



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

PNNL-19550

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR UO3 PLANT BIOASSAY

EH Carbaugh

July 2010



Pacific Northwest
NATIONAL LABORATORY

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor Battelle Memorial Institute, nor any of their employees, makes **any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights**. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or Battelle Memorial Institute. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST NATIONAL LABORATORY
operated by
BATTELLE
for the
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830

Printed in the United States of America

**Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the
Office of Scientific and Technical Information,
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062;
ph: (865) 576-8401
fax: (865) 576-5728
email: reports@adonis.osti.gov**

**Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161
ph: (800) 553-6847
fax: (703) 605-6900
email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov
online ordering: <http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm>**



This document was printed on recycled paper.

(9/2003)

Contents

Contents	i
Tables	ii
1.0 Abstract	1
2.0 Summary	1
3.0 Assumptions:	2
4.0 Alternative: Quarterly Periodic Urinalysis	2
5.0 Alternative: Monthly Periodic Urinalysis	3
6.0 End of Year Dose Assessment	5
7.0 References	5

Tables

Table 1. Quarterly Sampling Protocol	6
Table 2. Monthly Sampling Protocol.....	7
Table A.1. Urinary excretion fractions for absorption types F, M and UO3 Plant, based on acute inhalation of 5 µm AMAD particles.	8
Table A.2. Screening levels for various periodic monitoring intervals for Hanford UO3 material, assuming acute inhalation of 5-µm AMAD particles.	9

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR UO₃ PLANT BIOASSAY

1.0 Abstract

Alternative urine bioassay programs are described for application with decontamination and decommissioning activities at the Hanford UO₃ Plant. The alternatives are based on quarterly or monthly urine bioassay for recycled uranium, assuming multiple acute inhalation intakes of recycled uranium occurring over a year. The inhalations are assumed to be 5µm AMAD particles of 80% absorption type F and 20% absorption type M. Screening levels, expressed as daily uranium mass excretion rates in urine, and the actions associated with these levels are provided for both quarterly and monthly sampling frequencies.

2.0 Summary

Work activities associated with the decommissioning and demolition of the UO₃ processing facilities (notably 224-U Building) have warranted establishing a bioassay monitoring program considering the specific UO₃ source material. A specific monitoring program for this material and facility was designed in the 1980s when the facility was in routine production operation, but terminated in the mid-1990s when it was placed in a long-term surveillance mode. Consequently, no facility-specific monitoring was addressed when the Hanford internal dosimetry technical basis documents were revised to incorporate new biokinetic models (effective January 2010).

This brief report describes two alternative periodic bioassay monitoring programs appropriate for UO₃ work, and the technical basis underlying them. The two alternatives address urinalysis at either quarterly or monthly sampling frequencies. Both sampling frequencies are capable of fulfilling Department of Energy monitoring requirements. Monthly sampling provides for a substantially lower minimum detectable dose, with more timely capability for intake verification, however the cost of monthly bioassay is three times that for quarterly bioassay. In vivo measurements (chest counting or whole body counting) are not appropriate bioassay for periodic UO₃ bioassay. The capabilities of the two bioassay monitoring frequencies are described below.

Solubility studies performed in 1985 and summarized in the 1991 Technical Basis for Internal Dosimetry at Hanford (Reference 1) identified UO₃ material as exhibiting solubility corresponding to 80% inhalation class D and 20% inhalation class W. According to Methods and Models of the Hanford Internal Dosimetry Program (PNNL-MA-860, Reference 2) this now corresponds to 80% absorption type F and 20% absorption type M.

Screening levels, expressed as daily uranium mass excretion rates in urine, and the actions associated with these levels are shown in Tables 1 and 2 for quarterly and monthly sampling frequencies, respectively. Samples may be collected using approximate 24-h sampling protocol (kit code 1) with direct comparison to screening levels. Alternatively, if partial day samples are obtained (e.g., kit codes 2, 6, or 7), sample results must be normalized to daily excretion rates for comparison with screening levels. As used in this report, “dose” refers to committed effective dose. The supporting derivation of these screening levels is shown in the Appendix.

3.0 Assumptions:

1. The primary design criteria for bioassay monitoring programs is specified in Section 2.3.1 of the Hanford Internal Dosimetry Program Manual (PNL-MA-552, Reference 3). Notably, programs will be capable of detecting an intake potentially resulting in a committed effective dose of 100 mrem, to the extent technical site contractors and technical capabilities allow.
2. A second design goal used in this report is for a monthly program to be capable of detecting UO₃ intakes in a year that would result in a committed effective dose of 10 mrem.
3. A third design goal is based on interpreting 10 CFR 835.702(b) to imply that single bioassay measurements should be capable of identifying 10 mrem committed effective dose from an intake where practicable given standard bioassay methods.
4. The source material (UO₃) is 80% Type F and 20% Type M recycled uranium.
5. The environmental screening level is 0.2 µg/d. (Reference 2, Table 7.33)
6. The potential exposure scenario is multiple acute exposures in a year, assuming one intake per monitoring interval.
7. The biokinetic models are per Reference 2.
8. Dose coefficients $e(50)$ for recycled uranium are per Reference 2, Table 7.20.

