	1940's	s shops 2040 unconditio	oned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
existing	352,637 352,637 0 0	5,362.8 5,362.8 0.0 0	18.3 18.3 0.0 0	62,343 62,013 -330 -1
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,204 1,204 0 0	18.3 18.3 0.0 0	18.3 18.3 0.0 0	62,343 62,013 -330 -1

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 156,928 kwh before retrofits and 125,990 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 46.2 MBtu/Ksf to 37.1 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

-20

-20

1940's shops 2040 Energy Energy Fuel Intensity Intensity Dollars Energy (user units/1000ft2) (MBtu/1000ft2) (2009)* Electricity (kWh) 156,928 13,523.7 46.2 27,743 existing 125,990 10,857.4 37.1 22,156 post-retrofit difference -30,939 -2,666.2 -9.1 -5,587 % change -20 -20 -20 Total (MBtu) 536 46.2 46.2 27,743 existing 37.1 37.1 22,156 post-retrofit 430 difference -106 -9.1 -9.1 -5,587 % change -20 -20 -20 * Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 308,331 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 44,307 kWh/year.

		1940's shops	2040 uncondi	tioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	44,307	308,331	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	44,307	308,331	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	151	1,052	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	151	1,052	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	2	16	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	2	16	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 56,167 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 52,533 kWh/year.

		1940's shops	2040 condi	tioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	52,533	2,983	43,930	56,167	1,315
post-retrofit	0	47,075	2,665	19,342	56,167	741
difference	0	-5,459	-318	-24,588	0	-574
% change	0	-10	-11	-56	0	-44
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	179	10	150	192	4
post-retrofit	0	161	9	66	192	3
difference	0	-19	-1	-84	0	-2
% change	0	-10	-11	-56	0	-44
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	15	1	13	17	0
post-retrofit	0	14	1	б	17	0
difference	0	-2	0	-7	0	0
% change	0	-10	-11	-56	0	-44

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

1940's shops	2040	unconditioned	space
Sulfur Oxides (1 existing post-retrofit difference % change	b)		3,188 3,188 0 0
Nitrogen Oxides existing post-retrofit difference % change	(lb)		1,523 1,523 0 0
Carbon Monoxide existing post-retrofit difference % change	(lb)		2,620 2,620 0 0
Carbon Dioxide (existing post-retrofit difference % change	tons)	323 323 0 0
Particulate Matt existing post-retrofit difference % change	er (i	lb)	63 63 0 0
Hydrocarbons (lk existing post-retrofit difference % change))		1,084 1,084 0 0

1940's shops 2040 conditioned space

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,419 1,139 -280 -20
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	678 544 -134 -20
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,166 936 -230 -20
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	144 115 -28 -20
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	28 23 -6 -20
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	483 387 -95 -20

Building 1715 Recycling Center

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1715 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1715

1715 is a recycling center built in 1944. The majority of the space is unconditioned, with a small office that is served by an electric package unit. The building was modeled as two linked buildings, one conditioned, one unconditioned. Building 1715 is 30,400 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing lights in the unconditioned space as well as replacing lights in the conditioned space.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's shops 1715	Lights	FL62: FL 1X8 2F96T12 STD2	FL131: FL 1X8 2F96T12ES ELC2 REF (FIX REPL)	22	1,613	10,748	16,329	2.5
1940's shops 1715	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	102	621	1,143	2.8

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1715 unconditioned space:

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1715 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
			FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3					
1940's shops 1715	Lights	FL37: FL 2X4 4F32T8 EEF2	REF	115	7,656	25,173	103,216	5.1
			EX12: EXIT -					
			ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL					
1940's shops 1715	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	RETRO KIT	-	54	311	626	3.0

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for the unconditioned space in the building a typical year was 147,909 kwh before retrofits and 141,258 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 18.0 MBtu/Ksf to 17.2 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1940's shops 1715 unconditioned space

		-	-	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	147,909	5,288.5	18.0	26,220
post-retrofit	141,258	5,050.7	17.2	24,852
difference	-6,651	-237.8	-0.8	-1,367
% change	-4	- 4	-4	-5
Total (MBtu)				
existing	505	18.0	18.0	26,220
post-retrofit	482	17.2	17.2	24,852
difference	-23	-0.8	-0.8	-1,367
% change	-4	-4	-4	-5

The modeled energy consumption for the conditioned space in the building a typical year was 332,402 kwh before retrofits and 299,027 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 466.5 MBtu/Ksf to 424.6 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1940			
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	332,402 299,027 -33,375 -10	136,678.6 122,955.2 -13,723.4 -10	466.5 419.6 -46.8 -10	58,765 52,585 -6,180 -11
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,134 1,021 -114 -10	466.5 419.6 -46.8 -10	466.5 419.6 -46.8 -10	58,765 52,585 -6,180 -11

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 131,142 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 16,767 kWh/year.

		1940's shops	1715 uncondi	tioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	16,767	131,142	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	10,116	131,142	0
difference	0	0	0	-6,651	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-40	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	57	448	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	35	448	0
difference	0	0	0	-23	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-40	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	2	16	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	1	16	0
difference	0	0	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-40	0	0

Lighting is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 234,179 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 82,158 kWh/year.

		1940's shops	1715 condit	cioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	82,158	4,295	234,179	11,772	0
post-retrofit	0	75,557	3,928	207,770	11,772	0
difference	0	-6,600	-366	-26,409	, 0	0
% change	0	-8	-9	-11	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	280	15	799	40	0
post-retrofit	0	258	13	709	40	0
difference	0	-23	-1	-90	0	0
% change	0	-8	-9	-11	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	115	б	329	17	0
post-retrofit	0	106	6	292	17	0
difference	0	-9	-1	-37	0	0
% change	0	-8	-9	-11	0	0

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1940's shops
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,337 1,277 -60 -4
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	639 610 -29 -4
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,099 1,050 -49 -4
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	135 129 -6 -4
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	26 25 -1 -4
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	455 434 -20 -4

1715 unconditioned space

1940's	shops	1715	conditioned
--------	-------	------	-------------

space

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,005 2,703 -302 -10
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,436 1,292 -144 -10
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,470 2,222 -248 -10
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	304 274 -31 -10
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	59 53 -6 -10
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,022 920 -103 -10

Building 2177 Maintenance Shop

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2177 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2177

2177 is a base engineer maintenance shop built in 1944. This building is partially cooled. Building 2177 is 3,200 sf.

<no photo is available>

Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing T12 lighting with T8 lighting in the unconditioned spaces. Upgrades to the hot water system include insulating the tank and pipes as well as installing aerators and lowering the tank temperature for the unconditioned spaces. For the conditioned spaces FEDS suggests replacing the lighting, and upgrading the hot water system.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's shops 2177	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	7	526	2,437	6,431	3.6
	Hot		Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators, Lower Tank					
1940's shops 2177	Water	Electric Water Heater	Temperature	-	1	6	7	4.2

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2177 unconditioned spaces:

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2177 conditioned spaces:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
			EX12: EXIT -					
			ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL		7	40	00	2.1
1940's shops 2177	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	RETRO KIT	-	1	43	89	3.1
1940's shops 2177	Lights	MH5: MH 250 PEND	FL309: FL 2X3 6F40BX ELC2 REF	4	242	1,703	2,332	2.4
			Wrap Tank with Insulation,					
			Insulate Pipe Near Tank,					
	Hot		Aerators, Lower Tank					
1940's shops 2177	Water	Electric Water Heater	Temperature	-	3	9	11	4.3

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 13,067 kwh before retrofits and 11,096 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 27.9 MBtu/Ksf to 23.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1940':	1940's shops 2177 unconditioned space			
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*	
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	13,067 11,096 -1,971 -15	8,166.8 6,935.2 -1,231.6 -15	27.9 23.7 -4.2 -15	2,311 1,951 -360 -16	
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	45 38 -7 -15	27.9 23.7 -4.2 -15	27.9 23.7 -4.2 -15	2,311 1,951 -360 -16	

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 29,056 kwh before retrofits and 27,936 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 62.0 MBtu/Ksf to 59.6 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1940's shops 2177 conditioned space							
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*			
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	29,056 27,936 -1,119 -4	18,159.8 17,460.1 -699.6 -4	62.0 59.6 -2.4 -4	5,139 4,911 -228 -4			
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	99 95 -4 -4	62.0 59.6 -2.4 -4	62.0 59.6 -2.4 -4	5,139 4,911 -228 -4			

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 7,502 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 5,536 kWh/year.

		1940's shops	2177 uncondi	tioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	5,536	7,502	29
post-retrofit	0	0	0	3,575	7,502	19
difference	0	0	0	-1,961	0	-10
% change	0	0	0	-35	0	-35
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	19	26	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	12	26	0
difference	0	0	0	-7	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-35	0	-35
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	12	16	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	8	16	0
difference	0	0	0	-4	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-35	0	-35

Space cooling is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 10,796 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 8,210 kWh/year.

		1940's shops	2177 condit	ioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	10,796	2,268	8,210	7,745	38
post-retrofit	0	10,550	2,211	7,408	7,745	23
difference	0	-246	-57	-801	0	-16
% change	0	-2	-3	-10	0	-41
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	37	8	28	26	0
post-retrofit	0	36	8	25	26	0
difference	0	-1	0	- 3	0	0
% change	0	-2	-3	-10	0	-41
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	23	5	18	17	0
post-retrofit	0	23	5	16	17	0
difference	0	-1	0	-2	0	0
% change	0	-2	-3	-10	0	-41

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1940's shops	2177 unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	118 100 -18 -15	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	56 48 -9 -15	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	97 82 -15 -15	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	12 10 -2 -15	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2 2 0 -15	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	40 34 -6 -15	

1940's shops 2177 conditioned space

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	263 253 -10 -4
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	126 121 -5 -4
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	216 208 -8 -4
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	27 26 -1 -4
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5 5 0 -4
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	89 86 -3 -4

Building 4016 Maintenance Shop

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 4016 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 4016

Building 4016 is a base engineer maintenance shop built in 1973. 4016 is cooled by multiple package units and has little to no insulation in its building enveloped. Building 4016 is 7,701 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the electric package unit with an ultra high efficiency window AC unit in the conditioned space. The EXIT lights are suggested to be replaced and upgrades to the hot water heater are also suggested. To the unconditioned space FEDS suggests replacing T8 lights with Super T8 lighting, replacing the EXIT lighting and making various improvements to the hot water system.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
			EX12: EXIT -					
			ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL					
1970's shops 4016	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	RETRO KIT	14	881	621	14,215	23.9
			Wrap Tank with Insulation,					
	Hot		Insulate Pipe Near Tank, LFSHs,					
1970's shops 4016	Water	Electric Water Heater	Lower Tank Temperature	-	20	152	42	1.8

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 4016 conditioned spaces:

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 4016 unconditioned spaces:

Bldg. Set Descr	ription	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
				EX12: EXIT -					
				ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL					
1970's shops	4016	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	RETRO KIT	5	344	311	5,520	18.8
				FL302: FL 1X4 1F25ST8					
1970's shops	4016	Lights	FL41: FL 1X4 1F32T8 EEF1	ELC1REF	1	124	869	1,230	2.4
-		-		Wrap Tank with Insulation,					
		Hot		Insulate Pipe Near Tank, LFSHs,					
1970's shops	4016	Water	Electric Water Heater	Lower Tank Temperature	-	15	152	22	1.4

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for the conditioned spaces of the building for a typical year was 67,485 kwh before retrofits and 63,631 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 39.9 MBtu/Ksf to 37.6 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	67,485	11,685.8	39.9	11,936
post-retrofit	63,631	11,018.3	37.6	11,186
difference	-3,855	-667.5	-2.3	-750
% change	-6	-6	-б	-6
Total (MBtu)				
existing	230	39.9	39.9	11,936
post-retrofit	217	37.6	37.6	11,186
difference	-13	-2.3	-2.3	-750
% change	-6	-6	-6	-6

1970's shops 4016 conditioned space

The modeled energy consumption for the unconditioned spaces of the building for a typical year was 12,772 kwh before retrofits and 10,903 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 22.6 MBtu/Ksf to 19.3 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1970'	1970's shops 4016 unconditioned space				
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*		
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	12,772 10,903 -1,869 -15	6,634.9 5,663.7 -971.2 -15	22.6 19.3 -3.3 -15	2,259 1,917 -342 -15		
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	44 37 -6 -15	22.6 19.3 -3.3 -15	22.6 19.3 -3.3 -15	2,259 1,917 -342 -15		

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 27,381 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 23,540 kWh/year.

1970's shops 4016						
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	23,540	5,159	10,761	27,381	643
post-retrofit	0	22,675	5,057	7,983	27,381	534
difference	0	-865	-103	-2,779	0	-109
% change	0	-4	-2	-26	0	-17
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	80	18	37	93	2
post-retrofit	0	77	17	27	93	2
difference	0	-3	0	-9	0	0
% change	0	-4	-2	-26	0	-17
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	14	3	6	16	0
post-retrofit	0	13	3	5	16	0
difference	0	-1	0	-2	0	0
% change	0	-4	-2	-26	0	-17

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 8,620 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 3,738 kWh/year.

1970's shops 4016						
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	3,738	8,620	415
post-retrofit	0	0	0	1,959	8,620	324
difference	0	0	0	-1,779	0	-90
% change	0	0	0	-48	0	-22
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	13	29	1
post-retrofit	0	0	0	7	29	1
difference	0	0	0	-6	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-48	0	-22
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	7	15	1
post-retrofit	0	0	0	3	15	1
difference	0	0	0	-3	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-48	0	-22
Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1970's shops
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	610 575 -35 -6
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	292 275 -17 -6
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	501 473 -29 -6
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	62 58 -4 -6
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	12 11 -1 -6
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	208 196 -12 -6

4016 conditioned space

1970's shops 4016 unconditioned space

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	115 99 -17 -15
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	55 47 -8 -15
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	95 81 -14 -15
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	12 10 -2 -15
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2 2 0 -15
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	39 34 -6 -15

Building 2131 Administration Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2131 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2131

Building 2131 is a building with some administration space as well as some lab-space and unconditioned high-bay space. Building 2131 was built in 2008 and is 26,296 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a water cooled chiller as well as replacing the EXIT lights with electroluminescent panels for the administration and laboratory space. FEDS also suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a very high efficiency water cooled chiller and replacing the EXIT and metal halide lighting

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1990's shops 2131	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	79	4,220	37,473	5,341	1.6
1990's shops 2131	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	65	373	752	3.0
1990's shops 2131	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	43	248	501	3.0

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2131 administration and laboratory space:

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2131 high bay space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1990's shop highbay space 2131	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	102	621	1,143	2.8
1990's shop highbay space 2131	Lights	MH13: MH 250 WALL	HS26: HPS 200 WALL	5	396	3,457	3,247	1.9

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for administration and laboratory spaces of the building for a typical year was 221,784 kwh before retrofits and 198,333 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 57.6 MBtu/Ksf to 51.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	221,784	16,868.3	57.6	39,316
post-retrofit	198,333	15,084.7	51.5	34,894
difference	-23,451	-1,783.6	-6.1	-4,422
% change	-11	-11	-11	-11
Total (MBtu)				
existing	757	57.6	57.6	39,316
post-retrofit	677	51.5	51.5	34,894
difference	-80	-6.1	-6.1	-4,422
% change	-11	-11	-11	-11

1990's shops administration and laboratory space 2131

The modeled energy consumption for high bay spaces of the building for a typical year was 228,359 kwh before retrofits and 195,226 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 59.3 MBtu/Ksf to 50.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1990's	shop	highbay	space	2131
--------	------	---------	-------	------

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)	150.074	11 570 7	20 5	
existing	152,074	11,570.7	39.5	26,965
post-retrofit	150,489	11,450.1	39.1	26,689
difference	-1,585	-120.6	-0.4	-276
% change	-1	-1	-1	-1
Total (MBtu)				
existing	519	39.5	39.5	26,965
post-retrofit	514	39.1	39.1	26,689
difference	-5	-0.4	-0.4	-276
% change	-1	-1	-1	-1

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 84,806 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 62,357 kWh/year.

	199	90's shops admini	istration and lab	poratory space	2131	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water *
ruei	lieacing	COOLING	Venic	LIGHUS	MISC EQUIP	HOC WALLEI
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	62,357	14,183	57,107	84,806	3,330
post-retrofit	0	39,030	14,175	56,992	84,806	3,330
difference	0	-23,327	-8	-116	0	0
% change	0	-37	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	213	48	195	289	11
post-retrofit	0	133	48	195	289	11
difference	0	-80	0	0	0	0
% change	0	-37	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	16	4	15	22	1
post-retrofit	0	10	4	15	22	1
difference	0	-6	0	0	0	0
% change	0	-37	0	0	0	0

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 92,181 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 57,524 kWh/year.

	Annua					
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	57,524	92,181	2,368
post-retrofit	0	0	0	55,940	92,181	2,368
difference	0	0	0	-1,585	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-3	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	196	315	8
post-retrofit	0	0	0	191	315	8
difference	0	0	0	-5	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-3	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	15	24	1
post-retrofit	0	0	0	15	24	1
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-3	0	0

176

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction

The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing 2,005 post-retrofit 1,793 difference -212 % change -11 Nitrogen Oxides (lb) 958 existing post-retrofit 857 difference -101 % change -11 Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing 1,648 post-retrofit 1,474 difference -174 % change -11 Carbon Dioxide (tons) 203 existing post-retrofit 181 difference -21 % change -11 Particulate Matter (lb) existing 40 post-retrofit 35 difference -4 % change -11 Hydrocarbons (lb) 682 existing post-retrofit 610 -72 difference % change -11

1990's shops administration and laboratory space 2131

1990's shop highbay space 2131

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	1,375 1,360 -14 -1
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	657 650 -7 -1
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,130 1,118 -12 -1
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	139 138 -1 -1
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	27 27 0 -1
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	468 463 -5 -1

Building 1728 Warehouse

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1728 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1728

1728 is a warehouse building built in 1993. This building partially unconditioned with the office space being served by an electric air cooled chiller. Building 1728 is 140,383 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing lights in the conditioned and unconditioned spaces. For the conditioned space, it is recommended to increase roof insulation as well as increasing the insulation on the hot water tank, the hot water system pipes and installing aerators.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728	Lights	MH6: MH 400 PEND	HS18: HPS 310 PEND	175	6,807	38,531	73,510	2.9
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	102	621	1,143	2.8
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728	Lights	HS13: HPS 70 PEND	FL279: FL 2X4 2F32ST8 ELC2 REF	10	1,043	9,512	8,235	1.9

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1728 unconditioned space:

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1728 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1050's			EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL					
Wharehouse/storage 1728	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	RETRO KIT	-	51	311	571	2.8
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728	Lights	FL37: FL 2X4 4F32T8 EEF2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF	55	3,213	3,312	50,587	16.3

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for the unconditioned space for a typical year was 493,902 kwh before retrofits and 439,598 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 12.2 MBtu/Ksf to 10.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1050's Whar	ehouse/storage 1728 uncc	nditioned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	493,902 439,598 -54,304 -11	3,584.7 3,190.5 -394.1 -11	12.2 10.9 -1.3 -11	87,317 77,305 -10,011 -11
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,686 1,500 -185 -11	12.2 10.9 -1.3 -11	12.2 10.9 -1.3 -11	87,317 77,305 -10,011 -11

The modeled energy consumption for the unconditioned space for a typical year was 96,903 kwh before retrofits and 80,766 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 127.2 MBtu/Ksf to 106.0 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1050's Whar	ehouse/storage 1728 unco	onditioned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	96,903 80,766 -16,137 -17	37,256.0 31,051.9 -6,204.1 -17	127.2 106.0 -21.2 -17	17,131 14,203 -2,928 -17
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	331 276 -55 -17	127.2 106.0 -21.2 -17	127.2 106.0 -21.2 -17	17,131 14,203 -2,928 -17

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use

Lighting is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 253,372 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 240,530 kWh/year.

1050 5 What chouse, storage 1720 an conditioned space				space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	253,372	240,530	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	199,068	240,530	0
difference	0	0	0	-54,304	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-21	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	865	821	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	679	821	0
difference	0	0	0	-185	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-21	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	6	6	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	5	6	0
difference	0	0	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-21	0	0

1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728 unconditioned space

		1050's Wharehouse,	/storage 1728	conditioned s	-	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	28,814	9,289	26,560	31,893	347
post-retrofit	0	24,548	8,639	15,339	31,893	347
difference	0	-4,266	-649	-11,222	0	0
% change	0	-15	-7	-42	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	98	32	91	109	1
post-retrofit	0	84	29	52	109	1
difference	0	-15	-2	-38	0	0
% change	0	-15	-7	-42	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	38	12	35	42	0
post-retrofit	0	32	11	20	42	0
difference	0	-6	-1	-15	0	0
% change	0	-15	-7	-42	0	0

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 31,893 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 28,814 kWh/year.

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1050's Wharehouse/storage	1728 unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb)		
existing	4,465	
post-retrofit	3,974	
difference	-491	
% change	-11	
Nitrogen Oxides (1b)		
existing	2,134	
post-retrofit	1,899	
difference	-235	
% change	-11	
Carbon Monoxide (lb)		
existing	3,670	
post-retrofit	3,266	
difference	-403	
% change	-11	
Carbon Dioxide (tons)		
existing	452	
post-retrofit	402	
difference	-50	
% change	-11	
Particulate Matter (lb)		
existing	88	
post-retrofit	79	
difference	-10	
% change	-11	
Hydrocarbons (lb)		
existing	1,519	
post-retrofit	1,352	
difference	-167	
% change	-11	

1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728 conditioned space

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	876 730 -146 -17
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	419 349 -70 -17
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	720 600 -120 -17
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	89 74 -15 -17
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	17 14 -3 -17
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	298 248 -50 -17

Building 1072 Supply Warehouse

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1072 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1072

1072 is a warehouse building built in 1941. The warehouse is largely unconditioned but has a few small offices that are conditioned by DX units. Building 1072 is 83,379 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing lights in the conditioned and unconditioned spaces of the building. FEDS also suggests adding insulation to the interior of the roof and replacing the single pane windows with double pane, super low-e windows in the conditioned space.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1072 unconditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072	Lights	MH6: MH 400 PEND	HS18: HPS 310 PEND	69	2,679	15,160	28,922	2.9
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	102	621	1,143	2.8
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072	Lights	FL236: FL 2X4 3F32T8 ELC3	FL279: FL 2X4 2F32ST8 ELC2 REF	128	6,814	13,362	100,543	8.5

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1072 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
			EX12: EXIT -					
1050's			ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL					
Wharehouse/storage 1072	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	RETRO KIT	-	56	311	661	3.1
1050's			FL279: FL 2X4 2F32ST8 ELC2					
Wharehouse/storage 1072	Lights	FL236: FL 2X4 3F32T8 ELC3	REF	40	2,099	3,158	31,829	11.1
			Add Insulation to Interior					
1050's			Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches					
Wharehouse/storage 1072	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	of Fiberglass	302	15,861	22,799	241,103	11.6

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for the unconditioned space fo the building for a typical year was 404,132 kwh before retrofits and 346,253 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 17.5 MBtu/Ksf to 15.0 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	404,132	5,134.6	17.5	71,446
post-retrofit	346,253	4,399.2	15.0	60,890
difference	-57,879	-735.4	-2.5	-10,556
% change	-14	-14	-14	-15
Total (MBtu)				
existing	1,379	17.5	17.5	71,446
post-retrofit	1,182	15.0	15.0	60,890
difference	-198	-2.5	-2.5	-10,556
% change	-14	-14	-14	-15

The modeled energy consumption for the conditioned space fo the building for a typical year was 163,410 kwh before retrofits and 64,784 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 83.6 MBtu/Ksf to 33.1 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	163,410	24,499.2	83.6	28,889
post-retrofit	64,784	9,712.7	33.1	11,393
difference	-98,626	-14,786.4	-50.5	-17,497
% change	-60	-60	-60	-61
Total (MBtu)				
existing	558	83.6	83.6	28,889
post-retrofit	221	33.1	33.1	11,393
difference	-337	-50.5	-50.5	-17,497
% change	-60	-60	-60	-61

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use

Lighting is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 266,729 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 137,403 kWh/year.

	TO	50 S WHATEHOUSE/S	LOIAGE IU/2 L	inconarcionea	space	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	266,729	137,403	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	208,850	137,403	0
difference	0	0	0	-57,879	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-22	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	910	469	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	713	469	0
difference	0	0	0	-198	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-22	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	12	6	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	9	6	0
difference	0	0	0	- 3	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-22	0	0

1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072 unconditioned space

Space cooling is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 79,200 kWh/year, followed by ventilation with
53,432 kWh/year.

		1050's Wharehouse	e/storage 1072	conditioned sp		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	79,200	53,432	19,134	11,644	0
post-retrofit	0	25,266	16,793	11,081	11,644	0
difference	0	-53,934	-36,639	-8,052	0	0
% change	0	-68	-69	-42	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	270	182	65	40	0
post-retrofit	0	86	57	38	40	0
difference	0	-184	-125	-27	0	0
% change	0	-68	-69	-42	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	41	27	10	6	0
post-retrofit	0	13	9	6	6	0
difference	0	-28	-19	-4	0	0
% change	0	-68	-69	-42	0	0

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1050's Wharehouse/storage	1072 unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb)		
existing	3,653	
post-retrofit	3,130	
difference	-523	
% change	-14	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb)		
existing	1,746	
post-retrofit	1,496	
difference	-250	
% change	-14	
Carbon Monoxide (lb)		
existing	3,003	
post-retrofit	2,573	
difference	-430	
% change	-14	
Carbon Dioxide (tons)		
existing	370	
post-retrofit	317	
difference	-53	
% change	-14	
Particulate Matter (lb)		
existing	72	
post-retrofit	62	
difference	-10	
% change	-14	
Hydrocarbons (lb)		
existing	1,243	
post-retrofit	1,065	
difference	-178	
% change	-14	

1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072 conditioned space

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,477 586 -892 -60
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	706 280 -426 -60
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,214 481 -733 -60
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	150 59 -90 -60
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	29 12 -18 -60
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	503 199 -303 -60

Building 1070 Warehouse Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1070 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1070

1070 is a warehouse building with some conditioned hazmat storage built in 1941. Building 1070 is mostly unconditioned storage with a small office that is conditioned by a small DX unit. Building 1070 is 62,779 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing lighting in the unconditioned space as well as replacing the EXIT lighting fixtures in the conditioned and unconditioned spaces. FEDS also suggests increasing the insulation in the roof of the conditioned office space.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1070 unconditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
small storage 1070	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	5	344	311	5,520	18.8
small storage 1070	Lights	IN27: INC 100 WALL	CF9: CFL 26 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	9	666	2,530	8,694	4.4
small storage 1070	Lights	FL5: FL 1X4 1F40T12 STD1	FL53: FL 1X4 1F32T8 ELC1	2	177	2,467	524	1.2

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1070 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
small storage 1070	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches	6	420	311	6,786	22.8
small storage 1070	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Fiberglass	20	1,034	4,290	12,902	4.0

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for unconditioned spaces in the building for a typical year was 15,732 kwh before retrofits and 11,110 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled other fuels (propane) consumption for the unconditioned spaces in the building for a typical year was 382 MBtu before retrofits and 382 MBtu after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 7.1 MBtu/Ksf to 6.8 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	Small	storage 1070 unconditi	oned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	15,732 11,110 -4,623 -29	255.7 180.6 -75.1 -29	0.9 0.6 -0.3 -29	2,781 1,954 -828 -30
Other Fuels (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	382 382 0 0	6.2 6.2 0.0 0	6.2 6.2 0.0 0	12,278 12,278 0 0
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	436 420 -16 -4	7.1 6.8 -0.3 -4	7.1 6.8 -0.3 -4	15,060 14,232 -828 -5

The modeled energy consumption for conditioned spaces in the building for a typical year was 25,042 kwh before retrofits and 17,627 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled other fules (propane) consumption for the unconditioned spaces in the building for a typical year was 8 MBtu before retrofits and 8 MBtu after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 74.3 MBtu/Ksf to 54.1 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	Small storage 1070 conditioned space					
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*		
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	25,042	19,954.1	68.1	4,427		
post-retrofit	17,627	14,045.3	47.9	3,100		
difference	-7,416	-5,908.8	-20.2	-1,327		
% change	-30	-30	-30	-30		
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	8	6.2	6.2	250		
post-retrofit	8	6.2	6.2	250		
difference	0	0.0	0.0	0		
% change	0	0	0	0		
Total (MBtu)						
existing	93	74.3	74.3	4,678		
post-retrofit	68	54.1	54.1	3,350		
difference	-25	-20.2	-20.2	-1,327		
% change	-27	-27	-27	-28		

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Lighting is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 14,736 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 997 kWh/year.

		small storage	1070 uncond	litioned space		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	14,736	997	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	10,113	997	0
difference	0	0	0			0
	0	0		-4,623	0	•
% change	0	0	0	-31	0	0
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	382	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	382	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	50	385	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	35	385	0
difference	0	0	0	-16	0	0
	0	0	0	-31	0	0
% change	U	U	0	-31	U	U
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	1	6	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	1	6	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-31	0	0
-						

small storage 1070						
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	12,471	3,435	2,814	6,323	0
post-retrofit	0	7,504	2,375	1,424	6,323	0
difference	0	-4,967	-1,060	-1,389	0	0
% change	0	-40	-31	-49	0	0
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	8	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	8	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	43	12	10	29	0
post-retrofit	0	26	8	5	29	0
difference	0	-17	-4	-5	0	0
% change	0	-40	-31	-49	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	34	9	8	23	0
post-retrofit	0	20	б	4	23	0
difference	0	-14	-3	-4	0	0
% change	0	-40	-31	-49	0	0

Space cooling is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 12,471 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 6,323 kWh/year.

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	Small storage
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	238 196 -42 -18
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	202 182 -20 -10
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	407 373 -34 -8
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	47 43 -4 -9
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	7 6 -1 -12
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	144 130 -14 -10

1070 unconditioned space

Small storage 1070 conditioned space

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	228 161 -67 -29
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	111 79 -32 -29
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	192 137 -55 -29
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	24 17 -7 -29
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5 3 -1 -29
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	79 56 -23 -29

Building 2002 Vehicle Maintenance Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2002 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2002

2002 is a vehicle maintenance building with conditioned admin and unconditioned workshop space built in 1940. Building 2002 generally has fluorescent lighting, an electric hot water system and its administration spaces are cooled by an electric package, or DX, unit. Building 2002 is 23,981 sf.

Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the EXIT lighting as well as replacing the T12 Fluorescent lighting in the unconditioned spaces. In the conditioned spaces FEDS suggests replacing EXIT lighting, T12 Fluorescent lighting, and increasing the insulation on the interior of the metal roof.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2002 unconditioned spaces:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL62: FL 1X8 2F96T12 STD2	FL131: FL 1X8 2F96T12ES ELC2 REF (FIX REPL)	13	888	5,076	9,820	2.9
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	1	106	93	1,695	19.2
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	30	1,998	8,916	24,600	3.8
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	8	599	528	9,603	19.2
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	4	280	1,241	3,490	3.8
Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2002 conditioned spaces:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	1	64	47	1028	23.1
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	5	364	264	5873	23.2
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL62: FL 1X8 2F96T12 STD2	FL131:FL 1X8 2F96T12ES ELC2REF (FIX REPL)	3	220	1015	2674	3.8
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL1:FL2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	8	497	1783	6558	4.7
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	1	56	248	698	3.8
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Add Insulation to Interior surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches Fiberglass	129	6,404	16,393	90,163	6.5

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year for the unconditioned space was 125,846 kwh before retrofits and 112,380 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 22.4 MBtu/Ksf to 20.0 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	Vehicle r	maintenance 2002 uncondi	tioned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	125,846 112,380 -13,465 -11	6,559.9 5,858.0 -701.9 -11	22.4 20.0 -2.4 -11	22,248 19,763 -2,486 -11
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	430 384 -46 -11	22.4 20.0 -2.4 -11	22.4 20.0 -2.4 -11	22,248 19,763 -2,486 -11

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year for the conditioned space was 98,451 kwh before retrofits and 56,191 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 70.1 MBtu/Ksf to 40.0 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	Vehicle	maintenance 2002 condit:	ioned spaces	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	98,451 56,191 -42,259 -43	20,527.7 11,716.3 -8,811.4 -43	70.1 40.0 -30.1 -43	17,405 9,882 -7,524 -43
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	336 192 -144 -43	70.1 40.0 -30.1 -43	70.1 40.0 -30.1 -43	17,405 9,882 -7,524 -43

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 94,120 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 31,616 kWh/year.

		Vehicle maintenance	2002 unc	onditioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water *
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	31,616	94,120	110
post-retrofit	0	0	0	18,150	94,120	110
difference	0	0	0	-13,465	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-43	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	108	321	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	62	321	0
difference	0	0	0	-46	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-43	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	6	17	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	3	17	0
difference	0	0	0	-2	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-43	0	0

* Energy consumption values for both distributed and central SHW are reported for Hot Water annual energy use.

Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 59,237 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 23,530 kWh/year.

		Vehicle maintena	ance 2002 con	ditioned space		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water *
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	59,237	6,969	8,605	23,530	110
post-retrofit	0	25,090	2,917	4,544	23,530	110
difference	0	-34,147	-4,052	-4,061	0	0
% change	0	-58	-58	-47	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	202	24	29	80	0
post-retrofit	0	86	10	16	80	0
difference	0	-117	-14	-14	0	0
% change	0	-58	-58	-47	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	42	5	б	17	0
post-retrofit	0	18	2	3	17	0
difference	0	-24	-3	-3	0	0
% change	0	-58	-58	-47	0	0

* Energy consumption values for both distributed and central SHW are reported for Hot Water annual energy use.

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	Vehicle maintenance	2002 unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb)		
existing	1,138	
post-retrofit	1,016	
difference	-122	
% change	-11	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb)		
existing	544	
post-retrofit	485	
difference	-58	
% change	-11	
Carbon Monoxide (lb)		
existing	935	
post-retrofit	835	
difference	-100	
% change	-11	
Carbon Dioxide (tons)	115	
existing	115	
post-retrofit	103	
difference	-12	
% change	-11	
Particulate Matter (lb)		
existing	23	
post-retrofit	20	
difference	-2	
% change	-11	
5		
Hydrocarbons (lb)		
existing	387	
post-retrofit	346	
difference	-41	
% change	-11	

Vehicle maintenance 2002 conditioned space

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	890 508 -382 -43
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	425 243 -183 -43
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	731 418 -314 -43
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	90 51 -39 -43
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	18 10 -8 -43
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	303 173 -130 -43

Building 1713 Warehouse Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1713 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1713

1713 is a warehouse building built in 1944. 1713 is the main recycling center on base and has a small conditioned office space served by an electric package, or DX, unit. Building 1713 is 30,400 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the lighting in the building in the conditioned and unconditioned spaces as well as increasing the interior insulation of the roof for the conditioned space only.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's storage 1713	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	26	2,334	24,875	14,590	1.6
1910 99101462 1715	218110		EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL	20	2,001	21,070	11,070	1.0
1940's storage 1713	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	RETRO KIT	-	51	311	572	2.8

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1713 unconditioned space:

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1713 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's storage 1713	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL244: FL 2X4 4F32T8 ELC4	6	493	2,721	5,580	3.1
1940's storage 1713	Cooling	Electric Package Unit	Window Unit AC (standard efficiency)	1	210	1691	392	1.4
1940's storage 1713	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	53	311	597	2.9
1940's storage 1713	Lights	IN8: INC 75 CEIL	CF5: CFL 18 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	1	88	48	1,429	30.6
1940's storage 1713	Lights	IN11: INC 100 CEIL	CF9: CFL 26 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	1	108	48	1,763	37.5
1940's storage 1713	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches Fiberglass	11	717	2,078	9,852	5.7

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for the unconditioned space in the building for a typical year was 22,232 kwh before retrofits and 14,495 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 2.5 MBtu/Ksf to 1.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1940's	storage 1713 uncondit:	ioned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	22,232 14,495 -7,738 -35	746.3 486.5 -259.7 -35	2.5 1.7 -0.9 -35	3,941 2,550 -1,391 -35
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	76 49 -26 -35	2.5 1.7 -0.9 -35	2.5 1.7 -0.9 -35	3,941 2,550 -1,391 -35

The modeled energy consumption for the conditioned space in the building for a typical year was 10,421 kwh before retrofits and 3,445 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 58.5 MBtu/Ksf to 19.3 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1940's	storage 1713 conditi	oned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	10,421 3,445 -6,976 -67	17,140.2 5,666.8 -11,473.4 -67	58.5 19.3 -39.2 -67	1,842 606 -1,236 -67
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	36 12 -24 -67	58.5 19.3 -39.2 -67	58.5 19.3 -39.2 -67	1,842 606 -1,236 -67

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Lighting is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 21,755 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 477 kWh/year.

		1940's storage	1713 uncon	ditioned space		
Tuel	Heeting	Geeling	Vent	T i obto	Motors and	Hot Water
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	HOL Waler
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	21,755	477	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	14,017	477	0
difference	0	0	0	-7,738	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-36	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	74	2	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	48	2	0
difference	0	0	0	-26	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-36	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	2	0	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	2	0	0
difference	0	0	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-36	0	0

		1940's storage	1713 cond	litioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
1401	neacing	cooring	VCIIC	штансь	міре падір	not water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	5,318	193	4,901	10	0
post-retrofit	0	800	62	2,574	10	0
difference	0	-4,517	-131	-2,327	0	0
% change	0	-85	-68	-47	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	18	1	17	0	0
post-retrofit	0	3	0	9	0	0
difference	0	-15	0	-8	0	0
% change	0	-85	-68	-47	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	30	1	28	0	0
post-retrofit	0	4	0	14	0	0
difference	0	-25	-1	-13	0	0
% change	0	-85	-68	-47	0	0

Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 5,318 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 4,901 kWh/year.

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1940's storage	1713	unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	201 131 -70 -35		
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	96 63 -33 -35		
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	165 108 -57 -35		
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	20 13 -7 -35		
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	4 3 -1 -35		
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	68 45 -24 -35		

1940's storage 1713 conditioned space

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	94 31 -63 -67
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	45 15 -30 -67
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	77 26 -52 -67
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	10 3 -6 -67
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2 1 -1 -67
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	32 11 -21 -67

Building 2130 Corrosion Control Hangar

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2130 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2130

2130 is a corrosion control facility for aircraft built in 2008. Building 2130 cleans aircraft of corrosion causing agents and has a large ventilation system to aid its mission. Building 2130 is 56,734 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the lighting in the building . FEDS also suggests various upgrades to the hot water system for the unconditioned space. For the conditioned space FEDS suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a very high efficiency water cooled chiller, insulating the hot water system and repacing some fo the lighting.

Bldg. Set Descrip	ption	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
2008 hanger 2	2130	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation and Insulate Pipe Near Tank	1	27	168	65	1.4
2008 hanger 2	2130	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	51	311	572	2.8
2008 hanger 2	2130	Lights	MH8: MH 1500 PEND	HS20: HPS 1000 PEND	61	5,784	30,695	67,757	3.2

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2130 unconditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
2008 hanger conditioned space 2130	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	120	7,819	44,112	64,450	2.5
2008 hanger conditioned space 2130	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation and Insulate Pipe Near Tank	1	27	168	65	1.4
2008 hanger conditioned space 2130	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	1	107	627	1,229	3.0
2008 hanger conditioned space 2130	Lights	FL236: FL 2X4 3F32T8 ELC3	FL279: FL 2X4 2F32ST8 ELC2 REF	109	5,596	14,473	78,914	6.5
2008 hanger conditioned space 2130	Lights	FL51: FL 2X4 2F32T8 ELC2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	67	3,263	19,435	34,889	2.8

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2130 conditioned space:

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for the unconditioned space for a typical year was 194,224 kwh before retrofits and 176,113 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 13.7 MBtu/Ksf to 12.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	200	08 hanger unconditione spac	e 2130	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	194,224 176,113 -18,111 -9	4,027.4 3,651.9 -375.5 -9	13.7 12.5 -1.3 -9	34,438 31,233 -3,205 -9
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	663 601 -62 -9	13.7 12.5 -1.3 -9	13.7 12.5 -1.3 -9	34,438 31,233 -3,205 -9

The modeled energy consumption for the conditioned space for a typical year was 410,499 kwh before retrofits and 218,352 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 164.6 MBtu/Ksf to 127.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	2008 hanger	conditioned space	2130	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	410,499 318,352 -92,147 -22	48,237.3 37,409.2 -10,828.1 -22	164.6 127.7 -37.0 -22	72,786 56,459 -16,328 -22
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,401 1,087 -314 -22	164.6 127.7 -37.0 -22	164.6 127.7 -37.0 -22	72,786 56,459 -16,328 -22

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the unconditioned space with 117,194 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 73,546 kWh/year.

	Annual		Building Set, F 08 hanger 2	uel Type, and 2130	End Use	
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	73,546	117,194	3,483
post-retrofit	0	0	0	55,600	117,194	3,319
difference	0	0	0	-17,947	0	-164
% change	0	0	0	-24	0	-5
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	251	400	12
post-retrofit	0	0	0	190	400	11
difference	0	0	0	-61	0	-1
% change	0	0	0	-24	0	-5
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	5	8	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	4	8	0
difference	0	0	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-24	0	-5

	Annua	al Energy Use by 2008 hanger	-		End Use	
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	120,545	52,328	172,239	62,803	2,584
post-retrofit	0	70,213	52,328	130,587	62,803	2,420
difference	0	-50,332	0	-41,651	0	-164
% change	0	-42	0	-24	0	-б
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	411	179	588	214	9
post-retrofit	0	240	179	446	214	8
difference	0	-172	0	-142	0	-1
% change	0	-42	0	-24	0	-б
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	48	21	69	25	1
post-retrofit	0	28	21	52	25	1
difference	0	-20	0	-17	0	0
% change	0	-42	0	-24	0	-6

Lighting is the largest load in the conditioned space with 172,239 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 120,545 kWh/year.