Type F:	2.18E-03 rem/mg-U
Type M:	6.50E-03 rem/mg-U
UO ₃ :	3.04E-03 rem/mg-U (80% Type F and 20% Type M)

4.0 Alternative: Quarterly Periodic Urinalysis

Design basis:

1. A screening level is established for dose assessment based on a single measurement identifying an intake that may exceed 10 mrem committed effective dose. (10 CFR 835.702(b) criterion)
2. A supplemental screening level is based on the potential for 100 mrem in a calendar year.
3. Screening levels are set assuming detection of a single acute intake 90 days prior to bioassay.

Description:

1. Quarterly urinalysis for U²³⁸.
2. Results >0.2 µg/d will be high-flagged by lab per routine GEL protocol with notification to Hanford Internal Dosimetry.
3. Results >0.2 µg/d but not exceeding 0.6 µg/d will be considered minor exposure not warranting action.

- a. Rapid notification is not required.
 - b. A normal result letter will be sent to the worker.
 - c. The 0.6 µg/d level is based on 0.2 µg/d environmental screening level plus 0.4 µg/d as the derived level for excretion at 90 days following a UO3 intake resulting in a dose of 10 mrem. The 0.6 µg/d level meets the 10 CFR 835.702(b) criterion.
4. Results between 0.6 µg/d and 1 µg/d will be considered potentially indicative of potential dose in excess of 10 mrem (for the interval).
- a. Rapid notification will be provided to contractor.
 - b. Dose assessment will be performed at the end of the calendar year based on all associated bioassays.
 - c. It is assumed that exposure is associated with routine work and does not require U236 for determination of occupational versus nonoccupational exposure.
 - d. The option to perform U236 analysis on periodic sample residual is at the discretion of the contractor. If U236 analysis is performed and no U-236 is detected, then a UO3 occupational intake will not be confirmed.
5. Results > 1 µg/d (corresponding to urine excretion 90 days following an acute intake that would result in a committed effective dose of 25 mrem) will be considered potentially indicative of dose in excess of 100 mrem if exposure is sustained for 1 year.
- a. A U236 analysis will be performed on the remainder of the periodic sample as a matter of default procedure by Hanford Internal Dosimetry. If U236 is detected, occupational dose will be assessed.
 - b. The 1 µg/d level is based on 0.2 µg/d environmental screening level plus 0.989 µg/d projected urine excretion 90 days following an acute 25 mrem intake, rounded to one significant figure (1 µg/d).

5.0 Alternative: Monthly Periodic Urinalysis

Design basis:

- 1. 100 mrem from UO3 intakes in a year is easily met.
- 2. 10 mrem from UO3 intakes in a year will not likely go unreported.
- 3. 0.8 mrem (10 mrem/12 months) from UO3 intakes in a monthly interval will not go unreported.
- 4. A dose assessment is required if the annual dose indicated from periodic monitoring might exceed 10 mrem.
- 5. Screening levels are set assuming detection of a single acute intake 30 days prior to bioassay.

Description:

- 1. Monthly urinalysis for U238; kit code 1 is recommended.