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	2008	hanger	unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,756 1,592 -164 -9		
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	839 761 -78 -9		
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,443 1,309 -135 -9		
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	178 161 -17 -9		
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	35 31 -3 -9		
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	597 542 -56 -9		

	3,711 2,878 -833 -22 1,773 1,773 1,375 -398 -22
Nitrogen Oxides (lb)	1,375 -398
existing 1	
5	3,050 2,365 -685 -22
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	376 291 -84 -22
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	73 57 -16 -22
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing 1 post-retrofit difference % change	1,262 979 -283 -22

Building 1860 Dining Hall

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1860 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1860

1860 is a dining hall built in 1969. It is lighted mostly by T8's and is cooled by an electric air cooled chiller Building 1860 is 12,941 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing incandescent lights with CFL lights, replacing the air cooled chiller with a water cooled reciprocating chiller and replacing the propane water heater with a conventional distillate oil boiler.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1860:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Dining Hall 1860	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	174	10,591	57.337	11,791	2.6
Dining Hall 1860 Dining Hall 1860	Lights Lights	IN18: INC 25 WALL EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	CF14: CFL 5 + BLST UNIT EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	12 13	642 869	4,340 621	6,348	2.5 23.6
Dining Hall 1860	Hot Water	Other Fuels Central Boiler	Conventional Distillate Oil Boiler - 86.5% Combustion Efficiency, Wrap Tank	240	7,636	22,413	163,808	9.0
Dining Hall 1860	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	14	927	621	14,985	25.1
Dining Hall 1860	Hot Water	Other Fuels Central Boiler	Conventional Distillate Oil Boiler - 83% Combustion Efficiency, Wrap Tank	230	7,536	15,786	164,000	12.4
Dining Hall 1860	Window	Metal Frame Single Pane Window	Install Aluminum Frame Double Pane Super Low-e Window	26	1,607	23,991	2,756	1.1

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 485,332 kwh before retrofits and 413,895 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 203.1 MBtu/Ksf to 148.0 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Dining Hall 1860		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	485,332	37,503.4	128.0	86,015
post-retrofit	413,895	31,983.2	109.1	72,819
difference	-71,437	-5,520.2	-18.8	-13,196
% change	-14	-14	-14	-15.3
Distillate Oil (gal)				
existing	0	0.0	0.0	0
post-retrofit	2,222	171.7	171.7	11,333
difference	2,222	171.7	171.7	11,333
% change	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Other Fuels (MBtu)				
existing	971	75.0	75.0	31,223
post-retrofit	116	15.0	15.0	6,225
difference	-408	-52.5	-52.5	-13,111
% change	-78	-78	-78	-78
Total (MBtu)				
existing	2,628	203.1	203.1	117,208
post-retrofit	1,915	148.0	148.0	90,377
difference	-443	-55.1	-55.1	-26,831
% change	-27	-27	-27	-23

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 221,654 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 160,038 kWh/year.

			Dining Hall	1860		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	221,654	70,607	33,032	160,038	0
post-retrofit	0	158,713	70,302	24,842	160,038	0
difference	0	-62,941	-305	-8,190	0	0
% change	0	-28	0	-25	0	0
Distillate Oil (gal)						
existing	0	0	0	0	0	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	0	2,222
difference	0	0	0	0	0	2,222
% change	0	0	0	0	0	n/a
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	193	778
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	193	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-778
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-100
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	756	241	112	740	423
post-retrofit	0	542	240	85	740	162
difference	0	-214	-1	-27	0	-261
% change	0	-28	0	-24	0	-62
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	116	37	17	114	124
post-retrofit	0	87	37	13	114	49
difference	0	-29	0	-4	0	-75
% change	0	-25	0	-24	0	-61

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction

The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing 4,630 post-retrofit 3,944 difference -686 % change -15 Nitrogen Oxides (lb) 2,437 existing post-retrofit 1,961 difference -476 % change -20 Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing 4,344 3,469 post-retrofit difference -875 % change -20 Carbon Dioxide (tons) 526 existing post-retrofit 424 difference -102 % change -20 Particulate Matter (lb) existing 96 post-retrofit 82 difference -14 % change -15 Hydrocarbons (lb) 1,736 existing post-retrofit 1,428 -308 difference % change -18

Dining Hall

1860

Building 1804 Dining Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1804 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1804

1804 is an open mess facility built in 2003. Building 1804 has incandescent and 32W T8 lilghts, an electric air cooled chiller and little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 1804 is 27,579 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a very high efficiency air cooled chiller. Incandescent lights are suggested to be replaced by CFL lights, FEDS suggests increasing the insulation on the hot water tank and increasing insulation in the suspended ceiling.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1804:

Bldg. Set	Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Dining	1804	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Air-Cooled Electric Chiller (very high efficiency)	142	60,113	304,293	333,778	2.9
Dining	1804	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	143	9,245	6,212	149,431	25.1
Dining	1804	Lights	IN25: INC 75 WALL	CF5: CFL 18 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	312	19,296	8,978	315,418	36.1
Dining	1804	Lights	FL38: FL 2X4 3F32T8 EEF1,2	FL304: FL 2X4 3F25ST8 ELC3 REF	22	1,598	12,941	14,068	2.1
Dining	1804	Hot Water	Other Fuels Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation	45	1,457	2,138	4,640	3.2
Dining	1804	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 8.90	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-19	64	4,362	47,492	25,081	1.5

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 1,001,869 kwh before retrofits and 795,914 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled other fuels (propane) consumption for a typical year was 881 gallons before retrofits and 836 gallons after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 155.9 MBtu/Ksf to 128.8 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Dining 1804		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing	1,001,869	36,327.2	124.0	177,601
post-retrofit difference	795,914 -205,955	28,859.4 -7,467.8	98.5 -25.5	140,030 -37,571
% change Other Fuels (MBtu)	-21	-21	-21	-21
existing post-retrofit	881 836	32.0 30.3	32.0 30.3	28,333 26,875
difference % change	-45 -5	-1.6 -5	-1.6 -5	-1,457 -5
Total (MBtu)	4 201	155 0		205 024
existing post-retrofit difference	4,301 3,552 -748	155.9 128.8 -27.1	155.9 128.8 -27.1	205,934 166,906 -39,028
% change	-17	-27.1 -17	-17	-39,028 -19

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 387,047 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 316,839 kWh/year.

			Dining	1804		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	387,047	100,190	197,794	316,839	0
post-retrofit	0	287,902	86,126	105,048	316,839	0
difference	0	-99,145	-14,063	-92,746	0	0
% change	0	-26	-14	-47	0	0
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	725	156
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	725	111
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-45
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-29
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	1,321	342	675	1,806	156
post-retrofit	0	983	294	359	1,806	111
difference	0	-338	-48	-317	0	-45
% change	0	-26	-14	-47	0	-29
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	48	12	24	66	б
post-retrofit	0	36	11	13	66	4
difference	0	-12	-2	-11	0	-2
% change	0	-26	-14	-47	0	-29
Dining

1804

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	9,277 7,404 -1,873 -20
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	4,636 3,731 -906 -20
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	8,114 6,549 -1,565 -19
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	991 799 -192 -19
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	188 151 -37 -20
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,301 2,657 -645 -20

Building 594 Lavatory Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 594 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 594

594 is a lavatory by the baseball fields built in 1977. Building 594 is not cooled and has very little lighting. Building 594 is 293 sf.



FEDS did not find any life cycle cost effective retrofits using appropriated funding. *Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type*

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 2,429 kwh before retrofits and 1,040 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 34.9 MBtu/Ksf to 18.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	sanitary latrines/small storage 594				
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*	
Electricity (kWh)					
existing	2,429	8,290.3	28.3	431	
post-retrofit	1,040	3,548.5	12.1	183	
difference	-1,389	-4,741.8	-16.2	-248	
% change	-57	-57	-57	-58	
Other Fuels (MBtu)					
existing	2	6.6	6.6	63	
post-retrofit	2	6.6	6.6	63	
difference	0	0.0	0.0	0	
% change	0	0	0	0	
Total (MBtu)					
existing	10	34.9	34.9	493	
post-retrofit	5	18.7	18.7	245	
difference	-5	-16.2	-16.2	-248	
% change	-46	-46	-46	-50	

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Lighting is the largest load in the building with 2,091 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 338 kWh/year.

		Sanitary lat	rines/small sto:	rage 594		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
1401	incacing	cooring	Vene	Digitob	нтре пдатр	not water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	2,091	338	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	702	338	0
difference	0	0	0	-1,389	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-66	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	7	3	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	2	3	0
difference	0	0	0	-5	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-66	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	24	11	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	8	11	0
difference	0	0	0	-16	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-66	0	0

	Sanitary latrines/small storage
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	22 10 -13 -56
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	11 5 -6 -54
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	20 9 -10 -53
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2 1 -1 -53
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	0 0 0 -55
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	8 4 -4 -54

Building 2093 Commissary

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2093 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2093

2093 is the commissary and was built in 1975. Building 2093 has large conditioned service spaces as well as large unconditioned storage spaces. Building 2093 is 115,408 sf.



A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the water cooled chiller with an ultra high efficiency water cooled chiller. FEDS suggests replacing the electric water heater with a heat pump water heater and replacing some of the lighting.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2093:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Comissary, large sales 2093	Cooling	Electric Water-Cooled Reciprocating Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Centrifugal Electric Chiller (ultra high efficiency)	743	45,994	284,148	205,262	2.3
Comissary, large sales 2093	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	1,603	91,420	259,302	1,271,569	5.9
Comissary, large sales 2093	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	22	1,453	1,118	23,392	21.9
Comissary, large sales 2093	Lights	FL200: FL 1X8 1F96T8 EEF1	FL250: FL 1X8 1F96T8 ELC1	63	7,061	76,308	44,218	1.6
Comissary, large sales 2093	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	164	9,787	33,270	130,720	4.9
Comissary, large sales 2093	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	2	162	124	2,600	21.9
Comissary, large sales 2093	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Heat Pump Water Heater (Com)	168	8,496	47,981	16,739	1.6

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 6,735,356 kwh before retrofits and 5,877,351 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 199.2 MBtu/Ksf to 173.8 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Comissary, large sales	2093	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	6,735,356 5,877,351 -858,005 -13	58,361.3 50,926.7 -7,434.5 -13	199.2 173.8 -25.4 -13	1,193,974 1,034,038 -159,936 -13
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	22,988 20,059 -2,928 -13	199.2 173.8 -25.4 -13	199.2 173.8 -25.4 -13	1,193,974 1,034,038 -159,936 -13

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 4,432,117 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 1,096,681 kWh/year.

		Commiss	ary, large sales	2093		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water *
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	778,012	369,229	1,096,681	4,432,117	59,317
post-retrofit	0	418,662	352,544	663,912	4,432,117	10,116
difference	0	-359,350	-16,685	-432,769	0	-49,201
% change	0	-46	-5	-39	0	-83
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	2,655	1,260	3,743	15,127	202
post-retrofit	0	1,429	1,203	2,266	15,127	35
difference	0	-1,226	-57	-1,477	0	-168
% change	0	-46	-5	-39	0	-83
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	23	11	32	131	2
post-retrofit	0	12	10	20	131	0
difference	0	-11	0	-13	0	-1
% change	0	-46	-5	-39	0	-83

* Energy consumption values for both distributed and central SHW are reported for Hot Water annual energy use.

	Commissa	ry, large sales
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	60,888 53,131 -7,756 -13	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	29,096 25,389 -3,706 -13	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	50,044 43,669 -6,375 -13	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	6,163 5,378 -785 -13	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,205 1,051 -153 -13	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	20,712 18,074 -2,638 -13	

Building 2028 Passenger Terminal

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2028 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2028

2028 is the air passenger terminal built in 1973. Building 2028 has a water cooled reciprocating chiller, metal halide, fluorescent, incandescent and high pressure sodium lights and little to no insulation in the building envelope. Building 2028 is 46,128 sf.



A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the lighting, replacing the electric water heater with a heat pump water heater and increasing the insulation in the suspended ceiling.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2028:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Airport terminal 2028	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	834	45,921	87,739	680,850	8.8
Airport terminal 2028	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	14	904	621	14,601	24.5
Airport terminal 2028	Lights	MH13: MH 250 WALL	HS26: HPS 200 WALL	79	5,359	33,413	56,951	2.7
Airport terminal 2028	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Heat Pump Water Heater (Com)	22	1,004	5,098	2,295	1.8
Airport terminal 2028	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-19	186	12,438	79,435	127,524	2.6

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 804,699 kwh before retrofits and 482,604 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 59.5 MBtu/Ksf to 35.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	Airport terminal 2028				
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*	
Electricity (kWh)					
existing	804,699	17,444.9	59.5	142,649	
post-retrofit	482,604	10,462.3	35.7	84,907	
difference	-322,095	-6,982.6	-23.8	-57,741	
% change	-40	-40	-40	-40	
Total (MBtu)					
existing	2,746	59.5	59.5	142,649	
post-retrofit	1,647	35.7	35.7	84,907	
difference	-1,099	-23.8	-23.8	-57,741	
% change	-40	-40	-40	-40	

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Lighting is the largest load in the building with 410,212 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 235,351 kWh/year.

		Air	port terminal	2028		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	235,351	67,184	410,212	84,592	7,360
post-retrofit	0	139,143	39,140	218,736	84,592	994
difference	0	-96,209	-28,044	-191,476	0	-6,366
% change	0	-41	-42	-47	0	-86
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	803	229	1,400	289	25
post-retrofit	0	475	134	747	289	3
difference	0	-328	-96	-654	0	-22
% change	0	-41	-42	-47	0	-86
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	17	5	30	6	1
post-retrofit	0	10	3	16	б	0
difference	0	-7	-2	-14	0	0
% change	0	-41	-42	-47	0	-86

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	7,274 4,363 -2,912 -40
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,476 2,085 -1,391 -40
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5,979 3,586 -2,393 -40
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	736 442 -295 -40
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	144 86 -58 -40
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,475 1,484 -990 -40

Airport terminal

2028

Building 1597 Child Care Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1597 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1597

1597 is a child care center built 1985. Building 1597 is conditioned by an electric air cooled chiller, has many fluorescent and some metal halide lights and has some insulation in the building envelope. Building 1597 is 12,760 sf.



A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing some of the lighting as well as replacing the electric water heater with a heat pump water heater.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1597:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
youth Center 1597	Lights	FL38: FL 2X4 3F32T8 EEF1,2	FL304: FL 2X4 3F25ST8 ELC3 REF	24	1,956	18,356	14,731	1.8
youth Center 1597	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	106	621	1,216	3.0
youth Center 1597	Lights	MH40: MH 150 HE WALL	MH67: MH 150 HE WALL ELC	1	215	1,376	2,320	2.7
youth Center 1597	Lights	FL41: FL 1X4 1F32T8 EEF1	FL302: FL 1X4 1F25ST8 ELC1 REF	-	42	652	55	1.1
youth Center 1597	Lights	FL38: FL 2X4 3F32T8 EEF1,2	FL304: FL 2X4 3F25ST8 ELC3 REF	7	562	2,760	6,697	3.4
youth Center 1597	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Heat Pump Water Heater (Com)	42	2,455	6,854	1,579	3.4

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 200,246 kwh before retrofits and 177,850 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 53.6 MBtu/Ksf to 47.6 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Youth Center 1597		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	200,246	15,693.2	53.6	35,497
post-retrofit	177,850	13,938.1	47.6	31,290
difference	-22,395	-1,755.1	-6.0	-4,207
% change	-11	-11	-11	-12
Total (MBtu)				
existing	683	53.6	53.6	35,497
post-retrofit	607	47.6	47.6	31,290
difference	-76	-6.0	-6.0	-4,207
% change	-11	-11	-11	-12

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 84,926 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 36,565 kWh/year.

			Youth Center	1597		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	84,926	36,501	27,943	36,565	14,310
post-retrofit	0	83,699	35,937	19,739	36,565	1,910
difference	0	-1,227	-564	-8,204	0	-12,400
% change	0	-1	-2	-29	0	-87
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	290	125	95	125	49
post-retrofit	0	286	123	67	125	7
difference	0	-4	-2	-28	0	-42
% change	0	-1	-2	-29	0	-87
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	23	10	7	10	4
post-retrofit	0	22	10	5	10	1
difference	0	0	0	-2	0	-3
% change	0	-1	-2	-29	0	-87

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	1,810 1,608 -202 -11
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	865 768 -97 -11
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,488 1,321 -166 -11
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	183 163 -20 -11
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	36 32 -4 -11
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	616 547 -69 -11

Youth Center

1597

Building 1891 Bowling Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1971 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1891

1891 is a bowling facility built in 1971. The facility was once a gymnasium, but has since been converted to a bowling center. Building 1891 is cooled by an electric package unit, has fluorescent, incandescent, and metal halide lights and some insulation in the building envelope. Building 1891 is 3,090 sf.



A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the electric package unit with a very high efficiency single zone package unit. FEDS also suggests delamping 4 tube T8 fixtures to 3 tube T8 fixtures as well as increasing insulation in the attic ceiling, and various improvements to the electric hot water system.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1891:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
misc recreation bldgs 1891	Cooling	Electric Package Unit {C1}	Single Zone Packaged AC Unit (very high efficiency / small)	108	9,969	30,233	6,907	3.8
misc recreation bldgs 1891	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	7	464	311	7,525	25.2
misc recreation bldgs 1891	Lights	FL37: FL 2X4 4F32T8 EEF2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF	22	1,440	4,416	19,784	5.5
misc recreation bldgs 1891	Lights	FL39: FL 2X4 2F32T8 EEF2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	14	1,007	5,952	11,004	2.8
misc recreation bldgs 1891	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators	3	175	189	148	13.0
misc recreation bldgs 1891	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 11.00	Attic Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-30 (blow-in cellulose)	13	740	3,705	8,601	3.3

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 158,242 kwh before retrofits and 100,281 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 176.2 MBtu/Ksf to 112.2 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Misc recreation bldgs 1	.891	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	158,242 100,281 -57,961 -37	51,211.1 32,453.5 -18,757.6 -37	174.8 110.8 -64.0 -37	28,052 17,643 -10,408 -37
Other Fuels (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	4 4 0 0	1.4 1.4 0.0 0	1.4 1.4 0.0 0	143 143 0 0
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	545 347 -198 -36	176.2 112.2 -64.0 -36	176.2 112.2 -64.0 -36	28,195 17,787 -10,408 -37

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 82,546 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 38,734 kWh/year.

Misc recreation bldgs 1891	
Motors and	
Fuel Heating Cooling Vent Lights Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)	
existing 0 82,546 19,939 38,734 14,816	2,206
post-retrofit 0 34,693 19,204 30,351 14,816	1,217
difference 0 -47,853 -735 -8,383 0	-989
% change 0 -58 -4 -22 0	-45
	10
Other Fuels (MBtu)	
existing 0 0 0 0 4	0
post-retrofit 0 0 0 0 4	0
difference 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
% change 0<	0
Total (MBtu)	
existing 0 282 68 132 55	8
post-retrofit 0 118 66 104 55	4
difference 0 -163 -3 -29 0	-3
% change 0 -58 -4 -22 0	-45
	-+5
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)	
existing 0 91 22 43 18	2
post-retrofit 0 38 21 34 18	1
difference 0 -53 -1 -9 0	-1
% change 0 -58 -4 -22 0	-45

Misc recreation bldgs 1891

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,432 908 -524 -37
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	685 435 -250 -37
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,179 748 -431 -37
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	145 92 -53 -37
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	28 18 -10 -37
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	488 309 -178 -37

Building 1750 Religious Education Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1750 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1750

1750 is a religious education facility built in 1977. Building 1750 is conditioned by an electric package unit. The building has incandescent, fluorescent, and metal halide lights and has little to no insulation in the building envelope. Building 1750 is 7,296 sf.



A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the lighting in the building, increasing the insulation in the roof and increasing the insulation on the hot water tank.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 1750:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
			FL304: FL 2X4 3F25ST8 ELC3					
Religious facilities 1750	Lights	FL38: FL 2X4 3F32T8 EEF1,2	REF	3	256	1,853	2,473	2.3
Religious facilities 1750	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	851	621	13,734	23.1
Religious facilities 1750	Lights	FL39: FL 2X4 2F32T8 EEF2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	4	305	2,482	2,654	2.1
Religious facilities 1750	Lights	IN8: INC 75 CEIL	CF5: CFL 18 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	23	1,611	502	26,565	53.9
Religious facilities 1750	Lights	IN11: INC 100 CEIL	CF9: CFL 26 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	3	223	58	3,682	64.6
Religious facilities 1750	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	16	932	3,949	11,771	4.0
Religious facilities 1750	Hot Water	Distillate Oil Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation	1	30	53	64	2.2
Religious facilities 1750	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Insulate Built-up Roof Surface (R-15) and Re-Roof	94	6,283	36,504	68,040	2.9

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 133,294 kwh before retrofits and 87,822 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled distillate oil consumption for a typical year was 64 gallons before retrofits and 58 gallons after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 63.6 MBtu/Ksf to 42.2 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	133,294	18,269.4	62.4	23,629
post-retrofit	87,822	12,037.0	41.1	15,451
difference	-45,472	-6,232.4	-21.3	-8,178
% change	-34	-34	-34	-35
Distillate Oil (gal)				
existing	64	8.7	1.2	324
post-retrofit	58	7.9	1.1	294
difference	-6	-0.8	-0.1	-30
% change	-9	-9	-9	-9
Total (MBtu)				
existing	464	63.6	63.6	23,953
post-retrofit	308	42.2	42.2	15,745
difference	-156	-21.4	-21.4	-8,208
% change	-34	-34	-34	-34

Religious facilities 1750

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 60,705 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 31,784 kWh/year.

		Relig	ious facilities	1750		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)	0				11 010	0
existing	0	60,705	29,785	31,784	11,019	0
post-retrofit	0	30,632	29,151	17,020	11,019	0
difference	0	-30,073	-635	-14,764	0	0
% change	0	-50	-2	-46	0	0
Distillate Oil (gal)						
existing	0	0	0	0	0	64
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	0	58
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-6
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-9
t change	0	U	0	Ū	Ŭ	2
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	207	102	108	38	9
post-retrofit	0	105	99	58	38	8
difference	0	-103	-2	-50	0	-1
% change	0	-50	-2	-46	0	-9
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)					_	_
existing	0	28	14	15	5	1
post-retrofit	0	14	14	8	5	1
difference	0	-14	0	-7	0	0
% change	0	-50	-2	-46	0	-9

Religious facilities 1750

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,209 798 -411 -34
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	579 382 -197 -34
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	997 659 -339 -34
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	123 81 -42 -34
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	24 16 -8 -34
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	412 272 -140 -34
Building 1120 Gymnasium

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1120 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1120

1120 is the main gymnasium built in 1949. Building 1120 is conditioned by an electric air cooled chiller. It has fluorescent, metal halide and high pressure sodium lights as well as little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 1120 is 46,719 sf.



Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the electric air cooled chiller with a very high efficiency water cooled chiller. FEDS also suggests replacing some of the lighting, installing double pane super low-e windows, and making various improvements to the hot water system.

Appropriated funding FEDS results fobuilding 1750:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
gymnasium 1120	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	525	27,663	112,069	155,721	3.4
gymnasium 1120	Lights	FL3: FL 2X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF (FIX REPL)	43	2,541	14,429	28,120	2.9
gymnasium 1120	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	878	621	14,174	23.8
gymnasium 1120	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	120	7,027	11,663	106,029	10.1
gymnasium 1120	Hot Water	Distillate Oil Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Insulate Pipe Near Tank, Aerators	22	815	588	3,041	17.8
gymnasium 1120	Window	Metal Frame Single Pane Window	Install Thermal Break Aluminum Frame Double Pane Super Low-e Window	31	1,790	23,598	6,184	1.3

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 1,054,786 kwh before retrofits and 827,778 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled distillate oil consumption for a typical year was 356 gallons before retrofits and 196 gallons after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 78.1 MBtu/Ksf to 61.1 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Gymnasium 1120		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	1,054,786	22,577.2	77.1	186,981
post-retrofit	827,778	17,718.2	60.5	145,636
difference	-227,008	-4,859.0	-16.6	-41,345
% change	-22	-22	-22	-22
Distillate Oil (gal)				
existing	356	7.6	1.1	1,814
post-retrofit	196	4.2	0.6	999
difference	-160	-3.4	-0.5	-815
% change	-45	-45	-45	-45
Total (MBtu)				
existing	3,649	78.1	78.1	188,795
post-retrofit	2,852	61.1	61.1	146,635
difference	-797	-17.1	-17.1	-42,160
% change	-22	-22	-22	-22

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 445,860 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 256,750 kWh/year.

			Gymnasium 1120)		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	445,860	141,105	211,070	256,750	0
post-retrofit	0	276,537	122,765	171,725	256,750	0
difference	0	-169,323	-18,340	-39,345	230,730	0
% change	0	-38	-13	-19	0	0
: change	0	50	15	17	0	0
Distillate Oil (gal)						
existing	0	0	0	0	0	356
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	0	196
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-160
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-45
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	1,522	482	720	876	49
post-retrofit	0	944	402	586	876	49 27
-	-	-578	-63			-22
difference	0			-134	0	
% change	0	-38	-13	-19	0	-45
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	33	10	15	19	1
post-retrofit	0	20	9	13	19	1
difference	0	-12	-1	-3	0	0
% change	0	-38	-13	-19	0	-45
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5	50	4.5		5	15

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	9,560 7,497 -2,063 -22
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	4,573 3,585 -988 -22
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	7,877 6,172 -1,704 -22
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	970 760 -210 -22
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	190 149 -41 -22
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,256 2,553 -704 -22

Gymnasium 1120

Building 2003 Vehicle Maintenance Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2003 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2003

2003 is a vehicle maintenance administration facility built in 1994. Building 2003 is conditioned by an electric package unit, is lit by 32 watt fluorescent T8's and has little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 2003 is 6,848 sf.

Appropriated Funding Results

A FEDS analysis using appropriated funding suggests replacing the fluorescent lighting, the EXIT lighting, increasing the insulation in the roof and replacing the electric water heater with a heat pump water heater.

Appropriated funding FEDS results for building 2003:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st year savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Small 1990's admin	Lights	FL39: FL 2X4 2F32T8 EEF2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	98	5,891	14,843	83,966	6.7
Small 1990's admin	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	1	113	621	1,318	3.1
Small 1990's admin	Lights	FL41: FL 1X4 1F32T8 EEF1	FL302: FL 1X4 1F25ST8 ELC1 REF	4	324	2,310	3,185	2.4
Small 1990's admin	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Heat Pump Water Heater (Com)	5	212	1,714	137	1.1
Small 1990's admin	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches Fiberglass	299	16,487	23,407	250,910	11.7

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 234,145 kwh before retrofits and 120,033 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 116.7 MBtu/Ksf to 59.8 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Small 1990's admin 200	3	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	234,145 120,033 -114,112 -49	34,191.8 17,528.2 -16,663.6 -49	116.7 59.8 -56.9 -49	41,507 21,118 -20,389 -49
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	799 410 -389 -49	116.7 59.8 -56.9 -49	116.7 59.8 -56.9 -49	41,507 21,118 -20,389 -49

Appropriated Funding Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 91,143 kWh/year, followed by ventilation with 56,887 kWh/year.

		Sma	ll 1990's admin	2003		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
1 401	incucing	cooring	VCIIC	штансь	мпре папть	not water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	91,143	56,887	56,227	28,339	1,550
post-retrofit	0	34,654	21,727	35,104	28,339	209
difference	0	-56,490	-35,159	-21,122	0	-1,341
% change	0	-62	-62	-38	0	-87
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	311	194	192	97	5
post-retrofit	0	118	74	120	97	1
difference	0	-193	-120	-72	0	-5
% change	0	-62	-62	-38	0	-87
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	45	28	28	14	1
post-retrofit	0	17	11	17	14	0
difference	0	-28	-18	-11	0	-1
% change	0	-62	-62	-38	0	-87

Appropriated Funding Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Small 1990's admin 2003

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,117 1,085 -1,032 -49
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,011 519 -493 -49
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,740 892 -848 -49
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	214 110 -104 -49
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	42 21 -20 -49
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	720 369 -351 -49

Appendix D-2 Energy Conservation Measures for Individual Buildings Alternative Financing

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects using alternative financing for the buildings visited during the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure



289

ILS DEPARTMENT OF



N 101 NI 11 1

290

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Building 2186 Storage Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2186 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2186

Building 2186 is overhead storage for landscaping equipment built in 1986. 2186 has some lighting but no cooling or building envelope. Building 2186 is 2,125 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

FEDS did not find any life cycle cost effective retrofits using alternative financing.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 2,451 kwh before retrofits and 2,451 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 3.9 MBtu/Ksf to 3.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Covered lighting 2186		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	2,451	1,153.2	3.9	434
post-retrofit	2,451	1,153.2	3.9	433
difference	0	0.0	0.0	-1
% change	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)				
existing	8	3.9	3.9	434
post-retrofit	8	3.9	3.9	433
difference	0	0.0	0.0	-1
% change	0	0	0	0

	Annua		Building Set, Fue Iding Set 1 red lighting 21	L	End Use	
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	0	2,451	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	2,451	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	8	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	8	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	0	4	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	4	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Covered lighting 2186

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	22 22 0 0
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	11 11 0 0
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	18 18 0 0
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2 2 0 0
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	0 0 0
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	8 8 0 0

Building 2035 Hanger

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2035 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2035

Building 2035 is a hangar with two high-bay spaces originally built in 1937. One of the high-bays has been converted to office space where an administration building has been built inside the hanger. This building inside a building is cooled by air cooled chillers and receives little to no solar radiation. The other high-bay is used to store and transport aircraft parts and has a small office space served by an electric DX, or package unit. Building 2035 is 86,391 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing for hangar 13 suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a standard efficiency water cooled reciprocating chiller, replacing the incandescent lights with CFLs, installing aerators, and replacing LED EXIT signs with electroluminescent signs. The FEDS analysis suggests for hangar 11 installing aerators, lowering the hot water tank temperature, replacing LED EXIT lights with electroluminescent signs, T12 lights and metal halide lights.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (standard efficiency) and Cooling Tower	227	14,916	85,130	1,520	1.0
Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Faucet Aerators	7	392	79	2,169	28.6
Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13	Lights	IN8: INC 75 CEIL	CF5: CFL 18 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	322	21,166	3,373	119,968	36.6
Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	2	361	1,864	323	1.2

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2035 hangar 13:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1930's wharehouse space hangar 11	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	2	1	10	17.0
1930's wharehouse space hangar 11	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	3	2	16	9.0
1930's wharehouse space hangar 11	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	7	37	4	1.1
1930's wharehouse space hangar 11	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL244: FL 2X4 4F32T8 ELC4	6	446	1,140	1,466	2.3
1930's wharehouse space hangar 11	Lights	MH4: MH 175 PEND	FL289: FL 2X4 4F30ST8 ELC2 REF	6	581	2,111	1,321	1.6

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2035 hangar 11:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for hangar 13 for a typical year was 795,887 kwh before retrofits and 624,153 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 62.9 MBtu/Ksf to 49.3 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	Large	e 1930's admin space 2035 l	hangar 13	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	795,887 624,153 -171,734 -22	18,425.9 14,450.0 -3,975.9 -22	62.9 49.3 -13.6 -22	141,004 110,284 -30,720 -22
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,716 2,130 -586 -22	62.9 49.3 -13.6 -22	62.9 49.3 -13.6 -22	141,004 110,284 -30,720 -22

The modeled energy consumption for hangar 11 for a typical year was 62,619 kwh before retrofits and 58,981 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 4.9 MBtu/Ksf to 4.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1930's warehouse space hangar 11

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	62,619	1,449.6	4.9	11,094
post-retrofit	58,981	1,365.4	4.7	10,422
difference	-3,638	-84.2	-0.3	-672
% change	-6	-6	-б	-6
Total (MBtu)				
existing	214	4.9	4.9	11,094
post-retrofit	201	4.7	4.7	10,422
difference	-12	-0.3	-0.3	-672
% change	-6	-6	-6	-6

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use Large 1930's admin space 2035 hangar 13								
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water		
Electricity (kWh)								
existing	0	253,896	69,266	226,334	240,705	5,687		
post-retrofit	0	159,419	60,916	159,538	240,705	3,575		
difference	0	-94,477	-8,350	-66,796	0	-2,112		
% change	0	-37	-12	-30	0	-37		
Total (MBtu)								
existing	0	867	236	772	822	19		
post-retrofit	0	544	208	545	822	12		
difference	0	-322	-28	-228	0	-7		
% change	0	-37	-12	-30	0	-37		
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)								
existing	0	20	5	18	19	0		
post-retrofit	0	13	5	13	19	0		
difference	0	-7	-1	-5	0	0		
% change	0	-37	-12	-30	0	-37		

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use

		-	_	-	Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water *
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	53,825	8,705	88
post-retrofit	0	0	0	50,215	8,705	61
difference	0	0	0	-3,611	0	-27
% change	0	0	0	-7	0	-31
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	184	30	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	171	30	0
difference	0	0	0	-12	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-7	0	-31
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	4	1	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	4	1	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-7	0	-31

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use Large 1930's warehouse space 2035 hangar 11

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	Large 1930's	admin	space	2035	hangar	13
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	7,195 5,642 -1,552 -22					
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,438 2,696 -742 -22					
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5,914 4,638 -1,276 -22					
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	728 571 -157 -22					
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	142 112 -31 -22					
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,447 1,919 -528 -22					

1930's wharehouse space 2035 hangar 11

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	566 533 -33 -6
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	271 255 -16 -6
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	465 438 -27 -6
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	57 54 -3 -6
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	11 11 -1 -6
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	193 181 -11 -6

Building 1204 Administration Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1204 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1204

Building 1204 is a small admin building built in 1939. This building is served by an air cooled chiller and has little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 1204 is 11,374 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing 32W T8 lighting with 25W Super T8 lighting.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Small 1040's admin 1204	Lights	FL39: FL 2X4 2F32T8 EEF2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	62	5,156	25,464	4,841	1.2

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1204:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 250,798 kwh before retrofits and 232,667 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 75.3 MBtu/Ksf to 69.8 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Small 1040's admin 1204

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	250,798 232,667 -18,131 -7	22,050.1 20,456.1 -1,594.0 -7	75.3 69.8 -5.4 -7	44,433 41,111 -3,322 -7
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	856 794 -62 -7	75.3 69.8 -5.4 -7	75.3 69.8 -5.4 -7	44,433 41,111 -3,322 -7

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 83,381 kWh/year, followed by ventilation with 72,592 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use Small 1040's admin 1204							
					Motors and		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water	
Electricity (kWh)							
existing	0	83,381	72,592	38,645	56,179	0	
post-retrofit	0	79,249	72,592	24,646	56,179	0	
difference	0	-4,131	0	-13,999	0	0	
% change	0	-5	0	-36	0	0	
Total (MBtu)							
existing	0	285	248	132	192	0	
post-retrofit	0	270	248	84	192	0	
difference	0	-14	0	-48	0	0	
% change	0	-5	0	-36	0	0	
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)							
existing	0	25	22	12	17	0	
post-retrofit	0	24	22	7	17	0	
difference	0	-1	0	-4	0	0	
% change	0	-5	0	-36	0	0	

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction

The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Small 1040's admin Sulfur Oxides (1b) existing 2,267 post-retrofit 2,103 difference -164 -7 % change Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing 1,083 post-retrofit 1,005 difference -78 % change -7 Carbon Monoxide (1b) existing 1,863 1,729 post-retrofit difference -135 -7 % change Carbon Dioxide (tons) 229 existing post-retrofit 213 difference -17 -7 % change Particulate Matter (lb) existing 45 post-retrofit 42 difference -3 -7 % change Hydrocarbons (lb) existing 771 715 post-retrofit -56 difference % change -7

1204

Building 2155 Adminstration Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2155 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2155

Building 2155 is a weapons systems management facility built in 1968. This building is cooled by a DX, or package unit and has little to no insulation in the building envelope. Building 2155 is 21,745 sf.



Alternative Financing Results A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing several lighting technologies.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
medium 1960's admin 2155	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	855	621	4,382	8.1
medium 1960's admin 2155	Lights	FL51: FL 2X4 2F32T8 ELC2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	23	1,494	7,449	1,288	1.2
medium 1960's admin 2155	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	3	186	451	636	2.4

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2155:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 314,110 kwh before retrofits and 302,889 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 49.3 MBtu/Ksf to 47.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	314,110 302,889 -11,221 -4	14,445.2 13,929.1 -516.0 -4	49.3 47.5 -1.8 -4	55,650 53,519 -2,131 -4
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,072 1,034 -38 -4	49.3 47.5 -1.8 -4	49.3 47.5 -1.8 -4	55,650 53,519 -2,131 -4

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 145,710 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 92,995 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use medium 1960's admin 2155						
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	92,995	52,915	22,491	145,710	0
post-retrofit	0	90,499	52,799	13,881	145,710	0
difference	0	-2,496	-116	-8,610	0	0
% change	0	-3	0	-38	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	317	181	77	497	0
post-retrofit	0	309	180	47	497	0
difference	0	-9	0	-29	0	0
% change	0	-3	0	-38	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	15	8	4	23	0
post-retrofit	0	14	8	2	23	0
difference	0	0	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	-3	0	-38	0	0
medium 1960's admin 2155

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	2,840 2,738 -101 -4
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,357 1,308 -48 -4
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,334 2,250 -83 -4
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	287 277 -10 -4
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	56 54 -2 -4
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	966 931 -35 -4

Building 502 Law Office

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 502 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 502

Building 502 is a small law office building built in 1971 that is served by two separate electric DX units with a courtroom in the center of the office space. Building 502 is 9,217 sf.



Alternative Financing Results A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests increasing the insulation in the suspended ceiling as well as replacing the EXIT lighting.

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 502:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
small 1960s admin 502	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	880	621	4,530	8.3
small 1960s admin 502	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-11	45	2,764	12,142	3,725	1.3

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 143,177 kwh before retrofits and 126,257 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 53.0 MBtu/Ksf to 46.8 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	143,177	15,534.1	53.0	25,366
post-retrofit	126,257	13,698.3	46.8	22,309
difference	-16,920	-1,835.7	-6.3	-3,057
% change	-12	-12	-12	-12
Total (MBtu)				
existing	489	53.0	53.0	25,366
post-retrofit	431	46.8	46.8	22,309
difference	-58	-6.3	-6.3	-3,057
% change	-12	-12	-12	-12

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 58,890 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 45,525 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use Small 1960s admin 502							
					Motors and		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water	
Electricity (kWh)							
existing	0	58,890	24,575	13,327	45,525	860	
post-retrofit	0	47,074	22,250	10,549	45,525	860	
difference	0	-11,816	-2,325	-2,779	0	0	
% change	0	-20	-9	-21	0	0	
Total (MBtu)							
existing	0	201	84	45	155	3	
post-retrofit	0	161	76	36	155	3	
difference	0	-40	-8	-9	0	0	
% change	0	-20	-9	-21	0	0	
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)							
existing	0	22	9	5	17	0	
post-retrofit	0	17	8	4	17	0	
difference	0	-4	-1	-1	0	0	
% change	0	-20	-9	-21	0	0	

Small 1960s admin 502

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	1,294 1,141 -153 -12
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	619 545 -73 -12
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,064 938 -126 -12
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	131 116 -15 -12
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	26 23 -3 -12
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	440 388 -52 -12

Building 2133 Administration Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2133 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2133

Building 2133 is a weapon systems management facility built in 2005. 2133 is cooled by an air cooled chiller and has some insulation in its building envelope. Building 2133 is 25,764 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the electric hot water boiler with a heat pump water heater. FEDS also suggests replacing some of the lighting technologies.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
medium 2000's admin 2133	Hot Water	Electric Central Boiler	Central Heat Pump Hot Water System, Wrap Tank	249	12,188	8,565	61,475	8.2
medium 2000's admin 2133	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	14	937	621	4,854	8.8
medium 2000's admin 2133	Lights	FL236: FL 2X4 3F32T8 ELC3	FL279: FL 2X4 2F32ST8 ELC2 REF	271	14,972	62,594	23,564	1.4

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2133:

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 586,408 kwh before retrofits and 429,858 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 77.7 MBtu/Ksf to 56.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	586,408 429,858 -156,550 -27	22,760.8 16,684.4 -6,076.3 -27	77.7 56.9 -20.7 -27	103,892 75,953 -27,938 -27
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,001 1,467 -534 -27	77.7 56.9 -20.7 -27	77.7 56.9 -20.7 -27	103,892 75,953 -27,938 -27

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 170,993 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 149,077 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use Medium 2000's admin 2133							
					Motors and		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water	
Electricity (kWh)							
existing	0	170,993	53,772	149,077	132,355	80,211	
post-retrofit	0	149,639	50,710	89,861	132,355	7,293	
difference	0	-21,354	-3,062	-59,216	0	-72,917	
% change	0	-12	-б	-40	0	-91	
Total (MBtu)							
existing	0	584	184	509	452	274	
post-retrofit	0	511	173	307	452	25	
difference	0	-73	-10	-202	0	-249	
% change	0	-12	-б	-40	0	-91	
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)							
existing	0	23	7	20	18	11	
post-retrofit	0	20	7	12	18	1	
difference	0	-3	0	- 8	0	-10	
% change	0	-12	-6	-40	0	-91	

Medium 2000's admin 2133

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5,301 3,886 -1,415 -27
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,533 1,857 -676 -27
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	4,357 3,194 -1,163 -27
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	537 393 -143 -27
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	105 77 -28 -27
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,803 1,322 -481 -27

Building 2125 Administration Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2125 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2125

Building 2125 is an administration building built in 1994. This petroleum operations building is cooled by an electric package unit and has little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 2125 is 3,867 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the 32W T8 lighting with 25W Super T8 lighting as well as replacing the exit lighting and increasing the insulation in the roof to 4 inches of fiberglass.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Small 1990's admin 2125	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	61	311	56	1.2
Small 1990's admin 2125	Lights	FL38: FL 2X4 3F32T8 EEF1,2	FL304: FL 2X4 3F25ST8 ELC3 REF	26	1,960	5,508	6,011	2.1

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 56,331 kwh before retrofits and 48,664 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 49.7 MBtu/Ksf to 43.0 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Small 1990's admin 2125

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	56,331	14,567.1	49.7	9,957
post-retrofit	48,664	12,584.4	43.0	8,592
difference	-7,667	-1,982.7	-6.8	-1,365
% change	-14	-14	-14	-14
Total (MBtu)				
existing	192	49.7	49.7	9,957
post-retrofit	166	43.0	43.0	8,592
difference	-26	-6.8	-6.8	-1,365
% change	-14	-14	-14	-14

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 18,138 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 16,214 kWh/year.

		Smal	1 1990's admin 2	125		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	18,138	4,917	16,214	16,002	1,060
post-retrofit	0	16,612	4,444	10,546	16,002	1,060
difference	0	-1,526	-473	-5,668	0	0
% change	0	-8	-10	-35	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	62	17	55	55	4
post-retrofit	0	57	15	36	55	4
difference	0	-5	-2	-19	0	0
% change	0	-8	-10	-35	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	16	4	14	14	1
post-retrofit	0	15	4	9	14	1
difference	0	-1	0	-5	0	0
% change	0	-8	-10	-35	0	0

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction

The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Small 1990's admin 2125 Sulfur Oxides (1b) existing 509 440 post-retrofit difference -69 % change -14 Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing 243 post-retrofit 210 difference -33 % change -14 Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing 419 post-retrofit 362 difference -57 % change -14 Carbon Dioxide (tons) 52 existing post-retrofit 45 difference -7 % change -14 Particulate Matter (lb) existing 10 post-retrofit 9 difference -1 % change -14 Hydrocarbons (lb) existing 173 150 post-retrofit difference -24 % change -14

Building 559 Clinic

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 559 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 559

Building 559 is the air force clinic built in 1942. This building is cooled by water cooled chillers and has an electric central hot water system. Building 559 is 78,823 sf.