2. Results $>0.2 \mu\text{g/d}$ will be high-flagged by lab per routine GEL protocol with notification to Hanford Internal Dosimetry.
3. Results $>0.2 \mu\text{g/d}$ but not exceeding $0.4 \mu\text{g/d}$ will be considered minor exposure not warranting action.
 - a. Rapid notification is not required.
 - b. A normal result letter will be sent to the worker.
 - c. The $0.4 \mu\text{g/d}$ level is based on $0.2 \mu\text{g/d}$ environmental screening level plus $0.16 \mu\text{g/d}$ as the derived level for detection at one month following an intake that could result in 0.83 mrem , with the sum rounded to one significant figure ($0.4 \mu\text{g/d}$).
 - d. Twelve such monthly intakes would result in a committed effective dose for a year of 10 mrem , which is considered a dose of no consequence with regard to recording for periodic bioassay programs (10 CFR 835.702(b)).
4. Results $>0.4 \mu\text{g/d}$ but not exceeding $2 \mu\text{g/d}$ will be considered minor occupational exposure from normal work activities requiring end-of-calendar-year dose assessment. The potential exists for cumulative dose from UO_3 intakes to exceed 10 mrem , but will not exceed 100 mrem .
 - a. Rapid notification will be provided to the contractor.
 - b. The worker will be advised by letter that an end-of-year dose assessment will be performed.
 - c. Contractor has options for a U236 analysis and a dose assessment for the monthly interval.
 - d. The $2 \mu\text{g/d}$ level is based on $0.2 \mu\text{g/d}$ environmental screening level plus $1.6 \mu\text{g/d}$ as the derived level for detection at one month following an intake that could result in 8.3 mrem , with the sum rounded to one significant figure ($2 \mu\text{g/d}$).
 - e. Twelve such monthly intakes would result in a committed effective dose for a year of 100 mrem , which is the design basis for Hanford bioassay programs.
5. Results $> 2 \mu\text{g/d}$ but not exceeding $7 \mu\text{g/d}$ may be indicative of a potential dose in excess of 10 mrem for the sampled interval and could indicate a dose in excess of 100 mrem if intake levels are sustained for 1 year.
 - a. Rapid notification will be provided to contractor.
 - b. Dose assessment will be performed at the end of the calendar year based on all associated bioassays.
 - c. The default assumption is that exposure is associated with routine work and does not require U236 analysis for determination of occupational versus nonoccupational exposure.
 - d. The option to perform a U236 analysis on the periodic sample residual is at the discretion of the contractor. If U236 analysis is requested and the analyte is not detected, then a UO_3 occupational intake will not be confirmed.
6. Results $> 7 \mu\text{g/d}$ will be considered potentially indicative of an acute intake one month prior which could have exceeded the screening level for transient chemical toxicity, assuming highly soluble (Type F) material.
 - a. Rapid notification will be provided to the contractor.
 - b. A U236 analysis will be performed on the remainder of the periodic sample as a matter of default procedure by Hanford Internal Dosimetry.
 - c. Occupational dose will be assessed based on detection of U-236 in the supplemental analysis.
 - d. The $7 \mu\text{g/d}$ chemical toxicity level is from Reference 2, Table 7.24.

6.0 End of Year Dose Assessment

1. Unless specific intake dates are identified, it is assumed that all days had an equally likely potential for intake.
2. If multiple acute intakes are indicated from the data, the dose may be assessed as an approximation of chronic exposure, using the method of Equation 7.2 in Section 7.5.2 of PNNL-MA-860 (Reference 2). Alternatively, intakes may be assessed for single intervals, if data so support.

7.0 References

Sula, MJ, Carbaugh, EH, and Bihl, DE. *Technical Basis for Internal Dosimetry at Hanford*, PNL-6866, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. July 1991.

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL). *Methods and Models of the Hanford Internal Dosimetry Program*, PNNL-MA-860, Richland, Washington. (Internal manual). Copy maintained in the Hanford Internal Dosimetry Program files and available online by searching, PNNL-15614 @ <http://www.pnl.gov/publications/>. Effective date, January 1, 2010.

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL). *Hanford Internal Dosimetry Program Manual*, PNL-MA-552, Richland, Washington. (Internal manual.) Copy maintained in the Hanford Internal Dosimetry Program files and available online by searching, PNNL-15613 @ <http://www.pnl.gov/publications/>. Effective date, January 1, 2010.

SUMMARY OF SCREENING LEVELS FOR UO3 BIOASSAY PROGRAMS

Table 1. Quarterly Sampling Protocol { TA \l "Table 1. Quarterly Sampling Protocol" \s "Table 1. Quarterly Sampling Protocol" \c 1 }

Level	Basis for Action	Action
$\leq 0.2 \mu\text{g/d}$	Below Environmental Screening Level.	No action; normal result letter automatically generated.
0.2 to $0.6 \mu\text{g/d}$	Routine low level exposure indicated. Below dose recording and investigation levels.	No action. Normal result letter generated by Internal Dosimetry.
$>0.6 \mu\text{g/d}$ but $\leq 1 \mu\text{g/d}$	Potential intake resulting in a committed effective dose in excess of 10 mrem.	Rapid notification to contractor. Evaluation report at end of calendar year based on total data. Option for U236 analysis.
$>1 \mu\text{g/d}$	Potential intake resulting in a committed effective dose in excess of 25 mrem.	Rapid notification to contractor. Default U236 analysis on sample remainder. Possible collection of additional samples. Evaluation report.