Alternative Financing Results A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the electric boiler with a heat pump water heater as well as various improvements to the lighting in the building.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Medical facilities 559	Hot Water	Electric Central Boiler	Central Heat Pump Hot Water System, Wrap Tank, Aerators, LFSHs	939	47,853	74,876	199,891	3.7
Medical facilities 559	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	54	3,674	2,795	18,739	7.7
Medical facilities 559	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL244: FL 2X4 4F32T8 ELC4	445	31,658	57,052	127,748	3.2
Medical facilities 559	Lights	FL39: FL 2X4 2F32T8 EEF2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	127	10,803	43,662	19,937	1.5

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 559:

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 1,458,222 kwh before retrofits and 999,686 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled other fuels (propane) consumption for a typical year was 34 MBtu before retrofits and 34 MBtu after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 63.6 MBtu/Ksf to 43.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	1,458,222	18,500.0	63.1	258,347
post-retrofit	999,686	12,682.7	43.3	176,639
difference	-458,535	-5,817.3	-19.9	-81,708
% change	-31	-31	-31	-32
Other Fuels (MBtu)				
existing	34	0.4	0.4	1,100
post-retrofit	34	0.4	0.4	1,100
difference	0	0.0	0.0	0
% change	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)				
existing	5,011	63.6	63.6	259,447
post-retrofit	3,446	43.7	43.7	177,739
difference	-1,565	-19.9	-19.9	-81,708
% change	-31	-31	-31	-31

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 396,160 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 363,057 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use Medical facilities 559						
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	250,456	157,151	363,057	396,160	291,398
post-retrofit	0	225,865	150,343	211,091	396,160	16,228
difference	0	-24,591	-6,808	-151,966	0	-275,170
% change	0	-10	-4	-42	0	-94
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	34	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	34	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	855	536	1,239	1,386	995
post-retrofit	0	771	513	720	1,386	55
difference	0	-84	-23	-519	0	-939
% change	0	-10	-4	-42	0	-94
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	11	7	16	18	13
post-retrofit	0	10	7	9	18	1
difference	0	-1	0	-7	0	-12
% change	0	-10	-4	-42	0	-94

		Medical	facilities
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	13,191 9,046 -4,145 -31		
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	6,311 4,330 -1,981 -31		
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	10,861 7,454 -3,407 -31		
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,337 918 -420 -31		
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	261 179 -82 -31		
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	4,493 3,083 -1,410 -31		

Building 1060 Laboratory

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1060 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1060

Building 1060 is a lab built in 1943. This lab is cooled by an air cooled chiller and has an electric water heater. 1060 is 14,920 sf.

Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing various lighting technologies in the building. FEDS suggests replacing T12, T8 and EXIT lights.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Labs 1060	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	14	905	621	4,669	8.5
Labs 1060	Lights	FL39: FL 2X4 2F32T8 EEF2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	9	743	3,516	844	1.2
Labs 1060	Lights	FL3: FL 2X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL51: FL 2X4 2F32T8 ELC2	12	935	3,249	2,233	1.7

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1060:

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 292,009 kwh before retrofits and 281,462 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 66.8 MBtu/Ksf to 64.4 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Labs 1060		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	292,009 281,462 -10,547 -4	19,571.7 18,864.8 -706.9 -4	$ \begin{array}{r} 66.8\\ 64.4\\ -2.4\\ -4 \end{array} $	51,734 49,733 -2,001 -4
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	997 961 -36 -4	66.8 64.4 -2.4 -4	66.8 64.4 -2.4 -4	51,734 49,733 -2,001 -4

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 116,645 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 77,382 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use Labs 1060							
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water	
ruei	lieacing	COOTINg	Venic	LIGHUS	MISC EQUIP	HOC WALEI	
Electricity (kWh)							
existing	0	116,645	52,192	44,584	77,382	1,207	
post-retrofit	0	114,048	51,676	37,150	77,382	1,207	
difference	0	-2,596	-516	-7,434	0	0	
% change	0	-2	-1	-17	0	0	
Total (MBtu)							
existing	0	398	178	152	264	4	
post-retrofit	0	389	176	127	264	4	
difference	0	-9	-2	-25	0	0	
% change	0	-2	-1	-17	0	0	
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)							
existing	0	27	12	10	18	0	
post-retrofit	0	26	12	8	18	0	
difference	0	-1	0	-2	0	0	
% change	0	-2	-1	-17	0	0	

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,640 2,544 -95 -4
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,261 1,216 -46 -4
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,170 2,091 -78 -4
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	267 258 -10 -4
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	52 50 -2 -4
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	898 866 -32 -4

Labs

1060

Building 1805 Dormitory

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1805 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1805

Building 1805 is a dormitory built in 1970. The dormitory is cooled by an air cooled chiller and has little to no insulation in its building envelope. This building has a desuperheater system, providing some of the hot water to the building. 1805 is 55,187 sf.



Alternative Financing Results A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the electric hot water heater with a heat pump water heater and replacing the EXIT lighting.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
			EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL					
Dorms 1970's 1805	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	RETRO KIT	62	4,053	2,795	20,914	8.5

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 515,140 kwh before retrofits and 391,677 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 31.9 MBtu/Ksf to 24.2 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Dorms 1970's 1805 Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	515,140	9,334.4	31.9	91,013
post-retrofit	496,775	9,001.7	30.7	87,776
difference	-18,365	-332.8	-1.1	-3,237
% change	-4	-4	-4	-4
Total (MBtu)				
existing	1,758	31.9	31.9	91,013
post-retrofit	1,695	30.7	30.7	87,776
difference	-63	-1.1	-1.1	-3,237
% change	-4	-4	-4	-4

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 188,139 kWh/year, followed by hot water with 121,790 kWh/year.

			Dorms 1970's	1805		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	188,139	96,012	37,709	71,490	121,790
post-retrofit	0	182,899	95,392	25,205	71,490	121,790
difference	0	-5,240	-620	-12,504	0	0
% change	0	-3	-1	-33	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	642	328	129	244	416
post-retrofit	0	624	326	86	244	416
difference	0	-18	-2	-43	0	0
% change	0	-3	-1	-33	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	12	б	2	4	8
post-retrofit	0	11	6	2	4	8
difference	0	0	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	-3	-1	-33	0	0

Sulfur Oxides (lb)		Dorr
existing post-retrofit difference % change	4,657 4,491 -166 -4	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,225 2,146 -79 -4	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,828 3,691 -136 -4	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	471 455 -17 -4	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	92 89 -3 -4	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,584 1,528 -56 -4	

rms 1970's 1805
Building 1856 Dormitory

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1856 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1856

Building 1856 is a dormitory built in 1995. The dormitory is cooled by an electric air cooled chiller and has substantial roofing and wall insulation in its building envelope. The central hot water system runs on diesel fuel and works in conjunction with a desuperheater. Building 1856 is 43,187 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a very high efficiency water cooled chiller, replacing the diesel hot water boiler with a heat pump hot water system and replacing the EXIT lighting.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Dorms 1990's 1856 - heat recovery	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	286	15,031	75,277	10,924	1.1
Dorms 1990's 1856 - heat recovery	Hot Water	Distillate Oil Central Boiler	Central Heat Pump Hot Water System	151	5,505	22,540	11,662	1.5
Dorms 1990's 1856 - heat recovery	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	61	4,021	2,795	20,726	8.4

Alternative financing FEDS analysis results for building 1856:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 418,237 kwh before retrofits and 320,744 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled distillate oil consumption for a typical year was 1,252 gallons before retrofits and 0 gallons after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 37.1 MBtu/Ksf to 25.3 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Dorms 1990's 1856		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	418,237	9,684.3	33.1	73,892
post-retrofit	320,744	7,426.9	25.3	56,633
difference	-97,494	-2,257.5	-7.7	-17,259
% change	-23	-23	-23	-23
Distillate Oil (gal)				
existing	1,252	29.0	4.0	6,385
post-retrofit	0	0.0	0.0	0
difference	-1,252	-29.0	-4.0	-6,385
% change	-100	-100	-100	-100
Total (MBtu)				
existing	1,601	37.1	37.1	80,278
post-retrofit	1,095	25.3	25.3	56,633
difference	-506	-11.7	-11.7	-23,645
% change	-32	-32	-32	-29

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 233,630 kWh/year, followed by ventilation with 66,184 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use Dorms 1990's 1856							
					Motors and		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water	
Electricity (kWh)							
existing	0	233,630	61,498	66,184	56,926	0	
post-retrofit	0	143,688	59,912	53,680	56,926	6,538	
difference	0	-89,942	-1,586	-12,504	0	6,538	
% change	0	-38	-3	-19	0	n/a	
Distillate Oil (gal)							
existing	0	0	0	0	0	1,252	
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	0	0	
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-1,252	
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-100	
Total (MBtu)							
existing	0	797	210	226	194	174	
post-retrofit	0	490	204	183	194	22	
difference	0	-307	-5	-43	0	-151	
% change	0	-38	-3	-19	0	-87	
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)							
existing	0	18	5	5	4	4	
post-retrofit	0	11	5	4	4	1	
difference	0	-7	0	-1	0	-4	
% change	0	-38	-3	-19	0	-87	

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction

The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Dorms 1990's Sulfur Oxides (1b) existing 3,868 post-retrofit 2,900 difference -968 % change -25 Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing 1,866 post-retrofit 1,386 difference -480 % change -26 Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing 3,246 post-retrofit 2,383 difference -863 -27 % change Carbon Dioxide (tons) 399 existing post-retrofit 293 difference -106 % change -26 Particulate Matter (lb) existing 78 post-retrofit 57 difference -21 -27 % change Hydrocarbons (lb) existing 1,331 post-retrofit 986 difference -345 % change -26

1856

Building 1166 Lodging Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1166 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1166

Building 1166 is a hotel style building used as a temporary lodging facility and was built in 1968. The building is cooled by an air cooled chiller and has little to no insulation in the building envelope. Building 1166 is 25,113 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the hot water systems with a heat pump water heater. FEDS also suggests replacing the EXIT lighting and adding insulation to the interior surface of the metal roof.

Alternative financing FEDS analysis results for building 1166:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
			EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL	50		0.705		
Lodging facilities 1166	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	RETRO KIT	58	3,898	2,795	20,020	8.2

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 425,234 kwh before retrofits and 374,596 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled other fuels (propane) consumption for a typical year was 48 MBtu before retrofits and 0 MBtu after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 59.7 MBtu/Ksf to 50.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Lodging facilities 1166

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	425,234	16,932.8	57.8	75,129
post-retrofit	407,904	16,242.8	55.4	72,073
difference	-17,330	-690.1	-2.4	-3,055
% change	-4	-4	-4	-4
Other Fuels (MBtu)				
existing	48	1.9	1.9	1,531
post-retrofit	48	1.9	1.9	1,531
difference	0	0.0	0.0	0
% change	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)				
existing	1,499	59.7	59.7	76,659
post-retrofit	1,440	57.3	57.3	73,604
difference	-59	-2.4	-2.4	-3,055
% change	-4	-4	-4	-4

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 166,650 kWh/year, followed by ventilation with 117,053 kWh/year.

		Lodg	ing facilities	1166		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	166,650	117,053	61,726	38,238	41,566
post-retrofit	0	161,824	117,053	49,222	38,238	41,566
difference	0	-4,826	0	-12,504	0	0
% change	0	-3	0	-20	0	0
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	0	48
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	0	48
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	569	400	211	131	189
post-retrofit	0	552	400	168	131	189
difference	0	-16	0	-43	0	0
% change	0	-3	0	-20	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	23	16	8	5	8
post-retrofit	0	22	16	7	5	8
difference	0	-1	0	-2	0	0
% change	0	-3	0	-20	0	0

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	Lodging facilities
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,856 3,699 -157 -4
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,854 1,779 -75 -4
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,196 3,067 -129 -4
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	393 377 -16 -4
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	77 73 -3 -4
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,320 1,266 -53 -4

Building 2040 Aircraft Maintenance Shop

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2040 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2040

Building 2040 is an aircraft maintenance shop built in 1937. 2040 is cooled by an air cooled chiller and has little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 2040 is 77,439 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing some of the lighting technologies, and various upgrades to the electric water heater system for the conditioned spaces. FEDS had no life cycle cost effective retrofits for the unconditioned space.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's shops 2040	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	1	89	46	465	11.1
1940's shops 2040	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	58	311	47	1.2
1940's shops 2040	Lights	FL2: FL 2X4 3F40T12 STD1,2	FL236: FL 2X4 3F32T8 ELC3	8	599	1,764	1,742	2.0
1940's shops 2040	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL244: FL 2X4 4F32T8 ELC4	56	4,034	9,524	13,994	2.5
1940's shops 2040	Lights	FL3: FL 2X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL51: FL 2X4 2F32T8 ELC2	9	695	2,821	1,253	1.4

Appropriated funding FEDS analysis results for building 2040 conditioned space:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 352,637 kwh. No proposed retrofits were suggested for the unconditioned space. The energy use intensity is 18.3 MBtu/Ksf.

	1940':	s shops 2040 unconditio	oned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	352,637 352,637 0 0	5,362.8 5,362.8 0.0 0	18.3 18.3 0.0 0	62,302 62,264 -38 0
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,204 1,204 0 0	18.3 18.3 0.0 0	18.3 18.3 0.0 0	62,302 62,264 -38 0

The modeled energy consumption for the conditioned space a typical year was 156,928 kwh before retrofits and 134,998 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 46.2 MBtu/Ksf to 39.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1940's shops 2040 conditioned space							
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*			
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	156,928 134,998 -21,931 -14	13,523.7 11,633.7 -1,889.9 -14	46.2 39.7 -6.5 -14	27,725 23,836 -3,889 -14			
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	536 461 -75 -14	46.2 39.7 -6.5 -14	46.2 39.7 -6.5 -14	27,725 23,836 -3,889 -14			

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 308,331 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 44,307 kWh/year.

1940's shops		2040 uncondi	2040 unconditioned space			
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	44,307	308,331	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	44,307	308,331	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	151	1,052	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	151	1,052	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	2	16	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	2	16	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 56,167 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 52,533 kWh/year.

1940's shops 2040						
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	52,533	2,983	43,930	56,167	1,315
post-retrofit	0	48,660	2,757	26,536	56,167	878
difference	0	-3,874	-226	-17,394	0	-437
% change	0	-7	-8	-40	0	-33
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	179	10	150	192	4
post-retrofit	0	166	9	91	192	3
difference	0	-13	-1	-59	0	-1
% change	0	-7	-8	-40	0	-33
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	15	1	13	17	0
post-retrofit	0	14	1	8	17	0
difference	0	-1	0	-5	0	0
% change	0	-7	-8	-40	0	-33

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1940's shops	2040 unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,188 3,188 0 0	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,523 1,523 0 0	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,620 2,620 0 0	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	323 323 0 0	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	63 63 0 0	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,084 1,084 0 0	

1940's shops	2040	conditioned	space
--------------	------	-------------	-------

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,419 1,220 -198 -14
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	678 583 -95 -14
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,166 1,003 -163 -14
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	144 124 -20 -14
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	28 24 -4 -14
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	483 415 -67 -14

Building 1715 Recycling Center

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1715 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1715

1715 is a recycling center built in 1944. The majority of the space is unconditioned, with a small office that is served by an electric package unit. The building was modeled as two linked buildings, one conditioned, one unconditioned. Building 1715 is 30,400 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing lights in the unconditioned space as well as replacing lights in the conditioned space.

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1715 unconditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's shops 1715	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	112	621	62	1.1
1940's shops 1715	Lights	FL62: FL 1X8 2F96T12 STD2	FL74: FL 1X8 2F96T12 ELC2	14	1,129	5,809	796	1.1

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1715 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's shops 1715	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	_	59	311	50	1.2
1940's shops 1715	Lights	FL37: FL 2X4 4F32T8 EEF2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF	115	8,684	25,173	25,616	2.0

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 147,909 kwh before retrofits and 143,661 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 18.0 MBtu/Ksf to 17.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1940':	s shops 1715 unconditio	oned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	147,909 143,661 -4,248 -3	5,288.5 5,136.6 -151.9 -3	18.0 17.5 -0.5 -3	26,132 25,366 -766 -3
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	505 490 -14 -3	18.0 17.5 -0.5 -3	18.0 17.5 -0.5 -3	26,132 25,366 -766 -3

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 331,402 kwh before retrofits and 299,027 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 466.5.6 MBtu/Ksf to 419.6 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1940's shops 1715 conditioned space						
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*		
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	332,402 299,027 -33,375 -10	136,678.6 122,955.2 -13,723.4 -10	466.5 419.6 -46.8 -10	58,727 52,798 -5,929 -10		
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,134 1,021 -114 -10	466.5 419.6 -46.8 -10	466.5 419.6 -46.8 -10	58,727 52,798 -5,929 -10		

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 131,142 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 16,767 kWh/year.

		1940's shops	1715 uncondi	tioned space		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	16,767	131,142	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	10,116	131,142	0
difference	0	0	0	-6,651	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-40	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	57	448	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	35	448	0
difference	0	0	0	-23	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-40	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	2	16	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	1	16	0
difference	0	0	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-40	0	0

Lighting is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 234,179 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 82,158 kWh/year.

1940's shops 1715						
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	82,158	4,295	234,179	11,772	0
post-retrofit	0	75,557	3,928	207,770	11,772	0
difference	0	-6,600	-366	-26,409	0	0
% change	0	-8	-9	-11	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	280	15	799	40	0
post-retrofit	0	258	13	709	40	0
difference	0	-23	-1	-90	0	0
% change	0	-8	-9	-11	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	115	6	329	17	0
post-retrofit	0	106	6	292	17	0
difference	0	-9	-1	-37	0	0
% change	0	-8	-9	-11	0	0

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1940's	shops	1715	unconditioned	space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,337 1,299 -38 -3				
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	639 621 -18 -3				
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,099 1,067 -32 -3				
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	135 131 -4 -3				
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	26 26 -1 -3				
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	455 442 -13 -3				

1940's	shops	1715	conditioned
--------	-------	------	-------------

space

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,005 2,703 -302 -10
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,436 1,292 -144 -10
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,470 2,222 -248 -10
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	304 274 -31 -10
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	59 53 -6 -10
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,022 920 -103 -10

Building 2177 Maintenance Shop

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2177 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2177

2177 is a base engineer maintenance shop built in 1944. This building is partially cooled. Building 2177 is 3,200 sf.

Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing T12 lighting with T8 lighting in the unconditioned spaces. Upgrades to the hot water system include insulating the tank and pipes as well as installing aerators and lowering the tank temperature for the unconditioned spaces. For the conditioned spaces FEDS suggests replacing the lighting, and upgrading the hot water system.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's shops 2177	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	1	2	5	3.9
1940's shops 2177	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	7	585	2,437	1,000	1.4

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2177 unconditioned spaces:

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2177 conditioned spaces:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's shops 2177	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Faucet Aerators, Lower Tank Temperature	-	1	2	9	5.0
1940's shops 2177	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	7	43	7	1.2

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 13,067 kwh before retrofits and 11,100 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 27.9 MBtu/Ksf to 23.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1940'	s shops 2177 unconditio	oned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	13,067 11,100 -1,967 -15	8,166.8 6,937.4 -1,229.4 -15	27.9 23.7 -4.2 -15	2,310 1,960 -350 -15
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	45 38 -7 -15	27.9 23.7 -4.2 -15	27.9 23.7 -4.2 -15	2,310 1,960 -350 -15

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 42,463 kwh before retrofits and 27,009 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 90.6 MBtu/Ksf to 57.6 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		1940's shops 2177 condi	tioned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	29,056 29,035 -21 0	18,159.8 18,146.8 -12.9 0	62.0 61.9 0.0 0	5,136 5,126 -9 0
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	99 99 0 0	62.0 61.9 0.0 0	62.0 61.9 0.0 0	5,136 5,126 -9 0

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 7,502 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 5,536 kWh/year.

		1940's shops						
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water		
Electricity (kWh)								
existing	0	0	0	5,536	7,502	29		
post-retrofit	0	0	0	3,575	7,502	22		
difference	0	0	0	-1,961	0	-6		
% change	0	0	0	-35	0	-23		
Total (MBtu)								
existing	0	0	0	19	26	0		
post-retrofit	0	0	0	12	26	0		
difference	0	0	0	-7	0	0		
% change	0	0	0	-35	0	-23		
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)								
existing	0	0	0	12	16	0		
post-retrofit	0	0	0	8	16	0		
difference	0	0	0	-4	0	0		
% change	0	0	0	-35	0	-23		

Space cooling is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 10,796 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 10,793 kWh/year.

		1940's shops	2177 condit	ioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	10,796	2,268	8,210	7,745	38
post-retrofit	0	10,793	2,267	8,202	7,745	28
difference	0	-2	-1	- 8	0	-10
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-26
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	37	8	28	26	0
post-retrofit	0	37	8	28	26	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-26
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	23	5	18	17	0
post-retrofit	0	23	5	17	17	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-26

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1940's shops	2177 unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	118 100 -18 -15	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	56 48 -8 -15	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	97 82 -15 -15	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	12 10 -2 -15	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2 2 0 -15	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	40 34 -6 -15	

1940's shops 2177 conditioned space

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	263 262 0 0
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	126 125 0 0
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	216 216 0 0
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	27 27 0 0
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5 5 0 0
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	89 89 0 0
Building 4016 Maintenance Shop

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 4016 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 4016

Building 4016 is a base engineer maintenance shop built in 1973. 4016 is cooled by multiple package units and has little to no insulation in its building enveloped. Building 4016 is 7,701 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the EXIT lighting. In the unconditioned space FEDS suggests replacing the EXIT lighting as well.

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 4016 unconditioned spaces:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1970's shops 4016	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	14	863	621	4,433	8.1

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 4016 unconditioned spaces:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1970's shops 4016	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	5	348	311	1.742	6.6

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 67,485 kwh before retrofits and 63,739 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 39.9 MBtu/Ksf to 37.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1970	's shops 4016 condition	led space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	67,485 63,739 -3,746 -6	11,685.8 11,037.1 -648.7 -6	39.9 37.7 -2.2 -6	11,928 11,254 -674 -6
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	230 218 -13 -6	39.9 37.7 -2.2 -6	39.9 37.7 -2.2 -6	11,928 11,254 -674 -6

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 12,772 kwh before retrofits and 11,383 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 22.6 MBtu/Ksf to 20.2 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1970's shops 4016 unconditioned space						
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*		
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	12,772 11,383 -1,389 -11	6,634.9 5,913.1 -721.7 -11	22.6 20.2 -2.5 -11	2,257 2,010 -248 -11		
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	44 39 -5 -11	22.6 20.2 -2.5 -11	22.6 20.2 -2.5 -11	2,257 2,010 -248 -11		

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 27,381 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 23,540 kWh/year.

		1970's shops	4016 condit	ioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	23,540	5,159	10,761	27,381	643
post-retrofit	0	22,675	5,057	7,983	27,381	643
difference	0	-865	-103	-2,779	0	0
% change	0	-4	-2	-26	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	80	18	37	93	2
post-retrofit	0	77	17	27	93	2
difference	0	-3	0	-9	0	0
% change	0	-4	-2	-26	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	14	3	б	16	0
post-retrofit	0	13	3	5	16	0
difference	0	-1	0	-2	0	0
% change	0	-4	-2	-26	0	0

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 8,620 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 3,738 kWh/year.

		1970's shops	4016 uncondi	tioned space		
- 1					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	3,738	8,620	415
post-retrofit	0	0	0	2,349	8,620	415
difference	0	0	0	-1,389	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-37	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	13	29	1
post-retrofit	0	0	0	8	29	1
difference	0	0	0	-5	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-37	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	7	15	1
post-retrofit	0	0	0	4	15	1
difference	0	0	0	-2	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-37	0	0

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1970's shops	4016 conditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	610 576 -34 -6	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	292 275 -16 -6	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	501 474 -28 -6	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	62 58 -3 -6	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	12 11 -1 -6	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	208 196 -12 -6	

1970's shops 4016 unconditioned space

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	115 103 -13 -11
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	55 49 -6 -11
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	95 85 -10 -11
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	12 10 -1 -11
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2 2 0 -11
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	39 35 -4 -11

Building 2131 Administrative Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2131 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2131

Building 2131 is a building with some administration space as well as some lab-space and unconditioned high-bay space. Building 2131 was built in 2008 and is 26,296 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the EXIT lighting in the laboratory and administration spaces. FEDS also suggests replacing EXIT lighting and metal halide lighting in the high bay space.

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2131 administration and laboratory spaces:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1990's shops 2131	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO		72	373	69	1 0
1990 \$ \$1005 2151	LIGITIS	ENO. ENIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO	-	75	3/3	09	1.2
1990's shops 2131	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	KIT	-	48	248	46	1.2

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2131 high bay spaces:

					1st		Net	
				Energy	Year		Present	
	End			Savings	Savings	Installed	Value	
Bldg. Set Description	Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	(MMBtu/yr)	(\$/yr)	Cost (\$)	(\$)	SIR
1990's shop highbay			EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO					
space 2131	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	кіт	-	112	621	10	1.1

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 221,784 kwh before retrofits and 221,610 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 57.6 MBtu/Ksf to 57.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*	
Electricity (kWh)					
existing	221,784	16,868.3	57.6	39,293	
post-retrofit	221,610	16,855.0	57.5	39,157	
difference	-174	-13.2	0.0	-135	
% change	0	0	0	0	
Total (MBtu)					
existing	757	57.6	57.6	39,293	
post-retrofit	756	57.5	57.5	39,157	
difference	-1	0.0	0.0	-135	
% change	0	0	0	0	

1990's shops administration and laboratory space 2131

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 152,074 kwh before retrofits and 151,958 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 39.5 MBtu/Ksf to 39.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1990's shop highbay space 2131

Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	152,074	11,570.7	39.5	26,948
post-retrofit	151,958	11,561.9	39.5	26,933
difference	-116	-8.8	0.0	-15
% change	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)				
existing	519	39.5	39.5	26,948
post-retrofit	519	39.5	39.5	26,933
difference	0	0.0	0.0	-15
% change	0	0	0	0

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

396

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 84,806 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 62,357 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use 1990's administration and laboratory space 2131							
True 1	TT h - h		TT = +-	T i sib to s	Motors and	TT_L_T_T_L	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water *	
Electricity (kWh)							
existing	0	62,357	14,183	57,107	84,806	3,330	
post-retrofit	0	62,310	14,171	56,992	84,806	3,330	
difference	0	-47	-11	-116	0	0	
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total (MBtu)							
existing	0	213	48	195	289	11	
post-retrofit	0	213	48	195	289	11	
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0	
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)							
existing	0	16	4	15	22	1	
post-retrofit	0	16	4	15	22	1	
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0	
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 92,181 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 57,524 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use 1990's shop highbay space 2131

Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit	0 0	0 0	0 0	57,524 57,409	92,181 92,181	2,368 2,368

difference	0	0	0	-116	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	196	315	8
post-retrofit	0	0	0	196	315	8
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	15	24	1
post-retrofit	0	0	0	15	24	1
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

1990's administration and lab space 2131

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	2,005 2,003 -2 0
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	958 957 -1 0
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,648 1,647 -1 0
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	203 203 0 0
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	40 40 0 0
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference	682 681 -1

1990's shop highbay space 2131

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	1,375 1,374 -1 0
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	657 656 0 0
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,130 1,129 -1 0
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	139 139 0 0
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	27 27 0 0
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	468 467 0 0

Building 1728 Warehouse

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1728 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1728

1728 is a warehouse building built in 1993. This building partially unconditioned with the office space being served by an electric air cooled chiller. Building 1728 is 140,383 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing lights in the conditioned and unconditioned spaces. For the conditioned space, it is recommended to increase roof insulation as well as installing aerators.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	112	621	62	1.1
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728	Lights	MH6: MH 400 PEND	HS18: HPS 310 PEND	175	8,758	38,531	11,889	1.3

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1728 unconditioned space:

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1728 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1050's Wharehouse/storage	Hot							
1728	Water	Electric Water Heater	Faucet Aerators	-	21	14	109	8.7
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	56	311	30	1.1
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728	Lights	FL37: FL 2X4 4F32T8 EEF2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF	55	3,428	3,312	16,684	6.0
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches Fiberglass	118	7,242	8,890	32,679	4.7

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 493,902 kwh before retrofits and 442,488 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 12.2 MBtu/Ksf to 11.0 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1050's Ware	1050's Warehouse/storage 1728 unconditioned space				
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*		
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	493,902 442,488 -51,414 -10	3,584.7 3,211.5 -373.2 -10	12.2 11.0 -1.3 -10	87,503 78,185 -9,318 -11		
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,686 1,510 -175 -10	12.2 11.0 -1.3 -10	12.2 11.0 -1.3 -10	87,503 78,185 -9,318 -11		

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 146,926 kwh before retrofits and 97,702 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 192.8 MBtu/Ksf to 128.2 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1050's Warehouse/storage 1728 conditioned space						
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*		
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	146,926 97,702 -49,224 -34	56,488.3 37,563.3 -18,925.0 -34	192.8 128.2 -64.6 -34	26,030 17,263 -8,767 -34		
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	501 333 -168 -34	192.8 128.2 -64.6 -34	192.8 128.2 -64.6 -34	26,030 17,263 -8,767 -34		

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Lighting is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 253,372 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 240,530 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use 1050's Warehouse/storage 1728 unconditioned space						
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	253,372	240,530	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	201,958	240,530	0
difference	0	0	0	-51,414	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-20	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	865	821	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	689	821	0
difference	0	0	0	-175	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-20	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	6	б	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	5	6	0
difference	0	0	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-20	0	0

Space cooling is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 60,659 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 31,893 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use 1050's Warehouse/storage 1728 conditioned space							
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water	
Electricity (kWh)							
existing	0	60,659	27,479	26,560	31,893	334	
post-retrofit	0	27,215	23,026	15,339	31,893	229	
difference	0	-33,444	-4,453	-11,222	0	-105	
% change	0	-55	-16	-42	0	-31	
Total (MBtu)							
existing	0	207	94	91	109	1	
post-retrofit	0	93	79	52	109	1	
difference	0	-114	-15	-38	0	0	
% change	0	-55	-16	-42	0	-31	
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)							
existing	0	80	36	35	42	0	
post-retrofit	0	36	30	20	42	0	
difference	0	-44	-6	-15	0	0	
% change	0	-55	-16	-42	0	-31	

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1050's Wharehouse/storage	1728	unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	4,465 4,000 -465 -10		
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,134 1,911 -222 -10		
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,670 3,288 -382 -10		
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	452 405 -47 -10		
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	88 79 -9 -10		
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,519 1,361 -158 -10		

1050's Wharehouse/storage 1728 conditioned space

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,328 883 -445 -34
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	635 422 -213 -34
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,092 726 -366 -34
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	134 89 -45 -34
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	26 17 -9 -34
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	452 300 -151 -34

Building 1072 Supply Warehouse

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1072 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1072

1072 is a warehouse building built in 1941. The warehouse is largely unconditioned but has a few small offices that are conditioned by DX units. Building 1072 is 83,379 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing lights in the conditioned and unconditioned spaces of the building. FEDS also suggests adding insulation to the interior of the roof and replacing the single pane windows with double pane, super low-e windows in the conditioned space.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	112	621	62	1.1
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072	Lights	FL236: FL 2X4 3F32T8 ELC3	FL279: FL 2X4 2F32ST8 ELC2 REF	128	6,785	13,362	25,840	2.9
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072	Lights	MH6: MH 400 PEND	HS18: HPS 310 PEND	69	3,445	15,160	4,677	1.3

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1072 unconditioned space:

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1072 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	-	61	311	61	1.2
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072	Lights	FL236: FL 2X4 3F32T8 ELC3	FL279: FL 2X4 2F32ST8 ELC2 REF	41	2,214	3,158	9,617	4.0
1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches Fiberglass	299	15,650	22,799	67,034	3.9

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 404,132 kwh before retrofits and 346,253 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 17.5 MBtu/Ksf to 15.0 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1050's Whar	ehouse/storage 1072 unco	onditioned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	404,132 346,253 -57,879 -14	5,134.6 4,399.2 -735.4 -14	17.5 15.0 -2.5 -14	71,400 61,137 -10,263 -14
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,379 1,182 -198 -14	17.5 15.0 -2.5 -14	17.5 15.0 -2.5 -14	71,400 61,137 -10,263 -14

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 163,410 kwh before retrofits and 65,956 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 83.6 MBtu/Ksf to 33.7 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072 conditioned space							
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*				
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	163,410 65,956 -97,454 -60	24,499.2 9,888.4 -14,610.7 -60	83.6 33.7 -49.9 -60	28,870 11,646 -17,225 -60				
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	558 225 -333 -60	83.6 33.7 -49.9 -60	83.6 33.7 -49.9 -60	28,870 11,646 -17,225 -60				

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Lighting is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 266,729 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 137,403 kWh/year.

		1050's Warehouse/storage	e 1072	unconditioned	space Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	266,729	137,403	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	208,850	137,403	0
difference	0	0	0	-57,879	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-22	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	910	469	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	713	469	0
difference	0	0	0	-198	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-22	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	12	6	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	9	6	0
difference	0	0	0	-3	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-22	0	0

Space cooling is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 79,200 kWh/year, followed by ventilation with 53,432 kWh/year.

		1050's Wharehouse	e/storage 1072	2 conditioned s	pace	
	TT to i	C line	TT = +	T é albe a	Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	79,200	53,432	19,134	11,644	0
post-retrofit	0	25,968	17,263	11,081	11,644	0
difference	0	-53,232	-36,169	-8,052	0	0
% change	0	-67	-68	-42	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	270	182	65	40	0
post-retrofit	0	89	59	38	40	0
difference	0	-182	-123	-27	0	0
% change	0	-67	-68	-42	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	41	27	10	б	0
post-retrofit	0	13	9	б	6	0
difference	0	-27	-19	-4	0	0
% change	0	-67	-68	-42	0	0

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1050's Wharehouse/storage	1072 unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,653 3,130 -523 -14	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,746 1,496 -250 -14	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,003 2,573 -430 -14	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	370 317 -53 -14	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	72 62 -10 -14	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,243 1,065 -178 -14	

1050's Wharehouse/storage 1072 conditioned space

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	1,477 596 -881 -60
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	706 285 -421 -60
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,214 490 -724 -60
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	150 60 -89 -60
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	29 12 -17 -60
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	503 203 -300 -60

Building 1070 Warehouse Building

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1070 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1070

1070 is a warehouse building with some conditioned hazmat storage built in 1941. Building 1070 is mostly unconditioned storage with a small office that is conditioned by a small DX unit. Building 1070 is 62,779 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing lighting in the unconditioned space as well as replacing the EXIT lighting fixtures in the conditioned and unconditioned spaces. FEDS also suggests increasing the insulation in the roof of the conditioned office space.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
small storage 1070	Lights	IN27: INC 100 WALL	CF9: CFL 26 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	9	663	2,530	1,347	1.5
small storage 1070	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	9	698	621	3,483	6.6

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1070 unconditioned space:

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1070 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
small storage 1070	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches	6	426	311	2,186	8.0
small storage 1070	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Fiberglass	20	1,034	4,290	1,641	1.4

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 17,134 kwh before retrofits and 11,645 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled other fuels (propane) consumption for a typical year was 382 MBtu before retrofits and 382 MBtu after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 7.2 MBtu/Ksf to 6.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	Small	storage 1070 unconditi	oned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	17,134	278.5	1.0	3,028
post-retrofit	11,645	189.3	0.6	2,056
difference	-5,489	-89.2	-0.3	-972
% change	-32	-32	-32	-32
Other Fuels (MBtu)				
existing	382	6.2	6.2	12,278
post-retrofit	382	6.2	6.2	12,278
difference	0	0.0	0.0	0
% change	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)				
existing	440	7.2	7.2	15,307
post-retrofit	422	6.9	6.9	14,334
difference	-19	-0.3	-0.3	-972
% change	-4	-4	-4	-6

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 25,042 kwh before retrofits and 17,627 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled other fuels (propane) consumption for a typical year was 8 MBtu before retrofits and 8 MBtu after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 74.3 MBtu/Ksf to 54.1 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	Small storage 1070			
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	25,042	19,954.1	68.1	4,424
post-retrofit	17,627	14,045.3	47.9	3,112
difference	-7,416	-5,908.8	-20.2	-1,312
% change	-30	-30	-30	-30
Other Fuels (MBtu)				
existing	8	6.2	6.2	250
post-retrofit	8	6.2	6.2	250
difference	0	0.0	0.0	0
% change	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)				
existing	93	74.3	74.3	4,675
post-retrofit	68	54.1	54.1	3,363
difference	-25	-20.2	-20.2	-1,312
% change	-27	-27	-27	-28
Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Lighting is the largest load in the building with 16,137 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 997 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use Small storage 1070 unconditioned space						
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
	2	5		5		
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	16,137	997	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	10,649	997	0
difference	0	0	0	-5,489	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-34	0	0
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	382	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	382	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	55	385	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	36	385	0
difference	0	0	0	-19	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-34	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	1	6	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	1	6	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-34	0	0

Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 12,471 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 6,323 kWh/year.

		small st	orage 1070			
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	12,471	3,435	2,814	6,323	0
post-retrofit	0	7,504	2,375	1,424	6,323	0
difference	0	-4,967	-1,060	-1,389	0	0
% change	0	-40	-31	-49	0	0
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	8	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	8	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	43	12	10	29	0
post-retrofit	0	26	8	5	29	0
difference	0	-17	-4	-5	0	0
% change	0	-40	-31	-49	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	34	9	8	23	0
post-retrofit	0	20	б	4	23	0
difference	0	-14	-3	-4	0	0
% change	0	-40	-31	-49	0	0

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	Small storage	1070 unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	250 201 -50 -20	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	208 184 -24 -11	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	418 377 -41 -10	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	48 43 -5 -10	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	7 6 -1 -14	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	148 131 -17 -11	

Small storage 1070 conditioned space

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	228 161 -67 -29
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	111 79 -32 -29
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	192 137 -55 -29
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	24 17 -7 -29
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5 3 -1 -29
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	79 56 -23 -29

Building 2002 Vehicle Maintenance Shop

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2002 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2002

2002 is a vehicle maintenance building with admin and workshop space built in 1940. Building 2002 generally has fluorescent lighting, an electric hot water system and its administration spaces are cooled by an electric package, or DX, unit. Building 2002 is 23,981 sf.

Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the EXIT lighting as well as replacing the T12 Fluorescent lighting in the unconditioned space. In the conditioned space FEDS suggests replacing EXIT lighting, T12 Fluorescent lighting and adding insulation to the interior surface of the metal roof.

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2002 unconditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	1	105	93	522	6.6
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	8	593	528	2,961	6.6
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL244: FL 2X4 4F32T8 ELC4	16	1,244	3,265	4,014	2.2
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	3	259	993	534	1.5
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL62: FL 1X8 2F96T12 STD2	FL74: FL 1X8 2F96T12 ELC2	6	487	2,195`	655	1.3

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2002 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	1	65	47	335	8.2
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	5	367	264	1,888	8.2
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL244: FL 2X4 4F32T8 ELC4	5	386	816	1,435	2.1
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	1	65	248	134	1.5
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Lights	FL62: FL 1X8 2F96T12 STD2	FL74: FL 1X8 2F96T12 ELC2 Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches	3	245	1,015	413	1.4
Vehicle maintenance 2002	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Fiberglass	139	7,145	16,393	24,618	2.5

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 125,846 kwh before retrofits and 115,487 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 22.4 MBtu/Ksf to 20.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Vehicle maintenance 2002 unconditioned space						
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*		
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	125,846 115,487 -10,359 -8	6,559.9 6,020.0 -540.0 -8	22.4 20.5 -1.8 -8	22,234 20,391 -1,843 -8		
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	430 394 -35 -8	22.4 20.5 -1.8 -8	22.4 20.5 -1.8 -8	22,234 20,391 -1,843 -8		

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 97,300 kwh before retrofits and 52,706 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 69.2 MBtu/Ksf to 37.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

Vehicle maintenance 2002 conditioned space						
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*		
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	97,300 52,706 -44,595 -46	20,287.8 10,989.5 -9,298.3 -46	69.2 37.5 -31.7 -46	17,191 9,306 -7,885 -46		
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	332 180 -152 -46	69.2 37.5 -31.7 -46	69.2 37.5 -31.7 -46	17,191 9,306 -7,885 -46		

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 94,120 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 31,616 kWh/year.

		Vehicle maintenance	2002 unc	onditioned space	ce	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water *
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	31,616	94,120	110
post-retrofit	0	0	0	21,257	94,120	110
difference	0	0	0	-10,359	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-33	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	108	321	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	73	321	0
difference	0	0	0	-35	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-33	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	б	17	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	4	17	0
difference	0	0	0	-2	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-33	0	0

* Energy consumption values for both distributed and central SHW are reported for Hot Water annual energy use. Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 58,459 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 23,530 kWh/year.

		Vehicle mainte	nance 2002 con	ditioned space		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water *
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	58,459	6,597	8,605	23,530	110
post-retrofit	0	21,603	2,411	5,052	23,530	110
difference	0	-36,856	-4,186	-3,552	0	0
% change	0	-63	-63	-41	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	200	23	29	80	0
post-retrofit	0	74	8	17	80	0
difference	0	-126	-14	-12	0	0
% change	0	-63	-63	-41	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	42	5	6	17	0
post-retrofit	0	15	2	4	17	0
difference	0	-26	-3	-3	0	0
% change	0	-63	-63	-41	0	0

* Energy consumption values for both distributed and central SHW are reported for Hot Water annual energy use.

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	Vehicle maintenance	2002 unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,138 1,044 -94 -8	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	544 499 -45 -8	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	935 858 -77 -8	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	115 106 -9 -8	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	23 21 -2 -8	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	387 355 -32 -8	

Vehicle maintenance 2002 conditioned space

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,138 1,044 -94 -8
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	544 499 -45 -8
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	935 858 -77 -8
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	115 106 -9 -8
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	23 21 -2 -8
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	387 355 -32 -8

Building 1713 Warehouse

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1713 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1713

1713 is a warehouse building built in 1944. 1713 is the main recycling center on base and has a small conditioned office space served by an electric package, or DX, unit. Building 1713 is 30,400 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the lighting in the building in the conditioned and unconditioned spaces as well as increasing the interior insulation of the roof for the conditioned space only.

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1713 unconditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
			EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL		F.(011	01	1 1
1940's storage 1713	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	RETRO KIT	-	56	311	31	1.1

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1713 conditioned space:

Bldg. Set Description	End n Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
1940's storage 171	3 Lights	IN8: INC 75 CEIL	CF5: CFL 18 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	1	89	48	477	10.9
1940's storage 171	3 Lights	IN11: INC 100 CEIL	CF9: CFL 26 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	1	110	48	594	13.3
1940 S Storage 171			CF3. CFE 20 INTEGRAL ONIT ELC	1	110	40	374	13.5
			EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL					
1940's storage 171	3 Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	RETRO KIT	-	58	311	41	1.1
			Add Insulation to Interior					
			Surface of Metal Roof: 4					
1940's storage 171	3 Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	inches Fiberglass	14	961	2,078	3,438	2.7

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 22,232 kwh before retrofits and 22,175 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 2.5 MBtu/Ksf to 2.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	1940's	storage 1713 uncondit.	ioned space	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	22,232 22,175 -58 0	746.3 744.3 -1.9 0	2.5 2.5 0.0 0	3,930 3,915 -14 0
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	76 76 0 0	2.5 2.5 0.0 0	2.5 2.5 0.0 0	3,930 3,915 -14 0

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 10,421 kwh before retrofits and 5,573 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 58.5 MBtu/Ksf to 31.3 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

1940's storage 1713 conditioned space							
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*			
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	10,421 5,573 -4,848 -47	17,140.2 9,165.9 -7,974.3 -47	58.5 31.3 -27.2 -47	1,841 984 -857 -47			
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	36 19 -17 -47	58.5 31.3 -27.2 -47	58.5 31.3 -27.2 -47	1,841 984 -857 -47			

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Lighting is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 21,755 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 477 kWh/year.