Table 2. Monthly Sampling Protocol

Level	Basis for Action	Action
$\leq 0.2 \mu\text{g/d}$	Below Environmental Screening Level.	No action; normal result letter automatically generated.
0.2 to $0.4 \mu\text{g/d}$	Routine low level exposure indicated, but resulting doses from annual intake would be below the dose recording level of 10 mrem for routine monitoring.	No action. Normal result letter generated by Internal Dosimetry.
$>0.4 \mu\text{g/d}$ but $\leq 2 \mu\text{g/d}$	Sustained intake levels for 1 year could indicate a committed effective dose between 10 mrem and 100 mrem.	Rapid notification to contractor. Evaluation report at end of calendar year based on total data. Option for U236 analysis.
$>2 \mu\text{g/d}$ but $\leq 7 \mu\text{g/d}$	Single monthly intake could exceed 10 mrem committed effective dose. Cumulative annual intake could exceed 100 mrem.	Rapid notification to contractor. Option for evaluation report for immediate interval, or at end of calendar year based on total data. Option for U236 analysis.
$>7 \mu\text{g/d}$	Potential intake that might have exceeded screening level for transient chemical toxicity.	Rapid notification to contractor. Default U236 analysis on sample remainder. Possible collection of additional samples or referral to Occupational Medicine. Evaluation report.

APPENDIX

Table A.1. Urinary excretion fractions for absorption types F, M and UO3 Plant, based on acute inhalation of 5 µm AMAD particles.

Urinary excretion fractions for absorption types F, M and UO3 Plant, based on acute inhalation of 5 µm AMAD particles.

Day Post Intake	Type F ^(a)	Type M ^(a)	UO3 Plant ^(b)
1	1.84E-01	2.32E-02	1.52E-01
2	6.38E-03	1.12E-03	5.33E-03
3	5.14E-03	8.53E-04	4.28E-03
5	4.21E-03	7.35E-04	3.52E-03
7	3.50E-03	6.46E-04	2.93E-03
14	1.94E-03	4.47E-04	1.64E-03
30	6.81E-04	2.66E-04	5.98E-04
60	2.27E-04	1.67E-04	2.15E-04
90	1.20E-04	1.25E-04	1.21E-04
180	3.12E-05	6.53E-05	3.80E-05
365	5.53E-06	2.18E-05	8.78E-06

(a) From PNNL-MA-860, Table 7.10 (Reference 2).

(b) Calculated as 80% Type F + 20% Type M.

Table A.2. Screening levels for various periodic monitoring intervals for Hanford UO3 material, assuming acute inhalation of 5-µm AMAD particles. { TA \l "Table A.2. Screening levels for various periodic monitoring intervals for Hanford UO3 material, assuming acute inhalation of 5-µm AMAD particles." \s "Table A.2. Screening levels for various periodic monitoring intervals for Hanford UO3 material, assuming acute inhalation of 5-µm AMAD particles." \c 1 }

Monitoring Interval length (d)	Intervals per year	E(50) for interval (mrem)	Intake for interval ^(a) (mg)	Interval Excretion Fraction ^(b)	Reference Level for Interval Intake ^(c) (µg/d)	Screening Level ^(d) (µg/d)	Rounded Screening Level (µg/d)
Design Goal #1: 100 mrem E(50)/y							
365	1	100	32.9	8.78E-06	0.29	0.49	0.5
180	2	50	16.4	3.80E-05	0.62	0.82	0.8
90	4	25	8.21	1.21E-04	0.99	1.19	1
30	12	8.3	2.74	5.98E-04	1.64	1.84	2
14	26	3.8	1.26	1.64E-03	2.07	2.27	2
Design Goal #2: 10 mrem E(50)/y							
365	1	10	3.29	8.78E-06	0.029	0.23	0.2
180	2	5	1.64	3.80E-05	0.062	0.26	0.3
90	4	2.5	0.82	1.21E-04	0.099	0.30	0.3
30	12	0.83	0.27	5.98E-04	0.16	0.36	0.4
14	26	0.38	0.13	1.64E-03	0.21	0.41	0.4
Design Goal #3: 10 mrem E(50) per measurement							
365	1	10	3.29	8.78E-06	0.029	0.23	0.2
180	2	10	3.29	3.80E-05	0.12	0.32	0.3
90	4	10	3.29	1.21E-04	0.40	0.60	0.6
30	12	10	3.29	5.98E-04	2.0	2.2	2
14	26	10	3.29	1.64E-03	5.4	5.6	6

(a) Calculated as $E(50 \text{ for interval} / \text{UO3 } e(50) \text{ of } 3.04\text{E-}03 \text{ rem/mg-U})$.

(b) From Table A.1.

(c) Calculated as $\text{Intake for Interval} \times \text{Interval Excretion Fraction}$.

(d) Calculated as $\text{Reference Level for Interval Intake} + 0.2 \text{ µg/d}$.

(e) Screening level rounded to one significant figure.