		1940's storage	1713 uncon	ditioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	21,755	477	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	21,697	477	0
difference	0	0	0	-58	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	74	2	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	74	2	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	2	0	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	2	0	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0

		1940's storage	1713 cond	itioned space		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
ruci	incacing	cooring	VCIIC	шідпер	MIDC EQUIP	not water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	5,318	193	4,901	10	0
post-retrofit	0	1,345	46	4,172	10	0
difference	0	-3,973	-147	-729	0	0
% change	0	-75	-76	-15	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	18	1	17	0	0
post-retrofit	0	5	0	14	0	0
difference	0	-14	-1	-2	0	0
% change	0	-75	-76	-15	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	30	1	28	0	0
post-retrofit	0	8	0	23	0	0
difference	0	-22	-1	-4	0	0
% change	0	-75	-76	-15	0	0

Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 5,318 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 4,901 kWh/year.

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	1940's storage	1713	unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing	201		
post-retrofit difference	200 -1		
% change	0		
Nitrogen Oxides (1b)			
existing	96		
post-retrofit difference	96 0		
% change	0		
Carbon Monoxide (lb)			
existing	165		
post-retrofit	165		
difference	0		
% change	0		
Carbon Dioxide (tons)			
existing	20		
post-retrofit	20		
difference	0		
% change	0		
Particulate Matter (lb)			
existing	4		
post-retrofit	4		
difference	0		
% change	0		
Hydrocarbons (lb)			
existing	68		
post-retrofit	68		
difference	0		
% change	0		

1940's storage 1713 conditioned space

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing	94
post-retrofit	50
difference	-44
% change	-47
Nitrogen Oxides (lb)	45
existing	45 24
post-retrofit	
difference	-21
% change	-47
Carbon Monoxide (lb)	
existing	77
post-retrofit	41
difference	-36
% change	-47
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	10 5 -4 -47
Particulate Matter (lb)	
existing	2
post-retrofit	1
difference	-1
% change	-47
t change	1,
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing	32
post-retrofit	17
difference	-15
% change	-47
-	

Building 2130 Corrosion Control Hangar

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2130 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2130

2130 is a corrosion control facility for aircraft built in 2008. Building 2130 cleans aircraft of corrosion causing agents and has a large ventilation system to aid its mission. Building 2130 is 56,734 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the lighting in the high bay space of the building. In the administration space FEDS also suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a high efficiency water cooled chiller.

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2130 high-bay space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
			EX12: EXIT -					
2008 hanger unconditioned space			ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL					
2130	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	RETRO KIT	-	56	311	31	1.1
2008 hanger unconditioned space								
2130	Lights	MH8: MH 1500 PEND	HS20: HPS 1000 PEND	61	5,353	30,695	1,011	1.0

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2130 administration space:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
2008 hanger conditioned space		Electric Air-Cooled Chiller	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (high efficiency)	· · · · · ·				
2130	Cooling	{C1}	and Cooling Tower	106	7,130	39,495	2,124	1.1

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for the unconditioned space of the building for a typical year was 194,224 kwh before retrofits and 176,277 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 13.7 MBtu/Ksf to 12.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	2008 h	nanger unconditioned spa	.ce 2130	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	194,224 176,277 -17,946 -9	4,027.4 3,655.3 -372.1 -9	13.7 12.5 -1.3 -9	34,417 31,244 -3,173 -9
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	663 602 -61 -9	13.7 12.5 -1.3 -9	13.7 12.5 -1.3 -9	34,417 31,244 -3,173 -9

The modeled energy consumption for the conditioned space of the building for a typical year was 402,873 kwh before retrofits and 314,844 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 161.6 MBtu/Ksf to 126.3 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	e 2130			
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	402,873 314,844 -88,029 -22	47,341.1 36,997.0 -10,344.1 -22	161.6 126.3 -35.3 -22	71,390 55,804 -15,587 -22
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,375 1,075 -300 -22	161.6 126.3 -35.3 -22	161.6 126.3 -35.3 -22	71,390 55,804 -15,587 -22

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the unconditioned space of the building with 117,194 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 73,546 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use 2008 hanger unconditioned space 2130						
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	73,546	117,194	3,483
post-retrofit	0	0	0	55,600	117,194	3,483
difference	0	0	0	-17,946	117,174	5,405
% change	0	0	0	-24	0	0
* change	0	0	0	21	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	251	400	12
post-retrofit	0	0	0	190	400	12
difference	0	0	0	-61	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-24	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	5	8	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	4	8	0
difference	0	0	0	-1	0	0
% change	0	0	0	-24	0	0

Lighting is the largest load in the conditioned space of the building with 172,239 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 117,190 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use 2008 hanger conditioned space 2130						
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	117,190	48,056	172,239	62,803	2,584
post-retrofit	0	70,813	48,056	130,587	62,803	2,584
difference	0	-46,377	0	-41,651	0	0
% change	0	-40	0	-24	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	400	164	588	214	9
post-retrofit	0	242	164	446	214	9
difference	0	-158	0	-142	0	0
% change	0	-40	0	-24	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	47	19	69	25	1
post-retrofit	0	28	19	52	25	1
difference	0	-19	0	-17	0	0
% change	0	-40	0	-24	0	0

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction

The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	2008 hanger	unconditioned space
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,756 1,594 -162 -9	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	839 761 -78 -9	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,443 1,310 -133 -9	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	178 161 -16 -9	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	35 32 -3 -9	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	597 542 -55 -9	

2130

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	3,642 2,846 -796 -22
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,740 1,360 -380 -22
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,993 2,339 -654 -22
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	369 288 -81 -22
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	72 56 -16 -22
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,239 968 -271 -22

Building 1860 Dining Hall

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1860 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1860

1860 is a dining hall built in 1969. It is lighted mostly by T8's and is cooled by an electric air cooled chiller Building 1860 is 12,941 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing incandescent lights with CFL lights, replacing the EXIT lighting, replacing the air cooled chiller with a standard efficiency water cooled reciprocating chiller and wrapping the hot water tank with insulation.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Dining Hall 1860	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (standard efficiency) and Cooling Tower	148	9,129	48,417	4,355	1.1
Dining Hall 1860	Hot Water	Other Fuels Central Boiler	Wrap Tank with Insulation	219	7,025	268	50,050	187.8
Dining Hall 1860	Lights	IN18: INC 25 WALL	CF14: CFL 5 + BLST UNIT	13	799	4,340	309	1.1
Dining Hall 1860	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	14	891	621	4,590	8.4

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1860:

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1860:

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Dining Hall 1860	Hot Water	Other Fuels Central Boiler	Wrap Tank with Insulation	219	7,025	268	50,050	187.8
Dining Hall 1860	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	14	928	621	4,802	8.7

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 485,332 kwh before retrofits and 429,230 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled other fuels (propane) consumption for a typical year was 971 MBtu before retrofits and 534 MBtu after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 203.1 MBtu/Ksf to 154.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Dining Hall 1860		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	485,332	37,503.4	128.0	85,984
post-retrofit	429,230	33,168.2	113.2	75,842
difference	-56,102	-4,335.2	-14.8	-10,142
% change	-11.6	-11.6	-11.6	-11.8
Other Fuels (MBtu)				
existing	971	75.0	75.0	31,223
post-retrofit	534	41.3	41.3	17,172
difference	-437	-33.8	-33.8	-14,051
% change	-45	-45	-45	-45
Total (MBtu)				
existing	2,628	203.1	203.1	117,208
post-retrofit	1,999	154.5	154.5	93,014
difference	-629	-48.6	-48.6	-24,194
% change	-24	-24	-24	-21

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 221,654 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 160,038 kWh/year.

Annual Energy Use by Building Set, Fuel Type, and End Use Dining Hall 1860							
					Motors and		
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water	
Electricity (kWh)							
existing	0	221,654	70,607	33,032	160,038	0	
post-retrofit	0	174,142	70,209	24,842	160,038	0	
difference	0	-47,512	-398	-8,190	0	0	
% change	0	-21	-1	-25	0	0	
Other Fuels (MBtu)							
existing	0	0	0	0	193	778	
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	193	340	
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-438	
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-56	
Total (MBtu)							
existing	0	756	241	51	740	408	
post-retrofit	0	594	240	40	740	175	
difference	0	-162	-1	-11	0	-248	
% change	0	-21	-1	-22	0	-59	
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)							
existing	0	116	37	17	114	124	
post-retrofit	0	40	37	13	114	53	
difference	0	-21	0	-4	0	-71	
% change	0	-18	0	-24	0	-57	

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction

The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Dining Hall Sulfur Oxides (1b) existing 48,430 post-retrofit 43.439 difference -4,991 % change -10 Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing 4,630 post-retrofit 4,014 difference -616 % change -13 Carbon Monoxide (1b) existing 2,437 post-retrofit 2,041 difference -749 -16 % change Carbon Dioxide (tons) 4,344 existing post-retrofit 3,595 difference -749 % change -17 Particulate Matter (lb) existing 268 post-retrofit 165 -749 difference % change -38 Hydrocarbons (lb) existing 1,736 post-retrofit 1,453 difference -283 % change -16

1860
Building 1804 Dining Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1804 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1804

1804 is an open mess facility built in 2003. Building 1804 has incandescent and 32W T8 lilghts, an electric air cooled chiller and little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 1804 is 27,579 sf.



Alternative Financing Results A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests wrapping the hot water tank with insulation, replacing incandescent lamps with CFL lamps and replacing the EXIT lighting.

Bldg. Set	t Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Dining	1804	Hot Water	Other Fuels Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation	37	1,202	1,764	5,751	3.0
Dining	1804	Lights	IN25: INC 75 WALL	CF5: CFL 18 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	334	20,370	8,978	109,722	13.2
Dining	1804	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	23	1,471	932	7,663	9.2

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1804:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 809,008 kwh before retrofits and 704,303 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The modeled other fuels (propane) consumption for a typical year was 855 MBtu before retrofits and 818 MBtu after retrofits. The energy use intensity goes from 131.1 MBtu/Ksf to 116.8 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Dining 1804		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	809,008 704,303 -104,705 -13	29,334.2 25,537.7 -3,796.5 -13	100.1 87.2 -13.0 -13	143,329 124,446 -18,882 -13
Other Fuels (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	855 818 -37 -4	31.0 29.7 -1.4 -4	31.0 29.7 -1.4 -4	27,501 26,299 -1,202 -4
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,617 3,222 -395 -11	131.1 116.8 -14.3 -11	131.1 116.8 -14.3 -11	170,830 150,745 -20,085 -12

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 316,839 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 262,004 kWh/year.

	Annua		Building Set, F ining 18			
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	262,004	56,199	173,967	316,839	0
post-retrofit	0	229,877	48,157	109,431	316,839	0
difference	0	-32,127	-8,042	-64,536	0	0
% change	0	-12	-14	-37	0	0
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	725	130
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	725	93
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-37
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-29
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	894	192	594	1,806	130
post-retrofit	0	785	164	373	1,806	93
difference	0	-110	-27	-220	0	-37
% change	0	-12	-14	-37	0	-29
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	32	7	22	66	5
post-retrofit	0	28	6	14	66	3
difference	0	-4	-1	-8	0	-1
% change	0	-12	-14	-37	0	-29

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

		Dining
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	7,527 6,571 -956 -13	
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,794 3,329 -465 -12	
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	6,661 5,855 -806 -12	
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	813 714 -99 -12	
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	153 134 -19 -12	
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,702 2,370 -331 -12	

Building 594 Lavatory Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 594 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 594

594 is a lavatory by the baseball fields built in 1977. Building 594 is not cooled and has very little lighting. Building 594 is 293 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

FEDS did not find any life cycle cost effective retrofits using alternative financing.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 2,429 kwh before retrofits and 2,429 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 34.9 MBtu/Ksf to 34.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

	sanit	ary latrines/small storag	e 594	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	2,429	8,290.3	28.3	430
post-retrofit	2,429	8,290.3	28.3	430
difference	0	0.0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0
Other Fuels (MBtu)				
existing	2	6.6	6.6	63
post-retrofit	2	6.6	6.6	63
difference	0	0.0	0.0	0
% change	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)				
existing	10	34.9	34.9	493
post-retrofit	10	34.9	34.9	493
difference	0	0.0	0.0	0
% change	0	0	0	0

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Lighting is the largest load in the building with 2,091 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 338 kWh/year.

	Annua		ding Set 0	50m	End Use	
		sanitary latr	ines/small sto	rage 594		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	0	0	2,091	338	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	2,091	338	0
difference	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	2	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	2	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	7	3	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	7	3	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	0	0	24	11	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	24	11	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	sanitary	latrines/small storage	
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	22 22 0 0		
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	11 11 0 0		
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	20 20 0 0		
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2 2 0 0		
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	0 0 0 0		
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	8 8 0 0		

Building 2093 Commissary

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2093 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2093

2093 is the commissary and was built in 1975. Building 2093 has large conditioned service spaces as well as large unconditioned storage spaces. Building 2093 is 115,408 sf.



Alternative Financing Results A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the electric water heater with a heat pump water heater and replacing some of the lighting.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Comissary, large sales 2093	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Heat Pump Water Heater (Com)	168	8,496	47,981	643	1.0
Comissary, large sales 2093	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	23	1,560	1,118	8,017	8.2
Comissary, large sales 2093	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	3	174	124	891	8.2
Comissary, large sales 2093	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL244: FL 2X4 4F32T8 ELC4	124	8,350	15,231	33,517	3.2
Comissary, large sales 2093	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	1,745	103,847	259,302	344,042	2.3

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2093

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 6,735,356 kwh before retrofits and 6,130,693 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 199.2 MBtu/Ksf to 181.3 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Commissary, large sales	2093	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	6,735,356	58,361.3	199.2	1,193,276
post-retrofit	6,130,693	53,121.9	181.3	1,083,257
difference	-604,664	-5,239.4	-17.9	-110,018
% change	-9	-9	-9	-9
Total (MBtu)				
existing	22,988	199.2	199.2	1,193,276
post-retrofit	20,924	181.3	181.3	1,083,257
difference	-2,064	-17.9	-17.9	-110,018
% change	-9	-9	-9	-9

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use

Motors and miscellaneous equipment is the largest load in the building with 4,432,117 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 1,096,681 kWh/year.

	Annua	al Energy Use by Bu	Building Set, 3		End Use	
		Comissa	ary, large sales	2093		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water *
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	778,012	369,229	1,096,681	4,432,117	59,317
post-retrofit	0	646,309	351,845	690,305	4,432,117	10,116
difference	0	-131,703	-17,383	-406,376	0	-49,201
% change	0	-17	-5	-37	0	-83
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	2,655	1,260	3,743	15,127	202
post-retrofit	0	2,206	1,201	2,356	15,127	35
difference	0	-450	-59	-1,387	0	-168
% change	0	-17	-5	-37	0	-83
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	23	11	32	131	2
post-retrofit	0	19	10	20	131	0
difference	0	-4	-1	-12	0	-1
% change	0	-17	-5	-37	0	-83

* Energy consumption values for both distributed and central SHW are reported for Hot Water annual energy use.

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

	Comissary, large sales
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	60,888 55,422 -5,466 -9
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	29,096 26,484 -2,612 -9
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	50,044 45,552 -4,493 -9
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	6,163 5,610 -553 -9
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,205 1,096 -108 -9
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	20,712 18,853 -1,859 -9

Building 2028 Passenger Terminal

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2028 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2028

2028 is the air passenger terminal built in 1973. Building 2028 has a water cooled reciprocating chiller, metal halide, fluorescent, incandescent and high pressure sodium lights and little to no insulation in the building envelope. Building 2028 is 46,128 sf.



Alternative Financing Results A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the lighting, replacing the electric water heater with a heat pump water heater and increasing the insulation in the suspended ceiling.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Airport terminal 2028	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Heat Pump Water Heater (Com)	22	1,004	5,098	654	1.1
Airport terminal 2028	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	889	621	4,581	8.4
Airport terminal 2028	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	832	47,481	87,739	187,832	3.1
Airport terminal 2028	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Suspended Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-11	161	10,970	60,766	2,203	1.0

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2028:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 804,699 kwh before retrofits and 512,174 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 59.5 MBtu/Ksf to 37.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Airport terminal 202	8	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	804,699	17,444.9	59.5	142,565
post-retrofit	512,174	11,103.3	37.9	90,498
difference	-292,525	-6,341.6	-21.6	-52,067
% change	-36	-36	-36	-37
Total (MBtu)				
existing	2,746	59.5	59.5	142,565
post-retrofit	1,748	37.9	37.9	90,498
difference	-998	-21.6	-21.6	-52,067
% change	-36	-36	-36	-37

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Lighting is the largest load in the building with 410,212 kWh/year, followed by space cooling with 235,351 kWh/year.

	Annua	al Energy Use by Airr	Building Set, oort terminal	Fuel Type, and 2028	End Use	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Motors and Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	235,351	67,184	410,212	84,592	7,360
post-retrofit	0	149,320	42,071	235,198	84,592	994
difference	0	-86,032	-25,113	-175,014	0	-6,366
% change	0	-37	-37	-43	0	-86
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	803	229	1,400	289	25
post-retrofit	0	510	144	803	289	3
difference	0	-294	-86	-597	0	-22
% change	0	-37	-37	-43	0	-86
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	17	5	30	6	1
post-retrofit	0	11	3	17	6	0
difference	0	-6	-2	-13	0	0
% change	0	-37	-37	-43	0	-86

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

		Airport	terminal
Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	7,274 4,630 -2,644 -36		
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,476 2,213 -1,264 -36		
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	5,979 3,806 -2,173 -36		
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	736 469 -268 -36		
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	144 92 -52 -36		
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	2,475 1,575 -900 -36		

Building 1597 Child Care Center

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1597 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1597

1597 is a child care center built 1985. Building 1597 is conditioned by an electric air cooled chiller, has many fluorescent and some metal halide lights and has some insulation in the building envelope. Building 1597 is 12,760 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing some of the lighting as well as replacing the electric water heater with a heat pump water heater.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
youth Center 1597	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Heat Pump Water Heater (Com)	42	2,455	6,854	7,220	2.1
youth Center 1597	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	1	117	621	91	1.1
youth Center 1597	Lights	MH40: MH 150 HE WALL	MH67: MH 150 HE WALL ELC	1	310	1,376	502	1.4

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1597:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 200,246 kwh before retrofits and 187,306 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 53.6 MBtu/Ksf to 50.1 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Youth Center 1597		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	200,246	15,693.2	53.6	35,477
post-retrofit	187,306	14,679.1	50.1	33,096
difference	-12,940	-1,014.1	-3.5	-2,381
% change	-6	-6	-6	-7
Total (MBtu)				
existing	683	53.6	53.6	35,477
post-retrofit	639	50.1	50.1	33,096
difference	-44	-3.5	-3.5	-2,381
% change	-б	-6	-6	-7

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 84,926 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 36,565 kWh/year.

	Annua		7 Building Set, Fu outh Center 15		End Use	
_					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	84,926	36,501	27,943	36,565	14,310
post-retrofit	0	84,901	36,490	27,439	36,565	1,910
difference	0	-25	-11	-505	0	-12,400
% change	0	0	0	-2	0	-87
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	290	125	95	125	49
post-retrofit	0	290	125	94	125	7
difference	0	0	0	-2	0	-42
% change	0	0	0	-2	0	-87
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	23	10	7	10	4
post-retrofit	0	23	10	7	10	1
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-3
% change	0	0	0	-2	0	-87

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,810 1,693 -117 -6
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	865 809 -56 -6
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,488 1,392 -96 -6
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	183 171 -12 -6
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	36 33 -2 -6
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	616 576 -40 -6

Youth Center

1597

Building 1891 Bowling Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1891 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description

1891 is a bowling facility built in 1971. The facility was once a gymnasium, but has since been converted to a bowling center. Building 1891 is cooled by an electric package unit, has fluorescent, incandescent, and metal halide lights and some insulation in the building envelope. Building 1891 is 3,090 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the electric package unit with a very high efficiency single zone package unit. FEDS also suggests delamping 4 tube T8 fixtures to 3 tube T8 fixtures as well as increasing insulation in the attic ceiling, and installing faucet aerators.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
misc recreation bldgs			Single Zone Packaged AC Unit					
1891	Cooling	Electric Package Unit {C1}	(very high efficiency / small)	119	10,538	31,186	31,012	2.0
misc recreation bldgs 1891	Hot Water	Electric Water Heater	Faucet Aerators	3	156	14	884	62.8
misc recreation bldgs 1891	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	7	456	311	2,358	8.6
misc recreation bldgs 1891	Lights	FL37: FL 2X4 4F32T8 EEF2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF	21	1,206	4,416	2,550	1.6
misc recreation bldgs 1891	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 11.00	Attic Ceiling: Increase Insulation by R-13 (blow-in cellulose)	8	480	1,775	985	1.6

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1891:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 158,242 kwh before retrofits and 104,256 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 176.2 MBtu/Ksf to 116.6 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Misc recreation bldgs 1	.891	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	158,242 101,296 -56,946 -36	51,211.1 32,782.0 -18,429.1 -36	174.8 111.9 -62.9 -36	27,976 17,813 -10,162 -36
Other Fuels (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	4 4 0 0	1.4 1.4 0.0 0	1.4 1.4 0.0 0	143 143 0 0
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	545 350 -194 -36	176.2 113.3 -62.9 -36	176.2 113.3 -62.9 -36	28,119 17,957 -10,162 -36

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 82,546 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 38,734 kWh/year.

		Misc r	ecreation bldgs	1891		
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	82,546	19,939	38,734	14,816	2,206
post-retrofit	0	36,161	18,751	30,351	14,816	1,217
difference	0	-46,385	-1,189	-8,383	. 0	-989
% change	0	-56	-6	-22	0	-45
Other Fuels (MBtu)						
existing	0	0	0	0	4	0
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	4	0
difference	0	0	0	0	0	0
% change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	282	68	132	55	8
post-retrofit	0	123	64	104	55	4
difference	0	-158	-4	-29	0	-3
% change	0	-56	-6	-22	0	-45
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	91	22	43	18	2
post-retrofit	0	40	21	34	18	1
difference	0	-51	-1	-9	0	-1
% change	0	-56	-6	-22	0	-45

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Misc recreation bldgs 1891

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	1,432 917 -515 -36
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	685 439 -246 -36
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,179 756 -423 -36
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	145 93 -52 -36
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	28 18 -10 -36
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	488 313 -175 -36

Building 1750 Religious Education Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1750 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1750

1750 is a religious education facility built in 1977. Building 1750 is conditioned by an electric package unit. The building has incandescent, fluorescent, and metal halide lights and has little to no insulation in the building envelope. Building 1750 is 7,296 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the lighting in the building, increasing the insulation in the roof and increasing the insulation on the hot water tank.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
	Hot							
Religious facilities 1750	Water	Distillate Oil Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation	1	30	53	96	2.1
Religious facilities 1750	Lights	IN8: INC 75 CEIL	CF5: CFL 18 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	23	1,625	502	8,983	18.9
Religious facilities 1750	Lights	IN11: INC 100 CEIL	CF9: CFL 26 INTEGRAL UNIT ELC	3	228	58	1,271	22.9
Religious facilities 1750	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	866	621	4,449	8.2
				10	000	021	1,117	0.2
Religious facilities 1750	Lights	FL4: FL 1X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL52: FL 1X4 2F32T8 ELC2	16	1,095	3,949	2,511	1.6
Religious facilities 1750	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Insulate Built-up Roof Surface (R-10) and Re-Roof	89	5,915	31,383	2,573	1.1

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1750:
Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 113,294 kwh before retrofits and 91,403 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 63.6 MBtu/Ksf to 43.9 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Religious facilities 1	750	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	133,294 91,403 -41,891 -31	18,269.4 12,527.9 -5,741.6 -31	62.4 42.8 -19.6 -31	23,615 16,150 -7,465 -32
Distillate Oil (gal) existing post-retrofit difference % change	64 58 -6 -9	8.7 7.9 -0.8 -9	1.2 1.1 -0.1 -9	324 294 -30 -9
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	464 320 -144 -31	63.6 43.9 -19.7 -31	63.6 43.9 -19.7 -31	23,939 16,445 -7,494 -31

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 60,705 kWh/year, followed by lighting with 31,784 kWh/year.

	Annu	al Energy Use by Relig		uel Type, and 1750	End Use	
		5			Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	60,705	29,785	31,784	11,019	0
post-retrofit	0	32,588	29,173	18,623	11,019	0
difference	0	-28,117	-613	-13,161	0	0
% change	0	-46	-2	-41	0	0
Distillate Oil (gal)						
existing	0	0	0	0	0	64
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	0	58
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-6
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-9
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	207	102	108	38	9
post-retrofit	0	111	100	64	38	8
difference	0	-96	-2	-45	0	-1
% change	0	-46	-2	-41	0	-9
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	28	14	15	5	1
post-retrofit	0	15	14	9	5	1
difference	0	-13	0	-6	0	0
% change	0	-46	-2	-41	0	-9

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Religious facilities 1750

Sulfur Oxides (1b)	
existing	1,209
post-retrofit	830
difference	-379
% change	-31
Nitrogen Oxides (lb)	
existing	579
post-retrofit	398
difference	-181
% change	-31
Carbon Monoxide (1b)	
existing	997
post-retrofit	686
difference	-312
% change	-31
Carbon Dioxide (tons)	
existing	123
post-retrofit	84
difference	-38
% change	-31
° change	-91
Particulate Matter (lb)	
existing	24
post-retrofit	17
difference	-8
% change	-31
Hydrocarbons (lb)	
existing	412
post-retrofit	283
difference	-129
% change	-31
2	

Building 1120 Gymnasium

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 1120 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 1120

1120 is the main gymnasium built in 1949. Building 1120 is conditioned by an electric air cooled chiller. It has fluorescent, metal halide and high pressure sodium lights as well as little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 1120 is 46,719 sf.



Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the air cooled chiller with a very high efficiency water cooled chiller, replacing T12 lighting with T8 and Super T8 lighting, replacing the EXIT lighting and insulating the hot water tank.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
gymnasium 1120	Cooling	Electric Air-Cooled Chiller {C1}	Water-Cooled Reciprocating Electric Chiller (very high efficiency) and Cooling Tower	545	28,385	116,055	46,568	1.4
gymnasium 1120	Hot Water	Distillate Oil Water Heater	Wrap Tank with Insulation, Aerators	21	783	296	4,510	16.2
gymnasium 1120	Lights	EX1: EXIT - INC (2x20)	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	13	884	621	4,551	8.3
gymnasium 1120	Lights	FL1: FL 2X4 4F40T12 STD2	FL280: FL 2X4 3F32ST8 ELC3 REF (FIX REPL)	120	7,159	11,663	29,900	3.6
gymnasium 1120	Lights	FL3: FL 2X4 2F40T12 STD2	FL51: FL 2X4 2F32T8 ELC2	28	2,059	5,820	6,258	2.1

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 1750:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 1,054,786 kwh before retrofits and 843,837 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 78.1 MBtu/Ksf to 62.2 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Gymnasium 1120		
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,054,786 843,837 -210,949 -20	22,577.2 18,062.0 -4,515.3 -20	77.1 61.6 -15.4 -20	186,872 149,101 -37,771 -20
Distillate Oil (gal) existing post-retrofit difference % change	356 202 -154 -43	7.6 4.3 -3.3 -43	1.1 0.6 -0.5 -43	1,814 1,031 -783 -43
Total (MBtu) existing post-retrofit difference % change	3,649 2,908 -741 -20	78.1 62.2 -15.9 -20	78.1 62.2 -15.9 -20	188,686 150,132 -38,554 -20

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 445,860 kWh/year, followed by motors and miscellaneous equipment with 256,750 kWh/year.

	Annua	al Energy Use by	Building Set, Fr Gymnasium 1120		End Use	
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	445,860	141,105	211,070	256,750	0
post-retrofit	0	287,173	124,835	175,079	256,750	0
difference	0	-158,688	-16,270	-35,991	0	0
% change	0	-36	-12	-17	0	0
Distillate Oil (gal)						
existing	0	0	0	0	0	356
post-retrofit	0	0	0	0	0	202
difference	0	0	0	0	0	-154
% change	0	0	0	0	0	-43
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	1,522	482	720	876	49
post-retrofit	0	980	426	598	876	28
difference	0	-542	-56	-123	0	-21
% change	0	-36	-12	-17	0	-43
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	33	10	15	19	1
post-retrofit	0	21	9	13	19	1
difference	0	-12	-1	-3	0	0
% change	0	-36	-12	-17	0	-43

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction

The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Gymnasium Sulfur Oxides (1b) existing 9,560 post-retrofit 7,642 difference -1,918 % change -20 Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing 4,573 post-retrofit 3,655 difference -919 % change -20 Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing 7,877 6,292 post-retrofit difference -1,584 % change -20 Carbon Dioxide (tons) 970 existing post-retrofit 775 difference -195 % change -20 Particulate Matter (lb) existing 190 post-retrofit 151 -38 difference % change -20 Hydrocarbons (lb) 3,256 existing post-retrofit 2,602 difference -654 % change -20

1120

Building 2003 Vehicle Maintenance Facility

The following information identifies the cost-effective energy- and cost-reducing retrofit projects for building 2003 identified from the FEDS modeling and analysis. Key energy and economic results are presented for each cost-effective retrofit measure.

Facility Description 2003

2003 is a vehicle maintenance administration facility built in 1994. Building 2003 is conditioned by an electric package unit, is lit by 32 watt fluorescent T8's and has little to no insulation in its building envelope. Building 2003 is 6,848 sf.

Alternative Financing Results

A FEDS analysis using alternative financing suggests replacing the fluorescent lighting, the EXIT lighting and increasing the insulation in the roof on the interior surface.

Bldg. Set Description	End Use	Existing Technology	Retrofit Technology	Energy Savings (MMBtu/yr)	1st Year Savings (\$/yr)	Installed Cost (\$)	Net Present Value (\$)	SIR
Small 1990's admin	Lights	EX6: EXIT - LED	EX12: EXIT - ELECTROLUMINESCENT PANEL RETRO KIT	1	123	621	122	1.2
Small 1990's admin	Lights	FL41: FL 1X4 1F32T8 EEF1	FL302: FL 1X4 1F25ST8 ELC1 REF	4	411	2,310	141	1.1
Small 1990's admin	Lights	FL39: FL 2X4 2F32T8 EEF2	FL303: FL 2X4 2F25ST8 ELC2 REF	98	5,828	14,843	19,000	2.3
Small 1990's admin	Roof	Roof Insulation R-Value 0.00	Add Insulation to Interior Surface of Metal Roof: 4 inches Fiberglass	299	16,487	23,407	71,229	4.0

Alternative financing FEDS results for building 2003:

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by Fuel Type

The modeled energy consumption for a typical year was 234,145 kwh before retrofits and 121,374 kwh after proposed retrofits are implemented. The energy use intensity goes from 116.7 MBtu/Ksf to 60.5 MBtu/Ksf after retrofits.

		Small 1990's admin 200	3	
Fuel	Energy	Energy Intensity (user units/1000ft2)	Energy Intensity (MBtu/1000ft2)	Dollars (2009)*
Electricity (kWh)				
existing	234,145	34,191.8	116.7	41,483
post-retrofit	121,374	17,724.0	60.5	21,446
difference	-112,771	-16,467.8	-56.2	-20,037
% change	-48	-48	-48	-48
Total (MBtu)				
existing	799	116.7	116.7	41,483
post-retrofit	414	60.5	60.5	21,446
difference	-385	-56.2	-56.2	-20,037
% change	-48	-48	-48	-48

* Dollar values for electricity include both energy and demand components.

Alternative Financing Energy Consumption by End Use Space cooling is the largest load in the building with 91,143 kWh/year, followed by ventilation with 56,887 kWh/year.

	Annua		Building Set, F		End Use	
		Sma	ll 1990's admin	2003	_	
					Motors and	
Fuel	Heating	Cooling	Vent	Lights	Misc Equip	Hot Water
Electricity (kWh)						
existing	0	91,143	56,887	56,227	28,339	1,550
post-retrofit	0	34,654	21,727	35,104	28,339	1,550
difference	0	-56,490	-35,159	-21,122	0	0
% change	0	-62	-62	-38	0	0
Total (MBtu)						
existing	0	311	194	192	97	5
post-retrofit	0	118	74	120	97	5
difference	0	-193	-120	-72	0	0
% change	0	-62	-62	-38	0	0
Total (MBtu/1000ft2)						
existing	0	45	28	28	14	1
post-retrofit	0	17	11	17	14	1
difference	0	-28	-18	-11	0	0
% change	0	-62	-62	-38	0	0

Alternative Financing Emission Reduction The emission reductions from implemented the proposed retrofits are as follows:

Small 1990's admin 2003

<pre>Sulfur Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change</pre>	2,117 1,097 -1,019 -48
Nitrogen Oxides (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,011 524 -487 -48
Carbon Monoxide (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	1,740 902 -838 -48
Carbon Dioxide (tons) existing post-retrofit difference % change	214 111 -103 -48
Particulate Matter (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	42 22 -20 -48
Hydrocarbons (lb) existing post-retrofit difference % change	720 373 -347 -48



507

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Appendix E

Conversion to Water-Cooled Chillers for Building Space Cooling



902 Battelle Boulevard P.O. Box 999 Richland, WA 99352 1-888-375-PNNL (7665) www.pnl.gov



509



902 Battelle Boulevard P.O. Box 999 Richland, WA 99352 1-888-375-PNNL (7665) www.pnl.gov



Appendix E Conversion to Water-Cooled Chillers for Building Space Cooling

Water-cooled condensing of cooling equipment refrigerant results in a significant improvement in efficiency compared to air-cooled condensing. This advantage stems from two factors. Condenser water from an evaporative cooling tower is generally cooler than ambient air (except when the relative humidity is very high), and water is a more effective heat transfer fluid than air. The two factors work together to lower the refrigerant condensing temperature, hence improving both theoretical and actual refrigeration cycle efficiency. Combining cooling loads met by multiple smaller cooling units into fewer central units allows additional efficiency gains by using centrifugal compressors, a more efficient technology than alternative compressor types commonly used in smaller cooling equipment. These advantages do come at a price, however. Condensing refrigerant with water requires additional costs associated with a cooling tower, condenser water pumps and piping, and a shell to enclose the water as it passes by the condenser tubing. The condenser pump also represents an additional power consuming device that an air-cooled unit does not have. Finally, the distribution of centrally chilled water incurs pumping and piping costs and pumping energy not required by distributed direct expansion coolers (e.g., window air conditioner [AC] and packaged rooftop AC).

For the reasons noted above, water-cooled chillers offer significant performance advantages over air-cooled equipment that must be weighed against their additional capital costs. During the last few decades, space cooling has become much more common in Hawaiian military facilities because internal heating loads (e.g., personal computers and other office equipment) have increased, building designs have become less suitable for natural ventilation, and occupants expect a more comfortable working environment. The paragraphs that follow document the expected costs and energy savings associated with example conversions to water-cooled chillers at Hickam, Pearl, and Smith. Many other similar conversions are possible at these three facilities, but additional analysis was not possible with the assessment resources available. The installations are encouraged to consider additional opportunities for using water-cooled chillers where the economics are justified.

Hickam AFB

Buildings 2130, 2131, and 2133 are currently served by a small central cooling plant comprised of two air-cooled chillers. The proposed retrofit would replace the existing air-cooled chillers with two water-cooled chillers, a cooling tower, and condenser water pumps and piping. The existing chilled water pumps and piping would not change and the electrical service to the central plant should be adequate for the retrofit.

The peak and annual building cooling loads were estimated with the FEDS model, and the performance of the existing chillers was estimated from manufacturer's specifications for the two units. From this information, the annual kWh and peak kW electrical loads

were calculated and then combined with Hickam's electricity rates to calculate the current annual electricity costs. The existing system performance and electricity cost figures are presented in Table E1.

Although the FEDS model estimates a peak of only 61 tons for the three buildings, two 40-ton water-cooled chillers were assumed for the retrofit to match the existing nameplate capacity of the two air-cooled chillers. In this size range, the water-cooled chillers were assumed to use a rotary screw compressor rated at 0.73 kW/ton. In addition, the condenser water pump and cooling tower fan would be expected to consume 0.12 kW/ton for a total cooling plant performance of 0.85 kW/ton. The annual electricity bill for the water-cooled system was calculated to be \$35,360 based on these assumptions, resulting in an annual savings of about \$15,000 and a peak electric load reduction of 22 kW.

Table E 1. Hickam Buildings 2130, 2131, 2133 Existing System Performance and
Electricity Cost

Building	Peak Load, Tons	Annual Load, Ton- hours	Annual Capacity Factor	Existing Air Cooled kW/ton	Existing Annual Electricity kWh	Existing Peak Electricity kW	Existing Annual Electricity Cost
2130	18.1	73,335	0.46	1.204	88,296	21.8	
2131	10.3	40,647	0.45	1.204	48,939	12.4	
2133	32.7	100,092	0.35	1.204	120,511	39.3	
Totals	61.0	214,074	0.40	1.204	257,745	73.5	\$50,087

The two new 40-ton water-cooled chillers were estimated to cost \$88,200 and the cooling tower, condenser pump, and piping an additional \$26,100. These figures include all direct construction costs, but do not include any allowance for design or SIOH costs. Based on the direct cost, the payback period is 8 years. With an additional 16% for design and SIOH, the payback period rises to 9 years.

Pearl Harbor

Building 631, the Navy Exchange (NEX) and Commissary, is currently served by a collection of packaged rooftop direct expansion (DX) AC units. The proposed retrofit would replace the existing DX units with a new chilled water coil (in the existing air-handler units [AHU]), two water-cooled chillers, a cooling tower, condenser water pumps and piping, and chilled water pumps and piping. The new chiller plant was assumed to be sited on the ground on the southeast side of the building, next to the Commissary.

The peak and annual building cooling loads were estimated with the FEDS model and the performance of the existing packaged DX units was estimated from the vintage of the existing equipment. From this information, the annual kWh and peak kW electrical loads were calculated and then combined with Pearl's electricity rates to calculate the current annual electricity costs. The existing system performance and electricity cost figures are presented in Table E2.

Building	Peak Load, Tons	Annual Load, Ton- hours	Annual Capacity Factor	Existing Air Cooled kW/ton	Existing Annual Electricity kWh	Existing Peak Electricity kW	Existing Annual Electricity Cost
Navy							
Exchange							
(NEX)	275.1	918,580	0.38	1.2859	1,181,180	354	
NEX Food							
Court	125.0	342,737	0.31	1.2859	440,717	161	
Commissary	194.4	716,633	0.42	1.2859	921,501	250	
Totals	594.5	1,977,950	0.38	1.2859	2,543,446	764	\$493,300

Table E 2. Pearl Building 631 Existing System Performance and Electricity Cost

In this size range, the water-cooled chillers were assumed to use a centrifugal compressor rated at 0.51 kW/ton. In addition, the chilled water pumps, condenser water pumps, and cooling tower fan would be expected to consume 0.18 kW/ton for a total cooling plant performance of 0.69 kW/ton. The annual electricity bill for the water-cooled system was calculated to be \$264,700 based on these assumptions, resulting in an annual savings of \$228,600 and a peak electric load reduction of 354 kW.

A new 600-ton water-cooled chiller plant (chillers, cooling tower, pumps, plant piping, electrical, controls, and structure) was estimated to cost \$656,000. Chilled water piping running to and from the ground to every rooftop air-handling unit was estimated to cost \$225,000. The cost of the new chilled water coils was estimated to be \$180,000. These figures include all direct construction costs, but do not include any allowance for design or SIOH costs. Based on the direct cost, the payback period is 4 years. With an additional 16% for design and SIOH, the payback period rises to 4.5 years.

Camp Smith

Buildings 401, 402, 403, and 404 are currently served by window DX AC units. The proposed retrofit would replace the window units with room fan coil units, external chilled water supply and return piping and a central water-cooled chiller plant serving all four buildings. The same plant would also serve Building 20, which already has air-cooled chillers, hence chilled water piping within the building, but will need chilled water supply and return piping from the new central plant to Building 20. The new chiller plant was assumed to be sited on the West side of Bailey Road, opposite Building 401. The peak and annual building cooling loads were estimated with the FEDS model and the performance of the existing window DX AC units and air-cooled chillers were estimated from manufacturer's specifications for the two types of units. From this information, the annual kWh and peak kW electrical loads were calculated and then combined with Smith's electricity rates to calculate the current annual electricity costs. The existing system performance and electricity cost figures are presented in Table E3.

		Annual		Existing	Existing	Existing	Existing
	Peak	Load,	Annual	Air	Annual	Peak	Annual
	Load,	Ton-	Capacity	Cooled	Electricity	Electricity	Electricity
Building	Tons	hours	Factor	kW/ton	kWh	kW	Cost
401	65.7	147,804	0.26	1.16	171,515	76.2	
402	65.7	147,804	0.26	1.16	171,515	76.2	
403	65.7	147,804	0.26	1.16	171,515	76.2	
404	65.7	147,804	0.26	1.16	171,515	76.2	
20							
	142.8	419,327	0.34	1.44	603,203	205.3	
Totals	405.5	1,010,544	0.28	1.26	1,289,263	510	\$275,500

Table E 3. Smith Buildings 401-404, and Building 20 Existing System Performanceand Electricity Cost

In this size range, the water-cooled chillers were assumed to use a centrifugal compressor rated at 0.57 kW/ton. In addition, the chilled water pumps, condenser water pumps, and cooling tower fan would be expected to consume 0.18 kW/ton for a total cooling plant performance of 0.75 kW/ton. The annual electricity bill for the water-cooled system was calculated to be \$164,200 based on these assumptions, resulting in an annual savings of \$111,300 and a peak electric load reduction of 206 kW.

A new 400-ton water-cooled chiller plant (chillers, cooling tower, pumps, plant piping, electrical, controls, and structure) was estimated to cost \$520,000. Chilled water piping that would be mounted on the exterior of Buildings 401-404 was estimated to cost \$85,000. Chilled water piping running to and from the new central plant to Buildings 401-404 and 20 was estimated to cost \$189,000. The cost of the new chilled water coils for Buildings 401-404 was estimated to be \$75,000. These figures include all direct construction costs, but do not include any allowance for design or SIOH costs. Based on the direct cost, the payback period is 8 years. With an additional 16% for design and SIOH, the payback period rises to 9 years.

Before implementing this project, Camp Smith should consider other possible means of serving these five buildings with water-cooled chillers. An expansion of the chilled water plant serving Building 700 may offer some economies over the new plant proposed here, but the chilled water distribution piping would be longer. Integration with a new chilled water plant serving the eventual replacement of the Old Hospital Complex would probably be ideal if the Complex is going to be replaced relatively soon.



902 Battelle Boulevard P.O. Box 999 Richland, WA 99352 1-888-375-PNNL (7665) www.pnl.gov

